

# ON SOME METRIC PROPERTIES OF POLYNOMIALS WITH REAL ZEROS, II

Ch. Pommerenke

1. Let

$$(1) \quad f(z) = \prod_{\nu=1}^n (z - x_{\nu}) \quad (x_{\nu} \text{ real}),$$

and let  $E: |f(z)| \leq 1$ . A closed disk that has a segment  $[a, b]$  ( $a \leq b$ ) of the real axis  $X$  as diameter will be called the *orthogonal circle over*  $[a, b]$ . By  $\mathcal{C}$  we shall denote the class of all closed bounded sets  $F$  such that for every  $z \in F$  there exists an orthogonal circle  $K$  with  $z \in K$  and  $K \subset F$ . Every  $E: |f(z)| \leq 1$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}$  [3] and has  $\text{cap } E = 1$ . Later on (see Remark 2 after Theorem 2) we shall show that there are sets  $F \in \mathcal{C}$  with  $\text{cap } F = 1$  that cannot be approximated by lemniscate domains  $|f(z)| \leq 1$ , where  $f(z)$  has only real zeros.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $F \in \mathcal{C}$ . If  $\Lambda$  and  $d$  are the sums of the perimeters and diameters of the components of  $F$ , then*

$$\Lambda \leq \pi d \leq 4\pi \text{cap } F.$$

*Remark.* If we take  $F_m$  as the union of the orthogonal circles

$$|z - (2k - 1)/m| \leq 1/m \quad (k = 1, \dots, 2m),$$

we have  $d_m = 4$ ,  $\Lambda_m = 4\pi$ . Because  $F_m$  is contained in the rectangle

$$\{0 \leq \Re z \leq 4, \quad |\Im z| \leq 1/m\},$$

it follows that  $\text{cap } F_m \rightarrow 1$ . The example shows that the inequalities

$$\Lambda \leq 4d \leq 4\pi \text{cap } F$$

and  $\Lambda \leq 4\pi \text{cap } F$  are best possible (except that perhaps  $\Lambda < 4\pi \text{cap } F$ ).

**COROLLARY.** *If the polynomial  $f(z)$  has the form (1) and if  $\Lambda$  is the length of the lemniscate  $|f(z)| = 1$ , then  $\Lambda \leq 4\pi$ .*

**LEMMA.** *Let  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  be orthogonal circles over  $[a_j, b_j]$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ), and let  $a_1 < a_2 < b_1 < b_2$ . Let  $L_j$  denote the arc of the periphery of  $K_j$  which lies between the points of intersection with the periphery of  $K_{3-j}$  and contains the point  $a_j$ . If  $l_j$  is the length of  $L_j$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) and  $l_0$  is the perimeter of the orthogonal circle over  $[a_1, a_2]$ , then  $l_1 \leq l_0 + l_2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $K_0^*$  be the orthogonal circle over  $[a_2, b_1]$ , of perimeter  $l_0^*$ , let  $L_1^*$  denote the complement of  $L_1$  relative to the periphery of  $K_1$ , and let  $l_1^*$  denote the length of  $L_1^*$ . The convex curve  $L_2 \cup L_1^*$  contains the (convex) circle  $K_0^*$  in its closed interior. Hence, by a classical theorem,  $l_0^* \leq l_2 + l_1^*$ . Since

$$l_1 + l_1^* = \pi(b_1 - a_1) = \pi(a_2 - a_1) + \pi(b_1 - a_2) = l_0 + l_0^*,$$

we obtain

$$l_1 = l_0 + l_0^* - l_1^* \leq l_0 + (l_2 + l_1^*) - l_1^* = l_0 + l_2.$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* (1.1) Suppose  $F = \bigcup_{\mu=1}^m K_\mu$ , where  $K_\mu$  denotes the orthogonal circles over  $[a_\mu, b_\mu]$ . We may assume that  $a_1 < \dots < a_m$  and that no  $K_\mu$  is contained in the union of the rest (otherwise, we can delete this  $K_\mu$ ). We have  $d = \text{meas}(F \cap X)$ , where  $X$  denotes the real axis. We shall prove that  $\Lambda \leq \pi d$ , by induction. For  $m = 1$ , we have  $\Lambda = \pi d$ . For  $m > 1$ , there are two cases:

(i)  $b_1 \leq a_2$ : Here  $K_1$  is contained in  $\Re z \leq a_2$ , and  $F_1 = \bigcup_{\mu=2}^m K_\mu$  in  $\Re z \geq a_2$ . Therefore  $\Lambda = \pi(b_1 - a_1) + \Lambda_1$ , where  $\Lambda_1$  is the perimeter of  $F_1$ , and by the induction hypothesis,  $\Lambda_1 \leq \pi d_1$  ( $d_1 = \text{diameter-sum for } F_1$ ), hence

$$\Lambda \leq \pi(b_1 - a_1) + \pi d_1 = \pi d.$$

(ii)  $a_2 < b_1$ : We apply the lemma to  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ . If  $\Lambda_1$  denotes the length of the part of the boundary of  $F$  that belongs to  $F_1$ , then  $\Lambda = l_1 + \Lambda_1$ . The part of the boundary of  $F_1$  that is contained in  $K_1$  belongs entirely to  $K_2$  (otherwise,  $K_2 \subset K_1 \cup K_{\mu'}$  for some  $\mu'$ ). If  $l_2$  is its length, and if  $l_0$  is again the perimeter of the orthogonal circle  $K_0$  over  $[a_1, a_2]$ , it follows from the lemma that

$$\Lambda = l_1 + \Lambda_1 \leq l_0 + l_2 + \Lambda_1,$$

and the last quantity is equal to the perimeter  $\Lambda^*$  of  $F^* = K_0 \cup F_1$ . The diameter-sum  $d^*$  is equal to  $d$ . We have case (i) for  $F^*$ , and therefore  $\Lambda \leq \Lambda^* \leq \pi d$ .

(1.2) Let  $F$  be an arbitrary connected set in  $\mathcal{C}$ . The part of the boundary of  $F$  that lies in  $\Im z \geq 0$  is a simple curve of the form

$$C = \{ z = x + iy(x) : x_0 \leq x \leq x_0 + d \},$$

where  $y(x)$  is a single-valued real function. The length of  $C$  is  $\Lambda/2$ . We choose points  $z_\mu \in C$  ( $\mu = 1, \dots, m$ ) with  $x_1 < \dots < x_m$  such that

$$(2) \quad \sum_{\mu=1}^m |z_\mu - z_{\mu-1}| > \Lambda/2 - \varepsilon \quad (\text{or } > 1/\varepsilon \text{ if } \Lambda = \infty).$$

For each  $\mu$ , let  $K_\mu$  be an orthogonal circle through  $z_\mu$  with  $K_\mu \subset F$ . The set

$$\tilde{F} = (X \cap F) \cup \bigcup_{\mu} F_\mu$$

is connected and has again the diameter  $d$ . Let  $\tilde{C}$  be the part of the boundary of  $\tilde{F}$  contained in  $\Im z \geq 0$ . If the segments of  $X$  contained in  $\tilde{C}$  have the total length  $d'$ , the length of  $\tilde{C}$  is

$$\tilde{\Lambda}/2 = d' + \Lambda''/2,$$

where  $\tilde{\Lambda}$  and  $\Lambda''$  are the perimeters of  $\tilde{F}$  and  $\bigcup K_\mu$ . Applying part (1.1), we obtain

$$(3) \quad \tilde{\Lambda} \leq 2d' + \pi d'' \leq \pi(d' + d'') = \pi d.$$

Because

$$\tilde{C} = \{z = x + i\tilde{y}(x) : x_0 \leq x \leq x_0 + d\},$$

with single-valued  $\tilde{y}(x)$ , and  $z_\mu \in \tilde{C}$ ,  $x_1 < \dots < x_m$ , we have

$$\tilde{\Lambda}/2 \geq \sum |z_\mu - z_{\mu-1}|,$$

and therefore, by (2) and (3),

$$\Lambda < \pi d + 2\varepsilon \quad (\text{or } \Lambda < 2/\varepsilon < \pi d \text{ if } \Lambda = \infty)$$

for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , hence  $\Lambda < \infty$ ,  $\Lambda \leq \pi d$ .

(1.3) If  $F \in \mathcal{C}$  is not connected, we obtain  $\Lambda \leq \pi d$  by adding the corresponding inequalities for the components of  $F$ . Finally we have  $d \leq 4 \text{cap } F$  (this inequality was first proved by Pólya; see also [3]), and hence  $\Lambda \leq \pi d \leq 4\pi \text{cap } F$ .

2. Again, let  $E: |f(z)| \leq 1$ , where  $f(z)$  is a polynomial of the form (1). Let  $\rho$  be the radius of the largest (orthogonal) circle contained in  $E$ , and  $b$  the width of  $E$ . Since there exists an orthogonal circle  $K \subset E$  through each  $z \in E$ , we have (with  $z = x + iy$ )

$$(4) \quad b = 2\rho = 2 \max_{z \in E} |y|.$$

It is easy to see [3] that  $b \leq 2$  (and that this also holds for all sets  $F$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  whose capacity is  $\leq 1$ ), with equality for the set  $E: |z| \leq 1$ . Using a parameter, I shall give a sharper upper bound for  $b$ , for the case where  $E$  is symmetric with respect to the point 0.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let the distribution of the zeros  $x_\nu$  of  $f(z)$  be symmetrical with respect to 0, and let  $a = |f(0)|^{1/n}$ . Then*

$$b \leq \begin{cases} 1/a & \text{if } \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} \leq a \leq \infty, \\ 2(1 - a^2)^{1/2} & \text{if } 0 \leq a \leq \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} \text{ and } |x_\nu| \leq \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}, \end{cases}$$

with equality for  $f_0(z) = z^2 - a^2$ .

*Remarks.* 1. The first inequality  $b \leq 1/a$  remains true for all  $a > 0$ , but it is not longer the best bound (at least for  $a \leq \frac{1}{2}$ ). For  $0 \leq a \leq \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}$ , the inequality  $b \leq 2(1 - a^2)^{1/2}$  probably holds even without the restriction  $|x_\nu| \leq \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}$ .

2. The function

$$z = \left( \frac{i}{2} \log \frac{iw + 1}{iw - 1} \right)^{-1} = w + \dots$$

maps  $|w| > 1$  conformally onto the complementary region of the union  $F_0^*$  of the orthogonal circles  $|z \pm 2/\pi| \leq 2/\pi$ . Consequently  $\text{cap } F_0^* = 1$ . Since  $0.6 < 2/\pi$ , there exists a  $c > 2/\pi$  such that the union  $F_0$  of the orthogonal circles  $|z \pm c| \leq 0.6$  has again capacity 1. Suppose that  $F_0$  could be approximated arbitrarily closely by

lemniscate domains  $|f(z)| \leq 1$  determined by polynomials with real zeros. Then there would exist a polynomial  $f(z) = z^n + \dots$  such that

$$|f(\pm c + 0.55i)| < 1, \quad |f(0)| > 1,$$

because  $0 \notin F_0$ . The polynomial

$$g(z) = (-1)^n f(z) f(-z) = z^{2n} + \dots$$

would have real zeros symmetrical to 0, and it would satisfy the conditions

$$|g(c + 0.55i)| < 1, \quad |g(0)| > 1.$$

Therefore the width of the set  $|g(z)| \leq 1$  would be greater than 1.10, whereas the width is at most 1, by Theorem 2.

*Proof.* We may assume, by [3, Theorem 2], that  $f(0) \neq 0$ , that is,  $x_\nu \neq 0$ . Since the zeros are symmetrically distributed with respect to 0, we can group them in pairs  $x_\nu, -x_\nu$  ( $\nu = 1, \dots, m$ ), where  $m = n/2$ . Then

$$(5) \quad |f(z)|^2 = \prod_{\nu=1}^m |z^2 - x_\nu^2|^2 = \prod_{\nu=1}^m (x^4 + 2x^2 y^2 + y^4 - 2x_\nu^2 x^2 + 2x_\nu^2 y^2 + x_\nu^4).$$

Therefore

$$|f(z)|^2 = \prod_{\nu=1}^m [(x^2 + y^2 - x_\nu^2)^2 + 4x_\nu^2 y^2] \geq \prod_{\nu=1}^m 4x_\nu^2 y^2 = 4^m \left( \prod_{\nu=1}^m x_\nu^2 \right) y^{2m}.$$

If  $z \in E$ , then  $1 \geq 2^n |f(0)| \cdot |y|^n$  and

$$|y| \leq \frac{1}{2} |f(0)|^{-1/n} = 1/(2a),$$

and because of (4) we have proved the first inequality of Theorem 2.

$$\text{Let } |x_\nu| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2}. \text{ Then } a = \left( \prod_{\nu=1}^m x_\nu^2 \right)^{1/n} \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2}.$$

From (5) we obtain

$$|f(z)|^2 = \prod_{\nu=1}^m [(y^2 + x_\nu^2)^2 + x^4 + 2x^2(y^2 - x_\nu^2)].$$

Suppose there exists a  $z \in E$  with  $y^2 > 1 - a^2$ . Then

$$y^2 - x_\nu^2 > 1 - a^2 - x_\nu^2 \geq 0,$$

because  $a^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $x_\nu^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , and

$$1 \geq |f(z)|^{1/m} \geq \prod_{\nu=1}^m (y^2 + x_\nu^2)^{1/m}.$$

We apply the inequality [2, p. 55]

$$\prod_{\nu=1}^m (a_\nu + b_\nu)^{1/m} \geq \prod_{\nu=1}^m a_\nu^{1/m} + \prod_{\nu=1}^m b_\nu^{1/m}$$

(for  $a_\nu \geq 0, b_\nu \geq 0$ ) and obtain (with  $n = 2m$ )

$$1 \geq y^2 + \left( \prod_{\nu=1}^m x_\nu^2 \right)^{2/n} = y^2 + a^2,$$

and therefore  $y^2 \leq 1 - a^2$ , contrary to our hypothesis.

Finally, let  $f_0(z) = z^2 - r^2$  ( $r \geq 0$ ) and  $E_0: |z^2 - r^2| \leq 1$ . Computation shows that

$$(6) \quad b_0 = 2 \max_{z \in E_0} |y| = \begin{cases} 2(1 - r^2)^{1/2} & \text{for } 0 \leq r \leq \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}, \\ 1/r & \text{for } \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} \leq r < \infty. \end{cases}$$

Because  $a = |f_0(0)|^{1/2} = r$ , this proves the statement about equality.

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $|x_\nu| \leq r$ , then*

$$b \geq \begin{cases} 2(1 - r^2)^{1/2} & \text{for } 0 \leq r \leq \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}, \\ 1/r & \text{for } \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} \leq r \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

with equality for  $f_0(z) = z^2 - r^2$ .

*Remark.* If  $r < 2$ , the segment  $[-r, +r]$  has capacity  $r/2 < 1$ . Therefore, as Erdős, Herzog and Piranian proved [1, Theorem 6], there exists a  $\rho(r) > 0$  (independent of  $f(z)$ ) such that  $E$  contains a disk of radius  $\rho(r)$  if  $|x_\nu| \leq r$ . Hence  $b = 2\rho \geq 2\rho(r)$ . Theorem 3 gives the best lower bound for  $b$  and therefore for  $\rho(r)$ , if  $r \leq 1$ . A similar method yields  $b \geq (2 - r^2)^{1/2}$  for  $1 < r < \sqrt{2}$ , but this is not the best estimate, at least if  $r$  is near  $\sqrt{2}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $|z_\nu| \leq r$  and  $r \leq \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}$ . Then

$$|f(i(1 - r^2)^{1/2})|^2 = \prod_{\nu=1}^n |i(1 - r^2)^{1/2} - x_\nu|^2 = \prod_{\nu=1}^n (1 - r^2 + x_\nu^2) \leq 1.$$

Therefore the point  $i(1 - r^2)^{1/2}$  belongs to  $E$ , and  $b \geq 2(1 - r^2)^{1/2}$ .

If  $\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} \leq r \leq 1$ , let  $\xi = (r^2 - (2r)^{-2})^{1/2}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
|f(-\xi + i(2r)^{-1}) f(+\xi + i(2r)^{-1})|^2 &= \prod \left| \left( -\xi + \frac{i}{2r} - x_\nu \right) \left( \xi + \frac{i}{2r} - x_\nu \right) \right|^2 \\
&= \prod \left[ \left( \xi^2 + \frac{1}{4r^2} - x_\nu^2 \right)^2 + x_\nu^2 r^{-2} \right] \\
&= \prod (r^4 - 2x_\nu^2 r^2 + x_\nu^2 r^{-2} + x_\nu^4) \\
&\leq \max(r^{4n}, 1) \leq 1
\end{aligned}$$

(note that the factor occurring under the last product sign is a quadratic function of  $x_\nu^2$ ). Hence one of the two points  $z = \pm \xi + i(2r)^{-1}$  belongs to  $E$ , and  $b \geq 2(2r)^{-1} = r^{-1}$ . Equation (6) shows that we have equality for  $f_0(z)$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. P. Erdős, F. Herzog, and G. Piranian, *Metric properties of polynomials*, J. Analyse Math. 6 (1958), 125-148.
2. G. Pólya and G. Szegő, *Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysis*, vol. I, Springer, Berlin, 1925.
3. Ch. Pommerenke, *On some metric properties of polynomials with real zeros*, Michigan Math. J. 6 (1959), 377-380.

Universität Göttingen