

# SUBRINGS OF SIMPLE ALGEBRAS

R. S. Pierce

This paper is essentially an appendix to the work [1] of R. A. Beaumont and the author. Its purpose is to clarify the concept introduced there of the smallest field of definition for a subring of a simple rational algebra. However, the main results can be formulated for subrings of quite general algebras, and the proofs do not depend on the developments in [1]. We are indebted to the referee for this observation and for substantial simplification of the paper generally.

Let  $\Lambda$  be an integral domain, and suppose that  $Q$  is the quotient field of  $\Lambda$ . Throughout the paper,  $S$  is to be a finite-dimensional  $Q$ -algebra containing the subring  $A$  such that  $A$  is a  $\Lambda$ -module and  $QA = S$ . Let  $C$  be the center of  $A$ . A field  $F$  is called a *field of definition* of  $A$  if  $\Lambda \subset F \subset C$  and there exist an  $F$ -basis  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  of  $S$  in  $A$  and a nonzero element  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  such that

$$(1) \quad \lambda A \subset (A \cap F)a_1 + \dots + (A \cap F)a_k.$$

It is routine to show that this property does not depend on the choice of  $a_1, \dots, a_k$ . In case  $\Lambda$  is the ring of integers, the last condition is equivalent to this, that the group  $(A \cap F)a_1 + \dots + (A \cap F)a_k$  is of finite index in  $A$ . If also  $A$  contains the identity 1 of  $S$ , then  $F \subset C$  is a field of definition of  $A$  if and only if  $A$  is a finitely generated  $A \cap F$ -module.

For any  $\Lambda$ -submodule  $B$  of a  $Q$ -space  $T$ , define

$$(2) \quad QE(B) = \{h \in \text{Hom}_Q(T, T) \mid \lambda h(B) \subset B \text{ for some } \lambda \neq 0 \text{ in } \Lambda\}.$$

If  $B$  satisfies  $QB = T$  and  $h \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(B, B)$ , then  $h$  can be extended to  $T$  by defining  $h(t) = \lambda^{-1}h(\lambda t)$ , where  $\lambda \neq 0$  in  $\Lambda$  is such that  $\lambda t \in B$ . Thus,  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(B, B)$  can be identified with  $E(B) = \{h \in \text{Hom}_Q(T, T) \mid h(B) \subset B\}$ . Consequently, by (2),  $Q \otimes_\Lambda \text{Hom}_\Lambda(B, B)$  can be identified with  $Q(E(B)) = QE(B)$ . In particular,  $QE(B)$  does not depend on the manner in which  $B$  is imbedded in  $T$ .

If  $F$  is any field between  $Q$  and  $C$ , then  $\text{Hom}_F(S, S)$  can be identified with the subring of  $\text{Hom}_Q(S, S)$  consisting of all  $Q$ -endomorphisms which commute with multiplication by elements of  $F$ . Henceforth this identification will be made.

**LEMMA 1.** *The field  $F$  is a field of definition of  $A$  if and only if  $\Lambda \subset F \subset C$  and  $\text{Hom}_F(S, S) \subset QE(A)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  be an  $F$ -basis of  $S$  in  $A$ . Put

$$B = (A \cap F)a_1 + \dots + (A \cap F)a_k.$$

Assume that  $F$  is a field of definition of  $A$ , and let  $\lambda \neq 0$  in  $\Lambda$  be such that  $\lambda A \subset B \subset A$ . Let  $h \in \text{Hom}_F(S, S)$ . Since  $QA = S$ , there exists  $\mu \neq 0$  in  $\Lambda$  such that  $\mu h(a_i) \in A$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Then

---

Received March 16, 1960.

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation through Grant NSF-G11098.

$$\mu\lambda h(A) \subset \mu h(B) = (A \cap F)\mu h(a_1) + \cdots + (A \cap F)\mu h(a_k) \subset A.$$

Thus,  $h \in \text{QE}(A)$ . Conversely, suppose that  $\Lambda \subset F \subset C$  and  $\text{Hom}_F(S, S) \subset \text{QE}(A)$ . Define  $p_i: S \rightarrow F \subset S$  by  $p_i(\sum f_j a_j) = f_i$ . Then  $p_i \in \text{Hom}_F(S, S) \subset \text{QE}(A)$ , so that there exists  $\lambda \neq 0$  satisfying  $\lambda p_i(A) \subset A$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Thus, if  $a \in A$ , then  $\lambda a = (\lambda p_1(a))a_1 + \cdots + (\lambda p_k(a))a_k \in B$ . Hence,  $F$  is a field of definition of  $A$ .

The next lemma—a slight generalization of part of the Jacobson-Bourbaki Theorem (see [2, p. 159])—was suggested by the referee.

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $S_R$  and  $S_L$  be the subrings of  $\text{Hom}_Q(S, S)$  respectively consisting of right and left multiplications by elements of  $S$ . If  $E$  is a subring of  $\text{Hom}_Q(S, S)$  containing  $S_R$  and  $S_L$ , then there is a field  $F$  between  $Q$  and  $C$  such that  $E = \text{Hom}_F(S, S)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $S$  is a simple algebra and  $S_R, S_L \subset E$ , it follows that  $S$  is an irreducible  $E$ -module. Moreover, the elements of the centralizer of  $E$  in  $\text{Hom}_Q(S, S)$  commute with the elements of  $S_R$  and  $S_L$ . Hence this centralizer is a subfield  $F$  of  $C$ . By the Density Theorem [2, p. 28] and the finite-dimensionality of  $S$  over  $Q$ ,  $E = \text{Hom}_F(S, S)$ .

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be an integral domain with quotient field  $Q$ . Suppose that  $S$  is a finite-dimensional simple  $Q$ -algebra and that  $A$  is a  $\Lambda$ -subalgebra of  $S$  such that  $QA = S$ . Let  $C$  be the center of  $S$ . Then there exists a smallest field of definition  $F$  of  $A$  between  $\Lambda$  and  $C$ , and this field satisfies*

$$\text{QE}(A) = \text{Hom}_F(S, S).$$

*Proof.* Clearly  $\text{QE}(A)$  contains  $S_R$  and  $S_L$ ; therefore, by Lemma 2, there exists a field  $F$  between  $Q$  and  $C$  such that  $\text{QE}(A) = \text{Hom}_F(S, S)$ . By Lemma 1,  $F$  is a field of definition of  $A$ . Suppose that  $G$  is any other field of definition of  $A$ . Then  $Q \subset G \subset C$  and  $\text{Hom}_G(S, S) \subset \text{Hom}_F(S, S)$ , by Lemma 1. Thus  $G$ , the centralizer of  $\text{Hom}_G(S, S)$  (in  $\text{Hom}_Q(S, S)$ ), contains the centralizer of  $\text{Hom}_F(S, S)$ , which is  $F$ .

The hypotheses for the following corollaries are uniform:  $S$  is a finite-dimensional, simple  $Q$ -algebra;  $A$  is a  $\Lambda$ -subalgebra of  $S$  such that  $QA = S$ ;  $F$  is the smallest field of definition of  $A$ ; the dimension of  $S$  over  $F$  is  $k$ ;  $1$  is the identity element of  $S$ .

**COROLLARY 1.** *The center of  $\text{QE}(A)$  consists of all scalar multiplications by elements of  $F$ . If  $1 \in A$ , then the center of  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, A)$  consists of all scalar multiplications by elements of  $A \cap F$ .*

*Proof.* The first statement follows from the theorem and the fact that  $F$  is the center of  $\text{Hom}_F(S, S)$ . If  $1 \in A$ , then for any  $\alpha \in S$ ,  $\alpha A \subset A$  implies  $\alpha \in A$ . This proves the last statement.

**COROLLARY 2.** *Suppose that  $S$  is a field,  $F = S$ , and  $1 \in A$ . Then  $A$  is isomorphic (as a  $\Lambda$ -algebra) to  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, A)$ .*

*Proof.* By the Theorem,  $\text{QE}(A) = \text{Hom}_F(F, F) = F$  is commutative. Thus, Corollary 2 follows from Corollary 1.

**COROLLARY 3.** *Suppose that  $B$  and  $C$  are independent submodules of  $A$  such that  $\lambda A \subset B + C$  for some  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Then  $QB$  and  $QC$  are  $F$ -subspaces of  $S$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $p$  be the projection of  $S$  onto  $QB$  corresponding to the decomposition  $S = QB \oplus QC$ . Then  $\lambda p(A) = p(\lambda A) \subset p(B + C) = B \subset A$ . Thus,  $p \in \text{QE}(A) = \text{Hom}_F(S, S)$ . Consequently,  $QB$  and  $QC$  are  $F$ -subspaces of  $S$ .

*Definition.* Let  $A$  be a torsion-free  $\Lambda$ -module. Then  $A$  is called *strongly indecomposable* if there exists no decomposable submodule  $B$  of  $A$  for which there is a  $\lambda \neq 0$  in  $\Lambda$  such that  $\lambda A \subset B$ .

**COROLLARY 4.** *Suppose that  $S$  is a field. Then  $F = S$  if and only if  $A$  is strongly indecomposable.*

*Proof.* Let  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  be an  $F$ -basis of  $S$  in  $A$ . Then

$$B = (A \cap F)a_1 + \dots + (A \cap F)a_k$$

satisfies  $\lambda A \subset B \subset A$  for some  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Hence if  $A$  is strongly indecomposable, then  $k = 1$  and  $F = S$ . The converse follows from Corollary 3.

If  $G$  is a field of definition of  $A$  and  $H \subset G$  is a field of definition of  $A \cap G$ , then clearly  $H$  is also a field of definition of  $A$ .

**COROLLARY 5.** *There exists a  $\Lambda$ -subalgebra  $C$  of  $A$  such that*

- (i)  $\lambda A \subset C$  for some  $\lambda \neq 0$  in  $\Lambda$ ;
- (ii)  $C = B_1 \oplus \dots \oplus B_k$ , where each  $B_i$  is a  $\Lambda$ -submodule of  $C$  isomorphic to  $A \cap F$  and each  $B_i$  is strongly indecomposable.

*Proof.* Let  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  be an  $F$ -basis of  $S$  in  $A$ . Suppose  $a_u a_v = \sum_w f_{uvw} a_w$  ( $f_{uvw} \in F$ ). Choose  $\mu \neq 0$  in  $\Lambda$  so that  $\mu f_{uvw} \in A$  for all  $u, v, w$ . Let  $b_i = \mu a_i$ . Then  $b_1, \dots, b_k$  is an  $F$ -basis of  $S$  such that  $C = (A \cap F)b_1 + \dots + (A \cap F)b_k$  is a  $\Lambda$ -subalgebra of  $A$ . Since  $F$  is a field of definition of  $A$ , there exists  $\lambda \neq 0$  such that  $\lambda A \subset C$ . By the remark above,  $F$  is the smallest field of definition of  $A \cap F$ . Therefore  $A \cap F$  is strongly indecomposable, by Corollary 4.

**COROLLARY 6.** *Suppose that  $1 \in A$ . Then there exist a  $\Lambda$ -subalgebra  $E$  of  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, A)$  and a  $\lambda \neq 0$  in  $\Lambda$  such that*

- (i)  $\lambda^2 \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, A) \subset E \subset \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, A)$ , and
- (ii)  $E$  is isomorphic to the ring of all matrices of order  $k$  with elements in the ring  $\lambda(A \cap F)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $C = B_1 \oplus \dots \oplus B_k$  be as in Corollary 5, so that  $\lambda A \subset C \subset A$ . Then the ring  $\lambda \text{Hom}_\Lambda(C, C) = \text{Hom}_\Lambda(C, \lambda C)$  is mapped isomorphically onto a subring  $E$  of  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, A)$  by the correspondence  $h \rightarrow h^\lambda$ , where  $h^\lambda(a) = \lambda^{-1} h(\lambda a)$  for  $a \in A$ . If  $g \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, A)$ , then  $\lambda^2 g(C) \subset \lambda C$  and  $(\lambda^2 g)^\lambda = \lambda^2 g$ . Consequently,  $E$  satisfies (i). It is well known that  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(C, C)$  is isomorphic to the ring of matrices of order  $k$  in  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(A \cap F, A \cap F)$ . By Corollary 2 and the remark preceding Corollary 5,  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(A \cap F, A \cap F)$  is isomorphic to  $A \cap F$ . Thus,  $E$  satisfies (ii).

## REFERENCES

1. R. A. Beaumont and R. S. Pierce, *Torsion free rings*, Illinois J. Math. (to appear).
2. N. Jacobson, *Structure of Rings*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publication, 37 (1956).

