

AN ELEMENTARY CONSTRUCTION OF THE NATURAL NUMBERS

FRANCIS J. TYTUS

I. *Introduction* This paper presents a set-theoretic construction of the natural numbers which employs, besides standard set-theoretic operations, only The Axiom of Choice and the existence of an infinite set.

The following notation will be used. A set of sets will be called a *family*. Set-theoretic inclusion will be represented by \subseteq , and strict inclusion by \subset . The power-set of a set S will be represented by $\mathbf{P}(S)$. If f is a function defined on a set S , then $f(T)$ will represent the set of images under f of the elements of T , for each subset T of S . In particular $f(\phi) = \phi$, where ϕ is the void set. If \mathcal{A} is a family of subsets of a set S , then $\bigcap \mathcal{A}$ will represent the intersection of the members of \mathcal{A} . In particular, $\bigcap \phi = \phi$. The difference of sets S and T will be represented by $S \setminus T$.

The following definitions would be used. The pair $\langle S, g \rangle$, where S is a set and g is a function on S , is called a *Peano System* if the following three conditions are satisfied:

- (i) g is one-to-one,
- (ii) g does not map S into S ,
- (iii) If T is a subset of S such that
 $T \cap [S \setminus g(S)] \neq \phi$ and $g(T) \subseteq T$, then $T = S$.

We wish to construct a Peano System.

A *choice function* on a set S is a function which assigns to each non-void subset T of S an element of T . The *Axiom of Choice* states that a choice function may be defined on any set.

A set S will be called *finite* if and only if every one-to-one mapping in S maps S onto S . S will be called *infinite* if it is not finite. The following propositions give some properties of finite sets which will be used in the sequel.

Proposition 1: *If S is a finite set and T is a subset of S , then T is finite.*

Proof: If f is a one-to-one function in T , then the mapping g in S defined by:

Received October 12, 1966

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & x \text{ in } T, \\ x, & x \text{ in } S \setminus T, \end{cases}$$

is one-to-one, and hence maps S onto S . Clearly $f(T) = g(T) = T$. Q.E.D.

Proposition 2: *If S and T are sets of the same cardinality, and S is finite, then T is finite.*

Proof: Let f be a one-to-one function from S onto T . If h is a one-to-one function in T , then the composite function $f^{-1} \circ h \circ f$ is a one-to-one mapping in S , so we have $(f^{-1} \circ h \circ f)(S) = S$. Consequently:

$$\begin{aligned} h(T) &= h(f(S)) \\ &= (f \circ f^{-1} \circ h \circ f)(S) \\ &= f[(f^{-1} \circ h \circ f)(S)] \\ &= f(S) \\ &= T. \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

Proposition 3: *If T is a finite subset of a set S , and x is in $S \setminus T$, then $T \cup \{x\}$ is finite.*

Proof: Let $U = T \cup \{x\}$, and suppose that f is a one-to-one mapping from U into U . We wish to show that y is in the range of f , where y is an arbitrary element of U . Clearly $U \setminus \{y\}$ has the same cardinality as T , and hence is finite. If y is not in $f(U \setminus \{y\})$, then $f(U \setminus \{y\}) \subseteq U \setminus \{y\}$, and the restriction of f to $U \setminus \{y\}$ is a one-to-one mapping in $U \setminus \{y\}$. Consequently we have $f(U \setminus \{y\}) = U \setminus \{y\}$, since $U \setminus \{y\}$ is finite, and we must have $f(y) = y$, because f is one-to-one. Q.E.D.

II. *Construction of a Peano System* For an arbitrary set S , let f be a choice function on S , by the Axiom of Choice, and let g be the function on $\mathbf{P}(S)$ defined by:

$$g(T) = \begin{cases} T \setminus \{f(T)\}, & T \neq \phi, \\ \phi, & T = \phi. \end{cases}$$

Now let Γ be the family of all sub-families \mathcal{A} of $\mathbf{P}(S)$ such that S is in \mathcal{A} and $g(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. $\mathbf{P}(S)$ is in Γ , so Γ is non-void. Finally, let $\mathcal{V} = \bigcap \Gamma$. It is clear that \mathcal{V} is in Γ , and that if \mathcal{A} is a sub-family of \mathcal{V} which is also in Γ , then $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{V}$.

We wish to show that when S is infinite the pair $\langle \mathcal{V}, g|_{\mathcal{V}} \rangle$ is a Peano System, where $g|_{\mathcal{V}}$ is the restriction of g to \mathcal{V} . First of all we note that if $S = \phi$, then S is in $\mathcal{V} \setminus g(\mathcal{V})$, and $\langle \mathcal{V}, g|_{\mathcal{V}} \rangle$ satisfies condition (ii) of the definition of a Peano System.

Proposition 4: $\mathcal{V} = \{S\} \cup g(\mathcal{V})$.

Proof: Let $\mathcal{A} = \{S\} \cup g(\mathcal{V})$. Clearly \mathcal{A} is both a subset of \mathcal{V} and a member of Γ , so $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{V}$. Q.E.D.

It follows from Proposition 4 that $\langle \mathcal{V}, g|_{\mathcal{V}} \rangle$ satisfies condition (iii) of the definition of a Peano System: if \mathcal{A} is a sub-family of \mathcal{V} such that

$\mathcal{L} \cap [\mathcal{V} \setminus g(\mathcal{V})] \neq \emptyset$ and $g(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}$, then by Proposition 4 S is in \mathcal{L} , and consequently \mathcal{L} is in Γ , so $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{V}$. Hence to show that $\langle \mathcal{V}, g|_{\mathcal{V}} \rangle$ is a Peano System, it remains only to show that $g|_{\mathcal{V}}$ is one-to-one, when S is infinite.

Theorem 1: *If V, W are in \mathcal{V} , then either $V \supseteq W$ or $g(W) \supseteq V$.*

Proof: We will prove Theorem 1 in two steps:

Lemma 1: *Suppose, for a fixed W in \mathcal{V} , that $V \supset W$ implies $g(V) \supseteq W$, for each V in \mathcal{V} . Then $V \supseteq W$ or $g(W) \supseteq V$, for each V in \mathcal{V} .*

Proof: Let \mathcal{L} be the family of all V in \mathcal{V} such that $V \supseteq W$ or $g(W) \supseteq V$. We wish to show that \mathcal{L} is in Γ , and hence that $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{V}$. Clearly S is in \mathcal{L} . Suppose that V is in \mathcal{L} . We wish to show that $g(V)$ is in \mathcal{L} . If $V \supset W$, then $g(V) \supseteq W$, and $g(V)$ is in \mathcal{L} . Otherwise $V = W$ or $g(W) \supseteq V$, and in either of these cases we have $g(W) \supseteq g(V)$, so $g(V)$ is again in \mathcal{L} . Q.E.D.

Lemma 2: *If W is a fixed element of \mathcal{V} , then $V \supset W$ implies $g(V) \supseteq W$, for every V in \mathcal{V} .*

Proof: Let \mathcal{L} be the family of all W in \mathcal{V} such that $V \supset W$ implies $g(V) \supseteq W$, for each V in \mathcal{V} . We again wish to show that \mathcal{L} is in Γ . S is clearly in \mathcal{L} . Suppose that W is in \mathcal{L} . We need to show that $g(W)$ is in \mathcal{L} . Suppose that $V \supset g(W)$, where V is in \mathcal{V} . Then $g(W) \not\supseteq V$, so, by Lemma 1, we have $V \supseteq W$. If $V = W$, then $g(V) = g(W)$, and if $V \supset W$ we have $g(V) \supseteq W \supseteq g(W)$. Q.E.D.

Definition: Let $I_V = \{W \in \mathcal{V} \mid W \supseteq V\}$, for each V in \mathcal{V} .

Corollary 1: $I_{g(V)} = I_V \cup \{g(V)\}$, for each V in \mathcal{V} .

Corollary 2: *The restriction $g|_{\mathcal{V} \setminus \{\phi\}}$ of g to $\mathcal{V} \setminus \{\phi\}$ is one-to-one.*

Proof: Suppose that V, W are distinct elements of $\mathcal{V} \setminus \{\phi\}$. Then either $V \supset W$ or $g(W) \supseteq V$. In the first case we have $W \not\supseteq V$, so $g(V) \supseteq W \supset g(W)$. In the second case we have $g(V) \supseteq V \supset g(V)$. Hence $g(V) \neq g(W)$. Q.E.D.

It follows from Corollary 2 that in order to show that $\langle \mathcal{V}, g|_{\mathcal{V}} \rangle$ is a Peano System we need only demonstrate that ϕ is not in \mathcal{V} , when S is infinite.

Proposition 5: I_V is finite, for every V in \mathcal{V} .

Proof: Let \mathcal{L} be the Family of all V in \mathcal{V} such that I_V is finite. We wish to show that \mathcal{L} is in Γ . S is in \mathcal{L} , because $I_S = \{S\}$, which is finite. If V is in \mathcal{L} , then $I_{g(V)} = I_V \cup \{U(V)\}$, and I_V is finite, so $I_{g(V)}$ is finite by Proposition 3, and $g(V)$ is in \mathcal{L} . Q.E.D.

Corollary: *If ϕ is in \mathcal{V} , then $\mathcal{V} = I_\phi$ is finite.*

Proposition 6: *The restriction $f|_{\mathcal{V} \setminus \{\phi\}}$ of f to $\mathcal{V} \setminus \{\phi\}$ is a one-to-one mapping.*

Proof: Suppose that $f(V) = f(W)$, where V, W are in $\mathcal{V} \setminus \{\phi\}$. From the definition of g it follows that $g(V) \not\supseteq W$ and $g(W) \not\supseteq V$, so by Theorem 1 we have $W \not\supseteq V$ and $V \not\supseteq W$. Hence $V = W$. Q.E.D.

Proposition 7: *If ϕ is in \mathcal{V} , then $f(\mathcal{V} \setminus \{\phi\}) = S$.*

Proof: We use a contrapositive argument. Suppose that there is some x in $S/f(\mathcal{V} \setminus \{\phi\})$. Let \mathcal{L} be the family of all V in \mathcal{V} such that x is in V . Clearly S is in \mathcal{L} , and if V is in \mathcal{L} it follows that $g(V)$ is in \mathcal{L} , since by assumption $f(V) \neq x$ when $V \neq \phi$. Consequently \mathcal{L} is in Γ , so $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{V}$. Hence ϕ is not in \mathcal{V} , which is a contradiction. Q.E.D.

Theorem 2: *If S is infinite, then ϕ is not in \mathcal{V} .*

Proof: We again use a contrapositive argument. Suppose that ϕ is in \mathcal{V} . Then, by the corollary to Proposition 5, \mathcal{V} is finite. Consequently $\mathcal{V} \setminus \{\phi\}$ is finite, by Proposition 1. Now the restriction $f|_{\mathcal{V} \setminus \{\phi\}}$ of f to $\mathcal{V} \setminus \{\phi\}$ is a one-to-one mapping from $\mathcal{V} \setminus \{\phi\}$ onto S , by Propositions 6 and 7. Hence it follows from Proposition 2 that S is finite, which is a contradiction. Q.E.D.

Columbus, Ohio