

THE IRREDUCIBLE GENERATING SETS OF 2-PLACE  
FUNCTIONS IN THE 2-VALUED LOGIC

HELEN L. SKALA\*

A set  $\mathfrak{G}$  of functions is said to be an irreducible generating set—or briefly, *irreducible*—if no proper subset of  $\mathfrak{G}$  generates the set generated by  $\mathfrak{G}$  (cf. [2] and [1]). For instance, among the 16 2-place functions in the 2-valued logic the set  $\{C, 0\}$  which generates  $\{C, C', I, 0\}$  is irreducible since this latter set is not generated by any proper subset of  $\{C, 0\}$ . The set  $\mathfrak{G} = \{C, 0, 1\}$  is not irreducible since  $\mathfrak{G}$  likewise generates  $\{C, C', I, 0\}$ , which is also generated by a proper subset of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

In [1], Calabrese, whose notation we adopt, lists all subalgebras of the Menger algebra  $\mathfrak{F}$  of the 16 functions mentioned above. For each of those 122 algebras, with the exception of  $\mathfrak{F}$  itself, Calabrese also lists all irreducible generating sets with the minimum number of functions. He furthermore gives an example of a subalgebra generated by irreducible pairs, which, however, can also be generated by irreducible triples. The purpose of the present note is to present a complete list of the irreducible subsets of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Those listed in [1] will be referred to without being restated. But all irreducible sets generating  $\mathfrak{F}$  or an algebra that can also be generated by sets with fewer functions will be explicitly listed.

We further simplify the exposition by the following two abbreviations:

1) If 2 or 4 algebras are transforms of one another we only list the irreducible sets generating one of them, say  $\mathfrak{G}$ , and prefix an operator variable as defined in [1], namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau, & \text{ if } \mathfrak{G} \text{ is one of 4 unlike transforms, } \mathfrak{G}, \delta\mathfrak{G}, \sigma\mathfrak{G}, \rho\mathfrak{G}; \\ \tau_1, & \text{ if } \mathfrak{G} = \delta\mathfrak{G} \neq \sigma\mathfrak{G} = \rho\mathfrak{G}; \\ \tau_2, & \text{ if } \mathfrak{G} = \sigma\mathfrak{G} \neq \delta\mathfrak{G} = \rho\mathfrak{G}. \end{aligned}$$

For instance, if  $\{F_1, F_2\}$  is irreducible and generates  $\mathfrak{G} \neq \sigma\mathfrak{G}$ , then  $\sigma\{F_1, F_2\} = \{\sigma F_1, \sigma F_2\}$  is irreducible and generates  $\sigma\mathfrak{G}$ . We would only list  $\tau_1\{F_1, F_2\}$ . For example,  $\tau_1\{C, 0\}$  would indicate that not only  $\{C, 0\}$  but also  $\{\sigma C, \sigma 0\} = \{D, 0\}$  is an irreducible set.

---

\*The author wishes to thank Professor K. Menger for his suggestions in making the exposition of this paper clearer.

2) We write  $\langle F_1 F_2 \dots F_k \rangle_n$ , where  $n$  is a positive integer less than  $k$ , in order to express that any  $n$  consecutive functions in the sequence  $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_k$  constitute an irreducible set. For example,  $\langle 0, C, C', I \rangle_2$  indicates that  $\{0, C\}$ ,  $\{C, C'\}$ , and  $\{C', I\}$  are irreducible pairs. (These are the three irreducible sets generating the algebra  $\Pi_\rho(12)$  listed in [1].) For the sake of uniformity, we also write  $\langle F_1 F_2 \dots F_k \rangle_k$  or, briefly,  $\langle F_1 F_2 \dots F_k \rangle$  in order to indicate that the entire set  $\{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_k\}$  is irreducible.

As in [1], the set of the 6 idempotent functions  $I, 0, I, J, A', B$  will be denoted by  $\mathfrak{F}_0$ .

*Theorem. There are 491 irreducible subsets of  $\mathfrak{F}$ .*

- I. 16 singles, each consisting of one of the functions.
- II. 106 pairs, namely, all except the following 14 pairs:

$$\tau\{C, I\}, \tau_1\{I, I'\}, \tau_2\{A, I\}, \tau_2\{B', I\}, \tau_2\{A, A'\}, \tau_2\{E, I\}.$$

- III. 288 triples, namely,
  - a. The 20 triples contained in  $\mathfrak{F}_0$
  - b. The 54 triples listed in [1] generating algebras not generated by any pair of functions.
  - c. 42 irreducible triples generating subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{F}$  that can also be generated by pairs of functions, namely,

$$\tau\langle IE0CA'C'IID'D \rangle_3, \tau_1\langle EE'I \rangle, \tau_2\langle CDO \rangle, \tau_2\langle 0EBE'I \rangle_3.$$

- d. 172 triples generating  $\mathfrak{F}$ , namely,

$$\begin{aligned} &\tau\langle AB'C \rangle, \tau\langle DIABCD'AIJ'BAC'J'A'CE'AIEC'JI' CJ'DE'BI'ECAJ'E'B'CEJ'D \rangle_3 \\ &\tau_1\langle IAB'I \rangle_3, \tau_1\langle ICD'I \rangle_3, \tau_1\langle JCD'J \rangle_3, \tau_1\langle A'BI' \rangle \\ &\tau_2\langle AIJ \rangle, \tau_2\langle ACDB' \rangle_3, \tau_2\langle AI'J'A' \rangle_3. \end{aligned}$$

- IV. 75 quadruples, namely,
  - a. The 15 quadruples contained in  $\mathfrak{F}_0$ .
  - b. 60 quadruples generating  $\mathfrak{F}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\tau\langle BD0A'EIE' \rangle_4, \tau\langle C'A' CJOEBD \rangle_4, \tau\langle BDJOCIE \rangle_4, \\ &\tau_1\langle A'BCC' \rangle, \tau_1\langle CC'IJ \rangle, \\ &\tau_2\langle A'CODB \rangle_4. \end{aligned}$$

- V. The 5 quintuples contained in  $\mathfrak{F}_0$ .
- VI. The sextuple  $\mathfrak{F}_0$ .

That each of the listed sets is irreducible can be readily verified; that there are no other irreducible sets follows from the method used in determining irreducible sets. If to the set  $[F]$  a function  $G$  not contained in  $[F]$  is adjoined, one obtains an irreducible pair unless  $[G]$  contains  $F$ . In this way one easily determines all irreducible pairs. In order to obtain an irreducible triple, consider an irreducible pair  $\{F, G\}$  and adjoin a function  $H$  not contained in  $[F, G]$  such that  $[F, G, H] \neq [F, H], [G, H]$ . If, for example, to  $[A', E] = [A', B, E, I]$  one adjoins  $E'$  one obtains an irreducible triple since

$[A, E, E'] = \{A, A', B, B', E, E', I, O\}$  is not equal to either  $[A', E'] = \{A', E', B, O\}$  or  $[E, E'] = \{E, E', I, O\}$ . If, however, to  $\{A', E'\}$  one adjoins  $B'$ , the triple is not irreducible since  $[A', E, B'] = [A', B'] = \{A, A', B, B', E, E', I, O\}$ . By thus extending all irreducible pairs we have obtained all irreducible triples. Similarly, by adjoining to any such triple  $\{F, G, H\}$  a function  $K$  not contained in  $[F, G, H]$  we obtain an irreducible quadruple provided  $[F, G, H, K] \neq [F, G, K], [F, H, K], [G, H, K]$ . By adjoining  $A'$  to  $[B, D, J] = \{B, D, J, C, I\}$ , for instance, one does not obtain an irreducible quadruple since the resulting  $[B, D, J, A'] = [B, D, A']$ . Adjoining  $D'$  one obtains  $\mathfrak{F}$ , not generated by any subset of  $\{B, D, J, D'\}$ .

In conclusion, we remark:

1) *There are no irreducible quadruples generating proper subsets of  $\mathfrak{F}$  other than subsets of  $\mathfrak{F}_0$ ; in other words, all irreducible quadruples including at least one nonidempotent function generate  $\mathfrak{F}$ .*

2) *Consequently, there are no irreducible quintuples including nonidempotent functions.*

3) *All triples generating  $\mathfrak{F}$  are necessarily irreducible since, by Theorem 7 in [1], no pair of functions generates  $\mathfrak{F}$ .*

4) *All irreducible sets of the form IIIc or IVb include either 1 or 0 or a pair  $\{F, F'\}$ ; they furthermore generate  $\nu$ -closed algebras.*

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] P. Calabrese; "The Menger Algebras of 2-Place Functions in the 2-Valued Logic," *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, v. VII (1966), pp. 333-340.
- [2] K. Menger; "Superassociative Systems," *Math. Annalen*, 157 (1964), pp. 278-295.

*Illinois Institute of Technology  
Chicago, Illinois*