

## NONLINEAR PERIODIC SYSTEMS WITH UNILATERAL CONSTRAINTS

SERGIU AIZICOVICI — NIKOLAOS S. PAPAGEORGIU — VASILE STAIKU

---

*Dedicated to the memory of Ioan I. Vrabie*

ABSTRACT. We consider a general periodic system driven by a nonlinear, nonhomogeneous differential operator, with a maximal monotone term which is not defined everywhere. Using a topological approach based on Leray–Schauder alternative principle, we show the existence of a periodic solution.

### 1. Introduction

In this paper, we study the existence of solutions for the following periodic system

$$(P) \quad \begin{cases} a(u'(t))' \in A(u(t)) + f(t, u(t), u'(t)) & \text{for a.a. } t \in T := [0, b], \\ u(0) = u(b), \quad u'(0) = u'(b). \end{cases}$$

In this problem,  $a: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  is a continuous, strictly monotone (hence maximal monotone too) map which satisfies certain polynomial growth conditions. As a special case, the differential operator  $u \rightarrow a(u)'$  incorporates the vector  $p$ -Laplacian  $u \rightarrow (|u'|^{p-2}u)'$ , where  $|\cdot|$  denotes the  $\mathbb{R}^N$  norm. However, we stress that  $a$  is not in general homogeneous. On the right-hand side of (P),

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34A60, 34B15.

*Key words and phrases.* Maximal monotone map; periodic solution; resolvent; Yosida approximation; chain rule.

The third author acknowledges partial support by the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT), through CIDMA – Center for Research and Development in Mathematics and Applications, within project UID/MAT/04106/2019(CIDMA).

$A: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}^N}$  is a maximal monotone map and  $D(A) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : A(x) \neq \emptyset\}$  needs not to be all of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . In this way problem (P) includes also systems with unilateral constraints (differential variational inequalities). The perturbation  $f: T \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  is a Carathéodory function (that is, for all  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $t \rightarrow f(t, x, y)$  is measurable and for almost all  $t \in T$ ,  $(x, y) \rightarrow f(t, x, y)$  is continuous). We impose on  $f(t, x, y)$  some general growth restrictions and unilateral conditions for  $|x|, |y|$  big. The presence of the multivalued maximal monotone term  $A$  and the dependence of  $f$  on the derivative  $u'$ , make problem (P) nonvariational. Therefore our approach is topological based on the fixed point theory. More precisely, we use the Leray–Schauder alternative principle.

In the past periodic systems were studied assuming  $A \equiv 0$  and that the function  $f(t, x, y)$  satisfied the Hartman or the Nagumo–Hartman condition (see Hartman [4], Knobloch [5]). A condition of this kind is very convenient because it produces an a priori bound for the solutions of the problem. We refer also to the works of Knobloch and Schmitt [6], Manasevich and Mawhin [7], Mawhin [9]. We mention that problems with maximal monotone terms (unilateral constraints), both finite and infinite dimensional, can be found in the book of Vrabie [10].

## 2. Mathematical background – hypotheses

Let  $X$  be a reflexive Banach space. By  $X^*$  we denote the topological dual of  $X$  and by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  the duality brackets for the pair  $(X^*, X)$ . Given  $A: X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ , the *graph* of  $A$  is the set

$$\text{Gr}(A) = \{(u, u^*) \in X \times X^* : u^* \in A(u)\}.$$

We say that  $A$  is *monotone* if

$$\langle u^* - v^*, u - v \rangle \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } (u, u^*), (v, v^*) \in \text{Gr}(A).$$

We say that  $A$  is *strictly monotone* if the above inequality is strict when  $u \neq v$ . The map  $A$  is *maximal monotone* if  $\text{Gr}(A)$  is maximal with respect to the inclusion among the graphs of all monotone maps. This is equivalent to the following condition:

$$\text{if } \langle u^* - v^*, u - v \rangle \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } (u, u^*) \in \text{Gr}(A), \text{ then } (v, v^*) \in \text{Gr}(A).$$

By  $D(A)$  we denote the domain of  $A$ , that is, the set

$$D(A) = \{u \in X : A(u) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

If  $A: X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$  is maximal monotone, then it is easy to check that  $\text{Gr}(A)$  is sequentially closed in  $X_w \times X^*$  and in  $X \times X_w^*$ . Here by  $X_w$  (resp.  $X_w^*$ ) we denote the space  $X$  (resp.  $X^*$ ) furnished with the weak topology.

When the ambient space is a Hilbert space, then we introduce some useful single valued approximations of the identity and of  $A$ . So, suppose that  $H$  is

a Hilbert space of norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . We identify  $H$  with its dual (that is,  $H = H^*$  by the Riesz–Fréchet theorem). Given  $A: H \rightarrow 2^H$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , we introduce the following single-valued maps

$$J_\lambda := (I + \lambda A)^{-1} \quad (\text{the resolvent of } A),$$

$$A_\lambda := \frac{1}{\lambda}(I - J_\lambda) \quad (\text{the Yosida approximation of } A).$$

The next proposition summarizes the properties of these maps.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *If  $A: H \rightarrow 2^H$  is a maximal monotone map and  $\lambda > 0$ , then:*

- (a)  $J_\lambda: H \rightarrow H$  is nonexpansive, that is,

$$\|J_\lambda(u) - J_\lambda(v)\| \leq \|u - v\| \quad \text{for all } u, v \in H;$$

- (b)  $A_\lambda(u) \in A(J_\lambda(u))$  for all  $u \in H$ ;

- (c)  $A_\lambda$  is  $1/\lambda$  Lipschitz, that is,

$$\|A_\lambda(u) - A_\lambda(v)\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}\|u - v\| \quad \text{for all } u, v \in H;$$

- (d)  $\|A_\lambda(u)\| \leq \|A^0(u)\| = \min\{\|u^*\| : u^* \in A(u)\}$  and  $A_\lambda(u) \rightarrow A^0(u)$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0^+$  for all  $u \in D(A)$ ;

- (e)  $\overline{D(A)}$  is convex and  $J_\lambda(x) \rightarrow \text{proj}(u; \overline{D(A)})$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0^+$  for all  $u \in H$ .

REMARKS 2.2. We know that when  $A: H \rightarrow 2^H$  is maximal monotone, then for every  $u \in D(A)$ ,  $A(u)$  is nonempty, closed and convex. Therefore it is proximal (that is, it has the best approximation property, which means that given any  $v^* \in H$ , we can find  $\hat{u}^* \in A(u)$  such that

$$\|v^* - \hat{u}^*\|_* = d(v^*, A(u)) = \inf\{\|v^* - u^*\| : u^* \in A(u)\}.$$

Moreover, when  $v^* = 0$ , the strict convexity of  $H$  (a consequence of the parallelogram law), implies that this best approximation element  $\hat{u}^*$  denoted by  $A^0(u)$  is unique. The map  $u \rightarrow A^0(u)$  is known as the “minimal section of  $A$ ”. Similarly, since  $\overline{D(A)} \subseteq H$  is convex, given  $u \in H$ , by  $\text{proj}(u, \overline{D(A)})$  we denote the unique best approximation of  $u$  from  $\overline{D(A)}$ . If  $D(A) = H$ , then  $J_\lambda(u) \rightarrow u$  for all  $u \in H$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0^+$  and so, we can think of  $J_\lambda$  as an approximation of the identity. For more details on these and related issues we refer to Gasinski and Papageorgiou [3] and Vrabie [10].

Let  $X, Y$  be two Banach spaces and  $G: X \rightarrow Y$ . We introduce the following topological notions for  $G$ :

- (a) We say that  $G$  is compact, if it is continuous and maps bounded sets into relatively compact sets.
- (b) We say that  $G$  is completely continuous, if

$$u_n \xrightarrow{w} u \quad \text{in } X \Rightarrow G(u_n) \rightarrow G(u) \quad \text{in } Y.$$

Here and in what follows  $\xrightarrow{w}$  denotes weak convergence.

In general, these concepts are distinct. Indeed, let  $X = Y = l^1$  and let  $G = I =$  the identity map. Then by the Schur property,  $G$  is completely continuous, but since  $l^1$  is infinite dimensional, it cannot be compact. However, if  $X$  is reflexive, then complete continuity implies compactness. Moreover, if in addition  $G$  is linear, then the two notions are equivalent.

Next we recall the Leray–Schauder alternative principle which we will use in the analysis of problem (P); see e.g. [3, p.627].

**THEOREM 2.3.** *If  $X$  is a Banach space,  $G: X \rightarrow X$  is compact and*

$$K := \{u \in X : u = \theta G(u) \text{ for some } 0 < \theta < 1\},$$

*then one of the following statements holds:*

- (a)  $K$  is unbounded;
- (b)  $G$  has a fixed point.

In the analysis of problem (P) we will use the space

$$W_{\text{per}}^{1,p}((0, b); \mathbb{R}^N) := \{u \in W^{1,p}((0, b); \mathbb{R}^N) : u(0) = u(b)\}, \quad 1 < p < \infty.$$

By  $\|\cdot\|$  we denote the norm of this space which is defined by

$$\|u\| = (\|u\|_p^p + \|u'\|_p^p)^{1/p} \quad \text{for all } u \in W_{\text{per}}^{1,p}((0, b); \mathbb{R}^N),$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_p$  denotes the  $L^p$ -norm. In the sequel, for notational economy, we will write  $W_N^{1,p} = W_{\text{per}}^{1,p}((0, b); \mathbb{R}^N)$ . Also, given a measurable function  $g: T \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  (for example, a Carathéodory function), by  $N_g$  we denote the Nemytski operator corresponding to  $g$ , defined by

$$N_g(u)(\cdot) = g(\cdot, u(\cdot), u'(\cdot)) \quad \text{for all } u \in W_N^{1,p}.$$

Now we introduce the hypotheses on the data of (P).

**H(a)**  $a: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  is a map such that  $a(y) = a_0(|y|)y$  with  $a_0: (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$  such that  $ta_0 \rightarrow 0^+$  as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$  and

- (i)  $a$  is continuous, strictly monotone (hence maximal monotone too);
- (ii)  $(a(y), y)_{\mathbb{R}^N} \geq C_0|y|^p$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , with  $C_0 > 0$ ,  $2 \leq p < +\infty$ ;
- (iii)  $|a(y)| \leq C_1(1 + |y|^{p-1})$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , with  $C_1 > 0$ .

**REMARKS 2.4.** The above hypotheses are general and include the case of the vector  $p$ -Laplacian which corresponds to the map

$$y \rightarrow |y|^{p-2}y, \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Other possibilities are the maps

$$\begin{aligned} y &\rightarrow |y|^{p-2}y + |y|^{q-2}y, & 2 \leq q < p, & \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{R}^N; \\ y &\rightarrow (1 + |y|^2)^{(p-2)/2}y, & & \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{aligned}$$

The restriction  $2 \leq p$  (see hypothesis H(a) (ii)) is needed because in general we have  $D(A) \neq \mathbb{R}^N$  (see hypothesis H(A) below). If  $D(A) = \mathbb{R}^N$ , then we can have  $1 < p < \infty$ . Finally note that  $a: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  is a homeomorphism.

The hypothesis on the multivalued term  $A$  is the following:

H(A)  $A: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}^N}$  is a maximal monotone map such that  $0 \in A(0)$ .

REMARK 2.5. We do not require  $D(A) = \mathbb{R}^N$ . This way we incorporate in our framework systems with inequality constraints.

The hypotheses on the perturbation  $f(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  are the following:

H(f)  $f: T \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  is a Carathéodory function satisfying:

(i) there exist  $\beta_1: T \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\beta_2: T \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that

$$|f(t, x, y)| \leq \beta_1(t, |x|) + \beta_2(t, |x|)|y|^{q-1}$$

for almost all  $t \in T$ , all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , with  $1 < q < p$  and, for every  $M > 0$ , we have

$$\sup\{\beta_1(t, s) : 0 \leq s \leq M\} \leq \gamma_{1,M}(t), \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in T,$$

$$\sup\{\beta_2(t, s) : 0 \leq s \leq M\} \leq \gamma_{2,M}(t), \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in T,$$

where  $\gamma_{1,M} \in L^{p'}(T)$  and  $\gamma_{2,M} \in L^\infty(T)$  (where  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ );

(ii) there exists a function  $\eta \in L^\infty(T)$  such that  $0 \leq \eta(t)$  for almost all  $t \in T$ ,  $\eta \neq 0$ , and for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $M_\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\widehat{C}_\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$(f(t, x, y), x)_{\mathbb{R}^N} \geq [\eta(t) - \varepsilon]|x|^p - \widehat{C}_\varepsilon|y|^{q-1}|x|$$

for almost all  $t \in T$ , all  $|x|, |y| \geq M_\varepsilon$ .

REMARK 2.6. Consider the function

$$f(t, x, y) = \eta(t)g(x) + \widehat{C}|y|^{q-1} + k(t)x$$

where  $\eta \in L^\infty(T)$ ,  $\eta(t) \geq 0$  for almost all  $t \in T$ ,  $\eta \neq 0$ ,  $g \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N)$  and satisfies

$$\liminf_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(g(x), x)_{\mathbb{R}^N}}{|x|^p} \geq \mu > 0, \quad \widehat{C} \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and } k \in L^{p'}(T), \quad k(t) \geq 0 \text{ for a.a. } t \in T.$$

This function satisfies hypotheses H(f) above.

### 3. Existence theorem

Let  $g \in L^{p'}(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$ . We first consider the following periodic problem

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} -a(u'(t))' + |u(t)|^{p-2}u(t) = g(t) & \text{for a.a. } t \in T := [0, b], \\ u(0) = u(b), \quad u'(0) = u'(b). \end{cases}$$

PROPOSITION 3.1. *If hypotheses H(a) hold and  $g \in L^{p'}(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$ , then problem (3.1) admits a unique solution  $\hat{u} \in C^1(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$ .*

PROOF. Let  $G: W_N^{1,p} \rightarrow (W_N^{1,p})^*$  be the nonlinear map defined by

$$\langle G(u), h \rangle = \int_0^b (a(u'), h')_{\mathbb{R}^N} dt \quad \text{for all } u, h \in W_N^{1,p}.$$

Hypotheses H(a) imply that  $G$  is continuous, monotone, hence maximal monotone too. In addition, let

$$\xi_p: L^p(T, \mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow L^{p'}(T, \mathbb{R}^N) = (L^p(T, \mathbb{R}^N))^*$$

be defined by

$$\xi_p(u)(\cdot) = |u(\cdot)|^{p-2}u(\cdot).$$

This map is continuous and strictly monotone, hence maximal monotone too. Then the map  $V = G + \xi_p: W_N^{1,p} \rightarrow (W_N^{1,p})^*$  is continuous and strictly monotone, hence maximal monotone too. Also for all  $u \in W_N^{1,p}$ , we have

$$\langle V(u), u \rangle \geq C_0 \|u'\|_p^p + \|u\|_p^p$$

(see hypothesis H(a) (ii)), hence  $V$  is coercive.

Invoking Corollary 3.2.32, p. 320 of Gasinski and Papageorgiou [3], we infer that  $V$  is surjective. So, we can find  $\hat{u} \in W_N^{1,p} \subseteq C(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$  such that  $V(\hat{u}) = g$ , therefore

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{cases} -a(\hat{u}'(t))' + |\hat{u}(t)|^{p-2}\hat{u}(t) = g(t) & \text{for a.a. } t \in T := [0, b], \\ \hat{u}(0) = \hat{u}(b). \end{cases}$$

Moreover, the strict monotonicity of  $V$  implies that this solution is unique. From (3.2) we see that  $(a(u'))' \in L^{p'}(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$ . Also, since  $\hat{u}' \in L^p(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$ , from hypothesis H(a) (iii) we see that  $a(\hat{u}') \in L^{p'}(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$ . It follows that  $a(\hat{u}') \in W_N^{1,p'} \subseteq C(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$ . Recalling that  $a$  is a homeomorphism, we infer that  $\hat{u}' \in C(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$  and so, we conclude that  $\hat{u} \in C^1(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$ . Finally, it follows that  $\hat{u}'(0) = \hat{u}'(b)$ . □

Let  $\hat{a}: D(\hat{a}) \subseteq L^p(T, \mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow L^{p'}(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$  be defined by

$$(3.3) \quad \hat{a}(u)(\cdot) = -a(u'(\cdot))',$$

for all  $u \in D(\hat{a}) = \{y \in C^1(T, \mathbb{R}^N) : a(y') \in W_N^{1,p'}, y(0) = y(b), y'(0) = y'(b)\}$ . We have the following result for this map:

PROPOSITION 3.2. *If hypotheses H(a) hold, then the map*

$$\hat{a}: D(\hat{a}) \subseteq L^p(T, \mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow L^{p'}(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$$

*defined by (3.3) is maximal monotone.*

PROOF. From Proposition 3.1 we know that

$$(3.4) \quad R(\widehat{a} + \xi_p) = L^{p'}(T, \mathbb{R}^N).$$

Also, the map  $\widehat{a}$  is monotone. Indeed, let  $u, v \in D(\widehat{a})$  and let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{p,p'}$  be the duality brackets for the pair  $(L^p(T, \mathbb{R}^N), L^{p'}(T, \mathbb{R}^N))$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \widehat{a}(u) - \widehat{a}(v), u - v \rangle_{p,p'} \\ &= \int_0^b (-a(u')' + a(v')', u - v)_{\mathbb{R}^N} dt \\ &= \int_0^b (a(u') - a(v'), u' - v')_{\mathbb{R}^N} dt \quad (\text{by integration by parts}) \\ &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

hence  $\widehat{a}$  is monotone.

Suppose that  $v \in L^p(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $v^* \in L^{p'}(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$  and assume that

$$(3.5) \quad \langle \widehat{a}(u) - v^*, u - v \rangle_{p,p'} \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in D(\widehat{a}).$$

From (3.4) we know that there exists  $u_1 \in D(\widehat{a})$  such that

$$(3.6) \quad \widehat{a}(u_1) + \xi_p(u_1) = v^* + \xi_p(v).$$

Using (3.6) in (3.5) with  $u = u_1 \in D(\widehat{a})$ , we obtain

$$0 \leq \langle \xi_p(v) - \xi_p(u_1), u_1 - v \rangle_{p,p'},$$

hence  $u_1 = v$  (recall that  $\xi_p$  is strictly monotone), therefore  $\widehat{a}(u_1) = v^*$  (see (3.6)). So,  $(v, v^*) \in \text{Gr}(\widehat{a})$  and we conclude that  $\widehat{a}$  is maximal monotone.  $\square$

For  $\lambda > 0$ , we next consider the following approximation to problem (P):

$$(P_\lambda) \quad \begin{cases} a(u'(t))' = A_\lambda(u(t)) + f(t, u(t), u'(t)) & \text{for a.a. } t \in T := [0, b], \\ u(0) = u(b), \quad u'(0) = u'(b). \end{cases}$$

PROPOSITION 3.3. *If hypotheses H(a), H(A), H(f) hold and  $\lambda > 0$ , then problem  $(P_\lambda)$  has a solution  $u_\lambda \in C^1(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$ .*

PROOF. Let  $\widehat{A}_\lambda: L^p(T, \mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow L^{p'}(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$  be defined by

$$\widehat{A}_\lambda(u)(\cdot) = A_\lambda(u(\cdot)).$$

Recall that  $1 < p' \leq 2 \leq p$ . We consider the map

$$L_\lambda: D(\widehat{a}) \subseteq L^p(T, \mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow L^{p'}(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$$

defined by

$$L_\lambda(u) = \widehat{a}(u) + \xi_p(u) + \widehat{A}_\lambda(u) \quad \text{for all } u \in D(\widehat{a}).$$

Using Theorem 3.2.41 of Gasinski and Papageorgiou [3, p. 328,], we have

$$(3.7) \quad L_\lambda \text{ is maximal monotone.}$$

Also, since  $A_\lambda$  is monotone and  $A_\lambda(0) = 0$ , via hypothesis H(a) (ii) we see that

$$(3.8) \quad L_\lambda \text{ is coercive.}$$

From (3.7), (3.8) and Corollary 3.2.31 of Gasinski and Papageorgiou [3, p. 319], it follows that  $L_\lambda$  is surjective. Evidently  $L_\lambda$  is strictly monotone (recall that  $\xi_p$  is so). Hence, the inverse map

$$L_\lambda^{-1}: L^{p'}(T, \mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow D(\widehat{a}) \subseteq L^p(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$$

is well defined. Recall that  $D(\widehat{a}) \subseteq C^1(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$ .

CLAIM 1.  $L_\lambda^{-1}: L^{p'}(T, \mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow C^1(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$  is completely continuous.

Let  $g_n \xrightarrow{w} g$  in  $L^{p'}(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$ . Let  $u_n = L_\lambda^{-1}(g_n)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $u = L_\lambda^{-1}(g)$ . We have  $L_\lambda(u_n) = g_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , hence

$$\widehat{a}(u_n) + \xi_p(u_n) + \widehat{A}_\lambda(u_n) = g_n, \quad u_n \in D(\widehat{a}), \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

therefore

$$C_0 \|u'_n\|_p^p + \|u_n\|_p^p \leq \|g_n\|_{p'} \|u_n\|_p$$

(recall that  $(A_\lambda(x), x)_{\mathbb{R}^N} \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ) and we derive  $\|u_n\|_p^p \leq C_2 \|u_n\|$  for some  $C_2 > 0$  and for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , therefore

$$(3.9) \quad \{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq W_N^{1,p}$$
 is bounded.

For almost all  $t \in T$  and all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$-a(u'_n(t))' + |u_n(t)|^{p-2}u_n(t) + A_\lambda(u_n(t)) = g_n(t),$$

therefore

$$(3.10) \quad \{a(u'_n)'\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq L^{p'}(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$$
 is bounded.

Hypothesis H(a) (iii) and (3.9) imply that

$$(3.11) \quad \{a(u'_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq L^{p'}(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$$
 is bounded.

Then, from (3.10) and (3.11), it follows that

$$\{a(u'_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq W^{1,p'}(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$$
 is bounded,

hence

$$(3.12) \quad \{a(u'_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq C(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$$
 is relatively compact

(recall that  $W^{1,p'}(T, \mathbb{R}^N) \subseteq C(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$  compactly). We know that  $a$  is a homeomorphism. Let  $\widehat{\eta}: C(T, \mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow C(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$  be defined by

$$\widehat{\eta}(u)(\cdot) = a^{-1}(u(\cdot)) \quad \text{for all } u \in C(T, \mathbb{R}^N).$$

Evidently  $\widehat{\eta}$  is continuous and bounded (that is, maps bounded sets to bounded sets). Then, from (3.12) we infer that

$$(3.13) \quad \{u'_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq C(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$$
 is relatively compact.

In addition by (3.9) and the compact embedding of  $W^{1,p}(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$  into  $C(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$ , we conclude that

$$(3.14) \quad \{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq C(T, \mathbb{R}^N) \text{ is relatively compact.}$$

From (3.13) and (3.14) we infer that

$$\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq C^1(T, \mathbb{R}^N) \text{ is relatively compact.}$$

So, we may assume that (along a subsequence)

$$(3.15) \quad u_n \rightarrow \hat{u} \text{ in } C^1(T, \mathbb{R}^N), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Note that  $(u_n, g_n) \in \text{Gr}(L_\lambda)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $L_\lambda$  is maximal monotone, we know that  $\text{Gr}(L_\lambda)$  is sequentially closed in  $L^p(T, \mathbb{R}^N) \times L^{p'}(T, \mathbb{R}^N)_w$ . Therefore  $(\hat{u}, g) \in \text{Gr}(L_\lambda)$ , hence  $L_\lambda(\hat{u}) = g$ . Hence, for the original sequence, we have

$$u_n \rightarrow \hat{u} = L_\lambda^{-1}(g) \text{ in } C^1(T, \mathbb{R}^N), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This proves Claim 1.

Now let  $\hat{N}: W_N^{1,p} \rightarrow L^{p'}(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$  be defined by

$$\hat{N}(u)(\cdot) = -N_f(u)(\cdot) + \xi_p(u)(\cdot) \text{ for all } u \in W_N^{1,p}.$$

CLAIM 2.  $\hat{N}: W_N^{1,p} \rightarrow L^{p'}(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$  is continuous.

Consider a sequence  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $W_N^{1,p}$ . Then  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $C(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$  and so

$$\|u_n\|_{C(T, \mathbb{R}^N)} \leq M \text{ for some } M > 0, \text{ all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then hypothesis H(f) (i) implies that

$$(3.16) \quad |f(t, u_n(t), u'_n(t))| \leq \gamma_{1,M}(t) + \gamma_{2,M}(t)(1 + |u'_n(t)|^{p-1})$$

for all  $t \in T$ , all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We may assume that

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{cases} u_n(t) \rightarrow u(t) & \text{for all } t \in T, \\ u'_n(t) \rightarrow u'(t) & \text{for a.a. } t \in T, \\ |u'_n(t)| \leq \varphi(t) & \text{for a.a. } t \in T, \text{ all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } \varphi \in L^p(T). \end{cases}$$

From (3.17) it follows that

$$(3.18) \quad f(t, u_n(t), u'_n(t)) \rightarrow f(t, u(t), u'(t)) \text{ for a.a. } t \in T.$$

Then (3.16)–(3.18) and Vitali’s theorem (the extended dominated convergence theorem; see Gasinski and Papageorgiou [3, p.901]) imply that

$$N_f(u_n) \rightarrow N_f(u) \text{ in } L^{p'}(T, \mathbb{R}^N), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Also, the continuity of  $\xi_p$  implies that

$$\xi_p(u_n) \rightarrow \xi_p(u) \text{ in } L^{p'}(T, \mathbb{R}^N), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We conclude that

$$\widehat{N}(u_n) \rightarrow \widehat{N}(u) \quad \text{in } L^{p'}(T, \mathbb{R}^N), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

that is,  $\widehat{N}(\cdot)$  is continuous. This proves Claim 2.

Let  $K_\lambda = \{u \in W_N^{1,p} : u = \theta L_\lambda^{-1} \widehat{N}(u), 0 < \theta < 1\}$ .

CLAIM 3.  $K_\lambda \subseteq W_N^{1,p}$  is bounded.

Let  $u \in K_\lambda$ . Then, for some  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ , we have  $L_\lambda(u/\theta) = \widehat{N}(u)$ , hence

$$(3.19) \quad \widehat{a}\left(\frac{1}{\theta}u\right) + \frac{1}{\theta^{p-1}}\xi_p(u) + \widehat{A}_\lambda\left(\frac{1}{\theta}u\right) = -N_f(u) + \xi_p(u).$$

Hypotheses H(f) (i), (ii) imply that for a given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can find  $C_3 = C_3(\varepsilon) > 0$  and  $\mu \in L^{p'}(T)$  such that

$$(3.20) \quad (-f(t, x, y), x)_{\mathbb{R}^N} \leq [-\eta(t) + \varepsilon]|x|^p + C_3|y|^{q-1}|x| + \mu(t)$$

for almost all  $t \in T$ , all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . On (3.19) we act with  $u$ . Using hypothesis H(a) (ii) and (3.20), we obtain

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{C_0}{\theta^{p-1}}\|u'\|_p^p + \frac{1}{\theta^{p-1}}\|u\|_p^p \\ \leq \int_0^b [-\eta(t) + \varepsilon]|u|^p dt + C_3 \int_0^b |u'|^{q-1}|u| dt + C_4 \end{aligned}$$

with  $C_4 = \|\mu\|_1 > 0$ , hence

$$(3.22) \quad C_0\|u'\|_p^p + [1 - \varepsilon]\|u\|_p^p + \int_0^b \eta(t)|u|^p dt \leq C_3 \int_0^b |u'|^{q-1}|u| dt + C_4$$

(recall that  $0 < \theta < 1$ ). Using Young's inequality with  $\varepsilon > 0$  (see Gasinski and Papageorgiou [3, p. 913]), we obtain

$$(3.23) \quad C_3 \int_0^b |u'|^{q-1}|u| dt \leq C_5\|u'\|^\tau + \varepsilon\|u\|_p^p$$

for some  $\tau < p$  and some  $C_5 = C_5(\varepsilon) > 0$  (recall that  $\theta < 1$  and  $q < p$ ).

We return to (3.22) and use (3.23). Then

$$(3.24) \quad C_0\|u'\|_p^p + [1 - 2\varepsilon]\|u\|_p^p + \int_0^b \eta(t)|u|^p dt \leq C_6[\|u'\|^\tau + 1]$$

for some  $C_6 > 0$ . Also, reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 1 of Aizicovici, Papageorgiou and Staicu [1], we conclude that

$$C_6\|u'\|_p^p + \int_0^b \eta(t)|u|^p dt \geq C_7\|u\|^p \quad \text{for some } C_7 > 0.$$

Using this in (3.24) and choosing  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$  we finally arrive at

$$\|u\|^p \leq C_8[\|u\|^\tau + 1] \quad \text{for some } C_8 > 0.$$

Since  $\tau < p$ , it follows that  $K_\lambda \subseteq W_N^{1,p}$  is bounded. This proves Claim 3.

Claims 1 and 2 imply that  $L_\lambda^{-1}\widehat{N}: W_N^{1,p} \rightarrow W_N^{1,p}$  is continuous. In addition, since  $\widehat{N}$  maps bounded sets into bounded sets, it follows by Claim 1 that  $L_\lambda^{-1}\widehat{N}$  maps bounded sets into relatively compact sets. Hence  $L_\lambda^{-1}\widehat{N}$  is compact. Combining this with Claim 3 and Theorem 2.3 (the Leray–Schauder alternative principle), we see that we can find  $u_\lambda \in D(A)$  such that

$$u_\lambda = L_\lambda^{-1}\widehat{N}(u_\lambda).$$

Hence  $u_\lambda \in C^1(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$  is a solution of  $(P_\lambda)$ . □

Letting  $\lambda \rightarrow 0^+$  we will now produce a solution of problem (P).

**THEOREM 3.4.** *If hypotheses  $H(a)$ ,  $H(A)$ ,  $H(f)$  hold, then problem (P) has a solution  $\tilde{u} \in C^1(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0^+$  and let  $u_n = u_{\lambda_n}$  be the solution of problem  $(P_{\lambda_n})$  (see Proposition 3.3). We have

$$(3.25) \quad \widehat{a}(u_n) + \widehat{A}_{\lambda_n}(u_n) + N_f(u_n) = 0 \quad \text{in } L^{p'}(T, \mathbb{R}^N), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By using integration by parts,  $H(a)$  (iii) and that

$$t(\widehat{A}_{\lambda_n}(x), x)_{\mathbb{R}^N} \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C_0 \|u'_n\|_p^p &\leq \int_0^b (-f(t, u_n, u'_n), u_n)_{\mathbb{R}^N} dt \\ &\leq \int_0^b [-\eta(t) + \varepsilon] |u_n(t)|^p dt + C_3 \int_0^b |u'_n|^{q-1} |u_n| dt + \|\mu\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

(see (3.20), hence, as before, using Young’s inequality and Lemma 1 of [1], we obtain

$$[C_9 - \varepsilon C_{10}] \|u_n\|^p \leq C_{11} [1 + \|u_n\|^\tau] \quad \text{with } C_9, C_{10}, C_{11} > 0, 1 < \tau < p.$$

Choosing  $\varepsilon \in (0, C_9/C_{10})$  and recalling that  $\tau < p$ , we obtain

$$(3.26) \quad \{u_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq W_N^{1,p} \quad \text{is bounded.}$$

On (3.25) we act with  $\widehat{A}_{\lambda_n}(u_n) \in L^p(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$ . Noting that

$$|\widehat{A}_{\lambda_n}(u_n)(t)| = |A_{\lambda_n}(u_n(t))| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_n} |u_n(t)| \quad \text{for all } t \in T, \text{ all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

we have

$$(3.27) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^b (-a(u'_n(t))', A_{\lambda_n}(u_n))_{\mathbb{R}^N} dt + \|A_{\lambda_n}(u_n)\|_2^2 \\ \leq \int_0^b |N_f(u_n)| |A_{\lambda_n}(u_n)| dt. \end{aligned}$$

From Proposition 2.1 we know that  $A_{\lambda_n}$  is Lipschitz continuous. Hence by Rademacher’s theorem (see Gasinski and Papageorgiou [3, p. 56]),  $A_{\lambda_n}$  is differentiable almost everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Recall that  $u_n \in C^1(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$ . So, the map  $t \rightarrow A_{\lambda_n}(u_n(t))$  is differentiable almost everywhere on  $T$  and

$$\frac{d}{dt}A_{\lambda_n}(u_n(t)) = A'_{\lambda_n}(u_n(t))u'_n(t) \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in T$$

(chain rule). Here  $A'_{\lambda_n}(u_n(t))u'_n(t)$  is interpreted to be zero when  $u'_n(t) = 0$  (even if  $A_{\lambda_n}$  is not differentiable); see Marcus and Mizel [8]. Moreover, by the monotonicity of  $A_{\lambda_n}$  (see Proposition 2.1), at every point of differentiability  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , we have

$$(3.28) \quad (y, A'_{\lambda_n}(x)y)_{\mathbb{R}^N} \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Performing an integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.29) \quad & \int_0^b (-a(u'_n)', A_{\lambda_n}(u_n))_{\mathbb{R}^N} dt \\ &= \int_0^b \left( a(u'_n), \frac{d}{dt}A_{\lambda_n}(u_n) \right)_{\mathbb{R}^N} dt \\ &= \int_0^b a_0(|u'_n|)(u'_n, A'_{\lambda_n}(u_n)u'_n)_{\mathbb{R}^N} dt \quad (\text{see hypotheses H}(a)) \\ &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (see (3.28)). Returning to (3.27) and using (3.29) and the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality (recall that  $1 < p' \leq 2 \leq p$ ), we obtain

$$(3.30) \quad \|\widehat{A}_{\lambda_n}(u_n)\|_2 \leq C_{12} \quad \text{for some } C_{12} > 0, \text{ all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

So, we may assume that

$$(3.31) \quad \widehat{A}_{\lambda_n}(u_n) \xrightarrow{w} k \quad \text{in } L^2(T, \mathbb{R}^N) \quad (\text{hence in } L^{p'}(T, \mathbb{R}^N) \text{ too}).$$

As before (see the proof of Proposition 3.3, Claim 1), using (3.26) and (3.25), we obtain that

$$\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq C^1(T, \mathbb{R}^N) \text{ is relatively compact.}$$

Hence we may assume that

$$(3.32) \quad u_n \rightarrow \tilde{u} \quad \text{in } C^1(T, \mathbb{R}^N), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We have

$$(3.33) \quad N_f(u_n) \rightarrow N_f(\tilde{u}) \quad \text{in } L^{p'}(T, \mathbb{R}^N), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, passing to the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.25) and using (3.31)–(3.33), we obtain

$$\widehat{a}(\tilde{u}) + k + N_f(\tilde{u}) = 0.$$

We now complete the proof of the theorem by showing that

$$k(t) \in A(\tilde{u}(t)) \quad \text{for almost all } t \in T.$$

To this end, note that

$$J_{\lambda_n}(u_n(t)) + \lambda_n A_{\lambda_n}(u_n(t)) = u_n(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in T, \text{ all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

hence

$$\widehat{J}_{\lambda_n}(u_n) + \lambda_n \widehat{A}_{\lambda_n}(u_n) = u_n$$

with

$$\widehat{J}_{\lambda_n}(u)(\cdot) = J_{\lambda_n}(u_n(\cdot)) \quad \text{for all } u \in W_N^{1,p},$$

therefore

$$\|\widehat{J}_{\lambda_n}(u_n) - u_n\|_2 = \lambda_n \|\widehat{A}_{\lambda_n}(u_n)\|_2 \leq C_{12} \lambda_n \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

(see (3.30)), and we conclude that  $\widehat{J}_{\lambda_n}(u_n) \rightarrow \tilde{u}$  in  $L^2(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$  (see (3.32)).

From Proposition 2.1 we know that

$$A_{\lambda_n}(u_n(t)) \in A(J_{\lambda_n}(u_n(t))) \quad \text{for all } t \in T, \text{ all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

therefore

$$(\widehat{J}_{\lambda_n}(u_n), \widehat{A}_{\lambda_n}(u_n)) \in \text{Gr}(\widehat{A}) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $\widehat{A}$  is the lifting of  $A$  on  $L^2(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$ , that is,

$$\widehat{A}(u) = \{v \in L^2(T, \mathbb{R}^N) : v(t) \in A(u(t)) \text{ for a.a. } t \in T\}.$$

We know that  $\widehat{A}$  is maximal monotone on  $L^2(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$  (see e.g. Aizicovici, Papageorgiou and Staicu [2, Lemma 1]). Therefore

$$\text{Gr}(\widehat{A}) \subseteq L^2(T, \mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(T, \mathbb{R}^N)_w \text{ is sequentially closed.}$$

Hence, from (3.32) and (3.31), it follows that  $(\tilde{u}, k) \in \text{Gr}(\widehat{A})$ , hence  $k(t) \in A(\tilde{u}(t))$  for almost all  $t \in T$ . We conclude that  $\tilde{u} \in C^1(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$  is a solution of problem (P).  $\square$

#### 4. An example

Let  $C = \mathbb{R}_+^N = \{x = (x_k)_{k=1}^N \in \mathbb{R}^N : x_k \geq 0 \text{ for all } k = 1, \dots, N\}$  and let  $i_C$  be the indicator function of  $C$ , that is

$$i_C(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in C = \mathbb{R}_+^N, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We know that  $i_C$  is proper, convex, lower semicontinuous (that is,  $i_C \in \Gamma_C(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ; see Gasinski and Papageorgiou [3, p. 488]). We set

$$A(x) = \partial i_C(x) = N_C(x),$$

where  $\partial$  stands for subdifferential in the sense of convex analysis and  $N_C(x)$  is the normal cone to  $C$  at  $x$ .

Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} N_C(x) &= \{x^* \in \mathbb{R}^N : (x^*, c - x)_{\mathbb{R}^N} \leq 0 \text{ for all } c \in C\} \\ &= \{x^* \in \mathbb{R}^N : (x^*, x)_{\mathbb{R}^N} = \sigma(x^*, C) := \sup \{(x^*, c) : c \in \mathbb{R}^N\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Evidently, if  $x \in \text{int}(C)$ , then  $N_C(x) = \{0\}$  and if  $x \notin C = \text{dom } i_C$  then  $N_C(x) = \emptyset$  (see Gasinski and Papageorgiou [3, p. 526]). We have

$$D(A) = C = \mathbb{R}_+^N$$

and

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} \{0\} & \text{if } x = (x_k)_{k=1}^N \in \text{int}(\mathbb{R}_+^N) \\ & \text{(that is } x_k > 0 \text{ for all } k = 1, \dots, N), \\ -\mathbb{R}_+^N \cap \{x\}^\perp & \text{if } x = (x_k)_{k=1}^N \in \partial\mathbb{R}_+^N \\ & \text{(that is } x_k = 0 \text{ for some } k = 1, \dots, N). \end{cases}$$

Then problem (P) is equivalent to the following differential inequality

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{cases} a(u'(t))' = f(t, u(t), u'(t)) & \text{a.e. on } \{t \in T : u(t) \in \text{int}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)\}, \\ a(u'(t))' \leq f(t, u(t), u'(t)) & \text{a.e. on } \{t \in T : u(t) \in \partial\mathbb{R}_+^N\}, \\ (f(t, u(t), u'(t)) - a(u'(t))', u(t))_{\mathbb{R}^N} = 0 & \text{for a.a. } t \in T, \\ u(t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^N & \text{for } t \in T, \quad u(0) = u(b), u'(0) = u'(b). \end{cases}$$

Using Theorem 3.4, we can state the following existence result for problem (4.1):

**THEOREM 4.1.** *If hypotheses H(a), H(f) hold, then problem (4.1) admits a solution  $\tilde{u} \in C^1(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$ .*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. AIZICOVICI, N.S. PAPAGEORGIOU AND V. STAICU, *Nodal and multiple solutions for nonlinear periodic problems with competing nonlinearities*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **15** (2013), no. 3, 1350001–1350030.
- [2] S. AIZICOVICI, N.S. PAPAGEORGIOU AND V. STAICU, *Nonlinear, nonconvex second order multivalued systems with maximal monotone terms*, Pure Appl. Funct. Anal. **2** (2017), 553–574.
- [3] L. GASINSKI AND N.S. PAPAGEORGIOU, *Nonlinear Analysis*, Chapman & Hall/CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2006.
- [4] P. HARTMAN, *On boundary value problems for systems of ordinary nonlinear second order differential equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **96** (1960), 493–509.
- [5] H.W. KNOBLOCH, *On the existence of periodic solutions for second order vector differential equations*, J. Differential Equations **9** (1971), 67–85.
- [6] H.W. KNOBLOCH AND K. SCHMITT, *Nonlinear boundary value problems for systems of differential equations*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **78** (1977), 139–159.
- [7] R. MANASEVICH AND J. MAWHIN, *Periodic solutions for nonlinear systems with p-Laplacian-like operators*, J. Differential Equations **145** (1998), 367–393.

- [8] M. MARCUS AND V. MIZEL, *Absolute continuity on tracks and mappings of Sobolev spaces*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **45** (1972), 294–320.
- [9] J. MAWHIN, *Some boundary value problems for Harman-type perturbations of the ordinary vector  $p$ -Laplacian*, Nonlinear Anal. **40** (2000), 497–503.
- [10] I. VRABIE, *Compactness Methods for Nonlinear Evolutions*, Longman Scientific and Technical, Harlow, Essex, 1987.

*Manuscript received September 7, 2018*

*accepted February 2, 2019*

SERGIU AIZICOVICI  
Department of Mathematics  
Ohio University  
Athens, OH 45701, USA  
*E-mail address:* aizicovs@ohio.edu

NIKOLAOS S. PAPAGEORGIOU  
Department of Mathematics  
National Technical University  
Zografou Campus  
Athens 15780, GREECE  
*E-mail address:* npapg@math.ntua.gr

VASILE STAIU  
CIDMA, Department of Mathematics  
University of Aveiro  
3810-193 Aveiro, PORTUGAL  
*E-mail address:* vasile@ua.pt