

**THE CONTINUITY  
OF ADDITIVE AND CONVEX FUNCTIONS  
WHICH ARE UPPER BOUNDED  
ON NON-FLAT CONTINUA IN  $\mathbb{R}^n$**

TARAS BANAKH — ELIZA JABŁOŃSKA — WOJCIECH JABŁOŃSKI

---

**ABSTRACT.** We prove that for a continuum  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  the sum  $K^{+n}$  of  $n$  copies of  $K$  has non-empty interior in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  if and only if  $K$  is not flat in the sense that the affine hull of  $K$  coincides with  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Moreover, if  $K$  is locally connected and each non-empty open subset of  $K$  is not flat, then for any (analytic) non-meager subset  $A \subset K$  the sum  $A^{+n}$  of  $n$  copies of  $A$  is not meager in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (and then the sum  $A^{+2n}$  of  $2n$  copies of the analytic set  $A$  has non-empty interior in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and the set  $(A - A)^{+n}$  is a neighbourhood of zero in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ). This implies that a mid-convex function  $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined on an open convex subset  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is continuous if it is upper bounded on some non-flat continuum in  $D$  or on a non-meager analytic subset of a locally connected nowhere flat subset of  $D$ .

### 1. Introduction

Let  $X$  be a linear topological space over the field of real numbers. A function  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called *additive* if  $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

A function  $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined on a convex subset  $D \subset X$  is called *mid-convex* if  $f((x + y)/2) \leq (f(x) + f(y))/2$  for all  $x, y \in D$ .

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 26B05, 54D05; Secondary: 26B25, 54C05, 54C30.

*Key words and phrases.* Euclidean space; additive function; mid-convex function; continuity; continuum; analytic set; Ger–Kuczma classes.

Many classical results concerning additive or mid-convex functions state that the boundedness of such functions on “sufficiently large” sets implies their continuity. That is why Ger and Kuczma [8] introduced the following three families of sets in  $X$ :

- the family  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  of all subsets  $T \subset X$  such that any mid-convex function  $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined on a convex open subset  $D \subset X$  containing  $T$  is continuous if  $\sup f(T) < \infty$ ;
- the family  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  of all subsets  $T \subset X$  such that any additive function  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $\sup f(T) < \infty$  is continuous;
- the family  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  of all subsets  $T \subset X$  such that any additive function  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous if the set  $f(T)$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

It is clear that

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{A}(X) \subset \mathcal{B}(X) \subset \mathcal{C}(X).$$

By the example of Erdős [4] (discussed in [8]) the classes  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  are not equal even if  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . On the other hand, Ger and Kominek [7] proved that  $\mathcal{A}(X) = \mathcal{B}(X)$  for any Baire topological vector space  $X$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (cf. [15]).

There are lots of papers devoted to the problem of recognizing sets in the families  $\mathcal{A}(X)$ ,  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  or  $\mathcal{C}(X)$ , see e.g. [1], [5], [17], [19], [20]. The classical results concerning mid-convex functions (namely, Bernstein–Doetsch Theorem [2] and its generalizations, see e.g. [23]) imply that a subset  $T$  with non-empty interior in a topological vector space  $X$  belongs to the family  $\mathcal{A}(X)$ . By (the proofs of) Lemma 9.2.1 and Theorem 9.2.5 in [14], a subset  $T$  of a topological vector space  $X$  belongs to a family  $\mathcal{K} \in \{\mathcal{A}(X), \mathcal{B}(X), \mathcal{C}(X)\}$  if and only if for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  its  $n$ -fold sum

$$T^{+n} = \underbrace{T + \dots + T}_{n \text{ times}}$$

belongs to the family  $\mathcal{K}$ . Combining these two facts, we obtain the following well-known folklore result.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *A subset  $T$  of a topological vector space  $X$  belongs to the family  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  if for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  its  $n$ -fold sum  $T^{+n}$  has non-empty interior in  $X$ .*

Theorem 1.1 has been used many times to show that various “thin” sets actually belong to the class  $\mathcal{A}(X)$ ,  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  or  $\mathcal{C}(X)$ . In this respect let us mention the following result of Ger [6].

**THEOREM 1.2 (Ger).** *Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a nontrivial interval,  $n \geq 2$  and let  $\varphi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a  $C^1$ -smooth function defining in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  a curve which does not lie entirely in an  $(n - 1)$  dimensional affine hyperplane. Let  $Z \subset I$  be a set of positive Lebesgue measure. If one of the conditions is fulfilled:*

- (a) the Lebesgue measure of  $Z \cap (a, b)$  is positive for every nontrivial interval  $(a, b) \subset I$ ,
- (b) the determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix} \varphi'_1(x_1) & \dots & \varphi'_1(x_n) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \varphi'_n(x_1) & \dots & \varphi'_n(x_n) \end{vmatrix}$$

is non-zero for almost every  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  in  $I^n$ ,

then the image  $\varphi(Z)$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

In this paper we prove a topological counterpart of Ger's Theorem 1.2.

A subset  $A$  of a topological vector space  $X$  is defined to be

- *flat* if the affine hull of  $A$  is nowhere dense in  $X$ ;
- *nowhere flat* if each non-empty relatively open subset  $U \subset A$  is not flat in  $X$ .

By a *continuum* we understand a connected compact metrizable space.

The main aim of the paper is to prove the following result.

**THEOREM 1.3.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For any non-flat continuum  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  its  $n$ -fold sum  $K^{+n}$  has non-empty interior in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and hence  $K$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Moreover, if  $K$  is locally connected and nowhere flat in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then for any non-meager analytic subspace  $A$  of  $K$  the  $2n$ -fold sum  $A^{+2n}$  has non-empty interior in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , which implies that  $A \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .*

The first part of Theorem 1.3 will follow from Corollary 2.5 and the second one from Corollary 3.2.

**REMARK 1.4.** The first part of Theorem 1.3 answers a problem posed by the last author in [10].

## 2. Algebraic sum of $n$ continua in $\mathbb{R}^n$

In the proof of Theorem 1.3 we shall apply a non-trivial result of Holsztyński [9] and Lifanov [18] on the dimension properties of products of continua. Let us recall that a closed subset  $S$  of a topological space  $X$  is called a *partition* between subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $X$  if there exist two sets  $U$  and  $W$  open in  $X \setminus S$  such that  $A \subset U$ ,  $B \subset W$ ,  $U \cap W = \emptyset$  and  $X \setminus S = U \cup W$ .

The following proposition can be derived from results of Holsztyński [9] and Lifanov [18] and is discussed by Engelking in [3, 1.8.K].

**PROPOSITION 2.1** (Holsztyński, Lifanov). *Let  $K_1, \dots, K_n$  be continua and  $K := \prod_{i=1}^n K_i$  be their product. For every positive integer  $i \leq n$  let  $a_i^-, a_i^+$  be two*

distinct points in  $K_i$  and let  $S_i$  be a partition between the sets

$$A_i^- := \{(x_k)_{k=1}^n \in K : x_i = a_i^-\} \quad \text{and} \quad A_i^+ := \{(x_k)_{k=1}^n \in K : x_i = a_i^+\}$$

in  $K$ . Then the intersection  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n S_i$  is not empty.

The principal ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.3 is the following result, which can have an independent value.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $K_1, \dots, K_n$  be continua in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  containing the origin of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Assume that each continuum  $K_i$  contains a point  $e_i$  such that the vectors  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  are linearly independent. Then the algebraic sum  $K := K_1 + \dots + K_n$  has non-empty interior in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and the Lebesgue measure of  $K$  is not smaller than the volume of the parallelotope  $P := [0, 1] \cdot e_1 + \dots + [0, 1] \cdot e_n$ .*

**PROOF.** After a suitable linear transformation of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we can assume that  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  coincide with the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , i.e.  $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ ,  $e_2 = (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $e_n = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$ . In this case we should prove that the sum  $K = K_1 + \dots + K_n$  has non-empty interior in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and the Lebesgue measure  $\lambda(K)$  of  $K$  is not smaller than the volume  $\lambda(P) = 1$  of the cube  $P = [0, 1]^n$ .

On the space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  we consider the sup-norm  $\|x\| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |x_i|$ . Let

$$\delta := \max \left\{ \|x\| : x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n K_i \right\}.$$

Choose numbers  $s, l \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $l > s + (n-1)\delta$ . Moreover, if  $\lambda(K) < 1$ , then we can replace  $s$  and  $l$  by larger numbers and additionally assume that  $[2s/(2l+1)]^n > \lambda(K)$ .

For every positive integer  $i \leq n$ , consider the finite set  $Z_i = \{k \cdot e_i : k \in \mathbb{Z}, |k| \leq l\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and observe that the sum  $\tilde{K}_i := K_i + Z_i$  is a continuum containing the set  $Z_i$  (as  $K_i$  contains zero). Let  $Z := Z_1 + \dots + Z_n \subset \mathbb{Z}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and observe that

$$\begin{aligned} K + Z &= (K_1 + \dots + K_n) + (Z_1 + \dots + Z_n) \\ &= (K_1 + Z_1) + \dots + (K_n + Z_n) = \tilde{K}_1 + \dots + \tilde{K}_n. \end{aligned}$$

**CLAIM 2.3.**  $[-s, s]^n \subset K + Z$ .

**PROOF.** To derive a contradiction, suppose that  $[-s, s]^n \not\subset K + Z$  and fix a point  $(y_i)_{i=1}^n \in [-s, s]^n \setminus (K + Z)$ . For every positive integer  $k \leq n$  denote by  $\text{pr}_k : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\text{pr}_k : (x_i)_{i=1}^n \mapsto x_k$ , the coordinate projection. Also let

$$\begin{aligned} Y_k &:= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \text{pr}_k(x) = y_k\}, \\ Y_k^- &:= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \text{pr}_k(x) < y_k\}, \quad Y_k^+ := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \text{pr}_k(x) > y_k\}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the continuous map

$$\Sigma: \prod_{i=1}^n \tilde{K}_i \rightarrow K + Z, \quad \Sigma: (x_i)_{i=1}^n \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n x_i.$$

For every positive integer  $k \leq n$  let

$$p_k: \prod_{i=1}^n \tilde{K}_i \rightarrow \tilde{K}_k, \quad p_k: (x_i)_{i=1}^n \mapsto x_k,$$

be the coordinate projection. The sets  $F_k^- := p_k^{-1}(-l \cdot e_k)$  and  $F_k^+ := p_k^{-1}(l \cdot e_k)$  will be called the negative and positive  $k$ -faces of the “cube”  $\tilde{K} := \prod_{i=1}^n \tilde{K}_i$ .

We claim that  $\Sigma(F_k^-) \subset Y_k^-$  and  $\Sigma(F_k^+) \subset Y_k^+$ . Indeed, for any  $\tilde{x} = (\tilde{x}_i)_{i=1}^n$  in  $F_k^+ \subset \tilde{K}$  we can find sequences  $(x_i)_{i=1}^n \in \prod_{i=1}^n K_i$  and  $(z_i)_{i=1}^n \in \prod_{i=1}^n Z_i$  such that  $(\tilde{x}_i)_{i=1}^n = (x_i + z_i)_{i=1}^n$ . Taking into account that  $\text{pr}_k(z_i) = 0$  for all  $i \neq k$ , we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pr}_k \circ \Sigma(\tilde{x}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \text{pr}_k(\tilde{x}_i) = \text{pr}_k(\tilde{x}_k) + \sum_{i \neq k} \text{pr}_k(\tilde{x}_i) \\ &= \text{pr}_k(l \cdot e_k) + \sum_{i \neq k} \text{pr}_k(x_i + z_i) \\ &= l + \sum_{i \neq k} \text{pr}_k(x_i) \geq l - \sum_{i \neq k} \|x_i\| \geq l - (n-1)\delta > s \geq y_k \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $\Sigma(\tilde{x}) \in Y_k^+$  and finally  $\Sigma(F_k^+) \subset Y_k^+$ . By analogy we can prove that  $\Sigma(F_k^-) \subset Y_k^-$ . Then  $\Sigma^{-1}(Y_k)$  is a partition between the  $k$ -th faces  $F_k^-$  and  $F_k^+$  of the “cube”  $\tilde{K}$ .

Since  $\bigcap_{k=1}^n Y_k = \{(y_k)_{k=1}^n\} \not\subset K + Z = \Sigma(\tilde{K})$ , the intersection  $\bigcap_{k=1}^n \Sigma^{-1}(Y_k)$  is empty, which contradicts Proposition 2.1.  $\square$

Now we continue the proof of Theorem 2.2. By Claim 2.3,  $[-s, s]^n \subset K + Z$ . Taking into account that the set  $Z$  is finite, we conclude that the set  $K = K_1 + \dots + K_n$  has non-empty interior in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Moreover,  $[-s, s]^n \subset K + Z$  implies  $(2s)^n = \lambda([-s, s]^n) \leq \lambda(K + Z) \leq \lambda(K) \cdot |Z| = \lambda(K) \cdot (2l + 1)^n$  and hence  $[2s/(2l + 1)]^n \leq \lambda(K)$ . Then  $\lambda(K) \geq 1$  by the choice of the numbers  $l$  and  $s$ .  $\square$

REMARK 2.4. By Theorem 2.2 with  $n = 2$  we obtain that if  $K$  is a continuum in the plane which does not lie on a line, then the set  $K - K$  contains an open set. This is the exactly [11, Proposition 1.3] proved by Kallman and Simmons to get a continuity criterion for automorphisms of the field of complex numbers.

The following corollary of Theorem 2.2 implies the first part of Theorem 1.3.

COROLLARY 2.5. *For a continuum  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and its  $n$ -fold sum  $K^{+n}$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a)  $K^{+n}$  has non-empty interior in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ;
- (b)  $K^{+n}$  has positive Lebesgue measure in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ;
- (c)  $K$  is not flat in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ;
- (d) for any non-zero continuous linear functional  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the image  $f(K)$  has non-empty interior in  $\mathbb{R}$ ;
- (e)  $K$  belongs to the family  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ;
- (f)  $K$  belongs to the family  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

PROOF. The implications (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) are trivial. To prove that (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a), assume that  $K$  is not flat in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . After a suitable shift, we can assume that  $K$  contains the origin of the vector space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Let  $E \subset K$  be a maximal linearly independent subset of  $K$ . Assuming that  $|E| < n$  we would conclude that  $K$  is contained in the linear hull of the set  $E$  and hence is flat. So,  $|E| = n$  and we can write  $E$  as  $E = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\} \subset K$ . Applying Theorem 2.2 to the continua  $K_i := K$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we conclude that the sum  $K^{+n} = K_1 + \dots + K_n$  has non-empty interior in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

The equivalence (c)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (d) is proved in Lemma 2.6 below, the implication (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (e) follows from Theorem 1.1 and (e)  $\Rightarrow$  (f) is trivial. To finish the proof, it suffices to show that (f)  $\Rightarrow$  (c), which is equivalent to  $\neg(c) \Rightarrow \neg(f)$ . So, assume that the continuum  $K$  is flat in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $K \subset x + L$  for some  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and some linear subspace  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  of dimension  $\dim(L) = n - 1$ . Let  $\mathbb{R}^n/L$  be the quotient space and  $q: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n/L$  be the quotient linear operator.

Since the quotient space  $\mathbb{R}^n/L$  is topologically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}$ , it admits a discontinuous additive function  $a: \mathbb{R}^n/L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $f = a \circ q: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a discontinuous additive function such that  $f(K) \subset f(x + L) = \{f(x)\}$ , which means that  $K \notin \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .  $\square$

LEMMA 2.6. *A connected subset  $K$  of a locally convex topological vector space  $X$  is not flat if and only if for any non-zero linear continuous functional  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the image  $f(K)$  has non-empty interior in  $X$ .*

PROOF. If  $A$  is flat, then the affine hull of  $A$  in  $X$  is nowhere dense and hence  $A \subset a + L$  for some  $a \in A$  and some nowhere dense closed linear subspace of  $X$ . Using the Hahn–Banach Theorem, choose a non-zero linear continuous functional  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $L \subset f^{-1}(0)$ . Then the image  $f(A) \subset f(L + a) = \{f(a)\}$  is a singleton (which has empty interior in the real line).

Now assuming that  $A$  is not flat, we shall prove that for any non-zero linear continuous functional  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the image  $f(A)$  has non-empty interior in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since  $A$  is not flat, its affine hull is dense in  $X$  and hence the affine hull of  $f(A)$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ . This implies that  $f(A)$  contains two distinct points  $a < b$ . By the connectedness of  $f(A)$  (which follows from the connectedness of  $A$  and the

continuity of  $f$ ), the set  $f(A)$  contains the interval  $[a, b]$  and hence has non-empty interior in  $\mathbb{R}$ .  $\square$

PROBLEM 2.7. Is there a compact subset  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $K + K$  has empty interior in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  but for any non-zero linear continuous functional  $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the image  $f(K)$  has non-empty interior in  $\mathbb{R}$ ?

### 3. Collectively nowhere flat subsets in $\mathbb{R}^n$

Subsets  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  will be called *collectively nowhere flat in  $\mathbb{R}^n$*  if any non-empty relatively open subsets  $U_1 \subset A_1, \dots, U_n \subset A_n$  contain points  $a_1, b_1 \in U_1, \dots, a_n, b_n \in U_n$  such that the vectors  $b_1 - a_1, \dots, b_n - a_n$  form a basis of the linear space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For example, for a basis  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $n \geq 2$  the closed intervals  $[0, 1] \cdot e_1, \dots, [0, 1] \cdot e_n$  are collectively nowhere flat; yet each set  $[0, 1] \cdot e_i$  separately is flat.

It is easy to see that a subspace  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is nowhere flat in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  if and only if the sequence of  $n$  its copies  $A_1 = A, \dots, A_n = A$  is collectively nowhere flat in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

THEOREM 3.1. *Let  $K_1, \dots, K_n$  be collectively nowhere flat locally connected subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For every non-meager subsets  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  in  $K_1, \dots, K_n$  the algebraic sum  $B_1 + \dots + B_n$  is non-meager in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .*

PROOF. To derive a contradiction, assume that the sum  $B_1 + \dots + B_n$  is meager and hence is contained in the countable union  $\bigcup_{i \in \omega} F_i$  of closed nowhere dense subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Consider the continuous map

$$\Sigma: (\mathbb{R}^n)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \Sigma: (x_k)_{k=1}^n \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^n x_k.$$

Taking into account that for every  $i \leq n$  the subset  $B_i$  is not meager in  $K_i$ , we can apply a classical result of Banach [16, §10.V] and find a non-empty open set  $W_i \subset K_i$  such that the intersection  $W_i \cap B_i$  is a dense Baire subspace of  $W_i$ . Replacing  $W_i$  by a smaller open subset of  $W_i$ , we can assume that the set  $W_i$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Replacing  $B_i$  by  $B_i \cap W_i$ , we can assume that  $B_i$  is a dense Baire space in  $W_i$ .

By [12, 8.44], the product  $\prod_{k=1}^n B_k$  of second countable Baire spaces  $B_k$  is Baire. Since  $\prod_{k=1}^n B_k \subset \bigcup_{i \in \omega} \Sigma^{-1}(F_i)$ , we can apply Baire Theorem and find  $i \in \omega$  such that the set  $\Sigma^{-1}(F_i) \cap \prod_{k=1}^n B_k$  has non-empty interior in  $\prod_{k=1}^n B_k$ . Then we can find non-empty open sets  $V_1 \subset W_1, \dots, V_n \subset W_n$  such that

$$\prod_{k=1}^n (B_k \cap V_k) \subset \Sigma^{-1}(F_i).$$

Since the spaces  $K_1, \dots, K_n$  are locally connected, we can additionally assume that each set  $V_k$  is connected and hence has compact connected closure  $\bar{V}_k$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The set  $\Sigma^{-1}(F_i)$  is closed and hence contains the closure  $\prod_{k=1}^n \bar{V}_k$  of the set  $\prod_{k=1}^n (V_k \cap B_k)$  in  $(\mathbb{R}^n)^n$ . Then the set

$$\bar{V}_1 + \dots + \bar{V}_n = \Sigma \left( \prod_{k=1}^n \bar{V}_k \right) \subset F_i$$

is nowhere dense in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . On the other hand, taking into account that the sets  $K_1, \dots, K_n$  are collectively nowhere flat in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , in each set  $\bar{V}_k$  we can choose two points  $a_k, b_k$  such that the vectors  $b_1 - a_1, \dots, b_n - a_n$  form a basis of the vector space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Applying Theorem 2.2, we can conclude that the set  $\bar{V}_1 + \dots + \bar{V}_n$  has non-empty interior and hence cannot be contained in the nowhere dense set  $F_i$ . This contradiction completes the proof.  $\square$

The following corollary of Theorem 3.1 yields the second part of Theorem 1.3.

**COROLLARY 3.2.** *Let  $K$  be a nowhere flat locally connected subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $A$  be a non-meager analytic subspace of  $K$ . Then the  $n$ -fold sum  $A^{+n}$  of  $A$  is a non-meager analytic subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the  $2n$ -fold sum  $A^{+2n}$  has non-empty interior and the set  $(A - A)^{+n}$  is a neighbourhood of zero in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Moreover, the set  $A$  belongs to the family  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .*

**PROOF.** Since the set  $A$  is non-meager in  $K$ , by [16, §10.V], there exists a non-empty open set  $V \subset K$  such that  $V \cap A$  is a dense Baire subspace of  $V$ . Since  $K$  is locally connected, we can assume that  $V$  is connected and so is its closure  $\bar{V}$  in  $K$ . Replacing  $K$  by  $\bar{V}$  and  $A$  by  $A \cap V$ , we can assume that  $A$  is a dense Baire subspace of  $K$ . By Theorem 3.1, the  $n$ -fold sum  $A^{+n}$  of  $A$  is a non-meager subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The subspace  $A^{+n}$  is analytic, being a continuous image of the analytic space  $A^n$ . Applying Pettis–Piccard Theorem [21, Corollary 5], [22] (also [13, Theorem 1]), we can conclude that the sum  $A^{+n} + A^{+n} = A^{+2n}$  has non-empty interior and the difference  $A^{+n} - A^{+n}$  is a neighbourhood of zero in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Since  $A^{+2n}$  has non-empty interior in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we can apply Theorem 1.1 and conclude that  $A \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .  $\square$

Writing down the definition of the class  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and applying Corollaries 2.5 and 3.2, we obtain the following characterization.

**COROLLARY 3.3.** *For a mid-convex function  $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined on a non-empty open convex set  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a)  $f$  is continuous;
- (b)  $f$  is upper bounded on some non-flat continuum  $K \subset D$ ;



- (c)  $f$  is upper bounded on some non-meager analytic subspace of a nowhere flat locally connected subset of  $D$ .

Now we present an example showing that the condition of (collective) nowhere flatness in Corollaries 3.2, 3.3 (and Theorem 3.1) is essential.

EXAMPLE 3.4. Let

$$C = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2x_n}{3^n} : (x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \right\}$$

be the standard Cantor set in the interval  $[0, 1]$ . Let  $f: C \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be the continuous map assigning to each point  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2x_n/3^n$  of the Cantor set  $C$  the real number  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n/2^n$ . Let  $\bar{f}: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be the unique monotone function extending  $f$ . The function  $\bar{f}$  is known as *Cantor ladders*. It is uniquely defined by the condition  $\bar{f}^{-1}(y) = \text{conv}(f^{-1}(y))$  for  $y \in [0, 1]$ .

Let  $\Gamma_f := \{(x, f(x)) : x \in C\}$  and  $\Gamma_{\bar{f}} := \{(x, \bar{f}(x)) : x \in [0, 1]\}$  be the graphs of the functions  $f$  and  $\bar{f}$ . The set  $\Gamma_f$  is nowhere flat and zero-dimensional, and the set  $\Gamma_{\bar{f}}$  is connected but not nowhere flat in the plane  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ .

It is easy to see that  $A := \Gamma_{\bar{f}} \setminus \Gamma_f$  is an open dense subset of  $\Gamma_{\bar{f}}$ . By Corollary 2.5 (see also the proof of Theorem 9.5.2 in [14]), the sum  $S := \Gamma_{\bar{f}} + \Gamma_{\bar{f}}$  has non-empty interior in the plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . On the other hand, the sum  $A + A$  is meager in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Moreover, since  $A + A$  is contained in the union of countably many parallel lines in  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ , the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear hull of  $A$  has uncountable codimension in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , which allows us to construct a discontinuous additive function  $a: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $a(A) = \{0\}$ , witnessing that  $A \notin \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] T. BANAKH AND E. JABŁOŃSKA, *Null-finite sets in metric groups and their applications*, Israel J. Math. **230** (2019), 361–386.
- [2] F. BERNSTEIN, G. DOETSCH, *Zur Theorie der konvexen Funktionen*, Math. Ann. **76** (1915), 514–526.
- [3] R. ENGELKING, *Theory of Dimensions, Finite and Infinite*, Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics, vol. 10, Lemgo, Heldermann Verlag, 1995.
- [4] P. ERDŐS, *On some properties of Hamel bases*, Colloq. Math. **10** (1963), 267–269.
- [5] R. GER, *Some remarks on convex functions*, Fund. Math. **66** (1969), 255–262.
- [6] R. GER, *Thin sets and convex functions*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **21** (1973), 413–416.
- [7] R. GER AND Z. KOMINEK, *Boundedness and continuity of additive and convex functionals*, Aequationes Math. **37** (1989), 252–258.
- [8] R. GER AND M. KUCZMA, *On the boundedness and continuity of convex functions and additive functions*, Aequationes Math. **4** (1970), 157–162.
- [9] W. HOLSZTYŃSKI, *Universality of mappings onto the products of snake-like spaces. Relation with dimension*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sr. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **16** (1968), 161–167.

- [10] W. JABŁOŃSKI, *Steinhaus-type property for a boundary of a convex body*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **447** (2019), 769–775.
- [11] R.R. KALLMAN AND F.W. SIMMONS, *A theorem on planar continua and an application to automorphisms of the field of complex numbers*, Topology Appl. **20** (1985), 251–255.
- [12] A.S. KECHRIS, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, Springer, New York, 1998.
- [13] Z. KOMINEK, *On the sum and difference of two sets in topological vector spaces*, Fund. Math. **71** (1971), 165–169.
- [14] M. KUCZMA, *An introduction to the theory of functional equations and inequalities. Cauchy's equation and Jensen's inequality*, second edition (A. Gilányi, ed.), Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2009.
- [15] M.E. KUCZMA, *On discontinuous additive functions*, Fund. Math. **66** (1969/1970), 383–392.
- [16] K. KURATOWSKI, *Topology I*, Academic Press, 1966.
- [17] S. KUREPA, *Convex functions*, Glasnik Mat.-Fiz. Astronom. **11** (1956), no. 2, 89–93.
- [18] I.K. LIFANOV, *The dimension of a product of one-dimensional bicompacta*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **180** (1968), 534–537 (in Russian); English transl.: Soviet Math. Dokl. **9** (1968), 648–651.
- [19] M.R. MEHDI, *On convex functions*, J. London Math. Soc. **39** (1964), 321–326.
- [20] A. OSTROWSKI, *Über die Funktionalgleichung der Exponentialfunktion und verwandte Funktionalgleichungen*, Jber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein **38** (1929), 54–62.
- [21] B.J. PETTIS, *Remarks on a theorem of E.J. McShane*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1951), 166–171.
- [22] S. PICCARD, *Sur les ensembles de distances des ensembles de points d'un espace Euclidien*, Mémoires de l'Université Neuchâtel, vol. 13, Secrétariat Univ., Neuchâtel, 1939.
- [23] JA. TABOR, JO. TABOR AND M. ŽOLDAK, *Approximately convex functions on topological vector spaces*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **77** (2010), 115–123.

*Manuscript received May 14, 2018*

*accepted October 15, 2018*

TARAS BANAKH  
 Ivan Franko University of Lviv, UKRAINE  
 and  
 Jan Kochanowski University in Kielce, POLAND  
*E-mail address:* t.o.banakh@gmail.com

ELIZA JABŁOŃSKA AND WOJCIECH JABŁOŃSKI  
 Institute of Mathematics  
 Pedagogical University of Cracow  
 Podchorążych 2  
 30-084 Kraków, POLAND  
*E-mail address:* eliza.jablonska@up.krakow.pl  
 wojciech.jablonski@up.krakow.pl