

## **$K$ -THEORY OF CREPANT RESOLUTIONS OF COMPLEX ORBIFOLDS WITH $SU(2)$ SINGULARITIES**

CHRISTOPHER SEATON

ABSTRACT. We show that if  $Q$  is a closed, reduced, complex orbifold of dimension  $n$  such that every local group acts as a subgroup of  $SU(2) < SU(n)$ , then the  $K$ -theory of the unique crepant resolution of  $Q$  is isomorphic to the orbifold  $K$ -theory of  $Q$ .

**1. Introduction.** Let  $Q$  be a reduced, compact, complex orbifold of dimension  $n$ , i.e., a compact Hausdorff space locally modeled on  $\mathcal{C}^n/G$  where  $G$  is a finite group which acts effectively on  $\mathcal{C}^n$  with a fixed-point set of codimension at least 2 (for details of the definition and further background, see [3]). Then a crepant resolution of  $Q$  is given by a pair  $(Y, \pi)$  where  $Y$  is a smooth complex manifold of dimension  $n$  and  $\pi : Y \rightarrow Q$  is a surjective map which is biholomorphic away from the singular set of  $Q$ , such that  $\pi^*K_Q = K_Y$  where  $K_Q$  and  $K_Y$  denote the canonical line bundles of  $Q$  and  $Y$ , respectively (see [7] for details). In [11], it is conjectured that if  $\pi : Y \rightarrow Q$  is a crepant resolution of a Gorenstein orbifold  $Q$ , i.e., an orbifold such that all groups act as subgroups of  $SU(n)$ , then the orbifold  $K$ -theory of  $Q$  is isomorphic to the ordinary  $K$ -theory of  $Y$ . For the case of a global quotient of  $\mathcal{C}^n$ , this has been verified for  $n = 2$  in [10] and, for Abelian groups and a specific choice of crepant resolution for  $n = 3$  in [5]. Here, we apply the ‘local’ results in the case  $n = 2$  to the case of a general orbifold with such singularities.

The  $K$ -theory of an orbifold can be defined in several different ways. First, it can be defined in the usual way in terms of equivalence classes of orbifold vector bundles, see [1]. As well, it is well known that a reduced orbifold  $Q$  can be expressed as the quotient  $P/G$  where  $P$  is a smooth manifold and  $G$  is a compact Lie group [8]. In the case of a real orbifold,  $P$  can be taken to be the orthonormal frame bundle

---

2000 AMS *Mathematics subject classification.* Primary 19L47.

*Keywords and phrases.* Orbifold, orbifold crepant resolution, orbifold  $K$ -theory.

This work was supported in part by the Thron Fellowship of the University of Colorado Mathematics Department.

Received by the editors on July 9, 2004, and in revised form on May 19, 2005.

of  $Q$  with respect to a Riemannian metric and  $G = O(n)$ . Similarly, in the complex case,  $P$  can be taken to be the unitary frame bundle and  $G = U(n)$ . Hence, the orbifold  $K$ -theory of  $Q$  is defined as the  $G$ -equivariant  $K$ -theory  $K_G(P)$ . See [1, 9] for more details.

In Section 2, we describe the structure of the singular set  $\Sigma$  of  $Q$  in the case in question and state the main result. In Section 3, we interpret this decomposition in terms of ideals of the  $C^*$ -algebra of  $Q$  and prove the result.

**2. The decomposition of  $\Sigma$  and statement of the result.** Let  $Q$  be a closed, reduced, complex orbifold with  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} Q = n$ , and fix a Hermitian metric on  $TQ$  throughout. Then each point  $p \in Q$  is contained in a neighborhood modeled by  $\mathcal{C}^n/G_p$  where  $p$  corresponds to the origin in  $\mathcal{C}^n$  and  $G_p < U(n)$ . Suppose that each of the local groups  $G_p$  act as a subgroup of  $SU(2) < SU(n)$ , and then each point  $p$  is locally modeled by  $\mathcal{C}^n/G_p \cong \mathcal{C}^{n-2} \times (\mathcal{C}^2/G_p)$ . Suppose further that  $Q$  admits a crepant resolution  $\pi : Y \rightarrow Q$  so that  $Y$  is a closed complex  $n$ -manifold. By Proposition 9.1.4 of [7],  $(Y, \pi)$  is a *local product resolution*, which in this context means the following, see [7, 9.1.2] for the general definition:

Fix  $p \in Q$ , and then there is a neighborhood  $U_p \ni p$  modeled by  $\mathcal{C}^n/G_p$ . By hypothesis,  $U_p \cong V \times W/G_p$  where  $V \times \{0\} \cong \mathcal{C}^{n-2}$  is the fixed point set of  $G_p$ ,  $W \cong \mathcal{C}^2$  is the orthogonal complement of  $V$  in  $\mathcal{C}^n$  (for some choice of  $G_p$ -invariant metric on  $\mathcal{C}^n$ ), and we identify  $G_p < SU(n)$  with its restriction  $G_p < SU(2)$ . Then for a resolution  $(Y_p, \pi_p)$  of  $W/G_p$ , we let  $\phi : V \times W/G_p \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^n/G_p$ ,  $T$  the ball of radius  $R > 0$  about the origin in  $\mathcal{C}^n/G_p$  and  $U := (\text{id} \times \pi_p)^{-1}(T) \subset V \times Y_p$ . There is a local isomorphism  $\psi : (V \times Y_p) \setminus U \rightarrow Y$  such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (V \times Y_p) \setminus U & \xrightarrow{\psi} & Y \\ \downarrow \text{id} \times \pi_p & & \downarrow \pi \\ (V \times W/G_p) \setminus T & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{C}^n/G_p. \end{array}$$

Hence, each of the singular points in a neighborhood of  $p$  is resolved by  $V \times Y_p$ . Moreover, as  $(Y, \pi)$  is a crepant resolution of  $Q$ ,  $(Y_p, \pi_p)$  is a crepant resolution of  $\mathcal{C}^2/G_p$  [7, Proposition 9.1.5], and hence is the unique crepant resolution of  $\mathcal{C}^2/G_p$ . It is clear that a crepant

resolution of  $Q$  can be formed by patching together local products of the unique crepant resolutions of  $\mathcal{C}^2/G_p$ , but we now see that this is the only crepant resolution of  $Q$ . Moreover, if  $S$  denotes a connected component of the singular set  $\Sigma$  of  $Q$ , then a neighborhood of  $S$  can be covered by a finite number of charts as above, so that the isotropy subgroups of any  $p, q \in S$  are conjugate in  $SU(2)$ . Moreover, each such chart  $\mathcal{C}^n/G^p \cong V \times W/G_p$  restricts to a complex manifold chart of dimension  $n - 2$  for  $S$ .

We summarize this discussion in the following.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $Q$  be a closed, reduced, complex orbifold of complex dimension  $n$ , and suppose each of the local groups  $G_p$  acts on  $Q$  as a subgroup of  $SU(2)$ . Then there is a unique crepant resolution  $(Y, \pi)$  of  $Q$ . The singular set  $\Sigma$  of  $Q$  is given by*

$$\Sigma = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^k S_i$$

for some  $k$  finite, where each  $S_i$  is a connected, closed, complex  $(n - 2)$ -manifold and the (conjugacy class of the) isotropy subgroup  $G_p < SU(2)$  of  $p$  is constant on  $S_i$ . Moreover, if  $N_i$  is a sufficiently small tubular neighborhood of  $S_i$  in  $Q$ , then  $N_i \cong S_i \times \mathcal{C}^2/G_p$  and  $\pi^{-1}(N_i) \cong S_i \times Y_i$  where  $Y_i$  is the unique crepant resolution of  $\mathcal{C}^2/G_p$ .

Such a decomposition may be possible for orbifolds with  $SU(3)$  singularities; in this case, components of the singular set have  $(n - 2)$ - and  $(n - 3)$ -dimensional components. The latter are closed manifolds, but the former may be open. However, the techniques in this paper do not easily extend to this case. For finite subgroups of  $SU(3)$ , crepant resolutions are not unique. While a local isomorphism has been constructed for abelian subgroups of  $SU(3)$ , see [5], this is for a specific choice of resolution.

Using the decomposition given in this lemma, we will show the following:

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $Q$  be a closed, reduced, complex orbifold of complex dimension  $n$ , and suppose each of the local groups  $G_p$  acts*

on  $Q$  as a subgroup of  $SU(2)$ . Let  $(Y, \pi)$  denote the unique crepant resolution of  $Q$ , and then

$$K_{\text{orb}}^*(Q) \cong K^*(Y)$$

as additive groups.

For any  $n$ -dimensional orbifold that admits a crepant resolution, the local groups can be chosen to be subgroups of  $SU(n)$ , see [7]. Therefore, we have as an immediate corollary:

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $Q$  be a two-dimensional complex orbifold which admits a crepant resolution  $(Y, \pi)$ . Then*

$$K_{\text{orb}}^*(Q) \cong K^*(Y)$$

as additive groups.

**3. Proof of Theorem 2.2.** In order to prove Theorem 2.2, we will show that  $K_*(A) \cong K_*(B)$  where  $A$  is the  $C^*$ -algebra of  $Q$  and  $B$  the  $C^*$ -algebra of  $Y$ . So fix an orbifold  $Q$  that satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.2, and let  $k, S_i, N_i$ , etc., be as given in Lemma 2.1. We assume that the  $N_i$  are chosen small enough so that  $N_i \cap N_j = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ .

For each  $i$ , let  $N'_i$  be a smaller tubular neighborhood of  $S_i$  so that  $S_i \subset N'_i \subset \overline{N'_i} \subset N_i$ , and let  $N_0 := Q \setminus \cup_{i=1}^k \overline{N'_i}$ . Then  $\{N_i\}_{i=0}^k$  is an open cover of  $Q$  such that  $N_0$  contains no singular points. Note that the restriction  $\pi|_{\pi^{-1}(N_0)}$  is a biholomorphism onto  $N_0$ .

Let  $P$  denote the unitary frame bundle of  $Q$ , and then  $Q = P/U(n)$ . Let  $A := C^*(Q)$  denote the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C(P) \rtimes_{\alpha} U(n)$  of  $Q$  where  $\alpha$  is the action of  $U(n)$  on  $C(P)$  induced by the usual action on  $P$ , and let  $A^0$  denote the dense subalgebra  $L^1(U(n), C(P), \alpha)$  of  $C(P) \rtimes_{\alpha} U(n)$ . Let  $I_1^0$  denote the ideal in  $A^0$  consisting of functions  $\phi$  such that  $\phi(g)$  vanishes on  $P|_{S_1}$  for each  $g \in U(n)$ , i.e.,  $I_1^0 = L^1(U(n), C_0(P \setminus P|_{S_1}), \alpha)$ ; as usual,  $P|_{S_1}$  denotes the restriction of  $P$  to  $S_1$ , and let  $I_1$  be the closure of  $I_1^0$  in  $A$ . Similarly, for each  $j$  with  $1 < j \leq k$ , set

$$I_j^0 := L^1\left(U(n), C_0\left(P \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^j P|_{S_i}\right), \alpha\right)$$

to be the ideal of functions  $\phi$  in  $A^0$  such that for each  $g \in U(n)$ ,  $\phi(g)$  vanishes on the fibers over  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_j$ , and  $I_j$  the closure of  $I_j^0$  in  $A$ . Then we have the ideals

$$I_k \subset I_{k-1} \subset \dots \subset I_1 \subset I_0 := A.$$

Note that, for each  $j$  with  $1 \leq j < k$ ,  $I_j/I_{j+1} \cong C(P_{|S_{j+1}}) \rtimes_{\alpha} U(n)$ , and  $I_k \cong C_0(P_{|N_0}) \rtimes_{\alpha} U(n)$ .

Similarly, let  $B := C(Y)$  denote the algebra of continuous functions on  $Y$ , and let  $J_j$  denote the ideal of functions which vanish on  $\pi^{-1}(\cup_{i=1}^j S_i)$ . Then we have

$$J_k \subset J_{k-1} \subset \dots \subset J_1 \subset J_0 := B,$$

with  $J_j/J_{j+1} \cong C(\pi^{-1}(S_{j+1}))$  and  $J_k \cong C_0(\pi^{-1}(N_0))$ .

Recall that  $\pi$  restricts to a biholomorphism

$$\pi|_{\pi^{-1}(N_0)} : \pi^{-1}(N_0) \xrightarrow{\cong} N_0.$$

Hence, as the action of  $U(n)$  is free on  $P_{|N_0}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} K_*(I_k) &= K_*(C_0(P_{|N_0}) \rtimes_{\alpha} U(n)) \\ &\cong K_{U(n)}^*(P_{|N_0}) \\ &\quad \text{naturally, by the Green-Julg theorem} \\ &\quad \text{([2, Theorems 20.2.7 and 11.7.1])}, \\ &\cong K^*(P_{|N_0}/U(n)) \\ &\quad \text{as the } U(n) \text{ action is free on } N_0, \\ &= K^*(N_0) \\ &= K^*(\pi^{-1}(N_0)) \\ &= K_*(J_k). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there is a natural isomorphism

$$(3.1) \quad K_*(I_k) \cong K_*(J_k).$$

Hence, Theorem 2.2 holds for orbifolds such that  $k = 0$ , i.e., manifolds. The next lemma gives an inductive step which, along with the previous result, yields the theorem.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Suppose*

$$K_*(I_j) \cong K_*(J_j)$$

*naturally for some  $j$  with  $1 \leq j \leq k$ . Then*

$$K_*(I_{j-1}) \cong K_*(J_{j-1}).$$

*Proof.* Note that  $I_j$  is an ideal in  $I_{j-1}$ , with  $I_{j-1}/I_j = C(P_{|S_j}) \rtimes_{\alpha} U(n)$ . Similarly,  $J_j$  is an ideal in  $J_{j-1}$  with  $J_{j-1}/J_j = C(\pi^{-1}(S_j))$ . We have the standard exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_0(I_j) & \longrightarrow & K_0(I_{j-1}) & \longrightarrow & K_0(I_{j-1}/I_j) \\ \partial \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \partial \\ K_1(I_{j-1}/I_j) & \longleftarrow & K_1(I_{j-1}) & \longleftarrow & K_1(I_j) \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_0(J_j) & \longrightarrow & K_0(J_{j-1}) & \longrightarrow & K_0(J_{j-1}/J_j) \\ \partial \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \partial \\ K_1(J_{j-1}/J_j) & \longleftarrow & K_1(J_{j-1}) & \longleftarrow & K_1(J_j). \end{array}$$

So if we show that  $K_*(I_{j-1}/I_j) \cong K_*(J_{j-1}/J_j)$  naturally, by the Five lemma, we are done.

Note that  $I_{j-1}/I_j$  is the  $C^*$ -algebra of the quotient orbifold  $P_{|S_j}/U(n)$ , which is given by the smooth manifold  $S_j$  with the trivial action of  $G_j$  (here,  $G_j$  denotes a choice from the conjugacy class of isotropy groups  $G_p$  for  $p \in S_j$ ). Hence,  $I_{j-1}/I_j \cong C(S_j) \otimes C^*(G_j)$ . Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_{j-1}/J_j &= C(\pi^{-1}(S_j)) \\ &= C(S_j \times Y_j) \\ &= C(S_j) \otimes C(Y_j), \end{aligned}$$

where  $Y_j$  is the preimage of the origin in the unique crepant resolution of  $\mathcal{C}^2/G_j$ . However,  $K_0(C^*(G_j)) = R(G)$  [2, Proposition 11.1.1 and Corollary 11.1.2] which is naturally isomorphic to  $K^0(Y_j)$  by [10,

Section 4.3]; see also [5], and  $K^0(Y_j) \cong K_0(C(Y_j))$ , so that  $K_0(C^*(G_j))$  and  $K_0(C(Y_j))$  are isomorphic. With this, by the Künneth theorem for tensor products [2, Theorem 23.1.3],

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & K_0(C(S_j)) \otimes K_0(C^*(G_j)) & \longrightarrow & K_0(C(S_j) \otimes C^*(G_j)) & \longrightarrow & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & K_0(C(S_j)) \otimes K_0(C(Y_j)) & \longrightarrow & K_0(C(S_j) \otimes C(Y_j)) & \longrightarrow & \\
 & & \longrightarrow & \text{Tor}(K_0(C(S_j)), K_0(C^*(G_j))) & \longrightarrow & 0 & \\
 & & & \downarrow & & & \\
 & & \longrightarrow & \text{Tor}(K_0(C(S_j)), K_0(C(Y_j))) & \longrightarrow & 0 & 
 \end{array}$$

and the Five lemma, we have a natural isomorphism

$$K_0(C(S_j) \otimes C^*(G_j)) \cong K_0(C(S_j) \otimes C(Y_j)).$$

So

$$K_0(I_{j-1}/I_j) \cong K_0(J_{j-1}/J_j).$$

For the  $K_1$  groups, we note that by [2, Corollary 11.1.2],  $K_1(C^*(G_j)) = 0$ . As well,  $K_1(C(Y_j)) \cong K^1(Y_j)$ , and it is known that  $Y_j$  is diffeomorphic to a finite collection of 2-spheres which intersect at most transversally at one point, see [7]. Therefore,  $K^1(Y_j) = 0$ . Here, the hypothesis that all groups act as subgroups of  $SU(2)$  is crucial. For subgroups of  $SU(3)$ , the topology of the resolution is not understood sufficiently to compute the  $K_1$  groups.

With this, we again apply the Künneth theorem and Five lemma

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & K_1(C(S_j)) \otimes K_0(C^*(G_j)) \oplus K_0(C(S_j)) \otimes K_1(C^*(G_j)) & \longrightarrow & K_1(C(S_j) \otimes C^*(G_j)) & \longrightarrow & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & K_1(C(S_j)) \otimes K_0(C(Y_j)) \oplus K_0(C(S_j)) \otimes K_1(C(Y_j)) & \longrightarrow & K_1(C(S_j) \otimes C(Y_j)) & \longrightarrow & \\
 & & \longrightarrow & \text{Tor}(K_1(C(S_j)), K_0(C^*(G_j))) \oplus \text{Tor}(K_0(C(S_j)), K_1(C^*(G_j))) & \longrightarrow & 0 & \\
 & & & \downarrow & & & \\
 \dots & \longrightarrow & \text{Tor}(K_1(C(S_j)), K_0(C(Y_j))) \oplus \text{Tor}(K_0(C(S_j)), K_1(C(Y_j))) & \longrightarrow & 0 & & 
 \end{array}$$

Therefore, we have a natural isomorphism

$$K_1(C(S_j) \otimes C^*(G_j)) \cong K_1(C(S_j) \otimes C(Y_j)),$$

and

$$K_1(I_{j-1}/I_j) \cong K_1(J_{j-1}/J_j). \quad \square$$

Now, as  $K_*(I_k) \cong K_*(J_k)$ , repeated application of Lemma 3.1 yields that  $K_*(A) \cong K_*(B)$ , and hence we have proven Theorem 2.2.

**Acknowledgments.** The author would like to thank Carla Farsi, Yongbin Ruan, and Siye Wu for helpful suggestions and discussions leading to this result.

#### REFERENCES

1. A. Adem and Y. Ruan, *Twisted orbifold K-theory*, Comm. Math. Phys. **237** (2003), 533–556.
2. B. Blackadar, *K-theory for operator algebras*, Mathematical Sci. Research Institute Publ. **5**, 1986.
3. W. Chen and Y. Ruan, *Orbifold Gromov-Witten theory*, in *Orbifolds in mathematics and physics*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
4. Carla Farsi, *K-theoretical index theorems for good orbifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **115** (1992), 769–773.
5. Y. Ito and H. Nakajima, *McKay correspondence and Hilbert schemes in dimension three*, Topology **39** (2000), 1155–1191.
6. Y. Ito and M. Reid, *The McKay correspondence for finite subgroups of  $SL(3, C)$* , in *Higher dimensional complex varieties*, M. Andreatta, ed., De Gruyter, 1996.
7. D. Joyce, *Compact manifolds with special holonomy*, Oxford Science Publications, Oxford, 2000.
8. T. Kawasaki, *The index of elliptic operators over V-manifolds*, Nagoya Math. J. **84** (1981), 135–157.
9. M. Marcolli and V. Mathai, *Twisted higher index theory on good orbifolds and fractional quantum numbers*, math.DG/9803051, 1998.
10. Hiraku Nakajima, *Lectures on Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces*, AMS University Lecture Series Volume 18, 1999.
11. Y. Ruan, *Stringy geometry and topology of orbifolds*, Contemp. Math. **312**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2002.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, RHODES COLLEGE,  
2000 N. PARKWAY, MEMPHIS, TN 38112  
**Email address:** [seatonc@rhodes.edu](mailto:seatonc@rhodes.edu)