THE STEREOGRAPHIC PROJECTION IN BANACH SPACES

FRANCISCO J. GARCÍA-PACHECO AND JUAN B. SEOANE-SEPÚLVEDA

ABSTRACT. We give a new and direct proof of the fact that, in any infinite dimensional Banach space, the unit sphere minus any one point is homeomorphic to a closed hyperplane. The proof involves L-structures and geometric concepts as, for instance, rotund, smooth and exposed points.

1. Preliminaries and background. It is well known [1] that the Euclidean unit sphere S^n minus any one point is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n ; this homeomorphism is known as the stereographic projection. This stereographic projection can be generalized to infinite dimensional spaces or, more particularly, to infinite dimensional real Banach spaces. This is the aim of this paper, to give a new and direct proof of this result, i.e., that the unit sphere minus any one point is homeomorphic to a closed hyperplane in any real Banach space.

On the other hand, to establish homeomorphisms between unit balls and/or unit spheres in a Banach space, it suffices to consider isomorphisms of Banach spaces. In other words, if X and Y are isomorphic Banach spaces, and $T: X \to Y$ is an isomorphism, then the mapping $T_B: \mathcal{B}_X \to \mathcal{B}_Y$, given by

$$\begin{cases} T_B: \mathcal{B}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_Y \\ x \longmapsto T_B x, \end{cases}$$

where

$$T_B x = \begin{cases} Tx/\|Tx\| \cdot \|x\| & \text{if } x \neq 0\\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0, \end{cases}$$

and where \mathcal{B}_X is the unit ball of X, is an homeomorphism whose restriction to S_X (the unit sphere of X) induces an homeomorphism between S_X and S_Y . This fact will be used later on to establish the main result. Next, let us recall the definition of the L^2 -summand vector, see [3].

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46B20, Secondary 46B03. Key words and phrases. Unit sphere, closed hyperplane, stereographic projection, \tilde{L}^2 -summand vector. Received by the editors on March 13, 2005.

Definition 1.1. Let X be any real Banach space and $x \in X$. We say that x is an L^2 -summand vector of X if span $\{x\}$ has a topological complement M such that $||m + \delta x||^2 = ||m||^2 + ||\delta x||^2$, for every $m \in M$ and any $\delta \in \mathbf{R}$.

We also have that, if $x \neq 0$ is an L^2 -summand vector of X, there exists $x^* \in X^*$ so that:

- (1) $x^*x = 1$,
- (2) $||x^*|| = ||x||^{-1}$, and
- (3) Ker $(x^*) = M$.

It can be proved that, in this case, x^* is an L^2 -summand vector of X^* , and it will be called the L^2 -summand functional of x.

Let us remember some definitions that we will use in this paper, see [2, 4] for further details:

Definition 1.2. Let X be a Banach space and $x \in \mathcal{S}_X$. Then:

- (1) x is called an *exposed point* of \mathcal{B}_X if there exists $f \in \mathcal{S}_{X^*}$ so that $\{x\} = f^{-1}(\{1\}) \cap \mathcal{B}_X$.
- (2) We say that x is a rotund point of \mathcal{B}_X if every $y \in \mathcal{S}_X \setminus \{x\}$ verifies ||(x+y)/2|| < 1.
- (3) x is a smooth point of \mathcal{B}_X if there exists a unique $f \in \mathcal{S}_{X^*}$ so that f(x) = 1.

It is well known that any exposed and smooth point is also a rotund point [2].

Now we can state the main result:

Theorem. Let X be a real Banach space and $x \in S_X$. Then $S_X \setminus \{x\}$ is homeomorphic to a closed hyperplane.

2. The new proof. In order to give the proof of the main theorem we will need some previous results, that we show now. A first result is related to L^2 -summand vectors and says:

Lemma 2.1. Let X be a real Banach space, $x \in \mathcal{S}_X$ an L^2 -summand vector and x^* the L^2 -summand functional of x. Let $(x_n)_n \subset \mathcal{S}_X \setminus \{-x\}$ be a sequence convergent to -x. Then, we have

$$\left\| \frac{x_n + x}{x^* x_n + 1} \right\| \longrightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Proof. For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ we decompose x_n as $x_n = m_n + \delta_n x$, where $m_n \in \text{Ker}(x^*)$ and $\delta_n \in \mathbf{R}$. Since $(\delta_n)_n \subset (-1, 1]$ and converges to -1, and $||m_n||^2 + \delta_n^2 = 1$ for every $n \in \mathbf{R}$, we obtain

$$\left\| \frac{x_n + x}{x^* x_n + 1} \right\| = \frac{\|x_n + x\|}{|x^* x_n + 1|} = \frac{\sqrt{\|m_n\|^2 + (\delta_n + 1)^2}}{\delta_n + 1}$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{\|m_n\|^2 + \delta_n^2 + 1 + 2\delta_n}}{\delta_n + 1} = \frac{\sqrt{1 + 1 + 2\delta_n}}{\delta_n + 1}$$

$$= \sqrt{2} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{1 + \delta_n}}{1 + \delta_n} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{1 + \delta_n}} \longrightarrow \infty \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \quad \Box$$

Now, we will need one more result. The next result is a weaker case of the main theorem. But we will need it in order to complete the final proof.

Theorem 2.2. Let X be a real Banach space. Let $x \in \mathcal{S}_X$ be an exposed point of \mathcal{B}_X , and denote by $f \in \mathcal{S}_{X^*}$ the functional that characterizes x as an exposed point of \mathcal{B}_X . Then:

- (1) There exists a continuous function $\phi: \mathcal{S}_X \setminus \{-x\} \to f^{-1}(\{1\})$.
- (2) If x is a smooth point of \mathcal{B}_X as well as an exposed point, then ϕ is a bijection.
 - (3) If x is an L^2 -summand vector, then ϕ is a homeomorphism.

Proof. (1) Define, for every $y \in \mathcal{S}_X \setminus \{-x\}$, the stereographic projection

$$\phi(y) = -x + \frac{2}{f(y)+1} \cdot (y+x).$$

Notice that ϕ is well defined, since $\{x\} = f^{-1}(\{1\}) \cap \mathcal{B}_X$, and that ϕ is continuous.

(2) Let us first see that ϕ is one to one. Suppose there are $y \neq z \in \mathcal{S}_X \setminus \{-x\}$ with $\phi(y) = \phi(z)$. Then

$$\frac{1}{f(y)+1} \cdot (y+x) = \frac{1}{f(z)+1} \cdot (z+x),$$

and we obtain

$$z = -x + \frac{f(z) + 1}{f(y) + 1} \cdot (y + x);$$

in other words, the above equation means that -x, y and z are collinear, but this is in contradiction with the fact that -x is a rotund point of \mathcal{B}_X (remember that any exposed and smooth point of the unit ball is a rotund point of the unit ball). So ϕ must be one to one.

To see that ϕ is onto, let $v \in f^{-1}(\{1\})$. Since $\phi(x) = x$, we can assume that $v \neq x$. Consider the segment [-x, v]; we show that (-x, v) intersects \mathcal{B}_X . Suppose not; then for every $t \in (0, 1)$,

$$||tv + (1-t)(-x)|| > 1.$$

For every t < 0 we obtain

$$(-f)(tv + (1-t)(-x)) = -t + (1-t) = 1 - 2t > 1,$$

so we have that ||tv + (1-t)(-x)|| > 1. If t > 1 we have

$$f(tv + (1-t)(-x)) = t + (t-1) = 2t - 1 > 1,$$

and, again, ||tv + (1-t)(-x)|| > 1. Notice also that ||v|| > 1, since $v \in f^{-1}(\{1\})$ and $v \neq x$. To summarize, if $t \neq 0$, then ||tv + (1-t)(-x)|| > 1. Consider now $V = \text{span}\{-x,v\}$, and let $g \in V^*$ be the unique element so that g(-x) = g(v) = 1. Since ||tv + (1-t)(-x)|| > 1 for $t \neq 0$, $g \in SV^*$. Let $G \in S_{X^*}$ be the Hahn-Banach extension of g. The smoothness of -x allows us to infer that -f = G, which yields to

$$-1 = -f(v) = G(v) = g(v) = 1$$
,

so $(-x,v) \cap \mathcal{B}_X \neq \emptyset$. Let $t \in (0,1)$ with $tv + (1-t)(-x) \in \mathcal{B}_X$. If $tv + (1-t)(-x) \in \mathcal{S}_X$, then we are done since $\phi(tv + (1-t)(-x)) = v$. If, on the other hand, $tv + (1-t)(-x) \notin \mathcal{S}_X$, then by Bolzano's theorem there must be $s \in (t,1)$ with ||sv + (1-s)(-x)|| = 1 and, therefore, $\phi(sv + (1-s)(-x)) = v$. So ϕ is onto.

(3) For this last part, notice that f is the L^2 -summand functional of x, so we will write $f = x^*$. Take any fixed $y \in \mathcal{S}_X \setminus \{-x\}$. To see that ϕ^{-1} is continuous at $\phi(y)$ it suffices to show that, if we have a sequence $(y_n)_n \subset \mathcal{S}_X \setminus \{-x\}$ so that $(\phi(y_n))_n$ converges to $\phi(y)$, then $(y_n)_n$ has a subsequence which is convergent to some element in $\mathcal{S}_X \setminus \{-x\}$. Let us take a subsequence $(y_{n_k})_k$ so that $x^*y_{n_k}$ converges to some $\delta \in [-1, 1]$. Since $\phi(y_{n_k})$ converges to $\phi(y)$, then

$$y_{n_k} \longrightarrow -x + \frac{\delta+1}{x^*y+1} \cdot (y+x)$$
 as $k \to \infty$.

Let us see that $-x + (\delta + 1)/(x^*y + 1) \cdot (y + x) \neq -x$. If $-x + (\delta + 1)/(x^*y + 1) \cdot (y + x) = -x$, then by the previous lemma we have that

$$\left\| \frac{y_{n_k} + x}{x^* y_{n_k} + 1} \right\| \longrightarrow \infty \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty,$$

and thus $\|\phi(y_{n_k})\| \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$, which is impossible.

Now we are ready to state and give the new proof of the main result.

Theorem 2.3. Let X be a real Banach space. For every $x \in S_X$, $S_X \setminus \{x\}$ is homeomorphic to a closed hyperplane.

Proof. Take any fixed topological complement M for span $\{x\}$. Consider the equivalent norm on X given by

$$[y] = \sqrt{\|m\|^2 + \|x\|^2}$$

for every $y \in X$, where $y = m + \delta x$, with $m \in M$ and $\delta \in \mathbf{R}$. Denote by Y the space X endowed with the norm $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$; then $x \in \mathcal{S}_Y$ and x is an L^2 -summand vector of Y. Therefore, by the previous theorem, $\mathcal{S}_Y \setminus \{x\}$

is homeomorphic to a closed hyperplane. Now, the mapping

$$S_X \longrightarrow S_Y$$
 $z \longmapsto \frac{z}{\lfloor z \rfloor},$

is a homeomorphism that maps x to itself, so $\mathcal{S}_X \setminus \{x\}$ is homeomorphic to a closed hyperplane. \square

REFERENCES

- ${\bf 1.}$ A. Aizpuru, Apuntes~y~notas~de~topología, Servicio de publicaciones de la Universidad de Cádiz, Cádiz, Spain, 1996.
- 2. P. Bandyopadhyay and B. Lin, Some properties related to nested sequence of balls in Banach spaces, Taiwanese J. Math. 5 (2001), 19–34.
- **3.** J.W. Carlson and T.L. Hicks, A characterization of inner product spaces, Math. Japon. **23** (1978/79), 371–373.
- **4.** R.E. Megginson, An introduction to Banach space theory, Grad. Texts in Math., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.

Department of Mathematics, Kent State University, Kent, Ohio, 44242 $E\text{-}mail\ address:}$ fgarcia@math.kent.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KENT STATE UNIVERSITY, KENT, OHIO, $44242\ E\text{-}mail\ address:}$ jseoane@math.kent.edu