## SOLUTION TO TWO PROBLEMS IN INVERSE INTERPOLATION

## V. TOTIK

ABSTRACT. Answering two problems raised by A.L. Horwitz and L.A. Rubel, we construct analytic functions f such that L(L(f)) is the set of all polynomials (here L(f) denotes the set of all Lagrange interpolants of f on [0,1]).

Let L(f) denote the set of all Lagrange interpolants based on knots in [0,1] of the function f defined on [0,1]. A.L. Horwitz and L.A. Rubel [1] proved that if f and g are analytic on [0,1] and L(f)=L(g), then f=g; on the other hand, they constructed a large class of  $C^{\infty}$ -functions f for which L(L(F)) is the set of all polynomials. They asked

PROBLEM 1. Is there a function f analytic on [0,1] such that L(L(f)) is the set of all polynomials?

and

PROBLEM 2. If f and g are analytic on [0,1] and L(L(f)) = L(L(g)), then must f = g?

In this paper we show that there are many analytic functions of the form

$$f(z) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{d\mu(t)}{1+tz}, \quad z \in [0,1],$$

where  $\mu$  is a finite signed measure, for which L(L(f)) is the set of all polynomials. Hence, the answer is positive for Problem 1 and it is negative for Problem 2.

AMS 1980 Subject Classification: 41A05.

Research was supported by grant Nos. 1157 and 1801 from the Hungarian National Science Foundation for Research.

Received by the editors on February 26, 1987.

244 V. TOTIK

It is enough to construct functions f which are analytic on [0,1] and which have the following property. Given arbitrary M and k, there are points  $0 < \xi_1 < \xi_2 < \cdots < \xi_k < 1$  and an integer n = n(k) such that if

$$T_{n-1}(f,x) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} \frac{f^{(\nu)}(0)}{\nu!} x^{\nu}$$

denotes the McLaurin polynomial of f of degree (n-1), then

$$(-1)^s T_{n-1}(f, \xi_s) > M, \quad s = 1, \dots, k.$$

In fact, in this case if P is any polynomial of degree l, say, and if

$$|P(x)| < M, \quad x \in [0, 1],$$

then to this M and k=l+2 there are  $\xi_i$ 's and an n=n(k) with the above property. If  $L(f,\{x_j\}_{j=1}^n;x)$  denotes the Lagrange interpolant of f based on the knots  $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^n$ , then

$$\lim_{t \to 0+0} L(f, \{tj/n\}_{j=1}^n; x) = T_{n-1}(f, x)$$

uniformly in  $x \in [0,1]$ , hence there is a t > 0 such that

$$(-1)^s L(f, \{tj/n\}_{i=1}^n; \xi_s) > M, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

But then  $L(f, \{tj/n\}_{j=1}^n; \chi)$  intersects P in at least k-1 = l+1 points, hence  $P \in L(L(f))$  as we claimed above.

We will use

LEMMA. Let M > 0,  $1/2 > \varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\eta > 0$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$2-\varepsilon < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \cdots < \alpha_k < 2,$$

and

(1) 
$$\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon > \xi_1 > \xi_2 > \dots > \xi_k > \frac{1}{\alpha_1}$$

be given. Then there are numbers  $n=n(M,\varepsilon,\eta,\{\alpha_i\},\{\xi_i\})$  and  $c_1,c_2,\ldots,c_k$  such that

(2) 
$$|c_1| < \eta, \quad 0 < |c_{i+1}| < \frac{1}{2}|c_i|, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1,$$

and, with  $f_{\alpha}(z) = (1 + \alpha z)^{-1}$ ,

$$(-1)^s T_{n-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^k c_i f_{\alpha_i}, \xi_s \right) > M, \quad s = 1, \dots, k.$$

PROOF. The functions  $\{(1+\alpha_i z)^{-1}\}_{i=1}^k$  are analytic and linearly independent on every interval [a,b] not containing  $-1/\alpha_i, i=1,\ldots,k$ . Since

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{d_i}{1 + \alpha_i z} = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{k} (1 + \alpha_i z)} \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i \prod_{j \neq i} (1 + \alpha_j z),$$

it follows that nontrivial linear combinations of these functions can have at most (k-1) zeros, hence  $\{(1+\alpha_i z)^{-1}\}_{i=1}^k$  forms a Chebyshev system. Then the system of equations

(3) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{d_i}{1 + \alpha_i \xi_s} = (-1)^s, \quad s = 1, \dots, k,$$

has a solution and, by the same token, if  $\{d_i\}_{i=1}^k$  denotes the solution, then none of the  $d_i$ 's vanish.

Let

$$c_i = \frac{d_i}{\alpha_i^n} c$$

with

$$(4) c\xi_k^n > M+1$$

and

(5) 
$$c\alpha_1^{-n} < \eta / \Big( \sum_{i=1}^k |d_i| \Big),$$

246 V. TOTIK

where n will be chosen in a moment. (1) shows that (4) and (5) can be satisfied with a c = c(n) for every large n. Also, if n is sufficiently large, then (2) holds (cf. (5)).

Now, if n is odd, then

$$T_{n-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i} f_{\alpha_{i}}; \xi_{s}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{\nu} (\alpha_{i} \xi_{s})^{\nu}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i} \left(\frac{(\alpha_{i} \xi_{s})^{n}}{1 + \alpha_{i} \xi_{s}} + \frac{1}{1 + \alpha_{i} \xi_{s}}\right)$$

$$= c \xi_{s}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{d_{i}}{1 + \alpha_{i} \xi_{s}} + c \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{d_{i} \alpha_{i}^{-n}}{1 + \alpha_{i} \xi_{s}}.$$

Here the first term equals  $(-1)^s c \xi_s^n$  (see (3)), and, for the absolute value of the second one, we get the upper bound

(6) 
$$c\sum_{i=1}^{k} |d_i| \alpha_i^{-n} \le c\alpha_1^{-n} \sum_{i=1}^{k} |d_i| < 1$$

if n is large enough. Thus, if we choose n so large that (4)–(6) and (2) are satisfied, then we obtain the statement of the lemma because

$$c\xi_{\epsilon}^{n} \geq c\xi_{k}^{n} > M+1.$$

The f that we are going to construct will be of the form

(7) 
$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i^{(k)} f_{\alpha_i^{(k)}}(z) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{d\mu(t)}{1+tz},$$

where the signed measure  $\mu(t)$  is defined by

$$\mu(E) = \sum_{\alpha_i^{(k)} \in E} c_i^{(k)}.$$

Our aim is to define the numbers  $c_i^{(k)}$  and  $\alpha_i^{(k)}$  in such a way that f satisfies the requirements stated in the beginning of the construction.

First of all, if

(8) 
$$3/2 \le \alpha_1^{(1)} < \alpha_1^{(2)} < \alpha_2^{(2)} < \alpha_1^{(3)} < \alpha_2^{(3)} < \dots < 2$$

and

(9) 
$$|c_{i+1}^{(k)}| < \frac{1}{2}|c_i^{(k)}|, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1,$$

(10) 
$$|c_1^{(k)}| < \frac{1}{2}|c_{k-1}^{(k-1)}|, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots,$$

then the series in (7) representing f uniformly converges and f is analytic on [0,1].

Besides  $c_i^{(k)}$  and  $\alpha_i^{(k)}$  we shall inductively define two more positive sequences  $\{\varepsilon_k\}$  and  $\{\eta_k\}$ . Let

$$c_1^{(1)} = \varepsilon_1 = \eta_1 = 1, \qquad \alpha_1^{(1)} = 3/2,$$

and suppose that, for some k, all the numbers  $\{c_i^{(k-1)}\}_{i=1}^{k-1}, \{\alpha_i^{(k-1)}\}_{i=1}^{k-1}, \varepsilon_{k-1}$  and  $\eta_{k-1}$  are already defined. We set

(11) 
$$\varepsilon_k = \min\{2 - \alpha_{k-1}^{(k-1)}, (\alpha_{k-1}^{(k-1)})^{-1} - 1/2\}.$$

If

$$(12) 2 - \varepsilon_k < \alpha_1^{(k)} < \dots < \alpha_k^{(k)} < 2$$

and

(13) 
$$\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon_k > \xi_1^{(k)} > \xi_2^{(k)} > \dots > \xi_k^{(k)} > \frac{1}{\alpha_1^{(k)}}$$

are chosen arbitrarily, then, by the Lemma, to M=k+8,  $\varepsilon=\varepsilon_k$  and  $\eta=\min\{\eta_{k-1},\frac{1}{2}|c_{k-1}^{(k-1)}|\}$  there are numbers  $c_1^{(k)},\ldots,c_k^{(k)}$  and n=n(k) such that

(14) 
$$|c_{i+1}^{(k)}| < \frac{1}{2}|c_i^{(k)}|, \quad i = 1, \dots, k-1,$$

$$|c_1^{(k)}| < \min\left\{\eta_{k-1}, \frac{1}{2}|c_{k-1}^{(k-1)}|\right\}$$

248 V. TOTIK

and

(15) 
$$(-1)^{s} T_{n-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i^{(k)} f_{\alpha_i^{(k)}}, \xi_s^{(k)} \right) > k+8, \quad s = 1, \dots, k.$$

Now, setting

$$\eta_k = 2^{-n} = 2^{-n(k)},$$

the definition of the sequences above is complete.

By our construction, (8), (9) and (10) hold true. Let us consider the (n-1) = (n(k)-1)-th partial sum of the Taylor expansion of f around 0 at the points  $\xi_s^{(k)}$ ,  $s = 1, \ldots, k$ , where k is fixed. We have

$$T_{n-1}(f, \xi_s^{(k)}) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} T_{n-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{l} c_i^{(l)} f_{\alpha_i^{(l)}}, \xi_s^{(k)} \right)$$
$$= \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} + \sum_{l=k} + \sum_{l=k+1}^{\infty} = I_1 + I_2 + I_3.$$

According to (12), (13) and (11), if  $l \leq k-1$ , then, for every  $1 \leq i \leq l$ ,

$$0 < \alpha_i^{(l)} \xi_s^{(k)} < \alpha_{k-1}^{(k-1)} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon_k\right) \le 1.$$

Therefore,

$$|T_{n-1}(f_{\alpha_i^{(l)}}, \xi_s^{(k)})| = \left| \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{\nu} (\alpha_i^{(l)} \xi_s^{(k)})^{\nu} \right| \le 1$$

and hence (9) and (10) yield

$$|I_1| \le \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^{l} |c_i^{(l)}| \le \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} 2|c_1^{(l)}| < 4.$$

Since n = n(k), we get, from (15),

$$(-1)^s I_2 = (-1)^s T_{n-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^k c_i^{(k)} f_{\alpha_i^{(k)}}, \xi_s^{(k)} \right) > k+8, \quad s=1,\ldots,k.$$

Finally, let l > k. Then, for  $1 \le i \le l$ ,

$$|T_{n-1}(f_{\alpha_i^{(l)}}, \xi_s^{(k)})| \le \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} |\alpha_i^{(l)} \xi_s^{(k)}|^{\nu} \le \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} 2^{\nu} < 2^n.$$

Therefore, (9) shows that

$$\left| T_{n-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{l} c_i^{(l)} f_{\alpha_i^{(l)}}, \xi_s^{(k)} \right) \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{l} |c_i^{(l)}| 2^n \leq 2^{n+1} |c_1^{(l)}|$$

and hence we get from (10), (14) and (16) that

$$|I_3| \le 2^{n+1} \sum_{l=k+1}^{\infty} |c_1^{(l)}| \le 2^{n+2} |c_1^{(k+1)}| \le 4.$$

Collecting our estimates we can see that, for n = n(k),

$$(-1)^s T_{n-1}(f, \xi_s^{(k)}) > (k+8) - 4 - 4 = k, \quad s = 1, \dots, k, \quad k = 2, \dots,$$

and, since  $\xi_s^{(k)} \in (0,1)$ , the proof is complete.  $\square$ 

## REFERENCE

1. A.L. Horwitz and L.A. Rubel, Two theorems on inverse interpolation, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 19 (1989), 645–653.

Bolyai Institute, Szeged, Aradi V. Tere 1. 6720, Hungary