

NÖRLUND AND WEIGHTED MEAN MATRICES AS OPERATORS ON l_p

F.P. CASS AND W. KRATZ

ABSTRACT. Let $a = \{a_n\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers with $a_n = f(n)$, for n large, where f is a logarithmico-exponential function defined on $[x_0, \infty)$ for some $x_0 > 0$. Let $N_a = \{a_{nk}\}$, where $a_{nk} = a_{n-k}/A_n$ ($A_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k$) for $0 \leq k \leq n$ and 0 otherwise; let $W_a = \{a_{nk}\}$, where $a_{nk} = a_k/A_n$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$ and 0 otherwise. N_a is called a *Nörlund matrix* and W_a is called a *weighted mean matrix*. The principal results in the paper include:

(i) $N_a \in B(l_p)$ ($1 < p < \infty$) if and only if $\alpha = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n a_n / A_n < \infty$; and

(ii) $W_a \in B(l_p)$ if and only if $\beta = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n / n a_n < p$. In each case estimates and asymptotic properties of the norms of the operators are obtained.

1. Introduction. Let $a = \{a_n\}$ be a sequence of *positive* numbers and let $A_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k$. Define the *Nörlund matrix* $N_a = \{a_{nk}\}$ by

$$a_{nk} = \begin{cases} a_{n-k}/A_n, & \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0, & \text{for } k > n. \end{cases}$$

and the *weighted mean matrix* $W_a = \{a_{nk}\}$ by

$$a_{nk} = \begin{cases} a_k/A_n, & \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0, & \text{for } k > n. \end{cases}$$

The N_a - or W_a -transform $y = \{y_k\}$ of a sequence $x = \{x_k\}$ is given by $y_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{nk} x_k$.

AMS (1980) *Subject Classification*: Primary 47B37, 47A30; Secondary 26A12, 40G05.

Key Words and Phrases: Nörlund, weighted means, operators on l_p , norm estimates.

Received by the editors on April 10, 1985 and in revised form on August 28, 1986.

This research was supported in part by the National Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. The authors would like to thank the Mathematics Departments of The University of British Columbia and Universität Ulm for their support during the preparation of this work.

Copyright ©1990 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

In this paper we investigate conditions under which $y \in l_p$ whenever $x \in l_p$ ($1 < p < \infty$), and we provide estimates of the norms of the resulting operators N_a and W_a . We are concerned with the situation in which, for sufficiently large k , $a_k = f(k)$, where f is a logarithmico-exponential function defined and positive for all sufficiently large values of the real variable x . In this case we shall say that *the sequence a is given by the function f* .

Logarithmico-exponential functions are discussed in [3]. Let L denote the set of all logarithmico-exponential functions defined on $[x_0, \infty)$ for some $x_0 > 0$. If $f \in L$, then f is eventually monotone, eventually of constant sign and $f' \in L$. We use the notation

$$g \preceq f, \text{ if } g(x) \leq Cf(x), \text{ for some } C > 0 \text{ and } x \text{ large,}$$

$$g \prec f, \text{ if } \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g(x)/f(x) = 0.$$

$$g \succ f, \text{ if } g \preceq f \text{ and } f \preceq g,$$

$$g \sim f, \text{ if } \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g(x)/f(x) = 1.$$

The symbols \succeq and \succ then have the obvious meaning.

We suppose throughout that $1 < p < \infty$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$ and define

$$\sigma_1(n) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{nk} \left(\frac{n+1}{k+1} \right)^{1/p},$$

$$\sigma_2(k) = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left(\frac{k+1}{n+1} \right)^{1/q},$$

$$\sigma_1 = \sup_{n \geq 0} \sigma_1(n) \text{ and } \sigma_2 = \sup_{k \geq 0} \sigma_2(k).$$

Let $B(l_p)$ denote the Banach algebra of bounded linear operators on l_p and $\|T\|_p$ denote the norm of $T \in B(l_p)$.

2. Results. We establish the following results.

THEOREM 1. *Suppose a is given by f . Then $N_a \in B(l_p)$ if and only if $\alpha = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} na_n/A_n < \infty$, and then*

$$(1) \quad \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(1/q)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1/q)} \leq \|N_a\|_p \leq \sigma_1^{1/q} \sigma_2^{1/p} < \infty$$

and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_1(n) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_2(k) = \Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(1/q)/\Gamma(\alpha + 1/q)$. Further, if a is any sequence of positive numbers such that $\alpha = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} na_n/A_n = 0$, then $N_a \in B(l_p)$ and (1) holds.

REMARKS. (a) That $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} na_n/A_n$ exists follows since $f \in L$ (see Lemma 2).

(b) When $\|a\|_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k < \infty$, it follows readily from [1, Theorem 1] that $1 \leq \|N_a\|_p \leq \|a\|_1 \sup_{n \geq 0} (1/A_n)$.

THEOREM 2. *Suppose a is given by f . Then $W_a \in B(l_p)$ if and only if $\beta = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n/na_n < p$, and then*

$$(2) \quad \frac{p}{p - \beta} \leq \|W_a\|_p \leq \sigma_1^{1/q} \sigma_2^{1/p} < \infty$$

and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_1(n) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_2(k) = p/(p - \beta)$.

Let $l_p(N) = \{x = \{x_k\} \in l_p : x_0 = x_1 = \cdots = x_n = 0\}$, $N \in \mathbf{N}_0$, and let $\|N_a\|_p^{(N)}$ and $\|W_a\|_p^{(N)}$ denote the norms of the operators N_a and W_a in $B(l_p(N))$. Further, let

$$\sigma_1^{(N)}(n) = \sum_{k=N+1}^n a_{nk} \left[\frac{n+1}{k+1} \right]^{1/p}, \quad \sigma_1^{(N)} = \sup_{n \geq 0} \sigma_1^{(N)}(n),$$

$$\sigma_2^{(N)}(k) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq N, \\ \sigma_2(k), & \text{for } k > N, \end{cases}$$

and $\sigma_2^{(N)} = \sup_{k \geq 0} \sigma_2^{(N)}(k)$. Note that $\sigma_1^{(N)} \leq \sup_{n > N} \sigma_1(n)$ and $\sigma_2^{(N)} = \sup_{k > N} \sigma_2(k)$.

COROLLARY 1. *Let a be given by f , and suppose $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n/A_n = 0$. Then $N_a \in B(l_p(N))$ if and only if $\alpha = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} na_n/A_n < \infty$, and then*

$$\frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(1/q)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1/q)} \leq \|N_a\|_p^{(N)} \leq (\sigma_1^{(N)})^{1/q}(\sigma_2^{(N)})^{1/p}.$$

Moreover,

$$(3) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|N_a\|_p^{(N)} = \Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(1/q)\Gamma(\alpha + 1/q).$$

Further, if a is given by f and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n/A_n > 0$, then $N_a \in B(l_p(N))$ if and only if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \exp\{-p(N+1)f'(n)/f(n)\} < \infty$.

COROLLARY 2. *Let a be given by f . Then $W_a \in B(l_p(N))$ if and only if $\beta = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n/na_n < p$, and then*

$$p/(p - \beta) \leq \|W_a\|_p^{(N)} \leq (\sigma_1^{(N)})^{1/q}(\sigma_2^{(N)})^{1/p}.$$

Moreover,

$$(4) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|W_a\|_p^{(N)} = p/(p - \beta).$$

REMARK. If S is the shift operator that maps $\{x_k\}$ to $\{y_k\}$ where $y_0 = 0$ and $y_k = x_{k-1}$ for $k \geq 1$, then (3) and (4) may be written

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|N_a S^N\|_p = \Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(1/q)/\Gamma(\alpha + 1/q)$$

and

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|W_a S^N\|_p = p/(p - \beta)$$

respectively.

3. Lemmas and proofs. Our first lemma does not seem to be explicitly stated elsewhere in the literature.

LEMMA 1. *Suppose that $g \in C_1[x_0, \infty)$, for some $x_0 > 0$, and that $g > 0$. Let $\epsilon = xg'/g$, suppose that g and ϵ are monotonic on*

$[x_0, \infty)$ and that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon(x) = 0$. Then there is a function μ such that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \mu(x) = \infty$, $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \mu(x)/x = 0$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g(x)/g(\mu(x)) = 1$. Moreover, if $g \in L$, then we may choose $\mu \in L$.

PROOF. Let $s = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g(x)$. Then $0 \leq s \leq \infty$. If $0 < s < \infty$, we may take $\mu(x) = \sqrt{x}$. (Observe that $g(x)/g(\sqrt{x}) \rightarrow s/s = 1$.) The case when $s = 0$ follows from the case when $s = \infty$ with g replaced by $1/g$. If $s = \infty$, then $g(x)$ must increase. Thus $g' \geq 0$ and $\epsilon(x)$ must decrease (since $0 \leq \epsilon(x) \rightarrow 0$). Define $\mu(x) = xe^{-1/\delta(x)}$, where $\delta(x) = \max\{2/\log x, \sqrt{\epsilon(\sqrt{x})}\} \rightarrow 0$. Clearly $\mu < x$ and $\mu \geq \sqrt{x} \rightarrow \infty$. Finally $0 \leq g(x) - g(\mu(x)) = \int_{\mu(x)}^x \epsilon(t)g(t)/t dt \leq g(x)\epsilon(\sqrt{x}) \int_{\mu(x)}^x \frac{dt}{t} \leq g(x)\sqrt{\epsilon(\sqrt{x})} = o(g(x))$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g(\mu(x))/g(x) = 1$. \square

LEMMA 2. Suppose $g \in L$, $g(x) > 0$, $xg'/g = o(1)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ and $0 < \alpha < \infty$, $0 < \nu < \infty$, $\delta < 1$. Then

$$I(n) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{g(k)}{g(n)} \left(\frac{k+1}{n+1}\right)^{\alpha-1} \left(1 - \frac{k}{n+1}\right)^{-\delta} \\ \longrightarrow \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(1-\delta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1-\delta)} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$J(k) = k^\nu \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{g(n-k)}{g(n)} (n+1)^{-\alpha-\nu} (n+1-k)^{\alpha-1} \\ \longrightarrow \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\nu)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\nu)} \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

PROOF. Suppose first that $g \equiv 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
I(n) &\leq (n+1)^{-\alpha} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_k^{k+1} \left(\frac{t}{n+1}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{t+1}{n+1}\right)^{\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{t}{n+1}\right)^{-1} \\
&\quad \left(1 - \frac{t-1}{n+1}\right)^{1-\delta} \frac{dt}{n+1} + (n+1)^{\delta-1} \\
&= o(1) + \int_{1/(n+1)}^{n/(n+1)} \tau^{\alpha-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau(n+1)}\right)^{\alpha} (1-\tau)^{-\delta} \\
&\quad \left(1 + \frac{1}{(1-\tau)(n+1)}\right)^{1-\delta} d\tau \\
&\rightarrow \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(1-\delta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1-\delta)} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \left(\text{with } \tau = \frac{t}{n+1}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem. Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
I(n) &\geq (n+1)^{-\alpha} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_k^{k+1} \left(\frac{t+1}{n+1}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{t}{n+1}\right)^{\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{t-1}{n+1}\right)^{-1} \\
&\quad \left(1 - \frac{t}{n+1}\right)^{1-\delta} \frac{dt}{n+1} + (n+1)^{\delta-1} \\
&= o(1) + \int_{1/(n+1)}^{n/(n+1)} \tau^{\alpha-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau(n+1)}\right)^{-1} (1-\tau)^{-\delta} \\
&\quad \left(1 + \frac{1}{(1-\tau)(n+1)}\right)^{-1} d\tau \\
&\rightarrow \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(1-\delta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1-\delta)} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

The assertion concerning $J(k)$, with $g \equiv 1$, is obtained similarly via the integral

$$\int_k^{\infty} t^{-\alpha-\nu} (t-k)^{\alpha-1} dt = k^{-\nu} \int_0^1 \tau^{\alpha-1} (1-\tau)^{\nu-1} d\tau = k^{-\nu} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\nu)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\nu)},$$

with $\tau = (t-k)/t$. Now suppose $g \in L$, $g(x) > 0$ and $xg'/g = o(1)$. Then, for all $\eta > 0$, $x^{-\eta} < g < x^{\eta}$. Hence $x^{\eta}g$ increases and $x^{-\eta}g$

decreases ultimately. Since, for any fixed N ,

$$\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^N \frac{g(k)}{g(n)} \left(\frac{k+1}{n+1}\right)^{\alpha-1} \left(1 - \frac{k}{n+1}\right)^{-\delta} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(n) &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\frac{k+1}{n+1}\right)^{\alpha-\eta-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n+1}\right)^{-\delta} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - \eta)\Gamma(1 - \delta)}{\Gamma(\alpha - \eta + 1 - \delta)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(n) \geq \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \eta)\Gamma(1 - \delta)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \eta + 1 - \delta)}$$

for all $0 < \eta < \alpha$. The same reasoning may be applied to $J(k)$, and then the assertions of our lemma follow. \square

Lemma 3 elucidates the relationship between $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} xf'(x)/f(x)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} na_n/A_n$.

LEMMA 3. *Suppose a is given by f and that*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} xf'(x)/f(x) = \alpha - 1 \quad (-\infty \leq \alpha \leq +\infty).$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} na_n/A_n = \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{if } \alpha \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha < 0. \end{cases}$$

Conversely, if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} na_n/A_n = \alpha > 0$, then $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} xf'(x)/f(x) = \alpha - 1$. (Observe that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} xf'(x)/f(x)$ always exists by monotonicity.)

PROOF. If $\alpha = \infty$, then $f \succ x^\Delta$ for all $\Delta > 0$ by [3, Theorem 23 with $v(x) = x$]. Moreover, $A_n \sim a_n$ or $A_n \asymp \int_1^n f(t) dt = F(n)$ by [3, Theorem 33]. Since $F(x) \leq f(x)x^{-\Delta} \int_1^x t^\Delta dt + x_0(\Delta f(x) \leq (xf(x))/(\Delta + 1) + x_0f(x)$, for all $\Delta > 0$, we obtain that $na_n/A_n \rightarrow \infty$.

If $0 < \alpha < \infty$, then $f \sim x^{\alpha-1}g$ with $x^{-\delta} \prec g \prec x^\delta$ for all $\delta > 0$ by [3, Theorem 23] and $A_n \sim \int_1^n f(t) dt$ by [3, Theorem 33]. Hence, $A_n \sim na_n/\alpha$ by [3, Theorem 25]. If Σa_n is convergent, which happens if $\alpha < 0$ and sometimes when $\alpha = 0$, then $na_n \rightarrow 0$. Hence $na_n/A_n \rightarrow 0$. Finally, we may assume $\alpha = 0$ and $\Sigma a_n = \infty$. Then $f = g/x$ with $x^{-\delta} \prec g \prec x^\delta$ for all $\delta > 0$ by [3, Theorem 23] and $A_n \sim \int_1^n f(t) dt$ by [3, Theorem 33]. Now, Lemma 2 implies that

$$A_n \geq \int_{\mu(n)}^n f(t) dt \sim g(n) \log \left(\frac{n}{\mu(n)} \right) = na_n \log \left(\frac{n}{\mu(n)} \right) \succ na_n,$$

which completes the proof. \square

LEMMA 4. *Suppose $f \in L$, $f(x) > 0$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} xf'(x)/f(x) = \infty$. Then, for γ real,*

$$\int_x^\infty \frac{dt}{t^\gamma F(t)} \sim \frac{1}{x^\gamma f(x)} \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $F(x) = \int_c^x f(t) dt$ for any sufficiently large constant c .

PROOF. By [3, Theorem 23], our hypothesis implies that $f \succ x^\Delta$ for all $\Delta > 0$, so that the integral exists. Integrating by parts and using [3, Theorem 25], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_x^\infty \frac{dt}{t^\gamma F(t)} &= \int_x^\infty \left\{ -\frac{F}{t^\gamma F'} \right\} \left\{ -\frac{F'}{F^2} \right\} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{x^\gamma f(x)} + \int_x^\infty \frac{1}{F(t)} o(t^{-\gamma}) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{x^\gamma f(x)} + o(1) \int_x^\infty \frac{dt}{F(t)t^\gamma}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 4 with [3, Theorems 25 and 33], we obtain

LEMMA 5. *Suppose $f \in L$, $f(x) > 0$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} xf'/f = \infty$. Let γ be real, $a_n = f(n)$ and $A_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k$. Then*

$$\sum_{n=k}^\infty \frac{1}{A_n n^\gamma} \sim \frac{1}{a_k k^\gamma}.$$

PROOF. We may assume without loss of generality that f is increasing on $[0, \infty)$. Let

$$F(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt$$

and

$$A_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k = \sum_{k=0}^n f(k).$$

Then, for all sufficiently large k , we have

$$\int_{k+1}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{F(t)(t-1)^\gamma} \leq \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A_n n^\gamma} \leq \int_{k-1}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{F(t)t^\gamma}.$$

We now distinguish three cases.

Case 1. $f(x) \succ e^{\Delta x}$ for every $\Delta > 0$. Referring to [3, Theorem 33], we have $A_{n-1} < a_n$ and $A_n \sim a_n$. Now

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A_n n^\gamma} \leq \frac{1}{A_k k^\gamma} + \frac{1}{A_{k+1}(k+1)^\gamma} + \int_{k+1}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{F(t)t^\gamma}.$$

Thus, using Lemma 4, we see

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k k^\gamma \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A_n n^\gamma} \leq 1.$$

On the other hand,

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A_n n^\gamma} \geq \frac{1}{A_k k^\gamma},$$

so

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k k^\gamma \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A_n n^\gamma} \geq 1,$$

and the result follows.

Case 2. $f(x) \prec e^{\delta x}$ for every $\delta > 0$. In this case we have, using [3, Theorems 33 and 25], $A_n \sim F(n)$ and $a_n/A_n = o(1)$. Now, for all

sufficiently large k ,

$$\int_k^\infty \frac{dt}{t^\gamma F(t)} \leq \sum_{n=k}^\infty \frac{1}{n^\gamma F(n)} \leq \int_{k-1}^\infty \frac{dt}{t^\gamma F(t)}.$$

Since, for large k , we have

$$\int_{k-1}^k \frac{dt}{t^\gamma F(t)} \leq \frac{1}{(k-1)^\gamma F(k-1)} \sim \frac{1}{k^\gamma A_k} \prec \frac{1}{k^\gamma a_k},$$

and $\sum_{n=k}^\infty 1/A_n n^\gamma \sim \sum_{n=k}^\infty 1/F(n) n^\gamma$, the result follows from Lemma 4.

Case 3. $f(x) = e^{ax} b(x)$, where $a > 0$ and $e^{-\delta x} \prec b(x) \prec e^{\delta x}$ for all $\delta > 0$.

Here, from [3, Theorem 33], $A_n \sim \{a/(1 - e^{-a})\}F(n)$ and $a_n \sim \{a/(1 - e^{-a})\}c_n$ where $c_n = \int_{n-1}^n f(t) dt$. So $a_k/A_k \sim c_k/F(k) \sim 1 - e^{-a}$ and $A_k/A_{k+r} \sim e^{-ar}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ with r fixed. Now, for r fixed, we have

$$a_k k^\gamma \sum_{n=k}^\infty \frac{1}{A_n n^\gamma} \leq \frac{a_k}{A_k} + \dots + \frac{a_k}{A_{k+r}} + a_k k^\gamma \int_{k+r}^\infty \frac{dt}{F(t) t^\gamma}.$$

Thus

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k k^\gamma \sum_{n=k}^\infty \frac{1}{A_n n^\gamma} \leq (1 - e^{-a})(1 + e^{-a} + \dots + e^{-ar}) + e^{-ar},$$

and so

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k k^\gamma \sum_{n=k}^\infty \frac{1}{A_n n^\gamma} \leq 1.$$

On the other hand, for fixed r ,

$$a_k k^\gamma \sum_{n=k}^\infty \frac{1}{A_n n^\gamma} \geq \sum_{\nu=0}^r \frac{a_k k^\gamma}{A_{k+\nu} (k+\nu)^\gamma} \rightarrow (1 - e^{-a}) \sum_{\nu=0}^r e^{-\nu a}$$

so that

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k k^\gamma \sum_{n=k}^\infty \frac{1}{A_n n^\gamma} \geq 1,$$

and the result follows. \square

4. Proof of Theorem 1 and Corollary 1.

Case 1. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n/A_n > 0$. Note that $\alpha = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} na_n/A_n = \infty$.

Now $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{n0}|^p = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n/A_n|^p = \infty$. Hence $N_a e^0 \notin l_p$, where $e^0 = (1, 0, 0, \dots)$, so that $N_a \notin B(l_p)$. For Corollary 1 a more delicate argument is required.

Suppose first that

$$(5) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \exp\{-p(N+1)f'(n)/f(n)\} < \infty,$$

so $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f'(x)/f(x) = \infty$. Then, by [3, Theorem 33], $f(x) \succ e^{\Delta x}$ for all $\Delta > 0$, and $A_n \sim a_n$. Let $x \in l_p(N)$ and $y = N_a x$. Using Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} |y_n|^p &\leq \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=N+1}^n |x_k|^p \right) \left(\sum_{k=N+1}^n (a_{n-k}/A_n)^q \right)^{p/q} \\ &\leq \|x\|_p^p \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} A_n^{-p} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-N-1} a_k^q \right)^{p/q} \\ &\sim \|x\|_p^p \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} (f(n-N-1)/f(n))^p. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f'(t)/f(t)$ is eventually increasing, we have $\log(f(n)/f(n-N-1)) = \int_{n-N-1}^n f'(t)/f(t) dt \geq (N+1)f'(n-N-1)/f(n-N-1)$ for large n , so that $\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} (f(n-N-1)/f(n))^p < \infty$ by comparison with (5).

Suppose now that

$$(6) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \exp\{-p(N+1)f'(n)/f(n)\} = \infty.$$

Let $x = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$ with the 1 in the $(N+1)^{\text{st}}$ place. Then, if $y = N_a x$, $y_n = a_{nN+1}$. Since, for some c , we have $0 < c \leq a_n/A_n \leq 1$, it follows that

$$\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} |y_n|^p \succeq \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} (f(n-N-1)/f(n))^p.$$

Since f'/f is eventually monotonic we have, for large n ,

$$\int_{n-N-1}^n f'(t)/f(t) dt \leq (N+1) \max\{f'(n)/f(n), f'(n-N-1)/f(n-N-1)\}$$

and $\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} (f(n-N-1)/f(n))^p = \infty$ by comparison with (6). This completes the proof of Corollary 1 in Case 1.

Case 2. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n/A_n = 0$ and $\alpha = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} na_n/A_n = \infty$. It follows, by Lemma 3, that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} xf'(x)/f(x) = \infty$. Since $A_n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $A_n \sim \int_c^n f(t) dt$ where c is constant. From [3, Theorem 25] we have $\int_c^x f(t) dt \sim f^2/f'$ (since $f \succ x^\Delta$ for all $\Delta > 0$); therefore, $h(n) \sim A_n/a_n \rightarrow \infty$ with $h = f/f'$. Hence, from [4, Lemma 2 with $\lambda_3(x) = x$ and $\lambda = f$], we obtain

$$\sum_{n-h(n) \leq k \leq n} a_k \asymp a_n h(n).$$

Putting $\delta = 1/p$, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^n a_{n-k} (k+1)^{-\delta} \geq \sum_{n-h(n) \leq k \leq n} a_k (n+1-k)^{-\delta} \succeq (h(n))^{1-\delta} a_n.$$

Thus $\sigma_1(n) \succeq (1/A_n)(n+1)^\delta a_n (h(n))^{1-\delta} \asymp (na_n/A_n)^\delta \rightarrow \infty$. From [2, Theorem 4 with $b = d_n = 1$], it follows that $N_a \notin B(l_p)$. Since $\sum_{k=n-N}^n a_k \prec A_n$ we have $\sum_{n-h(n) \leq k \leq n-N} a_k \asymp a_n h(n) \sim A_n$, and the arguments above imply that $N_a \notin \bar{B}(l_p(N))$.

Case 3. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} na_n/A_n = \alpha$ with $0 < \alpha < \infty$. Hence, by Lemma 3, $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} xf'(x)/f(x) = \alpha - 1$. Now, $f = (x+1)^{\alpha-1}g$, where $x^{-\epsilon} \prec g \prec x^\epsilon$ for all $\epsilon > 0$.

Putting $\delta = 1/p$ and applying [3, Theorem 25] together with Lemma 2, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_1(n) &= \frac{(n+1)^\delta}{A_n} \sum_{k=0}^n a_k (n+1-k)^{-\delta} \\ &\sim \frac{\alpha}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{g(k)}{g(n)} \left(\frac{k+1}{n+1}\right)^{\alpha-1} \left(1 - \frac{k}{n+1}\right)^{-\delta} \\ &\sim \frac{\alpha \Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(1/q)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1/q)}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, from [2, Theorem 4], $\|N_\alpha\|_p \geq \Gamma(\alpha + 1) \Gamma(1/q) \Gamma(\alpha + 1/q)$. Also, putting $\nu = 1/q$, by Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_2(k) &\sim \alpha k^\nu \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{g(n-k)}{g(k)} (n+1)^{-\alpha-\nu} (n+1-k)^{\alpha-1} \\ &\sim \alpha \frac{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(1/q)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1/q)}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, from [2, Theorem 1 with $b_{nk} = (n+1)/(k+1)$], (1) holds. It follows similarly that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_1^{(N)}(n) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_2^{(N)}(k) = \Gamma(\alpha + 1) \Gamma(1/q) / \Gamma(\alpha + 1/q),$$

and Corollary 1 is established for $0 < \alpha \leq \infty$.

Case 4. Here, $a = \{a_n\}$ is any sequence of positive numbers such that $\alpha = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n a_n / A_n = 0$. Let $\delta = 1/p$ and $0 < \theta < 1$. Now, for θ fixed,

$$1 \leq \sigma_1(n) = \frac{(n+1)^\delta}{A_n} \left(\sum_{0 \leq k \leq \theta n} + \sum_{\theta n < k \leq n} \right) \frac{a_{n-k}}{(k+1)^\delta}$$

and

$$\frac{(n+1)^\delta}{A_n} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \theta n} \frac{a_{n-k}}{(k+1)^\delta} = o(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

while

$$\frac{(n+1)^\delta}{A_n} \sum_{\theta n < k \leq n} \frac{a_{n-k}}{(k+1)^\delta} \leq \left(\frac{n+1}{\theta n + 1} \right)^\delta \rightarrow \theta^{-\delta} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Consequently, $1 \leq \liminf \sigma_1(n) \leq \limsup \sigma_1(n) \leq 1/\theta^\delta$, and since θ is arbitrary, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_1(n) = 1$. Since $\sigma_1^{(N)}(n) \leq \sigma_1(n)$ and $\sigma_1^{(N)}(n) \geq (1/A_n) \sum_{k=0}^{n-N-1} a_k \rightarrow 1$, we also have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_1^{(N)}(n) = 1$. Again, [2, Theorem 4] yields $\|N_a\|_p \geq \|N_a\|_p^{(N)} \geq 1$. For $0 < \theta < 1$ and k large enough that $(n+1)a_n/A_n < \theta$ for all $n < k$, we have, with $\nu = 1/q$,

$$\begin{aligned} (k+1)^\nu \sum_{n=2k+1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n-k}}{A_n(n+1)^\nu} &\leq (k+1)^\nu \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{A_n(n+1)^\nu} \\ &\leq (k+1)^\nu \theta \int_{k+1}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t^{\nu+1}} = q\theta \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(k+1)^\nu \sum_{n=k}^{2k} \frac{a_{n-k}}{A_n(n+1)^\nu} \leq 1.$$

Thus $\limsup \sigma_2(k) \leq 1 + q\theta$, and, since θ is arbitrary, $\limsup \sigma_2(k) \leq 1$. Now [2, Theorem 1] yields (1), and Corollary 1 is established for $\alpha = 0$. \square

REMARK. When $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} na_n/A_n = \alpha$ with $0 \leq \alpha < \infty$, then [1, Theorem 2] shows that $N_a \in B(l_p)$ and the proof of [1, Theorem 2] yields $\|N_a\|_p \leq \sigma_1^{1/q} \sigma_2^{1/p}$.

5. Proof of Theorem 2 and Corollary 2.

Case 1. $\beta = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n/na_n = 0$, so that, by Lemma 3, $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} xf'(x)/f(x) = \infty$.

Now, using [3, Theorem 25] ($\delta = 1/p$),

$$\sigma_1(n) = \frac{(n+1)^\delta}{A_n} \sum_{k=0}^n a_k (k+1)^{-\delta} \longrightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and $\sigma_1^{(N)}(n) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, by [2, Theorem 4],

$$\|W_a\|_p \geq \|W_a\|_p^{(N)} \geq 1.$$

Also, since, by Lemma 5, $\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} 1/A_n n^\nu \sim 1/a_k k^\nu$ where $\nu = 1/q$, we obtain $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_2(k) = 1$. This, together with [2, Theorems 2 and 4], establishes Theorem 2 and Corollary 2 in the case $\beta = 0$.

Case 2. $\beta = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n/na_n$ with $0 < \beta < \infty$ so that, by Lemma 3, $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} xf'(x)/f(x) = \alpha - 1$, where $\alpha = 1/\beta$.

We have $f = (x+1)^{\alpha-1}g$, where $x^{-\epsilon} < g < x^\epsilon$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. Then, using [3, Theorem 25] and putting $\delta = 1/p$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1(n) &= \frac{(n+1)^\delta}{A_n} \sum_{k=0}^n a_k (k+1)^{-\delta} \\ &\sim \alpha(n+1)^{\delta-\alpha} \frac{1}{g(n)} \sum_{k=0}^n g(k) (k+1)^{\alpha-\delta-1}. \end{aligned}$$

(i) If $\alpha < \delta$ (i.e., $\beta > p$), then $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g(k) (k+1)^{\alpha-\delta-1} < \infty$ so that $\sigma_1(n) \rightarrow \infty$ and [2, Theorem 4] shows $W_a \notin B(l_p)$. Also $\sigma_1^{(N)}(n) \rightarrow \infty$, so $W_a \notin B(l_p(N))$.

(ii) Suppose $\alpha = \delta$, i.e., $\beta = p$. Then, with the notation of Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1(n) &= \frac{(n+1)^\delta}{A_n} \sum_{k=0}^n a_k (k+1)^{-\delta} \\ &\sim \frac{\alpha}{g(n)} \sum_{k=0}^n g(k)/(k+1) \\ &\geq \frac{\alpha}{g(n)} \sum_{\mu(n) \leq k \leq n} g(k)/(k+1) \\ &\sim \alpha \log(n/\mu(n)) \quad \rightarrow \quad \infty \end{aligned}$$

and $W_a \notin B(l_p)$. Also $W_a \notin B(l_p(N))$.

(iii) Suppose $\alpha > \delta$, i.e., $\beta < p$. Then, from [3, Theorem 25 with $a = \alpha - \delta - 1$] and from [3, Theorem 33],

$$\sigma_1(n) \sim \alpha/(\alpha - \delta) = p/(p - \beta).$$

Also $\sigma_2(k) = a_k(k+1)^\nu \cdot \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} 1/A_n(n+1)^\nu$ where $\nu = 1/q$. So

$$\sigma_2(k) \sim g(k)k^{\alpha-\delta} \alpha \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} n^{\delta-\alpha-1}/g(n) \longrightarrow p/(p-\beta) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

With [2, Theorems 2 and 4] we get Theorem 2 and Corollary 2 for the case $0 < \alpha < \infty$.

Case 3. Now suppose $\beta = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n/na_n = \infty$. Then, by Lemma 3, $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} xf'/f = \rho \leq -1$. Hence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = s < \infty$ if $\rho < -1$, and $f(x) = g(x)/(x+1)$ with $x^{-\epsilon} \prec g \prec x^\epsilon$ for all $\epsilon > 0$, if $\rho = -1$. If $A_n \rightarrow s$ we obtain (with $\delta = 1/p$),

$$\sigma_1(n) = \frac{(n+1)^\delta}{A_n} \sum_{k=0}^n a_k(k+1)^{-\delta} \sim \frac{(n+1)^\delta}{s} \sum_{k=0}^n a_k(k+1)^{-\delta} \rightarrow \infty,$$

and if $\rho = -1$ we also get $\sigma_1(n) \rightarrow \infty$, because, in that case,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(k+1)^{-\delta} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g(k)(k+1)^{-\delta-1} < \infty$$

and $A_n = \sum_{k=0}^n g(k)/(k+1) \prec n^\epsilon$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. Now [2, Theorem 4] shows that $W_a \notin B(l_p)$ and $W_a \notin B(l_p(N))$. This completes the proofs of Theorem 2 and Corollary 2. \square

REFERENCES

1. D. Borwein and F.P. Cass, *Nörlund matrices as bounded operators on l_p* , Archiv der Mathematik **42** (1984), 464–469.
2. ——— and A. Jakimovski, *Matrix operators on l_p* , Rocky Mountain J. Math. **9** (1979), 463–477.
3. G.H. Hardy, *Orders of Infinity*, Cambridge, 1954.
4. W. Jurkat, W. Kratz and A. Peyerimhoff, *The Tauberian theorems which interrelate different Riesz means*, J. Approx. Theory **73** (1975), 235–266.
5. G. Bennett, *Some elementary inequalities*, Quart. J. Math. (to appear).
6. J.M. Cartlidge, *Weighted mean matrices as operators on l_p* , Ph.D. thesis, Indiana University, 1978.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ONTARIO,
LONDON, CANADA, N6A 5B7
ABTEILUNG FÜR MATHEMATIK V, UNIVERSITÄT ULM, 7900 ULM (DONAU),
OBERER ESELSBERG, WEST GERMANY