

## APPROXIMATION BY SEMI-FREDHOLM OPERATORS WITH FIXED NULLITY

RICHARD BOULDIN

**1. Introduction.** Let  $H$  be a fixed complex separable Hilbert space. For any (bounded linear) operator  $T$  on  $H$ , we define the nullity and deficiency, denoted  $\text{nul } T$  and  $\text{def } T$ , to be the dimensions of the kernels of  $T$  and  $T^*$ , respectively. Of course, the index of  $T$ , denoted  $\text{ind } T$ , is defined to be  $(\text{nul } T - \text{def } T)$ , with  $\infty - \infty$  understood to be 0. We denote the operator norm of  $T$  by  $\|T\|$  and the spectrum by  $\sigma(T)$ .

In [2] the distance from an arbitrary operator  $T$  to the set of invertible operators (and to the Fredholm operators) was determined. This provided a refinement of the classical result in [5] that describes the closure of the invertible operators. Subsequently Theorem 12.2 in [1] elaborated on [2] by showing that the formula given there was actually the distance from an arbitrary operator  $T$  to each set of semi-Fredholm operators with an index different from that of  $T$ . [1] went on to show that the preceding theorem plays a significant role in similarity theory.

In [7] the original methods of [2] are used to modify Theorem 12.2 to obtain the distance from  $T$  to the right invertible operators with a fixed nullity. All of the preceding results and some new methods were used in [3] to find the distance from  $T$  to the (unrestricted) set of operators with a fixed nullity; the formula obtained in [3] is a striking contrast to previously obtained formulas. In this note we determine the distance from  $T$  to a natural set which contains the right invertible operators with nullity equal to  $n$  and is contained in the set of operators with nullity equal to  $n$ . The results have some resemblance to those in [3] and some to those in [7].

**2. Preliminaries.** This section contains results that will be used frequently in the subsequent section. These results will be used sometimes without citation.

---

Received by the editors on August 2, 1986 and in revised form on March 25, 1987.

Copyright ©1990 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

Recall that the minimum modulus of the operator  $T$ , denoted  $m(T)$ , is defined by

$$m(T) = \inf\{\|Tf\| : \|f\| = 1\}.$$

For the sake of completeness we state the following well-known theorem; a proof can be found in [2].

**THEOREM 1.** (i)  $m(T) = \inf\{\lambda : \lambda \in \sigma((T^*T)^{1/2})\}$ .

(ii) *There exists an operator  $B$  such that  $BT = I$  if and only if  $m(T) > 0$ . In that case,  $B$  can be chosen such that  $m(T) = 1/\|B\|$ .*

(iii) *There exists an operator  $A$  such that  $TA = I$  if and only if  $m(T^*) > 0$ . In that case,  $A$  can be chosen such that  $m(T^*) = 1/\|A\|$ .*

(iv) *The operator  $T$  is invertible if and only if  $m(T)$  and  $m(T^*)$  are both positive. In that case,  $m(T) = m(T^*)$ .*

Recall that the reduced minimum modulus of  $T$ , denoted  $\gamma(T)$ , is defined by

$$\gamma(T) = \inf\{\|Tf\| : \|f\| = 1, f \perp \ker T\}.$$

The next theorem is mostly well known; a nice treatment can be found in [4, pp. 364–365].

**THEOREM 2.** (i)  $\gamma(T) > 0$  if and only if the range of  $T$ , denoted  $TH$ , is closed.

(ii)  $\gamma(T) = \gamma(T^*)$ .

(iii) *If  $T$  and  $A$  are both operators on  $H$  and  $\|T - A\| < \gamma(T)$ , then  $\text{nul } A \leq \text{nul } T$  and  $\text{def } A \leq \text{def } T$ .*

The essential spectrum of an operator  $T$ , denoted  $\sigma_e(T)$ , is the set  $\{z : T - zI \text{ is not a Fredholm operator}\}$ . We define the essential minimum modulus  $m_e(T)$  by

$$m_e(T) = \inf\{\lambda : \lambda \in \sigma_e((T^*T)^{1/2})\}.$$

The next theorem is a folklore result which is proved in [6].

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $T$  and  $A$  be operators such that  $\|T - A\| < m_e(T)$ . Then*

- (i)  $A$  has finite nullity and closed range if and only if  $T$  does,
- (ii)  $A$  is Fredholm if and only if  $T$  is, and
- (iii)  $\text{ind } A = \text{ind } T$ .

The following enumeration of the properties of  $m_e(T)$  was given in [2]. We shall use most of these.

**THEOREM 4.** (i) If  $E(\cdot)$  is the spectral measure for  $R = (T^*T)^{1/2}$ , then the smallest nonnegative number  $\alpha$  such that  $E([\alpha, \alpha + \delta))H$  is infinite dimensional for every positive  $\delta$  is  $\alpha = m_e(T)$ .

(ii) The range  $TH$  is closed and  $\text{nul } T$  is finite if and only if  $m_e(T) > 0$ .

(iii) The range  $T^*H$  is closed and  $\text{def } T$  is finite if and only if  $m_e(T^*) > 0$ .

(iv) The operator  $T$  is Fredholm if and only if  $m_e(T)$  and  $m_e(T^*)$  are positive. In that case,  $m_e(T) = m_e(T^*)$ .

We shall also use the next theorem which is proved in [7, Theorem 3.1] for positive  $n$ . The case  $n = 0$  is dealt with in [2].

**THEOREM 5.** Let  $n$  represent either a nonnegative integer or  $\infty$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf\{\|T - A\| : m(A^*) > 0, \text{nul } A = n\} \\ &= \begin{cases} \max\{m_e(T), m_e(T^*)\} & \text{if } \text{ind } T \neq n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

**3. Distance to the right semi-Fredholm operators.** The notation that we are about to define will help us to avoid burdensome repetitions of various conditions.

**DEFINITION.** Let  $n$  denote some nonnegative integer or  $\infty$  and define  $\Phi_n$ ,  $\Psi_n$ , and  $P_n$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_n &= \{A : m(A^*) > 0, \text{nul } A = n\}, \\ \Psi_n &= \{A : m_e(A^*) > 0, \text{nul } A = n\}, \\ P_n &= \{A : \text{nul } A = n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Define  $\psi_n(T)$ ,  $\phi_n(T)$ , and  $\rho_n(T)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_n(T) &= \inf \{ \|T - A\| : A \in \Phi_n \}, \\ \psi_n(T) &= \inf \{ \|T - A\| : A \in \Psi_n \}, \\ \rho_n(T) &= \inf \{ \|T - A\| : A \in P_n \}.\end{aligned}$$

The set  $\Phi_n$  consists of the “right invertible” operators with nullity  $n$  and  $\Psi_n$  consists of the “right semi-Fredholm” operators with nullity  $n$ .

LEMMA 6.  $\phi_n(T) \geq \psi_n(T) \geq \rho_n(T)$ .

PROOF. The inequalities follow from the containments

$$\Phi_n \subset \Psi_n \subset P_n. \quad \square$$

The inequalities in the preceding lemma and the simplifications in the next lemma will be used repeatedly.

LEMMA 7. (i) *If  $\text{nul } T < \infty$  or  $\text{def } T = \infty$ , then  $m_e(T) = \max\{m_e(T), m_e(T^*)\}$ .*

(ii) *If  $\text{nul } T = \infty$  or  $\text{def } T < \infty$ , then  $m_e(T^*) = \max\{m_e(T), m_e(T^*)\}$ .*

PROOF. If  $\text{def } T = \infty$ , then  $m_e(T^*) = 0$  and the conclusion of (i) is obvious from part (iii) of Theorem 4. Assume  $\text{nul } T < \infty$ . If  $TH$  is closed, then  $m_e(T) > 0$  according to part (ii) of Theorem 4 and the desired conclusion follows from part (iv) of Theorem 4. If  $TH$  is not closed, then  $T^*H$  is not closed according to Theorem 2 and both  $m_e(T)$  and  $m_e(T^*)$  are 0. The conclusion of (i) follows.

To prove part (ii) apply part (i) to  $T^*$ .  $\square$

The next theorem is our first main result. The distance formulas given here resemble formulas in [2] and [7].

THEOREM 8. Assume  $n \leq \text{nul } T$ .

- (i) If  $TH$  is not closed then  $\psi_n(T) = 0$ .
- (ii) If  $n \geq \text{ind } T > -\infty$  then  $\psi_n(T) = 0$ .
- (iii) If  $n < \text{ind } T$  then  $\psi_n(T) = m_e(T^*)$ .
- (iv) If  $\text{ind } T = -\infty$  then  $\psi_n(T) = m_e(T)$ .

PROOF. (i). Since  $TH$  is not closed,  $T^*H$  is not closed according to Theorem 2 and

$$0 = \max\{m_e(T), m_e(T^*)\}$$

according to parts (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 4. According to Theorem 5 we have  $\phi_n(T) = 0$ , and Lemma 6 implies that  $\psi_n(T) = 0$  as desired.

(ii). First we handle the case that  $n = \infty$  and  $\text{def } T < \infty$ . In view of part (i) we may assume that  $TH$  is closed; thus,  $T^*H$  is closed and  $T \in \Psi_n$  for  $n = \infty$ . Clearly  $\psi_n(T) = 0$  and we are done. In the remaining cases either  $n < \infty$  or else each of the quantities  $n$ ,  $\text{nul } T$  and  $\text{def } T$  is  $\infty$ . In this last case  $\max\{m_e(T), m_e(T^*)\} = 0$  and the desired conclusion follows from Theorem 5 and Lemma 6. Henceforth, we assume that  $n$  is finite and we note that  $\text{def } T \geq \text{nul } T - n$ . Let  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$  be an orthonormal basis for  $\ker T$  and note that this basis could be finite or infinite. Let  $\{f_1, f_2, \dots\}$  be an orthonormal basis for  $\ker T^*$  and note that it is infinite if the basis for  $\ker T$  is infinite. Define  $A_k$  by

$$A_k e_j = 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad A_k e_{n+j} = (1/k) f_j, j = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$A_k |(\ker T)^\perp = T |(\ker T)^\perp.$$

Theorem 2 shows that  $A_k H$  is closed if and only if  $TH$  is closed. In view of part (i), which is already proved, we may assume that  $TH$  is closed. Thus,  $A_k H$  is closed. The inequality  $\text{ind } T > -\infty$  implies that either  $\text{def } T$  is finite or else  $\text{nul } T = \infty = \text{def } T$ . In either case our construction results in  $\text{def } A_k < \infty$ . It is routine to verify that  $\text{nul } A_k = n$  and  $\|T - A_k\| = 1/k$ . It follows that  $A_k$  belongs to  $\Psi_n$  and

$$\psi_n(T) \leq \inf_k \|T - A_k\| = 0.$$

This proves (ii).

(iii). Theorem 5 and Lemma 6 imply that

$$\max\{m_e(T), m_e(T^*)\} \geq \phi_n(T) \geq \psi_n(T).$$

Since  $\text{ind } A \leq \text{nul } A$ , part (iii) of Theorem 3 implies that

$$\psi_n(T) \geq \max\{m_e(T), m_e(T^*)\},$$

and so we have

$$\psi_n(T) = \max\{m_e(T), m_e(T^*)\}.$$

The inequalities

$$0 \leq n < \text{ind } T$$

imply that  $\text{nul } T > \text{def } T$ ; in particular,  $\text{def } T$  is finite. Now Lemma 7 implies that

$$\max\{m_e(T), m_e(T^*)\} = m_e(T^*),$$

and the proof of (iii) is complete.

(iv). The equation  $\text{ind } T = -\infty$  implies that  $\text{nul } T$  and  $n$  are finite. By Lemma 7 we have

$$\max\{m_e(T), m_e(T^*)\} = m_e(T).$$

Since any operator in  $\Psi_n$  is Fredholm, it has finite index. Part (iii) of Theorem 3 implies that

$$\|T - A\| \geq \max\{m_e(T), m_e(T^*)\},$$

and so  $\psi_n(T) \geq \max\{m_e(T), m_e(T^*)\}$ . Theorem 5 and Lemma 6 imply that

$$\max\{m_e(T), m_e(T^*)\} \geq \phi_n(T) \geq \psi_n(T),$$

and so

$$\psi_n(T) = \max\{m_e(T), m_e(T^*)\} = m_e(T).$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

The preceding theorem, which computed the distance from  $T$  to each set of right semi-Fredholm operators with a fixed  $n$ , can be used to

obtain the distance to the set of all right semi-Fredholm operators with nullities not exceeding  $\text{nul } T$ .

THEOREM 9.

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf \{ \|T - A\| : A \in \Psi_n, n \leq \text{nul } T \} \\ &= \begin{cases} m_e(T), & \text{if } \text{ind } T = -\infty, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. If  $TH$  is not closed, then  $\psi_n(T) = 0$  according to part (i) of Theorem 8. Henceforth, we assume that  $TH$  is closed. Since  $\text{ind } T \leq \text{nul } T$  we can take  $n = \text{nul } T$  and

$$\text{nul } T \geq n \geq \text{ind } T.$$

According to part (ii) of Theorem 8,  $\psi_n(T) = 0$  unless  $\text{ind } T = -\infty$ . Thus, the only situation where we cannot choose  $n$  to get  $\psi_n(T) = 0$  is when  $TH$  is closed and  $\text{ind } T = -\infty$ . In that case part (iv) of Theorem 8 implies that  $\psi_n(T) = m_e(T)$  for all  $n$ .  $\square$

The next theorem produces a formula that resembles one in [3]. The proof requires the elaboration of an argument found in [3] as well as some new arguments.

THEOREM 10. Assume  $n > \text{nul } T$ .

- (i) If  $TH$  is not closed then  $\psi_n(T) = 0$ .
- (ii) If  $\text{def } T = \infty$  then  $\psi_n(T) = m_e(T)$ .
- (iii) If  $\text{def } T < \infty$  and  $TH$  is closed, then

$$\psi_n(T) = \sup \{ \lambda : \dim E([0, \lambda])H < n \},$$

where  $E(\cdot)$  is the spectral measure for  $(T^*T)^{1/2}$ .

PROOF (i). This proof is the same as the proof of part (i) of Theorem 8.

PROOF (ii). The inequality  $n > \text{nul } T$  implies that  $\text{nul } T$  is finite. Lemma 7 implies that

$$m_e(T) = \max\{m_e(T), m_e(T^*)\}.$$

Since  $n > \text{nul } T \geq \text{ind } T$ , Theorem 5 implies that  $\phi_n(T) = m_e(T)$  and Lemma 6 shows that  $m_e(T) \geq \psi_n(T)$ . If  $TH$  is not closed, then  $m_e(T) = 0$  and the proof is complete. Henceforth, we assume that  $TH$  is closed. According to Theorem 3 the inequality  $\|T - A\| < m_e(T)$  implies that  $AH$  is closed,  $\text{nul } A < \infty$  and  $\text{ind } A = \text{ind } T$ . Thus,  $A \in \Psi_n$  and  $\|T - A\| < m_e(T)$  imply that  $A$  is Fredholm and

$$\text{ind } A = \text{ind } T = -\infty.$$

This contradiction proves that

$$\|T - A\| \geq m_e(T) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_n(T) \geq m_e(T).$$

This completes the proof of (ii)

(iii). Since  $TH$  is closed and  $\text{nul } T < \infty$ , we know that  $m_e(T)$  and  $\gamma(T)$  are positive.

If  $UR$  is the usual polar factorization for  $T$ , then  $\ker T = \ker R$  and  $E(\cdot)$  is the spectral measure for  $R$ . Choose  $A \in \Psi_n$  and  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $\dim E([0, \lambda])H < n$ ; let  $P$  denote the projection  $E([0, \lambda])$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T - A\| &\geq \|(T - A)|_{\ker A}\| \\ &= \|T|_{\ker A}\| \\ &= \|R|_{\ker A}\| \\ &\geq \|(I - P)R|_{\ker A}\| \\ &= \|R(I - P)|_{\ker A}\| \\ &= \|R|(I - P)|_{\ker A}\| \\ &\geq \lambda, \end{aligned}$$

provided that  $(I - P)|_{\ker A}$  is nontrivial since  $(I - P)|_{\ker A} \subset (I - P)H = E([\lambda, \infty))H$ . Clearly

$$\text{nul } (I - P) = \dim PH < n = \text{nul } A,$$



and thus  $(I - P) \ker A$  must be nontrivial. From the first displayed inequality it follows that

$$\psi_n(T) \geq \lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_n(T) \geq \mu,$$

where  $\mu$  is  $\sup\{\lambda : \dim E([0, \lambda])H < n\}$ .

Now we prove the inequality

$$\psi_n(T) \leq \mu.$$

From the usual properties of the spectral measure it follows that

$$\dim E([0, \mu + 1/k])H \geq n \geq \dim E([0, \mu])H.$$

First we deal with the case that  $n$  is a nonnegative integer. Choose  $G(k) = \{g_1^{(k)}, g_2^{(k)}, \dots, g_{l(k)}^{(k)}\}$  to be an orthonormal set from  $E((\mu, \mu + 1/k))H$  such that  $M_k = \text{closed span}(E([0, \mu])H \cup G(k))$  has dimension  $n$ . Let  $P_k$  be the orthogonal projection onto  $M_k$  and note that  $\|T(I - P_k)f\| = \|R(I - P_k)f\|$  is zero if and only if  $f$  belongs to  $P_kH = M_k$ . Thus,

$$\text{nul } T(I - P_k) = \dim M_k = n.$$

Let  $A_k$  be  $T(I - P_k)$  and note that  $\|T - A_k\| = \|TP_k\| = \|RP_k\| \leq \mu + 1/k$ . Since  $E([\mu + 1/k, \infty)) \leq I - P_k$  it follows that  $A_kH$  is closed, and it suffices to show that  $\text{def } A_k < \infty$ . Observe that  $\text{def } A_k = \text{nul } A_k^* = \text{nul } (I - P_k)T^*$  and  $\ker(I - P_k)T^*$  is the set theoretic inverse of  $P_kH = M_k$  under  $T^*$ . Because  $\text{nul } T^* = \text{def } T$  and  $\dim M_k = n$  are both finite, we see that

$$\text{def } A_k = \text{nul } (I - P_k)T^* < \infty.$$

Thus,  $A_k$  belongs to  $\Psi_N$  and

$$\psi_n(T) \leq \inf_k \|T - A_k\| \leq \mu.$$

Now we consider the case  $n = \infty$ . Note that  $\dim E([0, \mu + 1/k])H = \infty > \dim E([0, \mu - 1/k])H$  for all positive integers  $k$  sufficiently large that  $\mu - 1/k > 0$ . Define  $A_k$  by

$$A_k = (1/k)UVE([\mu - 1/k, \mu + 1/k]) + TE([\mu + 1/k, \infty)),$$

where  $V$  is the adjoint of a unilateral shift with infinite multiplicity defined on  $E([\mu - 1/k, \mu + 1/k])H$ . Since  $\ker A_k$  contains  $\ker V$ , we know that  $\text{nul } A_k = \infty$ . It is routine to verify that

$$T - A_k = TE([0, \mu + 1/k]) - (1/k)UVE([\mu - 1/k, \mu + 1/k]),$$

and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T - A_k\| &\leq \|TE([0, \mu + 1/k])\| + 1/k \\ &\leq \mu + 2/k. \end{aligned}$$

Now it suffices to show that  $\text{def } A_k < \infty$ . Note that  $A_k H$  contains  $UE([\mu - 1/k, \mu + 1/k])H$  and  $URE([\mu + 1/k, \infty))H = UE([\mu + 1/k, \infty))H$ . Thus,

$$A_k H \supset UE([\mu - 1/k, \infty))H,$$

and, because  $U$  is isometric on  $RH = E((0, \infty))H$  and  $\ker T^* = \ker R = E(\{0\})H$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{def } A_k &\leq \dim E(\{0\})H + \dim E((0, \mu - 1/k))H \\ &= \dim E([0, \mu - 1/k])H \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

We may conclude that

$$\psi_\infty(T) \leq \inf_k \|T - A_k\| \leq \mu.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

Of course the preceding theorem allows us to determine the distance from  $T$  to the set of right semi-Fredholm operators with nullities exceeding  $\text{nul } T$ . In [3] it was proved that

$$m_e(T) \geq \sup\{\lambda : \dim E([0, \lambda])H < n\} \geq \gamma(T).$$

These inequalities exhibit the least upper bound and the greatest lower bound for the supremum appearing in part (iii) of Theorem 10 and in the next theorem.

THEOREM 11.

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf \{ \|T - A\| : A \in \Psi_n, n > \text{nul}T \} \\ &= \begin{cases} m_e(T), & \text{if } \text{def} T = \infty, \\ \sup \{ \lambda : \dim E((0, \lambda))H < 1 + \text{nul}T \}, & \text{if } m_e(T^*) > 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. If  $\text{def} T = \infty$ , then  $\psi_n(T) = m_e(T)$  for all  $n$  according to part (ii) of Theorem 10. Henceforth, we assume  $\text{def} T < \infty$ . If  $TH$  is closed, then part (iii) of Theorem 10 implies the second part of the above formula. In the only remaining case  $TH$  is not closed, and the formula follows from part (i) of Theorem 10.  $\square$

Using Theorems 9 and 11 it is possible to describe the distance from  $T$  to the right semi-Fredholm operators. Theorems 12 and 13 can also be deduced from Theorem 12.2 of [1].

THEOREM 12.

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf \{ \|T - A\| : AH \text{ is closed, } \text{def} A < \infty \} \\ &= \begin{cases} m_e(T), & \text{if } \text{def} T = \infty, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Note that

$$\{A : AH \text{ is closed, } \text{def} A < \infty\} = \cup \{\Psi_n : n = \infty, 0, 1, \dots\}. \quad \square$$

**4. Distance to the left semi-Fredholm operators.** Each of the theorems in the preceding section can be restated to give a conclusion about left semi-Fredholm operators by taking adjoints throughout. We shall only restate Theorem 12.

THEOREM 13.

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf \{ \|T - A\| : AH \text{ is closed, } \text{nul} A < \infty \} \\ &= \begin{cases} m_e(T^*), & \text{if } \text{nul} T = \infty, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Theorems 12 and 13 that any operator  $T$  has 0 distance to either the right semi-Fredholm operators or the left semi-Fredholm operators. Thus, the closure of the union of these two sets consists of all operators.

The author is grateful to the referee for several helpful suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

1. C. Apostol, L.A. Fialkow, D.A. Herrero and D. Voiculescu, *Approximation of Hilbert Space Operators*, vol. II, Pitman, Boston, 1984.
2. R. Bouldin, *The essential minimum modulus*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **30** (1981), 513–517.
3. ———, *Approximation by Operators with Fixed Nullity*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **103** (1988), 141–144.
4. J.B. Conway, *A Course in Functional Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.
5. J. Feldman and R.V. Kadison, *The closure of the regular operators in a ring of operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **5** (1954), 909–916.
6. D.D. Rogers, *Approximation by unitary and essentially unitary operators*, Act. Sci. Math. (Szeged) **39** (1977), 141–151.
7. P.Y. Wu, *Approximation by invertible and noninvertible operators*, J. of Approx. Theory, to appear.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA, ATHENS, GA  
30602