

**A NOTE ON THE CYCLIC COHOMOLOGY  
AND  $K$ -THEORY ASSOCIATED  
WITH DIFFERENCE OPERATORS**

DAOXING XIA

ABSTRACT. The index map of  $K_0$ -theory associated with a difference operator is given. In the odd dimension case, a theorem on the cyclic cohomology is established.

1. This note is a continuation of the author's previous paper [2]. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_1$  be two algebras over  $\mathbf{C}$  satisfying  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}_1$ . As it is introduced in [2], an operator  $\delta$  from  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathcal{A}_1$  is said to be a difference operator if  $\delta$  is linear and satisfies

$$(1) \quad \delta(fg) = f\delta g + (\delta f)g - (\delta f)\delta g$$

for  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ .

In [2], the following theorem is proved.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space,  $\mathcal{A}$  a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\delta$  a difference operator from  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  satisfying*

$$\delta f \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{H}), \quad f \in \mathcal{A},$$

where  $p \geq 1$ . Let  $n$  be an even number satisfying  $n \geq p - 1$  and

$$\psi_n(f_0, \dots, f_n) = \text{tr}(\delta f_0 \cdots \delta f_n), \quad f_0, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Then  $\psi_n$  is a cyclic cocycle. If  $n \geq p + 1$ , then  $\psi_n$  is in the cyclic cohomology class containing  $bR_{n-2}\psi_{n-2}$ , where  $R_k$  is the operation

$$\begin{aligned} & (R_k \xi)(f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{k+1}) \\ &= \frac{2}{k+2} \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j (k-j+1) \xi(f_j f_{j+1}, f_{j+2}, \dots, f_{j+k+1}) \end{aligned}$$

---

Received by the editors on October 8, 1987.  
Supported in part by NSF grant DMS-8700048.

with  $f_{j+k+2} = f_j, 0 \leq j \leq k-1$ .

In §2 of this note, we will study the  $K_0$ -theory associated with the difference operator  $\delta$  and the functional  $\psi_n$ .

In §3, we will study the cyclic cohomology in the case of odd  $n$ . The simplest case is the following. Suppose there is an operator  $P \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  satisfying  $P^2 = I$  and the anticommutator

$$\{P, \delta(f)\} = 0, \quad f \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Then define

$$\psi_n(f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n) = \text{tr}(P\delta(f_0) \cdots \delta(f_n)).$$

But we will deal with a more general case.

Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 in this note may be considered as generalizations of the corresponding theorems in [1].

**2.** In order to study the  $K_0$ -theory associated with the difference operator  $\delta$  from the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  to the algebra  $\mathcal{A}_1$ , we have to define an operator  $\tilde{\delta}$  from  $M_k(\mathcal{A})$  to  $M_k(\mathcal{A}_1)$  by

$$\tilde{\delta}[a_{ij}] = [\delta a_{ij}] \quad \text{for } [a_{ij}] \in M_k(\mathcal{A}).$$

It is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\delta}([a_{ij}][b_{ij}]) &= \left[ \sum_{\ell} \delta(a_{i\ell} b_{\ell j}) \right] \\ &= \left[ \sum_{\ell} (\delta a_{i\ell}) b_{\ell j} \right] + \left[ \sum_{\ell} a_{i\ell} \delta b_{\ell j} \right] - \left[ \sum_{\ell} (\delta a_{i\ell}) \delta b_{\ell j} \right] \\ &= (\tilde{\delta}[a_{ij}])[b_{ij}] + [a_{ij}]\tilde{\delta}[b_{ij}] - (\tilde{\delta}[a_{ij}])\tilde{\delta}[b_{ij}]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\tilde{\delta}$  is a difference operator from  $M_k(\mathcal{A})$  to  $M_k(\mathcal{A}_1)$ . For simplicity, the operator  $\tilde{\delta}$  is still denoted by  $\delta$ .

If  $e \in \text{Proj} M_k(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\delta e \in \mathcal{L}^p$ , then  $\delta e$  is a compact operator. Define

$$P_e = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} (\lambda - e(\delta e)e)^{-1} d\lambda$$

and

$$Q_e = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} (\lambda + (1 - e)(\delta e)(1 - e))^{-1} d\lambda,$$

where  $\gamma$  is a counter-clockwise contour  $|\lambda - 1| = \varepsilon > 0$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is chosen such that

$$(\sigma(e(\delta e)e) \cup \sigma(-(1 - e)(\delta e)(1 - e))) \cap \{\lambda : 0 < |\lambda - 1| \leq \varepsilon\} = \phi.$$

It is obvious that  $P_e$  and  $Q_e$  are independent of  $\varepsilon$ .

Let  $\delta_e$  be the operator from the range of  $P_e$  to the range of  $Q_e$  defined by

$$\delta_e = (1 - e)(\delta e)e|_{\text{range of } P_e}.$$

In the proof of the following theorem, it will be shown that the range of  $(1 - e)(\delta e)eP_e$  is in the range of  $Q_e$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space,  $\mathcal{A}$  a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\delta$  be a difference operator from  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  satisfying*

$$\delta f \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{H}) \text{ for } f \in \mathcal{A},$$

where  $p \geq 1$ . Then the index map  $K_0(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  is given by

$$(2) \quad \text{Index}(\delta_e) = \text{tr}(\delta e)^q = \text{rank} P_e - \text{rank} Q_e$$

for every  $e \in M_k(\mathcal{A})$  satisfying  $e = e^2$ , where  $q \geq p$  is an odd number.

**PROOF.** Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $k = 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}_1 = e\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2 = (1 - e)\mathcal{H}$ . Denote

$$\begin{aligned} a_{11} &= e(\delta e)e|_{\mathcal{H}_1}, & a_{22} &= -(1 - e)(\delta e)(1 - e)|_{\mathcal{H}_2}, \\ a_{12} &= e(\delta e)(1 - e)|_{\mathcal{H}_2}, & a_{21} &= (1 - e)(\delta e)e|_{\mathcal{H}_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the operator  $\delta e$  may be written as a matrix

$$(3) \quad \delta e = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & -a_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

From (1) and  $e = e^2$ , it is easy to see that

$$(4) \quad (\delta e)^2 = e\delta e + (\delta e)e - \delta e.$$

From (3), (4) and

$$(5) \quad e = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

we get

$$(6) \quad (\delta e)^2 = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

From (3) and (6), we obtain that

$$(7) \quad (\delta e)^{2m+1} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^{m+1} & a_{11}a_{22}^m \\ a_{21}a_{11}^m & -a_{22}^{m+1} \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$(8) \quad a_{11} - a_{11}^2 = a_{12}a_{21}, \quad a_{22} - a_{22}^2 = a_{21}a_{12},$$

$$(9) \quad a_{11}a_{12} = a_{12}a_{22}, \quad a_{21}a_{11} = a_{22}a_{21}.$$

Therefore  $a_{jj}^{m+1} \in \mathcal{L}^p, j = 1, 2$ , and

$$(10) \quad \text{tr}((\delta e)^{2m+1}) = \text{tr}(a_{11}^{m+1}) - \text{tr}(a_{22}^{m+1})$$

for  $2m + 1 \geq p$ .

If  $\lambda \in \rho(a_{11}) \cap \rho(a_{22})$ , then

$$(\lambda - a_{11})^{-1}a_{12} = a_{12}(\lambda - a_{22})^{-1}$$

and

$$a_{21}(\lambda - a_{11})^{-1} = (\lambda - a_{22})^{-1}a_{21}$$

by (9). Hence

$$(11) \quad P_e a_{12} = a_{12} Q_e, \quad a_{21} P_e = Q_e a_{21}.$$

The ranks of  $P_e$  and  $Q_e$  are finite, since  $a_{11}$  and  $a_{22}$  are compact. Thus, there is a natural number  $n$  such that

$$P_e(1 - a_{11})^n = 0, \quad Q_e(1 - a_{22})^n = 0.$$

By (8), it is easy to calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} P_e(1 - a_{11}^{m+1}) &= P_e \sum_{j=0}^m a_{11}^j \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} ((1 - a_{11})^k - (1 - a_{11})^{k+1}) \\ &= P_e \sum_{j=0}^m a_{11}^j \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (1 - a_{11})^{k-1} a_{12} a_{21}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$Q_e(1 - a_{22}^{m+1}) = Q_e \sum_{j=0}^m a_{22}^j \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (1 - a_{22})^{k-1} a_{21} a_{12}.$$

By (9) and (11), it is easy to prove that

$$Q_e(1 - a_{22}^{m+1}) = a_{21} P_e \sum_{j=0}^m a_{11}^j \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (1 - a_{11})^{k-1} a_{12}.$$

Therefore

$$(12) \quad \text{tr}(P_e(1 - a_{11}^{m+1}) - Q_e(1 - a_{22}^{m+1})) = 0.$$

Denote  $a_1 = (1 - P_e)a_{11}$  and  $a_2 = (1 - Q_e)a_{22}$ . Identities (9) and (11) imply that

$$a_1 a_{12} = a_{12} a_2 \quad \text{and} \quad a_{21} a_1 = a_2 a_{21}.$$

On the other hand, the operators

$$(13) \quad (1 - a_1)|_{(1 - P_e)\mathcal{H}_1} \quad \text{and} \quad (1 - a_2)|_{(1 - Q_e)\mathcal{H}_2}$$

are invertible in  $(1 - P_e)\mathcal{H}_1$  and  $(1 - Q_e)\mathcal{H}_2$  respectively. Denote the inverses of the operators in (13) by  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  respectively. Then

$$a_1 = b_1(1 - P_e)a_{12}a_{21}, \quad a_2 = b_2(1 - Q_e)a_{21}a_{12}$$

by (8).

By (9), it is easy to see that

$$b_2 a_{21} = a_{21} b_1.$$

Therefore

$$a_2 = a_{21} b_1 (1 - P_e) a_{12}.$$

Thus

$$a_1^{m+1} = Q a_{21}, \quad a_2^{m+1} = a_{21} Q,$$

where  $Q = b_1 (1 - P_e) (a_{12} a_{21} b_1 (1 - P_e))^m a_{12}$ . Hence

$$(14) \quad \text{tr}((1 - P_e) a_{11}^{m+1} - (1 - Q_e) a_{22}^{m+1}) = 0.$$

From (12) and (14) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(a_{11}^{m+1} - a_{22}^{m+1}) &= \text{tr}(P_e - Q_e) \\ &= \text{rank} P_e - \text{rank} Q_e. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from (11), it is easy to see that

$$\delta_e \mathcal{H}_1 = a_{21} (P_e \mathcal{H}_1) \subseteq Q_e \mathcal{H}_2.$$

Therefore

$$\text{Index}(\delta_e) = \text{rank} P_e - \text{rank} Q_e,$$

which proves (2).

By the same method of proving the Proposition (14a), [1, Chapter II] and [2, Theorem 1], we may prove that  $\text{tr}(\delta_e)^q$  depends only on the equivalence class of  $e$ . Theorem 1 is proved.  $\square$

**3.** For the case of odd  $n$ , we have to introduce two operators as follows. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_1$  be the two algebras,  $\rho$  be a linear operator from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\varepsilon$  be a bilinear operator from  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{A}_1$  satisfying the condition

$$(15) \quad \varepsilon(fg, h) - \varepsilon(f, gh) = \rho(f)\varepsilon(g, h) - \varepsilon(f, g)\rho(h).$$

For a given  $\rho$ , the simplest example of  $\varepsilon(\cdot, \cdot)$  satisfying (15) is

$$(16) \quad \varepsilon(f, g) = (\rho(fg) - \rho(f)\rho(g))a,$$

where  $a$  is an element in  $\mathcal{A}_1$  commuting  $\{\rho(f) : f \in \mathcal{A}\}$ .

Another example is the following. Let  $\delta$  be a difference operator from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{A}_1$ ,  $P \in \mathcal{A}_1$  satisfying  $P^2 = 1$  and

$$P\delta f + (\delta f)P = 0 \quad \text{for } f \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Define  $E = (1 + P)/2$ ,  $\rho(f) = EfE$  and

$$\varepsilon(f, g) = E\delta f\delta g.$$

Then  $\rho$  and  $\varepsilon$  satisfying (15), since  $E^2 = E$  and  $[E, \delta f\delta g] = 0$ .

LEMMA 1. *Let  $\rho$  be a linear operator from algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  to the algebra  $\mathcal{A}_1$ ,  $\varepsilon$  be a bilinear operator from  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{A}_1$  satisfying (15) and  $\hat{\varepsilon}(f, g) = \rho(fg) - \rho(f)\rho(g)$ . Then*

$$(17) \quad \varepsilon(f, g)\hat{\varepsilon}(h, k) = \hat{\varepsilon}(f, g)\varepsilon(h, k), \quad f, g, h, k \in \mathcal{A}.$$

PROOF. From (15), we get

$$(18) \quad \rho(fg)\varepsilon(h, k) - \varepsilon(fg, h)\rho(k) = \varepsilon(fgh, k) - \varepsilon(fg, hk)$$

and

$$(19) \quad (\varepsilon(fg, h) - \varepsilon(f, gh))\rho(k) = \rho(f)\varepsilon(g, h)\rho(k) - \varepsilon(f, g)\rho(h)\rho(k).$$

From (18) and (19), it is easy to see that

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} & \rho(fg)\varepsilon(h, k) + \varepsilon(f, g)\rho(h)\rho(k) \\ &= \varepsilon(fgh, k) + \rho(f)\varepsilon(g, h)\rho(k) + \varepsilon(f, gh)\rho(k) - \varepsilon(fg, hk). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we may prove that

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} & \varepsilon(f, g)\rho(hk) + \rho(f)\rho(g)\varepsilon(h, k) \\ &= \varepsilon(f, ghk) + \rho(f)\varepsilon(g, h)\rho(k) + \rho(f)\varepsilon(gh, k) - \varepsilon(fg, hk). \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting (20) from (21), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon(f, g)\hat{\varepsilon}(h, k) - \hat{\varepsilon}(f, g)\varepsilon(h, k) \\ &= \varepsilon(f, ghk) - \varepsilon(fgh, k) - (\varepsilon(f, gh)\rho(k) - \rho(f)\varepsilon(gh, k)) \end{aligned}$$

which equals zero, by (15). This proves (17).  $\square$

If  $k$  is odd and  $\xi$  is a  $k+1$ -linear functional, then define the operation  $R_k$

$$\begin{aligned} & (R_k\xi)(f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{k+1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2(k+2)} \sum_{j=0}^k (k-j+1)\xi(f_j f_{j+1}, f_{j+2}, \dots, f_{j+k+1}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $f_j = f_{j-k-2}$  for  $j \geq k+2$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}_1$  be an algebra,  $J \subset \mathcal{A}_1$  a two-side ideal,  $p \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $\tau$  a linear functional on  $J^p$  such that*

$$\tau(ab) = \tau(ba) \quad \text{for } a \in J^k, b \in J^q, k+q=p.$$

*Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra,  $\rho : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_1$  be a bilinear map and  $\varepsilon(\cdot, \cdot)$  be a bilinear map from  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{A}_1$  satisfying (15) and*

$$\varepsilon(f, g) \in J, \quad \text{for } f, g \in \mathcal{A}.$$

*Let  $\psi_n$  be the  $n+1$  linear functional on  $\mathcal{A}$  given by*

$$\psi_n(f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n) = \tau(\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_{n-1} - \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_3 \cdots \varepsilon_n),$$

*where  $n = 2m - 1, m \geq p$ ,*

$$\varepsilon_j = \varepsilon(f_j, f_{j+1}), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2p - 1,$$

$$\varepsilon_j = \hat{\varepsilon}(f_j, f_{j+1}) = \rho(f_j f_{j+1}) - \rho(f_j)\rho(f_{j+1}), \quad j = 2p, \dots, n,$$

*and  $f_{n+1} = f_0$ . Then  $\psi_n$  is a cyclic  $n$ -cocycle.*



If  $m > p$  then  $\psi_n$  is in the cyclic cohomology class containing

$$(22) \quad bR_{n-2}\psi_{n-2},$$

where  $b$  is the Hochschild coboundary operation.

PROOF. Similar to the proof of the proposition 4 of [2], let

$$\psi^+(f_0, \dots, f_n) = \tau(\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{n-1})$$

and

$$\psi^-(f_0, \dots, f_n) = \tau(\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_3 \dots \varepsilon_n).$$

Then  $\psi_n = \psi^+ - \psi^-$ . It is easy to see that

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} & b\psi^+(f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{n+1}) - \tau(\varepsilon(f_{n+1}f_0, f_1)\varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{n-1}) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \tau(\varepsilon_0 \dots \varepsilon_{2j-2}(\varepsilon(f_{2j}f_{2j+1}, f_{2j+2}) \\ &\quad - \varepsilon(f_{2j}, f_{2j+1}f_{2j+2}))\varepsilon_{2j+3} \dots \varepsilon_n) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=p}^{m-1} \tau(\varepsilon_0 \dots \varepsilon_{2j-2}(\hat{\varepsilon}(f_{2j}f_{2j+1}, f_{2j+2}) \\ &\quad - \hat{\varepsilon}(f_{2j}, f_{2j+1}f_{2j+2}))\varepsilon_{2j+3} \dots \varepsilon_n) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \tau(\varepsilon_0 \dots \varepsilon_{2j-2}(\rho(f_{2j})\varepsilon_{2j+1} - \varepsilon_{2j}\rho(f_{2j+2}))\varepsilon_{2j+3} \dots \varepsilon_n) \\ &= \tau(\rho(f_0)\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_n) - \tau(\varepsilon_0 \dots \varepsilon_{n-1}\rho(f_{n+1})), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varepsilon_n = \hat{\varepsilon}(f_n, f_{n+1})$  for  $m > p$  or  $\varepsilon_n = \varepsilon(f_n, f_{n+1})$  for  $m = p$ . Similarly, if  $m > p$ , then

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} & b\psi^-(f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{n+1}) \\ &= \tau(\varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{2p-2}\varepsilon(f_{2p}, f_{2p+1})\varepsilon_{2p+2} \dots \hat{\varepsilon}(f_{n+1}, f_0f_1)) \\ &= \tau(\varepsilon_1 \dots \hat{\varepsilon}(f_n, f_{n+1})\rho(f_0)) \\ &\quad - \tau(\rho(f_1)\varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon(f_{2p}, f_{2p+1})\varepsilon_{2p+2} \dots \hat{\varepsilon}(f_{n+1}, f_0)). \end{aligned}$$

If  $m = p$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} b\psi^-(f_0, \dots, f_{n+1}) &- \tau(\varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_{2p-2} \varepsilon(f_{n+1}, f_0 f_1)) \\ &= \tau(\varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon(f_n, f_0) \rho(f_0)) - \tau(\rho(f_1) \varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon(f_{2p}, f_0)). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1, it is easy to see that if  $m > p$  then

$$\begin{aligned} (25) \quad \tau(\varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_{2p-2} \varepsilon(f_{2p}, f_{2p+1}) \varepsilon_{2p+2} \cdots \varepsilon_{n-1} \hat{\varepsilon}(f_{n+1}, f_0 f_1)) \\ = \tau(\varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_{n-1} \varepsilon(f_{n+1}, f_0 f_1)). \end{aligned}$$

By (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (26) \quad \tau(\varepsilon(f_{n+1} f_0, f_1) \varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_{n-1}) - \tau(\varepsilon(f_{n+1}, f_0 f_1) \varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_{n-1}) \\ = \tau(\rho(f_{n+1}) \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_{n-1}) - \tau(\varepsilon(f_{n+1}, f_0) \rho(f_1) \varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Similar to (25), we may prove that if  $m > p$  then

$$\begin{aligned} (27) \quad \tau(\varepsilon(f_{n+1}, f_0) \rho(f_1) \varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_{n-1}) \\ = \tau(\rho(f_1) \varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon(f_{2p}, f_{2p+1}) \cdots \hat{\varepsilon}(f_{n+1}, f_0)). \end{aligned}$$

From (23)–(27), it follows that

$$b\psi^+ - b\psi^- = 0$$

which proves that  $\psi$  is a cocycle if  $m > p$ . Similarly, we may prove that  $\psi$  is a cocycle if  $m = p$ .

Assume  $n - 1 = 2k$  and  $k > p$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} (28) \quad \phi(f_0, \dots, f_{n-1}) &= \tau(\rho(f_0) \varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_{n-2}) \\ &\quad + (\rho(f_{n-1}) \varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon(f_{n-1} f_0, f_1)) \varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_{n-3}. \end{aligned}$$

First, we have to prove that

$$(29) \quad \phi(f_0, \dots, f_{n-1}) - \phi(f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}, f_0) = \psi_{n-2}(f_0 f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}).$$

By (28), it is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}, f_0) \\ = \tau(\rho(f_1) \varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_{2p-2} \varepsilon(f_{2p}, f_{2p+1}) \varepsilon_{2p+2} \cdots \hat{\varepsilon}(f_{n-1}, f_0)) \\ + \tau((\rho(f_0) \varepsilon(f_1, f_2) - \varepsilon(f_0 f_1, f_2)) \varepsilon_3 \cdots \varepsilon_{n-2}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi(f_0, \dots, f_{n-1}) - \phi(f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}, f_0) \\ &= \tau(\varepsilon(f_0 f_1, f_2) \varepsilon_3 \cdots \varepsilon_{n-2} + (\rho(f_{n-1}) \varepsilon(f_0, f_1) \\ & \quad - \varepsilon(f_{n-1}, f_0) \rho(f_1) - \varepsilon(f_{n-1} f_0, f_1)) \varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_{n-3}), \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\varepsilon(f_{2p}, f_{2p+1}) \varepsilon_{2p+2} \cdots \hat{\varepsilon}(f_{n-1}, f_0) = \varepsilon_{2p} \cdots \varepsilon_{n-3} \varepsilon(f_{n-1}, f_0)$$

by Lemma 1.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi(f_0, \dots, f_{n-1}) - \phi(f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}, f_0) \\ &= \tau(\varepsilon(f_0 f_1, f_2) \varepsilon_3 \cdots \varepsilon_{n-2} - \varepsilon(f_{n-1}, f_0 f_1) \varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_{n-3}), \end{aligned}$$

which equals  $\psi_{n-2}(f_0 f_1, \dots, f_{n-1})$  since

$$\varepsilon(f_{n-1}, f_0 f_1) \varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_{2p} = \hat{\varepsilon}(f_{n-1}, f_0 f_1) \varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon(f_{2p}, f_{2p+1}),$$

by Lemma 1 again.

Define

$$v(f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \phi(f_j, \dots, f_{j+n-1}),$$

where  $f_j = f_{j-n}$  for  $j \geq n$ . From (29), it is easy to see that

$$(30) \quad v = \phi - 2R_{n-2}\psi_{n-2}.$$

Now, we have to calculate  $b\phi$ . Define

$$\hat{\phi}(f_0, \dots, f_{n-1}) = \tau(\rho(f_0) \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_3 \cdots \varepsilon_{n-2})$$

and

$$\tilde{\phi}(f_0, \dots, f_{n-1}) = \tau((\rho(f_{n-1}) \varepsilon(f_0, f_1) - \varepsilon(f_{n-1} f_0, f_1)) \varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_{n-3});$$

then  $\phi = \hat{\phi} + \tilde{\phi}$ . By (15) and Lemma 1, it is easy to calculate that

$$\begin{aligned}
& b\hat{\phi}(f_0, \dots, f_n) \\
&= \tau \left( \rho(f_0 f_1) \varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon(f_{2p}, f_{2p+1}) \cdots \varepsilon_{n-1} \right. \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \rho(f_0) \varepsilon_1 \cdots (\varepsilon(f_{2j-1} f_{2j}, f_{2j+1}) - \varepsilon(f_{2j-1}, f_{2j} f_{2j+1})) \varepsilon_{2j+2} \\
&\quad \quad \quad \cdots \varepsilon(f_{2p}, f_{2p+1}) \cdots \varepsilon_{n-1} - \rho(f_0) \varepsilon_1 \\
&\quad \quad \quad \cdots (\varepsilon(f_{2p-1} f_{2p}, f_{2p+1}) - \varepsilon(f_{2p-1}, f_{2p} f_{2p+1})) \varepsilon_{2p+2} \cdots \varepsilon_{n-1} \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=p+1}^k \rho(f_0) \varepsilon_1 \cdots (\hat{\varepsilon}(f_{2j-1} f_{2j}, f_{2j+1}) - \hat{\varepsilon}(f_{2j-1}, f_{2j} f_{2j+1})) \varepsilon_{2j+2} \\
&\quad \quad \quad \cdots \varepsilon_{n-1} - \rho(f_n f_0) \varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_{n-2} \left. \right) \\
&= \tau \left( \rho(f_0 f_1) \varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon(f_{2p}, f_{2p+1}) \cdots \varepsilon_{n-1} \right. \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \rho(f_0) \varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_{2j-3} (\rho(f_{2j-1}) \varepsilon(f_{2j}, f_{2j+1}) \\
&\quad \quad \quad - \varepsilon(f_{2j-1}, f_{2j}) \rho(f_{2j+1})) \varepsilon_{2j+2} \\
&\quad \quad \quad \cdots \varepsilon_{n-1} - \rho(f_0) \varepsilon_1 \cdots (\rho(f_{2p-1}) \varepsilon(f_{2p}, f_{2p+1}) \\
&\quad \quad \quad - \varepsilon(f_{2p-1}, f_{2p}) \rho(f_{2p+1})) \varepsilon_{2p+2} \cdots \varepsilon_{n-1} \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=p+1}^k \rho(f_0) \varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_{2j-3} (\rho(f_{2j-1}) \hat{\varepsilon}(f_{2j}, f_{2j+1}) \\
&\quad \quad \quad - \hat{\varepsilon}(f_{2j-1}, f_{2j}) \rho(f_{2j+1})) \varepsilon_{2j+2} \\
&\quad \quad \quad \cdots \varepsilon_{n-1} - \rho(f_n f_0) \varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_{n-2} \left. \right) \\
&= \tau(\hat{\varepsilon}(f_0, f_1) \varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_{2p-2} \varepsilon(f_{2p}, f_{2p+1}) \varepsilon_{2p+2} \cdots \varepsilon_{n-1} - \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_3 \cdots \varepsilon_n) \\
&= \tau(\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_{n-1} - \varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_n) = \psi_n(f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& b\tilde{\phi}(f_0, \dots, f_n) \\
&= \tau \left( (\rho(f_n)\varepsilon(f_0f_1, f_2) - \varepsilon(f_0, f_1f_2)) - \varepsilon(f_nf_0f_1, f_2) + \varepsilon(f_nf_0, f_1f_2) \right) \varepsilon_3 \\
&\quad \cdots \varepsilon_{n-2} + \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} (\rho(f_n)\varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon(f_nf_0, f_1)) \varepsilon_2 \\
&\quad \cdots (\varepsilon(f_{2j}f_{2j+1}, f_{2j+2}) - \varepsilon(f_{2j}, f_{2j+1}f_{2j+2})) \cdots \varepsilon_{n-2} \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=p}^{k-1} (\rho(f_n)\varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon(f_nf_0, f_1)) \varepsilon_2 \\
&\quad \quad \cdots (\hat{\varepsilon}(f_{2j}f_{2j+1}, f_{2j+2}) - \hat{\varepsilon}(f_{2j}, f_{2j+1}f_{2j+2})) \cdots \varepsilon_{n-2} \\
&\quad + (\rho(f_{n-1}f_n)\varepsilon_0 - \rho(f_{n-1})\varepsilon(f_nf_0, f_1)) \varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_{n-3} \\
&= \tau \left( (\rho(f_n)(\rho(f_0)\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_0\rho(f_2)) - \rho(f_nf_0)\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon(f_nf_0, f_1)\rho(f_2)) \varepsilon_3 \cdots \varepsilon_{n-2} \right. \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} (\rho(f_n)\varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon(f_nf_0, f_1)) \varepsilon_2 \\
&\quad \quad \cdots (\rho(f_{2j})\varepsilon(f_{2j}, f_{2j+1}) - \varepsilon(f_{2j}, f_{2j+1})\rho(f_{2j+2})) \cdots \varepsilon_{n-2} \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=p}^{k-1} (\rho(f_n)\varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon(f_nf_0, f_1)) \varepsilon_2 \\
&\quad \quad \cdots (\rho(f_{2j})\hat{\varepsilon}(f_{2j+1}, f_{2j+2})) - \hat{\varepsilon}(f_{2j}, f_{2j+1})\rho(f_{2j+2}) \cdots \varepsilon_{n-2} \\
&\quad \left. + (\rho(f_{n-1}f_n)\varepsilon_0 - \rho(f_{n-1})\varepsilon(f_nf_0, f_1)) \varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_{n-3} \right) \\
&= \tau(-\hat{\varepsilon}(f_n, f_0)\varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_{n-2} + \hat{\varepsilon}(f_{n-1}, f_n)\varepsilon_0 \cdots \varepsilon_{n-3}) \\
&= \psi_n(f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(31) \quad b\phi = 2\psi_n.$$

From (30) and (31), it follows that

$$\psi_n = bR_{n-2}\psi_{n-2} + b\left(\frac{1}{2}v\right)$$

which proves theorem 2.  $\square$

Parts of this note and [2] have been presented in G.P.O.T.S., Kansas, 1987. The author wishes to thank Professors Salinas, Paschke and Upmeyer and other organizers of the seminar for their invitation.

## REFERENCES

1. A. Connes, *Non-commutative differential geometry*, Chapter I; *the Chern characters in K-homology*, Chapter II; *De Rham homology and non-commutative algebra*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. No. **62**, 1985, 41–144.
2. D. Xia, *Cyclic cohomology associated with difference operators and anti-commutators*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **10** (1987) 739–750.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY, NASHVILLE, TN  
37235