

## A CLASS OF CONTINUA WHICH ADMITS NO EXPANSIVE HOMEOMORPHISMS

HISAO KATO AND KAZUHIRO KAWAMURA

ABSTRACT. It is proved that any Suslinian, hereditary  $\theta$ -continuum admits no expansive homeomorphisms.

**1. Introduction.** A compact, connected metric space is called a *continuum*. A homeomorphism  $f : X \rightarrow X$  of a continuum  $X$  is called *expansive* if there exists a constant  $c > 0$  (called the *expansive constant*) which satisfies the following condition. For each pair of distinct points  $x, y$  of  $X$ , there exists an integer  $n$  such that  $d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) > c$ , where  $d$  is a metric of  $X$ . Expansiveness does not depend on the choice of metrics of  $X$ . It is an interesting problem whether a given continuum has an expansive homeomorphism of itself.

To consider this problem, the first author suggested the idea of using monotone decompositions of continua in [7]. Using this idea, we show that any Suslinian, hereditary  $\theta$ -continuum admits no expansive homeomorphisms.

**Definition 1.** Let  $X$  be a continuum. 1)  $X$  is called a  $\theta$ -continuum (a  $\theta_n$ -continuum, respectively) if for each subcontinuum  $Y$  of  $X$ , the number of components of  $X - Y$  is finite (at most  $n$ , respectively). If each subcontinuum of  $X$  is a  $\theta$ -continuum ( $\theta_n$ -continuum, respectively),  $X$  is called a *hereditary  $\theta$ -continuum* (a *hereditary  $\theta_n$ -continuum*, respectively).

2)  $X$  is called *Suslinian* if it has no uncountable collection of mutually disjoint nondegenerate subcontinua of  $X$ .

3)  $X$  is called *decomposable* if  $X = A \cup B$  for some proper subcontinua  $A$  and  $B$  of  $X$ . If each subcontinuum of  $X$  is decomposable,  $X$  is called *hereditarily decomposable*.

It is easy to see that Suslinian continua are hereditarily decomposable.

---

Received by the editors on March 2, 1989, and in revised form on July 17, 1989.  
AMS (MOS) *Subject Classification*. Primary 54F50, Secondary 54F20, 58F15.  
*Key words and phrases*. Expansive homeomorphism, hereditary  $\theta$ -continuum, Suslinian.

Our main theorem is

**Theorem 2.** *Any Suslinian, hereditary  $\theta$ -continuum admits no expansive homeomorphisms.*

**2. The proof of Theorem 2.** First, we prepare some results needed in the proof.

**Theorem 3.** [2, Theorem 1, 8, Corollary of Theorem 8, 3, Theorem 3].

1) *Any hereditarily decomposable,  $\theta$ -continuum is a  $\theta_n$ -continuum for some  $n$ .*

2) *Let  $X$  be a hereditarily decomposable  $\theta_n$ -continuum. Then  $X$  admits an upper semi-continuous monotone decomposition  $\mathcal{D}$  such that  $X/\mathcal{D}$  is a nondegenerate finite graph which is a  $\theta_n$ -continuum. Furthermore,  $\mathcal{D} = \{T^{2n}(x) | x \in X\}$ , where  $T$  is the aposyndetic set function defined in [4, 2].*

Notice that each homeomorphism  $f : X \rightarrow X$  satisfies  $f(T(x)) = T(f(x))$  for each  $x \in X$ .

**Lemma 4.** [6, Lemma 2.2]. *Let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be an expansive homeomorphism of a compact metric space  $X$ . There exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that, for each nondegenerate subcontinuum  $A$  of  $X$ , there exists an integer  $n_0 > 0$  which satisfies one of the following conditions*

(\*)  $\text{diam } f^n(A) \geq \delta$  for each  $n \geq n_0$  or

(\*\*)  $\text{diam } f^{-n}(A) \geq \delta$  for each  $n \geq n_0$ .

Let  $G$  be a finite connected graph which is not a simple closed curve. The set of all branch points of  $G$  is denoted by  $B(G)$  and the set of all end points of  $G$  is denoted by  $E(G)$ . The set of all vertices of  $G$ , denoted by  $V(G)$ , is  $B(G) \cup E(G)$ . A circle  $C$  in  $G$  is called a *free circle* if  $C \cap \text{cl}(G - C)$  is a point. Let  $S(G) = \{b \in B(G) | \text{there exists a free circle } C \text{ such that } \{b\} = C \cap \text{cl}(G - C)\}$ . Let  $e$  be an edge of  $G$  whose end points are  $u$  and  $v \in V(G)$ . The open arc  $e - \{u, v\}$  is denoted by  $\text{int } e$ .

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $G$  be a finite connected graph which is not a simple closed curve. There exists an integer  $N > 0$  such that each homeomorphism  $h : G \rightarrow G$  satisfies  $h^N|V(G) = id_{V(G)}$  and  $h^N(e) = e$  for each edge  $e$  of  $G$ ,  $h^N(C) = C$  and  $h^N|C$  is orientation preserving for each free circle  $C$  of  $G$ .*

*Remark.* Any “irrational rotation” of the unit circle has no periodic points. So Lemma 5 does not hold for simple closed curves.

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $X$  be a Suslinian continuum and  $Y$  be a continuum. Suppose that  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is an expansive homeomorphism,  $p : X \rightarrow Y$  is a monotone map which is not a homeomorphism, and  $h : Y \rightarrow Y$  is a homeomorphism. If  $h \cdot p = p \cdot f$ , then  $h$  has a periodic point.*

*Proof.* Suppose, on the contrary, that  $h$  does not have a periodic point. Since  $f$  is expansive, we can take a  $\delta > 0$  as in Lemma 4. For any subset  $M$  of  $Y$ , we define  $M^\delta$  as follows.

1)  $M^\delta = \{y \in Y \mid \text{there exists a sequence } (y_i) \text{ of points of } M \text{ such that } y_i \rightarrow y, y_i \neq y, \text{ and } \text{diam } p^{-1}(y_i) \geq \delta \text{ for each } i\}$ .

Then we have

2)  $M^\delta$  is closed in  $Y$  and

3)  $(M^\delta)^\delta = (M^\delta)' \subset M^\delta$  where  $(M^\delta)'$  denotes the derived set of  $M^\delta$ . For each ordinal number  $\alpha$ , we define  $M_\alpha$  by  $M_1 = Y$ ,  $M_{\alpha+1} = (M_\alpha)^\delta$  and  $M_\alpha = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} M_\beta$ , where  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal.

We claim that

4)  $M_\alpha \neq \emptyset$  for each countable ordinal  $\alpha$ .

It is clear that  $M_1 \neq \emptyset$ . Take a  $y_1 \in Y$  such that  $p^{-1}(y_1)$  is not a point. Applying Lemma 4, there exists an integer  $n_0 > 0$  such that one of the following conditions holds:

(\*)  $\text{diam } f^n(p^{-1}(y_1)) = \text{diam } p^{-1}(h^n(y_1)) \geq \delta$  for each  $n \geq n_0$  or

(\*\*)  $\text{diam } f^{-n}(p^{-1}(y_1)) = \text{diam } p^{-1}(h^{-n}(y_1)) \geq \delta$  for each  $n \geq n_0$ .

Assume that (\*) holds. As the point  $y_1$  is not a periodic point of  $h$ ,  $\{h^n(y_1)\}_{n \geq n_0}$  is infinite. So we can take a convergent subsequence  $\{h^{n_k}(y_1)\}$  such that  $h^{n_k}(y_1) \rightarrow y_2$  for some  $y_2 \in Y$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and

$h^{n_k}(y_1) \neq y_2$  for each  $k$ . By the definition 1), we have  $y_2 \in M_2$ . Further, we easily have that  $h^i(y_2) \in M_2$  for each integer  $i$ . The case (\*\*) is similar.

Take a countable ordinal  $\lambda$  and assume that for each  $\alpha < \lambda$ , there exists a  $y_\alpha$  such that  $h^i(y_\alpha) \in M_\alpha$  for each integer  $i$ . If  $\lambda = \alpha + 1$ , we can find a  $y_\lambda$  by the same argument as above. If  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal, we take an increasing sequence  $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots \rightarrow \lambda$ . We may assume that the  $y_{\alpha_i}$ 's converge to a point  $y_\lambda$ . Then  $y_\lambda$  is the desired point. So we have proved 4).

Since  $Y$  is separable, there exists a countable ordinal  $\alpha_0$  such that  $M_\alpha = M_{\alpha_0}$  for each  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ . In particular,  $(M_{\alpha_0}^\delta) = M_{\alpha_0+1} = M_{\alpha_0+2} = (M_{\alpha_0}^\delta)^\delta = (M_{\alpha_0}^\delta)'$ . Hence,  $M_{\alpha_0+1}$  is a perfect and compact set, and so is uncountable. But for each  $y \in M_{\alpha_0+1}$ ,  $\text{diam } p^{-1}(y) \geq \delta > 0$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $X$  is Suslinian. This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Let  $X$  be a Suslinian hereditary  $\theta$ -continuum and suppose that  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is an expansive homeomorphism. Take  $\delta > 0$  as in Lemma 4.

Step 1. Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{K \mid K \text{ is a nondegenerate subcontinuum of } X \text{ such that } f(K) = K\}$ .

Take a minimal element  $M$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ . The existence of  $M$  is guaranteed by Lemma 4 and Zorn's Lemma. By Theorem 3 and the fact that  $f(T(x)) = T(f(x))$ , there exists a monotone map  $m : M \rightarrow G$  onto a graph  $G$  and a homeomorphism  $h : G \rightarrow G$  such that  $m \cdot (f|_M) = h \cdot m$ . Define an integer  $N_1$  as follows. If  $G$  is not a simple closed curve, let  $N_1$  be the integer as in Lemma 5. If  $G$  is a simple closed curve, then  $h$  has a periodic point  $v \in G$  by Lemma 6 (note that a simple closed curve admits no expansive homeomorphisms [1], so  $m$  is not a homeomorphism). Let  $N_1$  be a period of  $v$  such that  $h^{N_1}$  is orientation preserving. Clearly,  $m \cdot (f/M)^{N_1} = h^{N_1} \cdot m$ . We consider two cases.

*Case 1.1.* For each  $t \in \text{Fix}(h^{N_1})$  (= the set of all fixed points of  $h^{N_1}$ ),  $m^{-1}(t)$  is a point.

If  $G$  is neither a simple closed curve nor a one point union of simple closed curves, fix an edge  $e$  of  $G$ . Then by the choice of  $N_1$ ,  $h^{N_1}(e) = e$ .

Note that  $h^{N_1}|_e \neq \text{id}$  (see [1, Theorem 4]). We may assume that there exist two distinct points  $p, q \in e \cap \text{Fix}(h^{N_1})$  such that

1) for each  $t \in (p, q)$ ,  $h^{N_1 k}(t) \rightarrow p$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and  $h^{N_1 k}(t) \rightarrow q$  as  $k \rightarrow -\infty$ .

Suppose that  $m^{-1}(t)$  is a point for each  $t \in [p, q]$ . Then  $m^{-1}[p, q]$  is an arc which is invariant under  $f^{N_1}$ . This contradicts the assumption that  $f^{N_1}$  is expansive [1, Theorem 4]. So there exists a  $t_0 \in (p, q)$  such that  $m^{-1}(t_0)$  is not a point.

Notice that  $\text{diam } f^{N_1 k}(m^{-1}(t_0)) = \text{diam } m^{-1}(h^{N_1 k}(t_0)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \pm\infty$ . Using this fact and the monotonicity of  $m$ , we can take two distinct points  $x, y \in m^{-1}(t_0)$  such that  $d(f^{N_1 k}(x), f^{N_1 k}(y)) < c$  for each  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ , where  $c$  is an expansive constant of  $f^{N_1}$ . This contradicts the assumption.

Next we assume that  $G$  is a simple closed curve. If  $v$  is the unique fixed point of  $h^{N_1}$ , then  $h^{N_1 k}(t) \rightarrow v$  as  $k \rightarrow \pm\infty$ , for each  $t \in G - v$ . If there are fixed points other than  $v$ , we can find distinct points  $p, q \in \text{Fix}(h^{N_1})$  and an open arc  $(p, q)$  in  $G$  such that  $h^{N_1 k}(t) \rightarrow p$  ( $\rightarrow q$ , respectively) as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  ( $\rightarrow -\infty$ , respectively) for each  $t \in (p, q)$ . In both cases, we have a contradiction by the same argument as above. Also, in the case that  $G$  is a one point union of simple closed curves, we have a contradiction.

*Case 1.2.* There exists a  $t_1 \in \text{Fix}(h^{N_1})$  such that  $m^{-1}(t_1)$  is not a point. By the choice of  $M$ ,  $t_1 \notin \text{Fix}(h)$  and  $N_1 \geq 2$ . So there exists an integer  $k_1$  such that

2)  $k_1 \geq 2$  and  $k_1$  divides  $N_1$ .

3)  $h^i(t_1) \neq h^j(t_1)$  for each  $0 \leq i \neq j \leq k_1 - 1$  and  $h^{k_1}(t_1) = t_1$ . Let  $X_i = m^{-1}(h^i(t_1)) = f^i(m^{-1}(t_1))$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, k_1 - 1$ . Then  $\{X_i | 0 \leq i \leq k_1 - 1\}$  is a disjoint collection of nondegenerate subcontinua of  $X$  and  $f^{N_1}(X_i) = X_i$  for each  $i$ . By Lemma 4,

4)  $\text{diam } X_i \geq \delta$  for each  $i = 0, \dots, k_1 - 1$ .

Now we proceed to Step 2.

Step 2. Let  $f_2 = f^{N_1}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2 = \{K | K \text{ is a nondegenerate subcontinuum of } X_0 \text{ such that } f_2(K) = K\}$ .

Take a minimal element  $M_2$  of  $\mathcal{F}_2$ . By Theorem 3 again, there exists a monotone map  $m_2 : M_2 \rightarrow G_2$  onto a finite graph  $G_2$  and a homeomorphism  $h_2 : G_2 \rightarrow G_2$  such that  $h_2 \cdot m_2 = m_2 \cdot (f_2|_{M_2})$ . Define  $N_2$  as in Step 1.

*Case 2.1.* For each  $t \in \text{Fix}(h_2^{N_2})$ ,  $m_2^{-1}(t)$  is a point.

In this case, we can deduce a contradiction by the same argument as in the Case 1.1.

*Case 2.2.* There exists a  $t_2 \in \text{Fix}(h_2^{N_2})$  such that  $m_2^{-1}(t_2)$  is nondegenerate continuum. As in the Case 1.2, we can take an integer  $k_2$  such that

5)  $k_2 \geq 2$  and  $k_2$  divides  $N_2$ .

6)  $h_2^u(t_2) \neq h_2^v(t_2)$  for each  $0 \leq u \neq v \leq k_2 - 1$ , and  $h_2^{k_2}(t_2) = t_2$ . Let  $X_{iu} = f^i(m_2^{-1}(h_2^u(t_2))) = f^i(f_2^u(m_2^{-1}(t_2)))$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq k_1 - 1$  and  $0 \leq u \leq k_2 - 1$ . Then  $\{X_{iu} | 0 \leq i \leq k_1 - 1, 0 \leq u \leq k_2 - 1\}$  is a disjoint collection of nondegenerate subcontinua of  $X$  and  $f_2^{N_2}(X_{iu}) = X_{iu}$  and  $X_{iu} \subset X_i$ . Again,

7)  $\text{diam } X_{iu} \geq \delta$  for each  $i = 0, \dots, k_1 - 1$  and  $u = 0, \dots, k_2 - 1$ .

Continuing these processes, we obtain an uncountable disjoint collection

$$\{K_{i_1 i_2} \dots | 0 \leq i_1 \leq k_1 - 1, 0 \leq i_2 \leq k_2 - 1, \dots\}$$

defined by  $K_{i_1 i_2 i_3 \dots} = X_{i_1} \cap X_{i_1 i_2} \cap X_{i_1 i_2 i_3} \dots$ . By conditions 4), 7) and so on, each  $K_{i_1 i_2 i_3} \dots$  is a nondegenerate subcontinuum of  $X$ . This contradicts the assumption that  $X$  is Suslinian and completes the proof.  $\square$

It would be interesting if the hypothesis “hereditary  $\theta$ -continuum” can be replaced by “ $\theta$ -continuum.” In our situation,  $\theta$ -continuum is a  $\theta_n$ -continuum for some  $n$ . An easy example shows that a Suslinian,  $\theta_n$ -continuum need not be a hereditary  $\theta$ -continuum.

**Acknowledgment.** The authors wish to thank the referee for his helpful comments.

## REFERENCES

1. B.H. Bryant, *Expansive self-homeomorphisms of compact metric spaces*, Amer. Math. Monthly **69** (1962), 386–391.
2. H.S. Davis, D.P. Stadtlander and P.M. Swingle, *Properties of the set function  $T^n$* , Portugaliae Math. **21** (1962), 113–133.
3. E.E. Grace, *Monotone decompositions of  $\theta$ -continua*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **275** (1983), 287–295.
4. E.E. Grace and E.J. Vought, *Monotone decomposition of  $\theta_n$ -continua*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **263** (1981), 261–270.
5. F.B. Jones, *Concerning non-aposyndetic continua*, Amer. J. Math. **70** (1948), 403–413.
6. H. Kato, *The nonexistence of expansive homeomorphisms of dendroids*, Fund. Math **136** (1990), 37–43.
7. ———, *The nonexistence of expansive homeomorphisms of hereditarily decomposable snake-like continua*, unpublished.
8. E.J. Vought, *Monotone decompositions of continua*, General Topology and Modern Analysis (Proc. Conf. Univ. California, Riverside, California 1980, honoring F.B. Jones), Academic Press, New York (1981), 105–113.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON, HOUSTON, TX  
77204-3476

*Current address:* FACULTY OF INTEGRATED ARTS AND SCIENCES, HIROSHIMA  
UNIVERSITY, HIROSHIMA 730, JAPAN

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TSUKUBA, IBARAKI, 305 JAPAN