

SCHAUDER DECOMPOSITIONS OF NON-ARCHIMEDEAN BANACH SPACES

TAKEMITSU KIYOSAWA

ABSTRACT. Let K be a field with a nontrivial non-Archimedean valuation, under which it is complete. Let E be a non-Archimedean Banach space over K . Some of the main results are:

(1) (Theorem 13) If $(P_n) \subset L(E, E)$ is a Schauder decomposition, then (P_n) is a (UM) -sequence such that $P_n \rightarrow 1$ strongly but not uniformly.

(2) (Corollary 14) Let K be spherically complete. If E is a G -space, then E admits no Schauder decompositions.

1. Introduction. Let K be a field with a nontrivial non-Archimedean valuation, under which it is complete. In this paper we deal with Schauder decompositions of Banach spaces over K .

In Archimedean analysis, many authors treat this decomposition (cf. [1, 4, 5]). In particular, Dean [1] gave the following result.

Theorem [1]. *Let E be a Grothendieck space with the Dunford-Pettis property. Then E admits no Schauder decompositions.*

Lotz [5] and Leung [4] obtained further results along the same lines as Dean's argument.

In non-Archimedean analysis, however, if K is not spherically complete, then the above theorem is not true. In this paper we give an example to indicate it and show the following theorem.

Theorem (Corollary 14). *Let K be spherically complete. If E is a Grothendieck space, then E admits no Schauder decompositions.*

To show this, we need the following theorem, which is also one of our main theorems.

Received by the editors on July 27, 1990.
AMS Subject Classification (1980). 46P05, 30G05, 12J25.

Copyright ©1993 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

Theorem (Theorem 13). *If $(P_n) \subset L(E, E)$ is a Schauder decomposition, then (P_n) is a (UM)-sequence such that $P_n \rightarrow 1$ strongly but not uniformly.*

2. Preliminaries. Throughout, by E, F, \dots , we denote Banach spaces over K , and E' denotes the dual of E . Let $L(E, F)$ be the space of all continuous linear operators from E into F , and let $C(E, F)$ be the subspace of $L(E, F)$ which consists of all compact operators. The identity operator on E is denoted by 1_E or 1 if there is no cause for confusion. By $E \sim F$, we mean that E and F are linearly isometric.

A Banach space E is called a Grothendieck space (G -space) if every sequence $(x'_n) \subset E'$ which converges for weak* topology to zero converges weakly to zero. It is clear that a reflexive space is a G -space. The following are some results on G -spaces.

Proposition 1 (De Grande-de Kimpe [2]). *If $L(E, c_0) = C(E, c_0)$, then E is a G -space.*

Combining this proposition with Corollary 5.20 in [7] yields the next corollary.

Corollary 2. *If the valuation of K is dense and E is weakly injective, then E is a G -space. In particular, if K is spherically complete and its valuation is dense, then every dual space is a G -space.*

The following proposition is also obtained in De Grande-de Kimpe [2], but we give another proof here.

Proposition 3. *Let K be spherically complete. If E contains a subspace of countable type which is complemented, then E is not a G -space.*

(Recall that every Banach space contains a subspace of countable type.)

Proof. We may assume that $E = D \oplus c_0$, where D is a closed subspace

of E . Then there exists a linear isometry

$$S : D' \times l^\infty \rightarrow E'$$

defined by $\langle (x, y), S(d_1, d_2) \rangle = \langle x, d_1 \rangle + \langle y, d_2 \rangle$ ($d_1 \in D', d_2 \in l^\infty, x \in D, y \in c_0$). (See [7, p. 61].) Put $e_n = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1_{n\text{-th}}, 0, \dots) \in l^\infty$ ($n \geq 1$) and $S(0, e_n) = x'_n \in E'$. Then, for all $(x, y) \in E$ ($x \in D, y \in c_0$), $\langle (x, y), x'_n \rangle = y_n \rightarrow 0$ where y_n is the n -th coordinate of y . Therefore, $(x'_n) \in E'$ is weak* convergent to zero. While, since K is spherically complete, there exists $x'' \in (l^\infty)'$ ($\sim c'_0$) such that $\langle e_n, x'' \rangle \not\rightarrow 0$ (see [3]). Now define $y'' \in (D' \times l^\infty)'$ by $\langle (z', y), y'' \rangle = \langle y, x'' \rangle$ ($z' \in D', y \in l^\infty$) and put $z'' = y'' S^{-1}$. Then $z'' \in E''$ and $\langle x'_n, z'' \rangle = \langle e_n, x'' \rangle \not\rightarrow 0$. Hence $(x'_n) \subset E'$ does not converge weakly to zero. So E is not a G -space. \square

Corollary 4. *Let K be spherically complete. If E has a base, then E is not a G -space. In particular, if the valuation of K is discrete, then every Banach space is not a G -space.*

Proof. Combining Proposition 3 with Corollary 3.18 in [7], we can show this corollary. \square

Corollary 5. (1) *The valuation of K is dense if and only if l^∞ is a G -space.*

(2) *K is not spherically complete if and only if c_0 is a G -space.*

Proof. (1) follows from Proposition 1, Corollary 4 and Corollary 5.19 in [7]. (2) follows from Corollary 4 and from the fact that if K is not spherically complete, then c_0 is reflexive. \square

A Banach space E is said to have the Dunford-Pettis property (D-P property) if $\lim_n \langle x_n, x'_n \rangle = 0$ whenever $(x_n) \subset E$ tends weakly to zero and $(x'_n) \subset E'$ tends weakly to zero. If K is spherically complete, then every weakly convergent sequence in a Banach space is norm-convergent (see [6, p. 70]). Hence, the following lemma holds.

Lemma 6. *If K is spherically complete, then every Banach space has the D-P property.*

Theorem 7. c_0 and l^∞ have the D-P property.

Proof. Suppose that $(x_n) \subset c_0$ tends weakly to zero. Since $c'_0 \sim l^\infty$, by the same argument as used in proving Theorem 6 in [6, p. 70], we have $\lim_n \|x_n\| = 0$. Further, suppose that $(x'_n) \subset c'_0$ tends weakly to zero. Then by the Banach-Steinhaus theorem, $\sup_n \|x'_n\| < \infty$. Therefore, $|\langle x_n, x'_n \rangle| \leq \|x'_n\| \|x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). So c_0 has the D-P property. We now show that l^∞ has the D-P property. We may assume that K is not spherically complete. Then c_0 and l^∞ are reflexive and $(l^\infty)' \sim c_0$ (see [7, p. 111]). Hence, the proof is the same as the proof of c_0 . \square

Definition (Lotz [5]). A sequence $(P_n) \subset L(E, E)$ is said to be a (weak) Schauder decomposition if the following conditions hold:

- (1) $P_m P_n = P_{\min(n, m)}$ for all n, m .
- (2) $(P_n x)$ converges (weakly) to x for every $x \in E$.
- (3) $P_n \neq P_m$ for $n \neq m$.

Remark. Put $Q_1 = P_1$, $Q_i = P_i - P_{i-1}$ ($i \geq 2$). Then we see that Q_i is a projection and $E = \bigoplus Q_i(E)$.

Definition (Lotz [5]). A sequence $(S_n) \subset L(E, E)$ is said to be a (UM)-sequence if the following conditions hold:

- (1) $\sup_n \|S_n\| < \infty$.
- (2) $\lim_n \|S_m(S_n - 1)\| = 0$ for all m .
- (3) $\lim_n \|(S_n - 1)S_m\| = 0$ for all m .

Example 1. (1) Every (weak) Schauder decomposition (P_n) on E is a (UM)-sequence.

(2) Let (α_n) be a sequence in K such that $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). For every $n \in N$, define a linear operator

$$S_n : l^\infty \rightarrow l^\infty$$

by $S_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \alpha_{n+1}x_{n+1}, \alpha_{n+2}x_{n+2}, \dots)$. Then (S_n) is a (UM)-sequence on l^∞ .

(3) Let $P \in L(E, E)$ be a projection and λ be an element of K with $\|P\| < |\lambda|$. Then $1 - \lambda^{-1}P$ is a bijection, and we have the following expansion:

$$(1 - \lambda^{-1}P)^{-1} = 1 + \lambda^{-1}P + \dots + (\lambda^{-1}P)^n + \dots .$$

Hence, for every $x \in E$ there exists $y \in E$ such that

$$x = y + (\lambda^{-1}P)y + \dots + (\lambda^{-1}P)^n y + \dots .$$

For every n , define a linear operator

$$S_n : E \rightarrow E$$

by $S_n(x) = y + (\lambda^{-1}P)y + \dots + (\lambda^{-1}P)^n y$. Then (S_n) is a (UM)-sequence on E .

We observe that if (S_n) is a (UM)-sequence on E , then (S'_n) is a (UM)-sequence on E' .

3. Results. The following lemma is similar to the lemma of Lotz [5].

Lemma 8. *Let K be spherically complete and let (S_n) be a (UM)-sequence on E . Put $X = \overline{\cup S_n(E)}$ and $Y = \cap S_n^{-1}\{0\}$. Then the following assertions hold:*

(1) $X = \{x \in E \mid \lim_n \|S_n x - x\| = 0\}$ and X is a linear subspace of E and weak closure of $\cup S_n(E)$.

(2) If, for every $x \in E$, the sequence $(S_n x)$ has a weak cluster point, then (S_n) converges strongly to a projection P with X as range and Y as kernel.

Proof. Since K is spherically complete, X is weakly closed. Therefore, the proof is the same as the proof of Lemma 1 in [5]. □

Lemma 9. *Let K be spherically complete, and let E be a G -space. If a sequence $(T_n) \subset L(E, E)$ converges strongly to 1, then the sequence $(T'_n) \subset L(E', E')$ also does.*

Proof. For every $x \in E$ and for every $x' \in E'$,

$$|\langle x, (T_n - 1)'(x') \rangle| \leq \|x'\| \|(T_n - 1)(x)\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Hence, $(T_n - 1)'(x') \rightarrow 0$ weak*. Since E is a G -space and K is spherically complete, $(T_n - 1)'(x') \rightarrow 0$ strongly. \square

The following theorem is analogous to Leung's theorem (see [4, p. 24]).

Theorem 10. *Let K be spherically complete, and let E be a G -space. Then every strongly convergent (UM)-sequence on E converges uniformly.*

Proof. Let (S_n) be a strongly convergent (UM)-sequence on E . By Lemma 8, we may assume that $S_n \rightarrow 1$ strongly. Assume that $\|S_n - 1\| \not\rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). If, for some m , S'_m is topological isomorphism from E' onto a closed subspace of E' , then

$$\|S_n - 1\| = \|(S_n - 1)'\| \leq \|S'_m{}^{-1}\| \|(S_n - 1)S_m\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

This is a contradiction. Hence, for all n , S'_n is not a topological isomorphism, and so there exists $x'_n \in E'$ such that $\|S'_n(x'_n)\| \leq \|x'_n\|/n$ and $|\pi| < \|x'_n\| \leq 1$, where π is an element of K with $|\pi| < 1$. Putting $y'_n = (1 - S_n)'x'_n$, we obtain that

$$|\langle x, y'_n \rangle| \leq \|x'_n\| \|(1 - S_n)(x)\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

for every $x \in E$. Hence, $y'_n \rightarrow 0$ weak*. Since E is a G -space, $y'_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly. Further, since $\|x'_n - y'_n\| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), there exists a positive integer n_0 such that, for all $n > n_0$, $|\pi| < \|y'_n\| \leq 1$. Hence, there is $x_n \in E$ such that $|\pi| \leq |\langle x_n, y'_n \rangle| \leq 1$ and $|\pi| < \|x_n\| \leq 1$. Then, by Lemma 9,

$$|\langle (1 - S_n)x_n, x' \rangle| \leq \|(1 - S_n)'x'\| \|x\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

It follows that $(1 - S_n)x_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly. Since K is spherically complete,

$$|\langle x_n, (1 - S_n)'y'_n \rangle| \leq \|(1 - S_n)(x_n)\| \|y'_n\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

While we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle x_n, S'_n y'_n \rangle| &\leq \|S'_n y'_n\| = \|(1 - S_n)' S'_n x'_n\| \\ &\leq \|S'_n x'_n\| \max(1, \|S_n\|) \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $|\langle x_n, y'_n \rangle| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). This contradicts to $|\pi| \leq |\langle x_n, y'_n \rangle|$ for all n , and the proof is complete. \square

Corollary 11. *Let K be spherically complete. Let E be a G -space, and let (S_n) be a (UM)-sequence on E . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $S_n \rightarrow 1$ weakly.
- (2) $S_n \rightarrow 1$ strongly.
- (3) $S_n \rightarrow 1$ uniformly.
- (4) $S'_n \rightarrow 1_{E'}$ weak*.
- (5) $S'_n \rightarrow 1_{E'}$ weakly.
- (6) $S'_n \rightarrow 1_{E'}$ strongly.
- (7) $S'_n \rightarrow 1_{E'}$ uniformly.

Proof. It is clear that (3) \Rightarrow (7) \Rightarrow (6) \Rightarrow (5) \Rightarrow (4). Since K is spherically complete, (1) \Rightarrow (2) holds. By Theorem 10, (2) \Rightarrow (3) is true. Finally, by definition, we see that (1) and (4) are equivalent. \square

By induction, for every positive integer k we define the conjugate space $E^{(k)}$ and the conjugate operator $T^{(k)} \in L(E^{(k)}, E^{(k)})$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} E^{(1)} &= E', & E^{(k+1)} &= (E^{(k)})', \\ T^{(1)} &= T', & T^{(k+1)} &= (T^{(k)})'. \end{aligned}$$

Then, combining Corollaries 2 and 11, we can obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 12. *Let (S_n) be a (UM)-sequence on E . If K is spherically complete and its valuation is dense, then for each k the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $S'_n \rightarrow 1_{E'}$ weakly.
- (2) $S'_n \rightarrow 1_{E'}$ strongly.
- (3) $S'_n \rightarrow 1_{E'}$ uniformly.
- (4) $S_n^{(k)} \rightarrow 1_{E^{(k)}}$ weak*.
- (5) $S_n^{(k)} \rightarrow 1_{E^{(k)}}$ weakly.
- (6) $S_n^{(k)} \rightarrow 1_{E^{(k)}}$ strongly.
- (7) $S_n^{(k)} \rightarrow 1_{E^{(k)}}$ uniformly.

If K is not spherically complete, then Corollary 11 is not true. This is shown by the following example.

Example 2. Let K not be spherically complete. (Recall that c_0 and l^∞ are reflexive and are G -spaces.) For each $n \geq 1$, consider the linear operator

$$S_n : l^\infty \rightarrow l^\infty : (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots) \rightarrow (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 0, \dots).$$

Then (S_n) is a (UM)-sequence on l^∞ which does not converge to 1 strongly. However, since K is not spherically complete, $(l^\infty)' \sim c_0$, and we see that $S_n \rightarrow 1$ weakly. Further, let T_n be the restriction of S_n to c_0 . Then (T_n) is a Schauder decomposition on c_0 , and $T_n \rightarrow 1$ strongly but not uniformly.

Moreover, in Corollary 11, we also need the condition that E is a G -space. This is indicated by the next example.

Example 3. Let K be spherically complete. Then c_0 is not a G -space. Let (T_n) be a (UM)-sequence on c_0 in Example 2. Then (T_n) converges to 1 strongly. On the other hand, since $c'_0 \sim l^\infty$, we obtain

$$T'_n : l^\infty \rightarrow l^\infty : (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, \dots) \rightarrow (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, 0, \dots).$$

This implies that (T'_n) does not converge to 1 uniformly.

Theorem 13. *If $(P_n) \subset L(E, E)$ is a Schauder decomposition, then (P_n) is a (UM)-sequence such that $P_n \rightarrow 1$ strongly but not uniformly.*

Proof. It follows from the definition of the Schauder decomposition that (P_n) is a (UM)-sequence which converges to 1 strongly. We now show that it is not uniform. By definition, we have $P_n(E) \subsetneq P_{n+1}(E)$. Then there exists $y \in E$ such that $P_{n+1}(y) \in P_{n+1}(E) \setminus P_n(E)$. Put $x_{n+1} = P_{n+1}(y) - P_n(y)$. Then $P_n(x_{n+1}) = 0$ and

$$\|P_n - 1\| \geq \frac{\|P_n(x_{n+1}) - x_{n+1}\|}{\|x_{n+1}\|} = 1.$$

This completes the proof. \square

From the preceding results, the following corollaries are readily deduced.

Corollary 14. *Let K be spherically complete. If E is a G -space, then E admits no Schauder decompositions.*

Corollary 15. *Let K be spherically complete and its valuation dense. Then every dual space and every weakly injective Banach space admit no Schauder decompositions. In particular, l^∞ and l^∞/c_0 admit no Schauder decompositions.*

Corollary 16. *Let the valuation of K be dense. Let E_1, E_2, \dots , be an infinite sequence of Banach spaces. Then K is not spherically complete if and only if $(\times E_n)'$ and $\oplus E_n'$ are linearly isometric.*

Proof. “If part” follows from Corollary 15 and “only if part” follows from Theorem 4.22 in [7]. \square

In Corollary 14, we need the condition that K is spherically complete. This is induced by Example 2. And this example also leads that in non-Archimedean Banach space, Dean’s theorem does not hold, for c_0 is a G -space and has the D-P property.

Combining Corollaries 11 and 14, we obtain the following:

Corollary 17. *Let K be spherically complete. If E is a G -space, then E admits no weak Schauder decompositions.*

If K is not spherically complete, then the sequence (S_n) on l^∞ in Example 2 is a weak Schauder decomposition on l^∞ but not a Schauder decomposition. Hence, in Corollary 16, spherical completeness of K is necessary. In general, the following proposition holds.

Proposition 18. *Let K not be spherically complete. Then the Banach space $l^\infty \oplus E$ has a weak Schauder decomposition which is not a Schauder decomposition.*

Combining Theorem 10 with Theorem 4.39 in [7], the next corollary follows.

Corollary 19. *Let K be spherically complete. If E is a G -space, then there is not a (UM)-sequence (S_n) on E such that for each n , S_n is of finite rank and $S_n \rightarrow 1$ strongly.*

Let K be spherically complete. Then c_0 is not a G -space, and the (UM)-sequence (T_n) in Example 2 converges to 1 strongly and T_n is of finite rank for each n . But it does not converge to 1 uniformly. In general, the following corollary holds.

Corollary 20. *Let K be spherically complete. Suppose that E contains a closed subspace D of countable type which is complemented. Then there exists a (UM)-sequence (S_n) on E such that for each n , S_n is of finite rank and $S_n \rightarrow P$ strongly but not uniformly, where P is a projection of E onto D .*

Proof. Since K is spherically complete and D is of countable type, D has an orthogonal base $\{e_i\}$ such that $|\pi| < \|e_i\| \leq 1$ (see [7, p. 169]). Let E_n be a closed linear hull of $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$. Then $D = \bigcup E_n$, and for all $x \in E$ we can write $P(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i e_i$ ($\alpha_i \in K$, $\alpha_i \rightarrow 0$). Define a linear operator

$$S_n : E \rightarrow E_n : x \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i e_i \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Then we can see that (S_n) is the required (UM)-sequence. \square

Acknowledgment. The author wishes to thank the referee for his useful suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. D.W. Dean, *Schauder decompositions in (m)* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (1967), 619–623.
2. N. de Grande-de Kimpe, *Structure theorems for locally K -convex spaces*, Indag. Math. **39** (1977), 11–22.
3. T. Kiyosawa, *On spaces of compact operators in non-Archimedean Banach spaces*, Canad. Math. Bull. **32** (1989), 450–458.
4. D. Leung, *Uniform convergence of operators and Grothendieck space with the Dunford-Pettis property*, Math. Z. **197** (1988), 21–32.
5. H.P. Lotz, *Tauberian theorems for operators on L^∞ and similar spaces*, *Functional Analysis III, Surveys and Recent Results*, Amsterdam: North Holland, 1984.
6. A.F. Monna, *Analyse non-Archimédienne*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1970.
7. A. van Rooij, *Non-Archimedean functional analysis*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1978.
8. B.L. Sanders, *Decompositions and reflexivity in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (1965), 204–208.
9. ———, *On the existence of Schauder decompositions in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (1965), 987–990.

FACULTY OF EDUCATION, SHIZUOKA UNIVERSITY, OHYA, SHIZUOKA, 422, JAPAN