

## SINGULAR PERTURBATIONS IN VISCOELASTICITY

RONALD GRIMMER AND JAMES H. LIU

Dedicated to Paul Waltman on the occasion of his 60th birthday

ABSTRACT. We study the singular perturbation for a class of partial integro-differential equations in viscoelasticity of the form

$$(a) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho u_{tt}^\rho(t, x) &= E u_{xx}^\rho(t, x) + \int_{-\infty}^t a(t-s) u_{xx}^\rho(s, x) ds \\ &+ \rho g(t, x) + f(x), \end{aligned}$$

when the density  $\rho$  of the material goes to zero. We will prove that when  $\rho \rightarrow 0$  the solutions of the dynamical systems (a) (with  $\rho > 0$ ) approach the solution of the steady state obtained from equation (a) with  $\rho = 0$ . The technique of energy estimates is used. A similar result is also obtained for a nonlinear equation of the form

$$\rho u_{tt}^\rho(t, x) = \phi(u_x^\rho(t, x))_x + \int_{-\infty}^t a(t-s) \phi(u_x^\rho(s, x))_x ds + \rho g(t, x).$$

**1. Introduction.** Consider the following model in viscoelasticity in the one-dimensional case on the real line, (see [4, 10]),

$$\begin{aligned} \rho u_{tt}^\rho(t, x) &= E u_{xx}^\rho(t, x) + \int_{-\infty}^t a(t-s) u_{xx}^\rho(s, x) ds \\ &+ \rho g(t, x) + f(x), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbf{R}^+ \times [0, 1], \\ u^\rho(t, 0) &= u^\rho(t, 1) = 0, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}^+, \\ u^\rho(t, x) &= v^\rho(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbf{R}^- \times [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $u$  is the displacement,  $\rho g$  is the body force,  $f$  is the external force,  $\rho > 0$  is the density of the material and  $\mathbf{R}^+ = [0, \infty)$ ,  $\mathbf{R}^- = (-\infty, 0]$ .

---

1980 Mathematics subject classification (1985 Revision): 35B25  
Received by the editors on March 3, 1993.  
The research of the first author was partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-8906840.

The singular perturbation in such a case amounts to examining the behavior of the solutions of (1.1) when the density  $\rho \rightarrow 0$ .

There are many studies concerning singular perturbations. For example, Fattorini [5] studied the abstract equation

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho^2 u_{tt}^\rho(t) + u_t^\rho(t) &= Au^\rho(t) + f^\rho(t), & t \geq 0, \\ u^\rho(0) = u_0^\rho, \quad u_t^\rho(0) &= u_1^\rho, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1.2)' \quad w_t(t) = Aw(t) + f(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad w(0) = w_0,$$

in a Banach space  $\mathbf{U}$  with  $A$  the generator of a strongly continuous cosine family and a  $C_0$  semigroup. He proved that for any  $T > 0$ , if  $f^\rho \rightarrow f$  in  $L^1([0, T], \mathbf{U})$  and  $u_0^\rho \rightarrow w_0$ ,  $\rho^2 u_1^\rho \rightarrow 0$  as  $\rho \rightarrow 0$ , then  $u^\rho(t) \rightarrow w(t)$  uniformly for  $t$  in  $[0, T]$  as  $\rho \rightarrow 0$ , where  $u^\rho$  and  $w$  are solutions of (1.2) and (1.2)', respectively.

This problem is also related to the quasi-static approximation in viscoelasticity. For example, MacCamy [15] studied

$$(1.3) \quad u_{tt}(t) = -A(0)g(u(t)) - \int_0^t A'(t-s)g(u(s)) ds + F(t),$$

and

$$(1.3)' \quad 0 = -A(0)g(w(t)) - \int_0^t A'(t-s)g(w(s)) ds + F(t),$$

in a Hilbert space  $\mathbf{H}$  with  $A(t)$  a bounded linear operator,  $g$  a nonlinear and unbounded operator, and proved that if  $F(t) \rightarrow$  constant vector

$F(\infty)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , then, under appropriate conditions, one has

$$\begin{aligned} g(u(t)) &\rightarrow A(\infty)^{-1}F(\infty) \quad \text{weakly in } \mathbf{H}, & t \rightarrow \infty, \\ g(w(t)) &\rightarrow A(\infty)^{-1}F(\infty) \quad \text{in } \mathbf{H}, & t \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where  $u$  and  $w$  are solutions of (1.3) and (1.3)', respectively. This result motivates the procedure of using the quasi-static approximation

in viscoelasticity which drops the “acceleration” term  $u_{tt}$  when  $t$  is large.

Note that the existence and uniqueness of solutions of (1.1) has been obtained in [1, 3, 6, 9, 11, 13, 14], and we are only interested in singular perturbations in this paper, so we will assume that (1.1) has a unique solution  $u^\rho$  for each  $\rho > 0$ . For simplicity, we also assume that  $E = 1$ .

Next, assume that  $f \in C[0, 1]$  and  $1 + \int_0^\infty a(s) ds \neq 0$  and define

$$(1.4) \quad w(x) \equiv - \left[ 1 + \int_0^\infty a(s) ds \right]^{-1} A^{-1}(f)(x), \quad x \in [0, 1],$$

where

$$A = \partial^2 / \partial x^2, \quad \mathbf{D}(A) = \{y \in C^2[0, 1] : y(0) = y(1) = 0\}.$$

It will be proved that  $w$  is the unique solution on  $\mathbf{R}$  of (1.1) with  $\rho = 0$ . By linearity we see that  $u^\rho - w$  satisfies equation (1.1) with  $f = 0$ . Using an energy estimate and a differential inequality, we will show that if for  $s \leq 0$ ,  $\rho > 0$ ,  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $L^2 \equiv L^2([0, 1], \mathbf{R})$ ,

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|v^\rho(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2}, \|v_t^\rho(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2}, \frac{1}{\rho} \|v_x^\rho(0, \cdot) - w_x(\cdot)\|_{L^2}, \\ & \|g(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \leq \text{constant}, \end{aligned}$$

then, for every  $T > 0$ ,

$$(1.6) \quad u^\rho(t, \cdot) \rightarrow w(\cdot) \quad \text{in } L^2([0, 1], \mathbf{R}) \quad \text{as } \rho \rightarrow 0$$

uniformly for  $t \in [0, T]$ .

Next, we consider the same problem for a nonlinear equation

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho u_{tt}^\rho(t, x) &= \phi(u_x^\rho(t, x))_x \\ &+ \int_{-\infty}^t a(t-s) \phi(u_x^\rho(s, x))_x ds + \rho g(t, x), \\ &(t, x) \in \mathbf{R}^+ \times [0, 1], \\ u^\rho(t, 0) &= u^\rho(t, 1) = 0, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}^+, \\ u^\rho(t, x) &= v^\rho(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbf{R}^- \times [0, 1], \end{aligned}$$

where  $\phi$  is nonlinear,  $\phi(0) = 0$ , there is  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\phi' \geq \varepsilon$  on  $\mathbf{R}$ .

We will prove that zero is the unique solution on  $\mathbf{R}$  of (1.7) with  $\rho = 0$  and that if

$$\begin{aligned} \|v^\rho(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2}, \quad \|v_t^\rho(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2}, \quad \frac{2}{\rho} \int_0^1 \int_0^{v_x^\rho(0, x)} \phi(\tau) d\tau dx, \\ \|g(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \leq \text{constant}, \quad s \leq 0, \rho > 0, t \in \mathbf{R}, \end{aligned}$$

then for any  $T > 0$ , solutions  $u^\rho$  of (1.7) ( $\rho > 0$ ) go to zero in  $L^2$  uniformly for  $t \in [0, T]$  as  $\rho \rightarrow 0$ .

This approach uses the assumption that the history  $v^\rho$  satisfies equation (1.1) (respectively (1.7)) on  $\mathbf{R}^-$ , see [11, 13, 14]. Thus (1.1) (respectively (1.7)) holds on  $\mathbf{R}$  and hence we can solve  $u_{xx}$  from (1.1) (respectively (1.7)) in terms of  $\rho(u_{tt} - g)$  as in [13, 14]. This step is very important in obtaining the energy estimate. If we only assume that  $v^\rho$  is a known function on  $\mathbf{R}^-$ , (which may not satisfy (1.1) (respectively (1.7)) on  $\mathbf{R}^-$ ), then with additional conditions on  $v_{xx}^\rho$ , we are able to modify the proofs to get the same results.

**2. Singular perturbations of equation (1.1) and (1.7).** In this section we examine the behavior of the solutions of equations (1.1) and (1.7) when  $\rho \rightarrow 0$ . To this end, we introduce the following assumptions:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} 1 + \hat{a}(\lambda) \neq 0 \quad \text{for } \text{Re } \lambda \geq 0, \\ a \text{ and } a' \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^+, \mathbf{R}), \quad f \in C[0, 1], \end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{a}$  is the Laplace transform of  $a$ .

$$(2.1)' \quad a \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^+, \mathbf{R}) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^\infty a(s) ds \neq -1, \quad f \in C[0, 1].$$

Now we can state and prove

**Theorem 2.1.** *Assume that equation (1.1) has a unique solution  $u^\rho$  on  $\mathbf{R}$  for each  $\rho > 0$  with  $v^\rho$  satisfying (1.1) on  $\mathbf{R}^-$ . Also, let assumption (2.1) be satisfied and let  $w$  be defined by (1.4). In addition, assume that there is a constant  $G$  such that*

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \|v^\rho(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2}, \quad \|v_t^\rho(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2}, \quad \frac{1}{\rho} \|v_x^\rho(0, \cdot) - w_x(\cdot)\|_{L^2}, \\ \|g(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \leq G, \quad s \leq 0, \rho > 0, t \in \mathbf{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $w$  is the unique solution on  $\mathbf{R}$  of (1.1) with  $\rho = 0$ , and for every  $T > 0$ ,

$$(2.3) \quad u^\rho(t, \cdot) \rightarrow w(\cdot) \quad \text{in } L^2([0, 1], \mathbf{R}) \quad \text{as } \rho \rightarrow 0,$$

uniformly for  $t \in [0, T]$ .

*Proof.* Consider the resolvent kernel  $r(t)$  defined by

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} r(t) &= -a(t) - \int_0^t a(t-s)r(s) ds \in \mathbf{R}, & t \geq 0; \\ r(t) &= 0, & t < 0. \end{aligned}$$

We can write this as

$$(\delta + r) * (\delta + a) = \delta,$$

where  $*$  is the convolution on  $\mathbf{R}$  and  $\delta * f = f$ . Now (2.1) implies that  $r \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^+, \mathbf{R})$  [16]. Next,  $a' \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^+, \mathbf{R})$ , so by taking a derivative in (2.4) we obtain  $r' \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^+, \mathbf{R})$ .

Observe that  $w$  defined by (1.4) is a solution on  $\mathbf{R}$  of (1.1) with  $\rho = 0$ . Next, assume that  $y$  satisfies (1.1) on  $\mathbf{R}$  with  $\rho = 0$ . Then, using the above convolution notation, we have  $0 = (\delta + a) * y_{xx} + f$ . Thus,  $y_{xx} = -(\delta + r) * f$  and hence  $y = -A^{-1}(\delta + r) * f$ . Thus  $w = y$  and hence  $w$  is the unique solution on  $\mathbf{R}$  of (1.1) with  $\rho = 0$ . Next, define

$$Q^\rho(t, x) \equiv u^\rho(t, x) - w(x), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbf{R} \times [0, 1],$$

then  $Q^\rho$  satisfies

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho Q_{tt}^\rho(t, x) &= Q_{xx}^\rho(t, x) + \int_{-\infty}^t a(t-s)Q_{xx}^\rho(s, x) ds + \rho g(t, x), \\ (t, x) &\in \mathbf{R}^+ \times [0, 1], \\ Q^\rho(t, 0) &= Q^\rho(t, 1) = 0, & t \in \mathbf{R}^+, \\ Q^\rho(t, x) &= v^\rho(t, x) - w(x), & (t, x) \in \mathbf{R}^- \times [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

In the following we will use the energy method to show that

$$(2.6) \quad Q^\rho(t, \cdot) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L^2([0, 1], \mathbf{R}) \quad \text{as } \rho \rightarrow 0,$$

uniformly for  $t \in [0, T]$ . Define the energy of (2.5) as

$$\begin{aligned} E^\rho(t) &\equiv \int_0^1 (Q^\rho(t, x))^2 dx + \int_0^1 (Q_t^\rho(t, x))^2 dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\rho} \int_0^1 (Q_x^\rho(t, x))^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\|Q^\rho(t)\|_{L^2})^2, (\|Q_t^\rho(t)\|_{L^2})^2 &\leq E^\rho(t), \quad t \geq 0, 0 < \rho. \\ (\|Q_x^\rho(t)\|_{L^2})^2 &\leq \frac{1}{\rho} (\|Q_x^\rho(t)\|_{L^2})^2 \leq E^\rho(t), \\ &t \geq 0, 0 < \rho < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that (2.2) implies there is a constant  $J > G$  such that

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \|Q^\rho(0)\|_{L^2}, \|Q_t^\rho(s)\|_{L^2}, \frac{1}{\rho} \|Q_x^\rho(0)\|_{L^2} &\leq J, \\ s \leq 0, \rho > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $E^\rho(0) \leq J^2 + J^2 + J^2 = 3J^2$ ,  $0 < \rho$ . Next, we have

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} E^\rho(t) &= 2 \int_0^1 Q^\rho(t, x) Q_t^\rho(t, x) dx \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^1 Q_t^\rho(t, x) Q_{tt}^\rho(t, x) dx \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{\rho} \int_0^1 Q_x^\rho(t, x) Q_{xt}^\rho(t, x) dx \\ &= 2 \int_0^1 Q^\rho(t, x) Q_t^\rho(t, x) dx \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^1 Q_t^\rho(t, x) Q_{tt}^\rho(t, x) dx \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{\rho} \int_0^1 Q_t^\rho(t, x) Q_{xx}^\rho(t, x) dx \\ &\leq E^\rho(t) + 2 \int_0^1 Q_t^\rho(t, x) Q_{tt}^\rho(t, x) dx \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{\rho} \int_0^1 Q_t^\rho(t, x) Q_{xx}^\rho(t, x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Now we solve  $Q_{xx}^\rho$  from (2.5) in terms of  $\rho(Q_{tt}^\rho - g)$ . Observe that  $a$  and  $a' \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^+, \mathbf{R})$  imply that  $a(+\infty) = 0$ , hence [16] one has

$$r(+\infty) = -a(+\infty) \left( 1 + \int_0^\infty a(s) ds \right)^{-1} = 0.$$

Since  $Q^\rho$  satisfies (2.5) on  $\mathbf{R}$ , we may write (2.5) as

$$\rho(Q_{tt}^\rho - g)(t) = (\delta + a) * Q_{xx}^\rho(t), \quad t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{xx}^\rho(t) &= (\delta + r) * (\rho(Q_{tt}^\rho - g))(t) \\ &= \rho \left( Q_{tt}^\rho(t) - g(t) + \int_{-\infty}^t r(t-s)(Q_{tt}^\rho(s) - g(s)) ds \right) \\ (2.9) \quad &= \rho \left( Q_{tt}^\rho(t) - g(t) + r(0)Q_t^\rho(t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{-\infty}^t r'(t-s)Q_t^\rho(s) ds - \int_{-\infty}^t r(t-s)g(s) ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

Replacing this into (2.8), we have for  $t \geq 0$ ,  $\rho > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} E^\rho(t) &\leq E^\rho(t) + 2 \int_0^1 Q_t^\rho(t, x) Q_{tt}^\rho(t, x) dx \\ &\quad - 2 \int_0^1 Q_t^\rho(t, x) \left\{ Q_{tt}^\rho(t, x) - g(t, x) + r(0)Q_t^\rho(t, x) \right. \\ &\quad \quad \left. + \int_{-\infty}^t r'(t-s)Q_t^\rho(s, x) ds \right. \\ &\quad \quad \left. - \int_{-\infty}^t r(t-s)g(s, x) ds \right\} dx \\ &= E^\rho(t) + 2 \int_0^1 Q_t^\rho(t, x) g(t, x) dx - 2r(0) \int_0^1 (Q_t^\rho(t, x))^2 dx \\ &\quad - 2 \int_0^1 Q_t^\rho(t, x) \int_{-\infty}^t r'(t-s)Q_t^\rho(s, x) ds dx \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^1 Q_t^\rho(t, x) \int_{-\infty}^t r(t-s)g(s, x) ds dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq E^\rho(t) + (1 + 2|r(0)|)(\|Q_t^\rho(t)\|_{L^2})^2 + (\|g(t)\|_{L^2})^2 \\
&\quad + \int_{-\infty}^t |r'(t-s)|[(\|Q_t^\rho(t)\|_{L^2})^2 + (\|Q_t^\rho(s)\|_{L^2})^2] ds \\
&\quad + \int_{-\infty}^t |r(t-s)|[(\|Q_t^\rho(t)\|_{L^2})^2 + (\|g(s)\|_{L^2})^2] ds \\
&= E^\rho(t) + (\|g(t)\|_{L^2})^2 + \int_{-\infty}^t |r(t-s)|(\|g(s)\|_{L^2})^2 ds \\
&\quad + \left[1 + 2|r(0)| + \int_0^\infty (|r'(s)| + |r(s)|) ds\right] (\|Q_t^\rho(t)\|_{L^2})^2 \\
&\quad + \int_{-\infty}^t |r'(t-s)|(\|Q_t^\rho(s)\|_{L^2})^2 ds \\
&\leq \left[2 + 2|r(0)| + \int_0^\infty (|r'(s)| + |r(s)|) ds\right] E^\rho(t) \\
&\quad + \int_0^t |r'(t-s)|E^\rho(s) ds + \int_{-\infty}^0 |r'(t-s)|(\|Q_t^\rho(s)\|_{L^2})^2 ds \\
&\quad + \left(1 + \int_0^\infty |r(s)| ds\right) J^2 \\
&\leq \left[2 + 2|r(0)| + \int_0^\infty (|r'(s)| + |r(s)|) ds\right] E^\rho(t) \\
&\quad + \int_0^t |r'(t-s)|E^\rho(s) ds + \left[1 + \int_0^\infty (|r(s)| + |r'(s)|) ds\right] J^2 \\
&\equiv HE^\rho(t) + \int_0^t |r'(t-s)|E^\rho(s) ds + K,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $H$  and  $K$  are constants defined in an obvious way.

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.10) \quad y'(t) &= Hy(t) + \int_0^t |r'(t-s)|y(s) ds + K \in \mathbf{R}, \quad t \geq 0, \\
y(0) &= 3J^2.
\end{aligned}$$

And, for  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.10)_n \quad y'(t) &= Hy(t) + \int_0^t |r'(t-s)|y(s) ds + K + 1/n, \quad t \geq 0, \\
y(0) &= 3J^2 + 1/n.
\end{aligned}$$



Using standard methods of ordinary differential equations, [12], one can prove that (2.10) and (2.10)<sub>n</sub> have unique solutions  $y$  and  $y_n$  on  $\mathbf{R}^+$ , respectively, and

$$(2.11) \quad E^\rho(t) < y_n(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad n \geq 1, \quad \rho > 0.$$

$$(2.12) \quad y_n(t) \rightarrow y(t), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{uniformly on compact sets of } \mathbf{R}^+.$$

Therefore,

$$E^\rho(t) \leq y(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad \rho > 0.$$

Since  $J, H$ , and  $K$  are independent of  $\rho$ , so is  $y$ . Thus, for any  $T > 0$ , there is a constant  $C = C(T) > J$  such that

$$E^\rho(t) \leq C, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad \rho > 0.$$

Therefore, for  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $0 < \rho < 1$ ,

$$(2.13) \quad (\|Q^\rho(t)\|_{L^2})^2, (\|Q_t^\rho(t)\|_{L^2})^2, (\|Q_x^\rho(t)\|_{L^2})^2 \leq C.$$

This means that

$$\{Q^\rho(\cdot)|_{[0, T]}\}_{0 < \rho < 1} \subseteq C([0, T], L^2)$$

is equicontinuous and, for any  $t_0 \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\{Q^\rho(t_0)\}_{0 < \rho < 1} \subseteq H_0^1([0, 1], \mathbf{R})$$

is bounded. Next the embedding  $H_0^1([0, 1], \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow L^2([0, 1], \mathbf{R})$  is compact so that

$$\{Q^\rho(t_0)\}_{0 < \rho < 1} \subseteq L^2([0, 1], \mathbf{R})$$

is precompact.

Now we can apply the Arzela-Ascoli theorem [2] to conclude that there are  $\rho_k \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and  $v \in C([0, T], L^2)$  such that

$$(2.14) \quad Q^{\rho_k}(\cdot)|_{[0, T]} \rightarrow v \quad \text{in } C([0, T], L^2) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Next we prove that  $v(t) = 0$  in  $L^2([0, 1], \mathbf{R})$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ .

Note that the boundary condition in (2.5) implies that

$$(2.15) \quad \|Q^\rho(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \|Q_x^\rho(t)\|_{L^2},$$

and

$$\int_0^1 (Q_x^\rho(t, x))^2 dx = - \int_0^1 Q^\rho(t, x) Q_{xx}^\rho(t, x) dx.$$

So, for  $\tau \in [0, T]$  fixed, we have from (2.15) and (2.9),

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^\tau (\|Q^\rho(t)\|_{L^2})^2 dt &\leq \int_0^\tau (\|Q_x^\rho(t)\|_{L^2})^2 dt \\ &= \left| \int_0^\tau \int_0^1 Q^\rho(t, x) Q_{xx}^\rho(t, x) dx dt \right| \\ &= \rho \left| \int_0^\tau \int_0^1 Q^\rho(t, x) \left[ Q_{tt}^\rho(t, x) - g(t, x) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + r(0)Q_t^\rho(t, x) + \int_{-\infty}^t r'(t-s)Q_t^\rho(s, x) ds \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \int_{-\infty}^t r(t-s)g(s, x) ds \right] dx dt \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Next, from (2.13), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^1 \int_0^\tau Q^\rho(t, x) Q_{tt}^\rho(t, x) dt dx \right| &= \left| \int_0^1 \left[ Q^\rho(\tau, x) Q_t^\rho(\tau, x) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - Q^\rho(0, x) Q_t^\rho(0, x) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \int_0^\tau (Q_t^\rho(t, x))^2 dt \right] dx \right| \\ &\leq (\|Q^\rho(\tau)\|_{L^2})^2 + (\|Q_t^\rho(\tau)\|_{L^2})^2 \\ &\quad + (\|Q^\rho(0)\|_{L^2})^2 + (\|Q_t^\rho(0)\|_{L^2})^2 \\ &\quad + \int_0^\tau \int_0^1 (Q_t^\rho(t, x))^2 dx dt \\ &\leq (4 + \tau)C, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^\tau \int_0^1 Q^\rho(t, x) g(t, x) dx dt \right| &\leq \int_0^\tau \left[ (\|Q^\rho(t)\|_{L^2})^2 + (\|g(t)\|_{L^2})^2 \right] dt \\ &\leq 2\tau C, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_0^\tau \int_0^1 r(0) Q^\rho(t, x) Q_t^\rho(t, x) dx dt \right| \\
\leq |r(0)| \int_0^\tau \left[ (\|Q^\rho(t)\|_{L^2})^2 + (\|Q_t^\rho(t)\|_{L^2})^2 \right] dt \\
\leq 2\tau |r(0)| C,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_0^\tau \int_0^1 Q^\rho(t, x) \int_{-\infty}^t r'(t-s) Q_t^\rho(s, x) ds dx dt \right| \\
\leq \int_0^\tau \int_{-\infty}^t |r'(t-s)| [(\|Q^\rho(t)\|_{L^2})^2 + (\|Q_t^\rho(s)\|_{L^2})^2] ds dt \\
\leq 2\tau C \int_0^\infty |r'(s)| ds,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_0^\tau \int_0^1 Q^\rho(t, x) \int_{-\infty}^t r(t-s) g(s, x) dx dt \right| \\
\leq \int_0^\tau \int_{-\infty}^t |r(t-s)| [(\|Q^\rho(t)\|_{L^2})^2 + (\|g(s)\|_{L^2})^2] ds dt \\
\leq 2\tau C \int_0^\infty |r(s)| ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Now let  $\rho = \rho_k$  in (2.16) and let  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ; by (2.14) and the above estimates we have

$$\int_0^\tau (\|v(t)\|_{L^2})^2 dt = 0.$$

Note that this is true for arbitrary  $\tau \in [0, T]$ ; thus  $\|v(t)\|_{L^2} = 0$ , a.e., on  $[0, T]$ . But  $\|v(t)\|_{L^2}$  is continuous in  $t$ , so  $\|v(t)\|_{L^2} = 0$  for  $t \in [0, T]$ . Since (2.14) with  $v \equiv 0$  is true for every sequence  $\rho_k \rightarrow 0$ , it is true for  $\rho \rightarrow 0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Next let us consider the singular perturbation for

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.17) \quad \rho u_{tt}^\rho(t, x) &= \phi(u_x^\rho(t, x))_x + \int_{-\infty}^t a(t-s) \phi(u_x^\rho(s, x))_x ds \\
&\quad + \rho g(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbf{R}^+ \times [0, 1], \\
u^\rho(t, 0) &= u^\rho(t, 1) = 0, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}^+, \\
u^\rho(t, x) &= v^\rho(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbf{R}^- \times [0, 1],
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\phi$  is nonlinear and satisfies

$$(2.18) \quad \phi(0) = 0, \quad \text{there is } \varepsilon > 0, \phi' > \varepsilon \quad \text{on } \mathbf{R}.$$

We recall that by assuming that  $v^\rho$  satisfies (2.17) on  $\mathbf{R}^-$ , [11, 13, 14], proved the existence and uniqueness of solutions for (2.17). So we may assume that (2.17) has a unique solution  $u^\rho$  on  $\mathbf{R}$  for each  $\rho > 0$ .

In order to use the energy estimate, we define

$$\begin{aligned} E^\rho(t) &\equiv \int_0^1 (u^\rho(t, x))^2 dx + \int_0^1 (u_t^\rho(t, x))^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{\rho} \int_0^1 \int_0^{u_x^\rho(t, x)} \phi(\tau) d\tau dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for  $t \geq 0$  and  $0 < \rho < 1$ , one has from (2.18), (see [13]),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 (u_x^\rho(t, x))^2 dx &\leq \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \int_0^1 \int_0^{u_x^\rho(t, x)} \phi(\tau) d\tau dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{2}{\rho} \int_0^1 \int_0^{u_x^\rho(t, x)} \phi(\tau) d\tau dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} E^\rho(t), \quad t \geq 0, 0 < \rho < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Next, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 (u_x^\rho(t, x))^2 dx &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^1 \phi(u_x^\rho(t, x))_x u_x^\rho(t, x) dx \\ &= -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^1 u^\rho(t, x) \phi(u_x^\rho(t, x))_x dx. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to (2.9), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(u_x^\rho(t))_x &= \rho \left[ u_{tt}^\rho(t) - g(t) + r(0)u_t^\rho(t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_{-\infty}^t r(t-s)g(s) ds + \int_{-\infty}^t r'(t-s)u_t^\rho(s) ds \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, with the same proof as in Theorem 2.1, we have

**Theorem 2.2.** *Assume that equation (2.17) has a unique solution  $u^\rho$  on  $\mathbf{R}$  for each  $\rho > 0$  with  $v^\rho$  satisfying (2.17) on  $\mathbf{R}^-$ . Also, let assumption (2.1) be satisfied. In addition, assume that there is a constant  $G$  such that for  $s \leq 0$ ,  $\rho > 0$ ,  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ ,*

$$\|v^\rho(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2}, \|v_t^\rho(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2}, \frac{2}{\rho} \int_0^1 \int_0^{v_x^\rho(0, x)} \phi(\tau) d\tau dx, \\ \|g(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \leq G.$$

*Then zero is the unique solution on  $\mathbf{R}$  of (2.17) with  $\rho = 0$ , and for every  $T > 0$ ,*

$$u^\rho(t, \cdot) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L^2([0, 1], \mathbf{R}) \quad \text{as } \rho \rightarrow 0,$$

*uniformly for  $t \in [0, T]$ .*

Theorem 2.1 was proved under the assumption that  $v^\rho$  satisfies (1.1) on  $\mathbf{R}^-$ . If we only assume that  $v^\rho$  is known on  $\mathbf{R}^-$ , (which may not satisfy (1.1) on  $\mathbf{R}^-$ ), then with additional conditions on the history  $v_{xx}^\rho$  we can write equation (1.1) as

$$\rho u_{tt}^\rho(t, x) = u_{xx}^\rho(t, x) + \int_0^t a(t-s) u_{xx}^\rho(s, x) ds \\ + \int_{-\infty}^0 a(t-s) v_{xx}^\rho(s, x) ds + \rho g(t, x) + f(x) \\ = (\delta + a) \hat{*} u_{xx}^\rho(t, x) \\ + \int_{-\infty}^0 a(t-s) v_{xx}^\rho(s, x) ds + \rho g(t, x) + f(x),$$

and hence

$$u_{xx}^\rho(t) = (\delta + r) \hat{*} \left( \rho(u_{tt}^\rho - g) - f - \int_{-\infty}^0 a(t-s) v_{xx}^\rho(s) ds \right) (t),$$

where, in this case, the integral in convolution  $\hat{*}$  is from 0 to  $t$ . With essentially the same proof as in Theorem 2.1, we have

**Theorem 2.3.** *Assume that equation (1.1) has a unique solution  $u^\rho$  on  $\mathbf{R}^+$  for each  $\rho > 0$  with  $v^\rho$  given on  $\mathbf{R}^-$ . Also, let assumption (2.1)'*

be satisfied and let  $w$  be defined by (1.4). In addition, assume that there is a constant  $G$  such that

$$(2.2)' \quad \begin{aligned} & \|v^\rho(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2}, \|v_t^\rho(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2}, \frac{1}{\rho} \|v_x^\rho(0, \cdot) - w_x(\cdot)\|_{L^2}, \\ & \frac{1}{\rho} \|v_{xx}^\rho(s, \cdot) - w_{xx}(\cdot)\|_{L^2} \leq G, \quad s \leq 0, \rho > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $w$  is the unique solution on  $\mathbf{R}$  of (1.1) with  $\rho = 0$ , and for every  $T > 0$ ,

$$u^\rho(t, \cdot) \rightarrow w(\cdot) \quad \text{in } L^2([0, 1], \mathbf{R}) \quad \text{as } \rho \rightarrow 0,$$

uniformly for  $t \in [0, T]$ .

For equation (2.17) we can do similar things and get

**Theorem 2.4.** *Assume that (2.17) has a unique solution  $u^\rho$  on  $\mathbf{R}^+$  for each  $\rho > 0$  with  $v^\rho$  given on  $\mathbf{R}^-$ . Also, let assumption (2.1)' be satisfied. In addition, assume that there is a constant  $G$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|v^\rho(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2}, \|v_t^\rho(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2}, \frac{2}{\rho} \int_0^1 \int_0^{v_x^\rho(0, x)} \phi(\tau) d\tau dx, \\ & \frac{1}{\rho} \|\phi(v_x^\rho(s, \cdot))_x\|_{L^2} \leq G, \quad s \leq 0, \rho > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then zero is the unique solution on  $\mathbf{R}$  of (2.17) with  $\rho = 0$ , and for every  $T > 0$ ,

$$u^\rho(t, \cdot) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L^2([0, 1], \mathbf{R}) \quad \text{as } \rho \rightarrow 0,$$

uniformly for  $t \in [0, T]$ .

## REFERENCES

1. C.M. Dafermos, *An abstract Volterra equation with applications to linear viscoelasticity*, J. Differential Equations **7** (1970), 544–569.
2. J. Dieudonne, *Foundations of modern analysis*, Academic Press, New York, 1969.

3. C.M. Dafermos and J.A. Nohel, *A nonlinear hyperbolic Volterra equation in viscoelasticity*, Amer. J. Math. Suppl. (1981), 87–116.
4. ———, *A nonlinear hyperbolic Volterra equation in viscoelasticity*, *Contributions to analysis and geometry*, The Johns Hopkins University Press, 1981, 87–116.
5. H.O. Fattorini, *Second order linear differential equations in Banach spaces*, North-Holland, 1985, 165–222.
6. R. Grimmer and J.H. Liu, *Integrated semigroups and integrodifferential equations*, to appear in Semigroup Forum.
7. ———, *Integrodifferential equations with non-densely defined operators*, in *Differential equations with applications in biology, physics and engineering*, J. Goldstein, F. Kappel and W. Schappacher (eds.), Marcel Dekker, New York, 1991, 185–199.
8. R. Grimmer and E. Sinestrari, *Maximum norm in one-dimensional hyperbolic problems*, J. Differential Integral Equations **5** (1992), 421–432.
9. M.L. Heard, *A class of hyperbolic Volterra integrodifferential equations*, Nonlinear Anal. **8** (1984), 79–93.
10. J. Hudson, *The excitation and propagation of elastic waves*, Cambridge University Press, 1980, 188–219.
11. W.J. Hrusa and M. Renardy, *On a class of quasilinear partial integrodifferential equations with singular kernels*, J. Differential Equations **64** (1986), 195–220.
12. V. Lakshmikantham and S. Leela, *Differential and integral inequalities*, Academic Press, New York, 1969.
13. R.C. MacCamy, *An integro-differential equation with application in heat flow*, Quart. Appl. Math. **35** (1977), 1–19.
14. ———, *A model for one-dimensional, nonlinear viscoelasticity*, Quart. Appl. Math. **35** (1977), 21–33.
15. ———, *Approximations for a class of functional differential equations*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **23** (1972), 70–83.
16. R.K. Miller, *Nonlinear Volterra integral equations*, W.A. Benjamin Inc., 1971, 189–233.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY, CARBONDALE,  
ILLINOIS 62901

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JAMES MADISON UNIVERSITY, HARRISBURG,  
VIRGINIA 62901