

## ON RANDOM WALKS AND LEVELS OF THE FORM $n^\alpha$

SARAH DRUCKER, IMOLA FODOR AND EVAN FISHER

**1. Introduction.** Let  $\{X_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  be a collection of independent and identically distributed random variables with finite expectation  $\mu$  and finite variance  $\sigma^2$ . Define  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . It follows from results such as the Hartman-Wintner law of the iterated logarithm (see [10, p. 293]) that if  $\alpha > 1/2$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (S_n - n\mu)/n^\alpha = 0$  a.s. (The case  $\alpha = 1$  is the standard Strong Law of Large Numbers.) An equivalent statement is that for each number  $\varepsilon > 0$  the inequality

$$|S_n - n\mu| > \varepsilon n^\alpha$$

is satisfied for only finitely many  $n$ .

Consider the sequence of events  $\{A_n, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  where  $A_n = (|S_n - n\mu| > \varepsilon n^\alpha)$ . Let  $I(A)$  denote the indicator function of the event  $A$ . We consider the random variable  $N(\varepsilon)$  where  $N(\varepsilon)$  is defined by

$$(1.1) \quad N(\varepsilon) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I(A_n).$$

$N(\varepsilon)$  represents the number of indices for which  $A_n$  occurs. For notational convenience we suppress, in the notation, the dependence of  $N(\varepsilon)$  on  $\alpha$ . For  $\alpha > 1/2$ , this is a finite-valued random variable. Conditions related to the existence of various moments of  $N(\varepsilon)$  for a variety of boundaries and settings have been investigated by Lai and Lan [5], Slivka and Severo [9], Slivka [8], Stratton [11], and Griffiths and Wright [4].

In Section 2 of this paper we consider the expected value of  $N(\varepsilon)$  for the special case when  $X_i$  is normally distributed. In Slivka and

---

Received by the editors on November 9, 1993, and in revised form on August 17, 1994.

The first and second authors were participants in NSF-REU program held at Lafayette College, Summer 1993.

Severo [9, Theorem 2], explicit upper and lower bounds are derived in this setting where  $\alpha = 1$ . Using a simpler method we derive analogous upper and lower bounds for  $E(N(\varepsilon))$  for the more general case  $\alpha > 1/2$  (Theorem 2.3), which includes the aforementioned bounds as a special case. Theorem 2.4 describes the asymptotic behavior of  $E(N(\varepsilon))$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . This generalizes a result indicated in Slivka and Severo [9] for the case  $\alpha = 1$ .

In part 3 we consider the number of times the random walk  $\{S_n, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  lies above a linear boundary. More precisely, we define the random variable  $N_+(\varepsilon)$  as

$$(1.2) \quad N_+(\varepsilon) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I(B_n)$$

where  $B_n$  is the event defined by  $B_n = (S_n > n(\mu + \varepsilon))$  and  $I(\cdot)$  is the indicator function defined earlier. This variable has been investigated in Razanadrakoto and Severo [6] where expressions generating the exact distribution of  $N_+(\varepsilon)$  are derived. Section 3.1 begins with the derivation of close upper and lower bounds for the expected value of  $N_+(\varepsilon)$  in the special case when  $X_i$  is normally distributed (Corollary 3.1). We also note an analogous result under the same conditions on the variance of  $N_+(\varepsilon)$  (inequality (3.3)).

The primary results in Section 3 concern the first index, if one exists, for which  $(S_n > n(\mu + \varepsilon))$  occurs. We define the variable  $T(\varepsilon)$  by

$$(1.3) \quad T(\varepsilon) = \begin{cases} \inf\{n \geq 1 : S_n > n(\mu + \varepsilon)\} & \text{if such an } n \text{ exists} \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Under the hypothesis that  $\{X_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  are independent and identically distributed random variables with finite expectation, we derive a general recursive expression generating the exact distribution of  $T(\varepsilon)$  (Theorem 3.3).

The final results in Section 3 center around the conditional expectation of  $T(\varepsilon)$  given that  $T(\varepsilon)$  is finite. Theorem 3.4 describes a relationship between this conditional expectation and the expected value of  $N_+(\varepsilon)$ . We then investigate the asymptotic behavior of the conditional expectation as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  in the case where the common distribution function of  $\{X_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  is symmetric and continuous (Theorem

3.5) and in the special case where the common distribution function of  $\{X_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  is normal (Theorem 3.6).

**2. The expected value of  $N(\varepsilon)$ .** The following lemmas are employed at several points in the development.

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $Y$  is a nonnegative random variable, then*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(Y \geq n) \leq EY \leq 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(Y \geq n).$$

*Proof.* See Ash [1, p. 275].  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *If the random variable  $Z$  has a standard normal distribution, then for  $p > -1$ ,*

$$E|Z|^p = \frac{2^{p/2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right).$$

*Proof.* The result follows immediately using an elementary change of variables.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $\{X_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  be a sequence of independent random variables, normally distributed with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . Let  $\alpha > 1/2$  and  $N(\varepsilon)$  be as defined in (1.1). For  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1) \quad & \rho^{-2/(2\alpha-1)} \frac{2^{1/(2\alpha-1)} \Gamma((2\alpha+1)/(2(2\alpha-1)))}{\sqrt{\pi}} - 1 \\ & \leq EN(\varepsilon) \\ & \leq \rho^{-2/(2\alpha-1)} \frac{2^{1/(2\alpha-1)} \Gamma((2\alpha+1)/(2(2\alpha-1)))}{\sqrt{\pi}} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\rho = \varepsilon/\sigma$ .

*Proof.* It follows from the definition of  $N(\varepsilon)$  that

$$\begin{aligned} EN(\varepsilon) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|S_n - n\mu| > \varepsilon n^\alpha) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|Z| > \rho n^{\alpha-1/2}) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(\rho^{-2/(2\alpha-1)} |Z|^{2/(2\alpha-1)} > n) \end{aligned}$$

where  $Z$  has a standard normal distribution. The application of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 to the latter sum yields inequality (2.1).  $\square$

*Remarks.* 1) We note that, as expected, the bounds on  $E(N(\varepsilon))$  are based on  $\varepsilon$  and  $\sigma$  through  $\rho = \varepsilon/\sigma$ .

2) For the case  $\alpha = 1$ , Theorem 2.3 reduces to Theorem 2 in Slivka and Severo [9].

**Examples.** The following examples demonstrate the relative closeness of the upper and lower bounds in Theorem 2.3 when  $\rho$  is small.

1) Consider the case  $\sigma = 1$  and  $\alpha = 1$ . If  $\varepsilon = .5$  Theorem 2.3 yields the inequality  $3 \leq E(N(\varepsilon)) \leq 4$ . For  $\varepsilon = .1$ , the inequality  $99 \leq E(N(\varepsilon)) \leq 100$  is obtained from Theorem 2.3.

2) Consider the case  $\sigma = 1$  and  $\alpha = 3/4$ . For  $\varepsilon = 1$ , Theorem 2.3 yields  $2 \leq E(N(\varepsilon)) \leq 3$ . For  $\varepsilon = .5$ , it follows that  $47 \leq E(N(\varepsilon)) \leq 48$ . For  $\varepsilon = .1$ , we obtain the estimate that  $29,999 \leq E(N(\varepsilon)) \leq 30,000$ .

Theorem 2.4 describes an asymptotic approximation for  $E(N(\varepsilon))$  as  $\rho \rightarrow 0$  for the general case  $\alpha > 1/2$ . This includes as a special case a result noted in Slivka and Severo [9, p. 732] for  $\alpha = 1$ . We apply a method of proof suggested in their paper.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Assume the same hypotheses as in Theorem 2.3.*

*Then*

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \left| E(N(\varepsilon)) - \left\{ \rho^{-2/(2\alpha-1)} \frac{2^{1/(2\alpha-1)} \Gamma((2\alpha+1)/2(2\alpha-1))}{\sqrt{\pi}} - \frac{1}{2} \right\} \right| = 0$$

where  $\rho = \varepsilon/\sigma$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\Phi(\cdot)$  denote the cumulative distribution function for the standard normal distribution. Then, as in the proof of Theorem 2.3, we obtain

$$E(N(\varepsilon)) = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi(-\rho n^{\alpha-1/2}).$$

Define the function  $f$  by  $f(x) = \Phi(-\rho x^{\alpha-1/2})$  and the function  $\xi$  by  $\xi(x) = \int_0^x ([t] - t + 1/2) dt$  for  $x \geq 0$ . We apply a standard form of the Euler-MacLaurin summation formula to the latter sum and obtain

$$E(N(\varepsilon)) = 2 \int_1^{\infty} f(x) dx + f(1) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n) + 2 \int_1^{\infty} f''(x) \xi(x) dx.$$

Since  $\xi(x) \leq 1/8$  for  $x > 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n) = 0$ , it follows that

$$(2.3) \quad \left| E(N(\varepsilon)) - 2 \int_0^{\infty} f(x) dx + 2 \int_0^1 f(x) dx - \Phi(-\rho) \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_1^{\infty} |f''(x)| dx.$$

We apply the change of variables  $y = \rho x^{\alpha-1/2}$  and integration by parts to the integral  $\int_0^{\infty} f(x) dx$  with the result

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty} f(x) dx &= \int_0^{\infty} \Phi(-\rho x^{\alpha-1/2}) dx \\ &= \frac{2}{2\alpha-1} \rho^{-2/(2\alpha-1)} \int_0^{\infty} [1 - \Phi(y)] y^{(3-2\alpha)/(2\alpha-1)} dy \\ &= \rho^{-2/(2\alpha-1)} \left\{ \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} [1 - \Phi(y)] y^{2/(2\alpha-1)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^{\infty} y^{2/(2\alpha-1)} \phi(y) dy \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\phi(\cdot)$  denotes the density function of the standard normal distribution. Using standard bounds on the tail probabilities of the standard normal distribution (see Feller [2, p. 175]) or other methods, it is easily shown that

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} [1 - \Phi(y)] y^{2/(2\alpha-1)} = 0.$$

Applying Lemma 2.2 with  $p = 2/(2\alpha - 1)$  to the last integral in (2.4) results in

$$(2.5) \quad \int_0^\infty f(x) dx = \rho^{-2/(2\alpha-1)} 2^{(2-2\alpha)/(2\alpha-1)} \pi^{-1/2} \Gamma((2\alpha+1)/(2(2\alpha-1))).$$

Since  $\Phi(\cdot)$  is bounded, the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem can be used to obtain

$$(2.6) \quad \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \int_0^1 \Phi(-\rho x^{\alpha-1/2}) dx = \int_0^1 \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \Phi(-\rho x^{\alpha-1/2}) dx = \frac{1}{2}.$$

We now show that

$$(2.7) \quad \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \int_1^\infty |f''(x)| dx = 0.$$

Elementary calculations show that there exist constants  $C_1 > 0$  and  $C_2 > 0$  depending only upon  $\alpha$  so that

$$(2.8) \quad |f''(x)| \leq C_1 \rho x^{\alpha-5/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\rho^2 x^{2\alpha-1}}{2}\right) + C_2 \rho^3 x^{3\alpha-7/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\rho^2 x^{2\alpha-1}}{2}\right).$$

Consider  $\int_1^\infty x^{\alpha-5/2} \exp(-\rho^2 x^{2\alpha-1}/2) dx$ . In the case  $\alpha < 3/2$ , it is clear that  $\int_1^\infty x^{\alpha-5/2} \exp(-\rho^2 x^{2\alpha-1}/2) dx < C$  for some positive constant  $C$  independent of  $\rho$ . Therefore, for  $\alpha < 3/2$ , it follows that

$$(2.9) \quad \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \rho \int_1^\infty x^{\alpha-5/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\rho^2 x^{2\alpha-1}}{2}\right) dx = 0.$$

Consider the case  $\alpha > 3/2$ . The change of variables  $y = \rho^2 x^{2\alpha-1}/2$  yields the existence of a constant  $C$  independent of  $\rho$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty x^{\alpha-5/2} \exp(-\rho^2 x^{2\alpha-1}/2) dx \\ = C \rho^{(3-2\alpha)/(2\alpha-1)} \int_0^\infty y^{-(2\alpha-1)/2(2\alpha-1)} \exp(-y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from this that (2.9) holds for all  $\alpha > 3/2$ .

For  $\alpha = 3/2$ , the same change of variables results in the equality

$$(2.10) \quad \int_1^\infty x^{\alpha-5/2} \exp(-\rho^2 x^{2\alpha-1}/2) dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\rho^2/2}^\infty y^{-1} \exp(-y) dy.$$

The latter can be written as

$$(2.11) \quad \int_{\rho^2/2}^1 y^{-1} \exp(-y) dy + \int_1^\infty y^{-1} \exp(-y) dy.$$

Clearly,  $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} (1/2)\rho \int_1^\infty y^{-1} \exp(-y) dy = 0$ . We also observe that

$$(2.12) \quad \int_{\rho^2/2}^1 y^{-1} \exp(-y) dy < \int_{\rho^2/2}^1 y^{-1} dy = \ln 2 - 2 \ln \rho.$$

Since  $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \rho \ln \rho = 0$ , (2.10)–(2.12) yield the validity of (2.9) for the case  $\alpha = 3/2$  and hence for all  $\alpha > 1/2$ .

We now consider the integral  $\int_1^\infty x^{3\alpha-7/2} \exp(-\rho^2 x^{2\alpha-1}/2) dx$ . For  $1/2 < \alpha < 5/6$ , it is clear that there exists a constant  $C$  independent of  $\rho$  so that  $\int_1^\infty x^{3\alpha-7/2} \exp(-\rho^2 x^{2\alpha-1}/2) dx < C$ . Therefore,

$$(2.13) \quad \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \rho^3 \int_1^\infty x^{3\alpha-7/2} \exp(-\rho^2 x^{2\alpha-1}/2) dx = 0.$$

The case  $\alpha > 5/6$  follows as before. With the change of variables  $y = \rho^2 x^{2\alpha-1}/2$ , we obtain

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_1^\infty x^{3\alpha-7/2} \exp(-\rho^2 x^{2\alpha-1}/2) dx \\ & < \int_0^\infty x^{3\alpha-7/2} \exp(-\rho^2 x^{2\alpha-1}/2) dx \\ & = C \rho^{(5-6\alpha)/(2\alpha-1)} \int_0^\infty y^{(2\alpha-3)/(2(2\alpha-1))} \exp(-y) dy \end{aligned}$$

for some constant  $C$  independent of  $\rho$ . It is easily seen that the last integral converges. Therefore, (2.14) is valid for  $\alpha > 5/6$ .

Consider the case  $\alpha = 5/6$ . We obtain

$$(2.15) \quad \int_1^\infty x^{3\alpha-7/2} \exp(-\rho^2 x^{2\alpha-1}/2) dx = \frac{3}{2} \int_{\rho^2/2}^\infty y^{-1} \exp(-y) dy.$$

Write the latter integral as in (2.11). Clearly,

$$(2.16) \quad \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \rho^3 \int_1^\infty y^{-1} \exp(-y) dy = 0.$$

From (2.14)–(2.16) and the fact that  $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \rho^3 \ln \rho = 0$ , it follows that (2.13) holds for  $\alpha = 5/6$  and hence for all  $\alpha > 1/2$ . Thus, (2.7) holds for all  $\alpha > 1/2$ .

Combining (2.5)–(2.7) proves (2.2).  $\square$

**Examples.** 1) In the case  $\alpha = 1$ , Theorem 2.4 demonstrates that

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} |E(N(\varepsilon)) - (\rho^{-2} - 1/2)| = 0.$$

This is the same result described in Slivka and Severo [9, p. 732]. (Note there is a misprint in that paper.)

2) In the case  $\alpha = 3/4$ , it follows from Theorem 2.4 that

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} |E(N(\varepsilon)) - (3\rho^{-4} - 1/2)| = 0.$$

**3. The variable  $N_+(\varepsilon)$ .** Recall the definition of  $N_+(\varepsilon)$  as given in (1.2). This variable was studied in Razanadrakoto and Severo [6] where, among other things, they derived an expression for the probability generating function of  $N_+(\varepsilon)$ .

3.1. *The expected value and variance of  $N_+(\varepsilon)$ .* We begin this section with two results that complement those in Section 2 of the present paper for the special case  $\alpha = 1$ .

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $\{X_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables, normally distributed with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,*

$$(3.1) \quad (\rho^{-2} - 1)/2 \leq EN_+(\varepsilon) \leq \rho^{-2}/2$$

where  $\rho = \varepsilon/\sigma$ .



*Proof.* From the definition of  $N_+(\varepsilon)$  in (1.2) we note that

$$(3.2) \quad EN_+(\varepsilon) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(S_n > n(\mu + \varepsilon)).$$

The result (3.1) follows from Theorem 2.3 and the symmetry of the random variables  $\{X_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ .  $\square$

With the hypotheses and notation of Theorem 3.1, the following result on the variance of  $N_+(\varepsilon)$  holds:

$$(3.3) \quad 3\rho^{-4}/4 - 1/8 \leq \text{Var}[N_+(\varepsilon)] \leq 3\rho^{-4}/4 + 1/8.$$

The proof, which we omit, makes use of a series representation for the variance of  $N_+(\varepsilon)$  derived in Razanadrokoto and Severo [6, p. 181] and follows along the lines of the proof of Theorem 2.4.

*3.2. On the distribution and conditional expectation of the random variable  $T(\varepsilon)$ .* Let  $\{X_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  be a collection of independent and identically distributed random variables with finite expectation  $\mu$ . For Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.4 we make no further assumptions concerning the distribution of the random variables.

Recall that the random variable  $T(\varepsilon)$ , defined by (1.3), describes the first index, if one exists, for which the event  $(S_n > n(\mu + \varepsilon))$  occurs. It follows from Razanadrokoto and Severo [6] that  $T(\varepsilon)$  is a defective random variable, i.e.,  $P(T(\varepsilon) < \infty) < 1$ . As in their work, we consider the equivalent event that  $(S'_n > 0)$  for  $S'_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X'_i$  with  $X'_i = X_i - (\mu + \varepsilon)$ .

For arbitrary  $\varepsilon \geq 0$ , define  $\tau_n$  and  $a_n$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , by

$$(3.4) \quad \tau_n = P(T(\varepsilon) = n)$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad a_n = P(S_n > n(\mu + \varepsilon)).$$

Let  $\tau(s)$  represent the generating function of  $\{\tau_n\}$ . That is, we define  $\tau(s)$  by

$$(3.6) \quad \tau(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau_n s^n.$$

Applying a result in Feller [3, Theorem 1, p. 413], it is immediate that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \log \frac{1}{1 - \tau(s)} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{s^n}{n} P(S'_n > 0) \\
 (3.7) \qquad \qquad &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{s^n}{n} P(S_n > n(\mu + \varepsilon)) \\
 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n} s^n.
 \end{aligned}$$

In order to determine the distribution of  $T(\varepsilon)$  from its generating function, we use the following lemma which is used for an analogous purpose in Razanadrokoto and Severo [6].

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\{u_i, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  and  $\{v_i, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  be sequences of real numbers, and assume that  $U(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} u_j s^j$  and  $V(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} v_j s^j$  are such that  $U(s), V(s)$ , and their  $n$ th derivatives exist for  $0 < s < \eta$  for some  $\eta > 0$ . If*

$$U(s) = \exp(V(s)) \quad \text{for } 0 < s < \eta,$$

then

$$u_n = n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n j v_j u_{n-j}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

*Proof.* See Razanadrokoto and Severo [6, p. 180].  $\square$

Theorem 3.3 provides a recursive formula that generates the exact distribution of the defective random variable  $T(\varepsilon)$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $\{X_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  be independent and identically distributed random variables with finite expectation  $\mu$ . Let  $\varepsilon \geq 0$ . Then, with the notation defined in (3.4) and (3.5),*

$$(3.8) \qquad \tau_n = -n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \tau_{n-j} \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $\tau_0$  is defined as  $\tau_0 = -1$ .

*Proof.* With  $\tau_0$  as defined in the hypotheses, the relation described in (3.7) can be rewritten as

$$(3.9) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-\tau_n) s^n = \exp \left[ - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n} s^n \right].$$

The result (3.8) is obtained by applying Lemma 3.2 with  $u_n = -\tau_n$  and  $v_n = -a_n/n$ .  $\square$

**Example.** Let  $\{X_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  be a sequence of independent standard normal random variables. The following probabilities are obtained (correct to the number of decimal places shown) from Theorem 3.3:

$n$	$P(T(1) = n)$	$P(T(.5) = n)$	$P(T(.01) = n)$
1	.158655	.308538	.499601
2	.0267391	.0722773	.124917
3	.00830393	.032322	.0624605
4	.00318112	.0179333	.0390383
5	.00135864	.0111152	.027327
6	.000620403	.00737263	.0204954
7	.000296445	.00511974	.0161035
8	.000146376	.00367504	.0130842
9	.0000740955	.00270495	.0109035
10	.0000382454	.0020304	.00926796

We have noted earlier that the variable  $T(\varepsilon)$  is a defective random variable. Therefore, it is of interest to consider the conditional expectation of  $T(\varepsilon)$  given that  $T(\varepsilon)$  is finite.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $\{X_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  be independent and identically distributed random variables with finite expectation  $\mu$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Then

$$(3.10) \quad E[T(\varepsilon)|T(\varepsilon) < \infty] = \frac{P(N_+(\varepsilon) = 0)}{P(N_+(\varepsilon) > 0)} EN_+(\varepsilon).$$

*Note.* The probabilities appearing in (3.10) can be expressed as  $P(N_+(\varepsilon) = 0) = P(T(\varepsilon) = \infty)$  and  $P(N_+(\varepsilon) > 0) = P(T(\varepsilon) < \infty)$ .

*Proof.* Since

$$P(T(\varepsilon) = n|T(\varepsilon) < \infty) = \frac{\tau_n}{P(T(\varepsilon) < \infty)},$$

it follows that

$$(3.11) \quad E[T(\varepsilon)|T(\varepsilon) < \infty] = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau_n \right)^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\tau_n.$$

Let  $\tau(s)$  represent the generating function of  $\{\tau_n, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  as defined in (3.6). Then (3.11) can be rewritten as

$$(3.12) \quad E[T(\varepsilon)|T(\varepsilon) < \infty] = \frac{\tau'(1)}{\tau(1)}.$$

A simple calculation using (3.7) leads to

$$(3.13) \quad \frac{\tau'(s)}{1 - \tau(s)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(S_n > n(\mu + \varepsilon)) s^{n-1}.$$

Recall that

$$(3.14) \quad E(N_+(\varepsilon)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(S_n > n(\mu + \varepsilon)).$$

Therefore, (3.13) and (3.14) imply that

$$(3.15) \quad \tau'(1) = (1 - \tau(1))EN_+(\varepsilon).$$

We apply (3.15), (3.12), and the note following the statement of Theorem 3.4 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E[T(\varepsilon)|T(\varepsilon) < \infty] &= \frac{1 - \tau(1)}{\tau(1)} EN_+(\varepsilon) \\ &= \frac{P(T(\varepsilon) = \infty)}{P(T(\varepsilon) < \infty)} EN_+(\varepsilon) \\ &= \frac{P(N_+(\varepsilon) = 0)}{P(N_+(\varepsilon) > 0)} EN_+(\varepsilon). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Example.** For  $\{X, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ , standard normal random variables, Corollary 3.1 provides close upper and lower bounds on  $E(N_+(\varepsilon))$ . Estimates for  $P(N_+(\varepsilon) = 0)$  can be obtained from the work of Razanadrakoto and Severo [6]. Theorem 3.4 can then be applied to obtain upper and lower bounds for  $E[T(\varepsilon)|T(\varepsilon) < \infty]$ . For example, with  $\varepsilon = .5$ , Theorem 3.4 establishes that  $1.68 \leq E[T(.5)|T(.5) < \infty] \leq 2.25$ .

We consider the behavior of  $E[T(\varepsilon)|T(\varepsilon) < \infty]$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  where the common distribution function of the random variables  $\{X_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  is continuous and symmetric.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $\{X_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  be independent and identically distributed random variables with common mean  $\mu$  and distribution function which is continuous and symmetric. Then, for  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E[T(\varepsilon)|T(\varepsilon) < \infty] = \infty.$$

*Proof.* In order to emphasize the dependence of the probabilities  $a_n$  and  $\tau_n$  on  $\varepsilon$ , denote  $a_n$  as  $a_n(\varepsilon)$  and  $\tau_n$  as  $\tau_n(\varepsilon)$ . Then for each fixed index  $n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ,

$$(3.16) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} a_n(\varepsilon) = 1/2$$

and

$$(3.17) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \tau_n(\varepsilon) = \tau_n^*$$

where  $\{\tau_n^*, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  is the solution to the recursive relation described in (3.8) with  $a_j$  equal to  $1/2$ .

Due to the symmetry and continuity of the common distribution of the random variables  $\{X_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ ,  $\tau_n^*$  can be equivalently characterized by  $\tau_n^* = P(S'_1 \leq 0, S'_2 \leq 0, \dots, S'_{n-1} \leq 0, S'_n > 0)$  where  $S'_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X'_i$  with  $X'_i = X_i - \mu$ . As a result, the probability generating function for  $\{\tau_n^*, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ , denoted here by  $\tau^*(s)$ , satisfies relation (3.7) (replace  $\tau$  with  $\tau^*$ ) with  $a_j$  equal to  $1/2$ . It follows from this that

$$(3.18) \quad \tau^*(s) = 1 - \sqrt{1 - s}.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\tau_n^* = \lim_{s \uparrow 1} \tau^{*'}(s) = \infty.$$

Theorem 3.5 follows from this and (3.12).  $\square$

For the case where  $\{X_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  are normally distributed random variables, we derive a result characterizing the asymptotic behavior of  $E[T(\varepsilon)|T(\varepsilon) < \infty]$  as  $\varepsilon$  approaches 0. Specifically, we show in Theorem 3.6 that  $E[T(\varepsilon)|T(\varepsilon) < \infty]$  increases to  $\infty$  asymptotically as  $\rho^{-1}$  where  $\rho = \varepsilon/\sigma$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $\{X_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  be independent and identically distributed random variables, normally distributed with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . Then, for  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,*

$$(3.19) \quad 0 < \liminf_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \rho E[T(\varepsilon)|T(\varepsilon) < \infty] \leq \limsup_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \rho E[T(\varepsilon)|T(\varepsilon) < \infty] < \infty$$

where  $\rho = \varepsilon/\sigma$ .

*Proof.* Define  $p_n$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , by  $p_n = P(S_k > k(\mu + \varepsilon), k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n)$ , and define  $p_0$  by  $p_0 = 1$ . Razanadrokoto and Severo [6, p. 180] have shown that

$$P(N_+(\varepsilon) = 0) = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k \right)^{-1}.$$

Combining this result with Theorem 3.4 leads to

$$(3.20) \quad E[T(\varepsilon)|T(\varepsilon) < \infty] = \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k \right)^{-1} EN_+(\varepsilon).$$

We derive (3.19) by obtaining upper and lower bounds on the term  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k$  and applying Corollary 3.1.

In Razanadrokoto and Severo [6, p. 181] it is shown that

$$(3.21) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k = -1 + \exp \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-1} a_k \right]$$

where  $a_k$  is as defined in (3.5). Let  $\Phi(\cdot)$  denote the cumulative distribution function for the standard normal distribution, and let  $\phi(\cdot)$  denote its density function. From the monotonicity of the function  $f(x) = x\Phi(-\rho\sqrt{x})$  for  $x > 0$ , we obtain

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-1} a_k &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-1} \Phi(-\rho\sqrt{k}) \\ &< \Phi(-\rho) + \int_1^{\infty} x^{-1} \Phi(-\rho\sqrt{x}) dx. \end{aligned}$$

It is easily seen that

$$(3.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_1^{\infty} x^{-1} \Phi(-\rho\sqrt{x}) dx &= -2\Phi(-\rho) \ln \rho + 2 \int_{\rho}^{\infty} (\ln y) \phi(y) dy \\ &< -2\Phi(-\rho) \ln \rho + 2 \int_0^{\infty} y \phi(y) dy \\ &= -2\Phi(-\rho) \ln \rho + C \end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  is a positive constant independent of  $\rho$ . Applying the result described in (3.23) to (3.21), it follows that for  $\rho < 1$

$$(3.24) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k < -1 + C\rho^{-2\Phi(-\rho)} < -1 + C\rho^{-1}$$

for some positive constant  $C$  independent of  $\rho$ .

The result in (3.23) can be combined with Corollary 3.1, and the result described in (3.20) to obtain the following inequality: For  $\rho < 1$ , there exists a positive constant  $C$  independent of  $\rho$  so that

$$E[T(\varepsilon)|T(\varepsilon) < \infty] > (1/2)(\rho^{-2} - 1)(-1 + C\rho^{-1})^{-1}.$$

From this inequality, it follows that

$$(3.25) \quad 0 < \liminf_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \rho E[T(\varepsilon)|T(\varepsilon) < \infty].$$

We obtain the righthand inequality in (3.19) in a similar fashion. The monotonicity of the function  $f(x) = x\Phi(-\rho\sqrt{x})$  for  $x > 0$  leads to

$$(3.26) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-1}\Phi(-\rho\sqrt{k}) > \int_1^{\infty} x^{-1}\Phi(-\rho\sqrt{x}) dx.$$

As before, it is easily shown that

$$(3.27) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_1^{\infty} x^{-1}\Phi(-\rho\sqrt{x}) dx &= 2^{-1}\rho \int_1^{\infty} (x^{-1/2} \ln x)\phi(\rho\sqrt{x}) dx \\ &= (2\sqrt{\pi})^{-1} \left\{ \int_{\rho^2/2}^{\infty} (\ln 2y)y^{-1/2} \exp(-y) dy \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_{\rho^2/2}^{\infty} 2(\ln \rho)y^{-1/2} \exp(-y) dy \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The inequality

$$\int_{\rho^2/2}^{\infty} (\ln 2y)y^{-1/2} \exp(-y) dy > \int_0^{\infty} (\ln 2y)y^{-1/2} \exp(-y) dy$$

holds for  $\rho < 1$ . Therefore,

$$(3.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_1^{\infty} x^{-1}\Phi(-\rho\sqrt{x}) dx &> C - \pi^{-1/2} \ln \rho \int_0^{\infty} y^{-1/2} \exp(-y) dy \\ &\quad + \pi^{-1/2} \ln \rho \int_0^{\rho^2/2} y^{-1/2} \exp(-y) dy \\ &= C + (-1 + h(\rho)) \ln \rho \end{aligned}$$



where  $C$  is a constant independent of  $\rho$  and  $h(\rho) = \pi^{-1/2} \int_0^{\rho^2/2} y^{-1/2} \exp(-y) dy$ .

We observe that

$$(3.29) \quad h(\rho) < \pi^{-1/2} \int_0^{\rho^2/2} y^{-1/2} dy = \sqrt{(2/\pi)} \rho.$$

Combining Corollary 3.1 and the results described in (3.20), (3.21), (3.26) and (3.28) yields that for  $\rho < 1$ ,

$$(3.30) \quad \begin{aligned} E[T(\varepsilon)|T(\varepsilon) < \infty] &< (1/2)\rho^{-2}(-1 + C\rho^{-1+h(\rho)})^{-1} \\ &= (1/2)\rho^{-1}(C\rho^{h(\rho)} - \rho)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  is a positive constant independent of  $\rho$ .

By (3.29), the inequality  $\rho^{h(\rho)} > \rho^{\sqrt{(2/\pi)}\rho}$  holds for  $\rho < 1$ . It follows from (3.30) that

$$(3.31) \quad \limsup_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \rho E[T(\varepsilon)|T(\varepsilon) < \infty] < \infty.$$

Combining the results of (3.25) and (3.31) proves the theorem.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** This research was supported in part by NSF-REU grant DMS 9300555.

## REFERENCES

1. R.B. Ash, *Real analysis and probability*, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
2. W. Feller, *An introduction to probability theory and its applications*, Vol. I, 3rd ed., John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1968.
3. ———, *An introduction to probability theory and its applications*, Vol. 2, 2nd ed., John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1971.
4. G.N. Griffiths and F.T. Wright, *Moments of the number of deviations of sums of independent identically distributed random variables*, Sankhyā Ser. A. **37** (1975), 452–455.
5. T.L. Lai and K.K. Lan, *On the last time and the number of boundary crossings related to the strong law of large numbers*, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete **34** (1976), 59–71.
6. D. Razanadrakoto and N.C. Severo, *The distribution of the 'finitely many times' in the strong law of large numbers*, Statist. Probab. Lett. **4** (1986), 179–182.

7. J. Slivka, *On the law of the iterated logarithm*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **63** (1969), 289–291.
8. ———, *Some density functions of a counting variable in the simple random walk*, Skand. Actuarietidskr. **53** (1970), 51–57.
9. J. Slivka and N.C. Severo, *On the strong law of large numbers*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **24** (1970), 729–734.
10. W. Stout, *Almost sure convergence*, Academic Press, New York, 1974.
11. H.H. Stratton, *Moments of oscillations and related sums*, Ann. Math. Statist. **43** (1972), 1012–1016.

UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, CHICAGO, IL 60637

*Current address:* DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY,  
EAST LANSING, MI 48824

RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08903

*Current address:* DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA –  
BERKELEY, BERKELEY, CA 94720-7360

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LAFAYETTE COLLEGE, EASTON, PA 18042-1781