

ULTRAFILTERS OVER \mathbb{N} AND OPERATORS ON L^1

MINOS A. PETRAKIS

Introduction. Let X be a Banach space. B_X denotes the unit ball of X and X^* denotes the dual of X . Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a probability space. We denote by $L^1(\mu)$ the Banach space of all μ -integrable functions with the usual norm. L^1 denotes the space of Lebesgue integrable functions on the unit interval $[0, 1]$. For a nonnegligible subset A of Ω , $P(A)$ is the set $\{f \in L^1(\mu) : f \geq 0, \text{supp}(f) \subseteq A \text{ and } \int f d\mu = 1\}$ of all probability densities supported in A .

A *tree* in X is a bounded family $(x_{n,k})$, $n = 0, 1, \dots, k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$ of elements of X verifying $x_{n,k} = (x_{n+1,2k-1} + x_{n+1,2k})/2$ for each $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 2^n$.

A δ -*tree* is a tree verifying $\|x_{n+1,2k-1} - x_{n+1,2k}\| \geq \delta$ for each $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$.

A δ -*Rademacher tree* is a tree $(x_{n,k})$ verifying $\|\sum_{k=1}^{2^n} (-1)^{k+1} x_{n,k}\| \geq \delta 2^n$.

Let $I_{n,k} = [(k-1)/2^n, k/2^n]$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$ and $h_{n,k} = 2^n \cdot \mathbf{X}_{n,k}$ where $\mathbf{X}_{n,k}$ is the characteristic function of the dyadic interval $I_{n,k}$. If $T : L^1 \rightarrow X$ is a (bounded) operator it is clear that $(T(h_{n,k}))$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$ is a tree in X . Conversely, every tree $(x_{n,k})$ in X produces an operator $T : L^1 \rightarrow X$ such that $T(h_{n,k}) = x_{n,k}$, $n = 0, 1, \dots, k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$.

An operator $T : L^1(\mu) \rightarrow X$ is called *Dunford-Pettis* if it maps weakly convergent sequences in $L^1(\mu)$ into norm convergent sequences in X .

A Banach space X has the *complete continuity property* if every operator from L^1 into X is Dunford-Pettis.

In [2] it is proved that if $T : L^1 \rightarrow X$ is an operator and $\|T(r_n)\| > 2\varepsilon$ for some L^∞ -bounded sequence (r_n) and some $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exists a set A of positive Lebesgue measure such that $\limsup_n \|T(r_n \cdot f)\| \geq \varepsilon$ for all f in $P(A)$. This result was used in [2] and [4] in the construction

Received by the editors on April 1, 1994.
AMS Subject Classification. 46B20, 47B38, 46B22, 47B07.

of certain trees in Banach spaces. Later many authors [12, 16, 10] used variations of the above constructions. In this paper we present some more variations on the same theme. We are led naturally to the following result:

Theorem 7. *If the Banach space X fails the CCP, then there is a subspace Z of X such that Z has a finite dimensional decomposition and contains a δ -tree which is also a δ -Rademacher tree. In particular, Z fails the CCP.*

This result answers a problem posed to the author by Professor S. Argyros in May, 1992. This result was also obtained by M. Girardi and W.B. Johnson.

In Proposition 1 and Corollaries 2 and 3, we use ultrafilters over the set \mathbf{N} of the positive integers. For anything on ultrafilters, we refer to [7, 13, 14]. All other notation and terminology are as in [8].

Proposition 1 (and its proof) is similar to Proposition 5 in [2].

Proposition 1. *Let $T : L^1(\mu) \rightarrow X$ be a bounded operator $\varepsilon > 0$ and (r_n) , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, a bounded sequence in $L^\infty(\mu)$ such that $\|T(r_n)\| > 2\varepsilon$. Let \mathbf{U} be a free ultrafilters over the set \mathbf{N} of the positive integers. Then there exists a set A in Σ with $\mu(A) > 0$ such that $\lim_{\mathbf{U}} \|T(r_n f)\| \geq 4\varepsilon/3$ for every f in $P(A)$.*

Proof. Let (x_n^*) , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, be a sequence in X^* such that $\|x_n^*\| = 1$ and $x_n^*(T(r_n)) = \|T(r_n)\|$ for each n in \mathbf{N} . Consider the set $\mathcal{K} = \{f \in L^1(\mu) : f \geq 0 \text{ and } \lim_{\mathbf{U}} x_n^*(T(r_n)) \leq (4/3)\varepsilon \cdot \|f\|_1\}$.

\mathcal{K} is a closed convex cone, and the constant function 1 on Ω does not belong to \mathcal{K} . By separation there exists g in $L^\infty(\mu)$ such that $\int g > \int gf$ for each f in \mathcal{K} . Since 0 belongs to \mathcal{K} , we have that $\int g > 0$ and therefore the set $A = \{x \in \Omega : g(x) > 0\}$ has positive μ -measure. If $f \in \mathcal{K}$, then $n \cdot f$ belongs to \mathcal{K} for each n in \mathbf{N} so $\int fg < \int (1/n)g$. This means that $\int fg \leq 0$ for all f in \mathcal{K} . It is clear that if f is a probability density in $P(A)$, then f does not belong to \mathcal{K} and $\lim_{\mathbf{U}} x_n^*(T(r_n f)) \geq 4\varepsilon/3$. Therefore, $\lim_{\mathbf{U}} \|T(r_n f)\| \geq (4/3)\varepsilon$ for every f in $P(A)$. \square

Corollary 2. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 1 given any finite number f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d of elements of $P(A)$, there is an infinite subset N' of \mathbf{N} such that $\|T(r_n f_i)\| \geq \varepsilon$ for every n in N' and for all $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, d$. Moreover, if the space $L^1(\mu)$ is separable, there is a subsequence (r'_n) of (r_n) such that $\liminf_n \|T(r'_n f)\| \geq \varepsilon$ for every f in $P(A)$.*

Proof. Let $U_i = \{n \in \mathbf{N} : \|T(r_n f_i)\| \geq \varepsilon\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$. Every U_i belongs to the ultrafilter \mathbf{U} and therefore the set $N' = U_1 \cap U_2 \cap \dots \cap U_d$ is an element of \mathbf{U} . Since \mathbf{U} is a free ultrafilter, the set N' is infinite. To prove the second statement, let (φ_i) , $i = 1, 2, \dots$, be dense in an $L^1(\mu)$ norm sequence in $P(A)$. Set $V_i = \{n \in \mathbf{N} : \|T(r_n \varphi_k)\| \geq 4\varepsilon/3$ for all $k \leq i\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Note that each V_i belongs to the ultrafilter \mathbf{U} and $V_{i+1} \subseteq V_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$. A diagonal argument produces an infinite subset N'' of \mathbf{N} such that, for every i in \mathbf{N} , all but finitely many elements of N'' belong to V_i . Suppose that $N'' = \{k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_n < \dots\}$, and let $r'_n = r_{k_n}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. It is clear that $\|T(r'_n \varphi_i)\| \geq 4\varepsilon/3$ for all but finitely many n in \mathbf{N} . Let $f \in P(A)$. We can find φ_1 so that $\|f - \varphi_1\| < (\varepsilon/3M)\|T\|^{-1}$ where M is a uniform bound of the sequence (r_n) . Now $\|T(r'_n f)\| \geq \|T(r'_n \varphi_1)\| - \|T((\varphi_1 - f)r'_n)\| \geq \varepsilon$ for all but finitely many n in \mathbf{N} . We have proved that $\liminf_n \|T(r'_n f)\| \geq \varepsilon$ for all f in $P(A)$. \square

Remarks. (i) It has been noted by several people that the second statement of Corollary 2 follows from Proposition 5 in [2] and a diagonal argument. We note that the set N'' in the proof above can be taken to belong to \mathbf{U} in case the ultrafilter \mathbf{U} is a p -point. Under Martin's axiom p -points (in fact, Ramsey ultrafilters over \mathbf{N}) exist (see [14, pp. 257-259]).

(ii) Let $(X)_{\mathbf{U}}$ be the ultraproduct of the Banach space X . If $x = (x_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$, is an element of $(X)_{\mathbf{U}}$ the norm of x is the quantity $\lim_{\mathbf{U}} \|x_i\|$ (see [13]). Let T be as in Proposition 1. Consider the operator $S : L^1(\mu) \rightarrow (X)_{\mathbf{U}}$ defined by $S(f) = (T(r_n f))$, $f \in L^1$. Proposition 1 says that $\|S(f)\| \geq 4\varepsilon/3$ for every f in $P(A)$.

If K is a subset of the Banach space X , $x^* \in X^*$ and $a > 0$, we denote by $S(x^*, K, a)$ the slice $\{x \in K : x^*(x) > a\}$ of K .

Corollary 3. *Let $T : L^1(\mu) \rightarrow X$ be an operator. Consider the set $K = T(P(\Omega))$ of the images of the probability densities of Ω . Suppose that $x_n^* \in B_X^*$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and $a > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$.*

Let (S_n) , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $S_n = S(x_n^, K, a)$ be a sequence of slices of K such that $\cap S(x_n^*, K, a + \varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$. Then there exist a subset A of Ω with $\mu(A) > 0$ and an infinite subset N' of \mathbf{N} such that for all f in $P(A)$, $T(f)$ is contained in S_n for all but finitely many elements $n \in N'$.*

Proof. Suppose $h \in P(\Omega)$ such that $T(h) \in S_n(x_n^*, K, a + \varepsilon)$ for all n in \mathbf{N} . This means that $x_n^*(T(h)) > a + \varepsilon$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Let \mathbf{U} be a free ultrafilter over \mathbf{N} . Consider the cone $\mathcal{K} = \{f \in L^1(\mu) : f \geq 0 \text{ such that } \lim_{\mathbf{U}} x_n^*(T(f)) \leq a \cdot \|f\|_1\}$. Note that h does not belong to \mathcal{K} since $\lim_{\mathbf{U}} x_n^*(T(h)) > a$. There exists $g \in L^\infty(\mu)$ such that $\int hg > \int fg$ for every f in \mathcal{K} . We can proceed now as in the proof of Proposition 1.

□

Remark. Note that Corollary 3 implies that every slice of $T(P(\Omega))$ contains $T(P(A))$ for some nonnegligible subset A of Ω . This result is Lemma 1.2 of [3] and therefore Corollary 3 can be considered as a variation of it. (See also [11]).

It is known [2] that if a Banach space fails the complete continuity property, then X contains a δ -tree. It is also known [9, 10] that separated trees (see [9] for a definition) and δ -Rademacher trees grow in any space that fails the CCP. We have shown in [15] that a slight variation of the arguments in [16] gives that if X fails the CCP, then there exist a $\delta > 0$ and a closed bounded subset K of X such that every convex combination of slices of K contains a δ -tree. The next proposition is related to all the results above.

Proposition 4. *Let X be a Banach space that fails the CCP. Then there exist a closed convex bounded subset K of X and a $\delta > 0$ such that every convex combination of slices of K contains a δ -tree which is also a δ -Rademacher tree. In particular, any weakly open set V so that $V \cap K \neq \emptyset$ contains such a tree.*

Proof. Since X fails the CCP, there is an operator $T : L^1 \rightarrow X$ and an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\|T(r_n)\| > 2\varepsilon$ for all n in \mathbf{N} , where now (r_n) is a weakly null sequence in L^1 . We may assume (see [2, 16]) that each r_n is a simple function and $|r_n| \leq 1$ for all n in \mathbf{N} . The construction of the tree in X is similar, of course, to the standard construction in [2].

By Corollary 2 there is a subsequence of (r'_n) of (r_n) and a set $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $m(A) > 0$ (here m denotes the Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$) so that $\liminf_n \|T(r'_n f)\| > \varepsilon$ for all f in $P(A)$. Let $K = \overline{T(P(A))}$. Let S_1, S_2, \dots, S_d be (nonempty) slices of K and $c_i \geq 0$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^d c_i = 1$. We claim that the set $\sum_{i=1}^d c_i S_i$ contains a δ -tree which is also a δ -Rademacher tree. For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$ there exists a set $A_i \subseteq [0, 1]$, $m(A_i) > 0$ such that $T(P(A_i)) \subseteq S_i$ (see the Remark after Corollary 3). We may assume that the A_i 's are disjoint subsets of the set A . Let $w_{0,1} = \sum_{i=1}^d c_i \cdot p^i$ where $p^i = \mathbf{X}_{A_i}/m(A_i)$. Clearly $T(w_{0,1})$ belongs to $\sum_{i=1}^d c_i \cdot S_i$. Suppose now that $w_{n,k}$ for some n in \mathbf{N} and all $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$ has been constructed such that $w_{n,k} = \sum_{i=1}^d c_i \cdot p_{n,k}^i$, where $p_{n,k}^i, i = 1, 2, \dots, d, k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$, are simple functions in $P(A_i)$. For every $\eta > 0$ there exists a function r'_m in the sequence (r'_n) such that $\|T(w_{n,k} r'_m)\| > \varepsilon$ for all $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$, $\int p_{n,k}^i r'_m < \eta$ and $\|T((\sum_{k=1}^{2^n} w_{n,k}) \cdot r'_m)\| \geq 2^n \varepsilon$. Although the integral $\int p_{n,k}^i r'_m$ can be made as small as we wish, it might not be equal to 0. However, a perturbation argument in [16] shows that we can replace r'_m by a function g_m close to r'_m in L^∞ norm such that $\int p_{n,k}^i g_m = 0$. (If \mathbf{A}_n is the finite algebra generated by the simple functions $\{p_{n,k}^i\}, i = 1, 2, \dots, d, k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$, as in [16], one can set $g_m = r'_m - E(r'_m | \mathbf{A}_n)$. It follows that $\|E(r'_m | \mathbf{A}_n)\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.) Assume for simplicity that $\int p_{n,k}^i r'_m = 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, d, k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$. Now set $w_{n+1,2k-1} = w_{n,k}(1 + (1/3)r'_m)$ and $w_{n+1,2k} = w_{n,k}(1 - (1/3)r'_m), k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$. Note that both the $w_{n+1,2k-1}$ and $w_{n+1,2k}$ are of the form $\sum_{i=1}^d c_i p^i$ where each p^i is a simple function in $P(A_i), i = 1, 2, \dots, d$. Clearly, the system $(T(w_{n,k})), n = 0, 1, \dots, k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$, is a tree inside $\sum_{i=1}^d c_i \cdot S_i$. Since $\|T(w_{n+1,2k-1} - w_{n+1,2k})\| \geq 2\varepsilon/3$, we see that $(T(w_{n,k}))$ is a δ -tree for $\delta = 2\varepsilon/3$. Now notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{2^n} T(w_{n+1,2k} - w_{n+1,2k}) \right\| \\ &= \left\| T \left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^{2^n} (2/3)w_{n,k} \right) \cdot r'_m \right) \right\| \geq (2/3)\varepsilon 2^n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the δ -tree $(T(w_{n,k}))$ is also a δ -Rademacher tree.

It is known (see [6] that if V is a weakly open set of X such that $K \cap V \neq \emptyset$ then V contains a convex combination of slices of K . This proves the last statement of the proposition. \square

The next proposition is similar to Lemma II.5 in [12].

Proposition 5. *Let T be a non Dunford-Pettis operator from L^1 into a Banach space X . Let S be an operator from X into a Banach space Y such that $S \cdot T$ is a Dunford-Pettis operator. Then there exists an operator $W : L^1 \rightarrow X$ such that the system $(W(h_{n,k}))$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$ is a δ -tree and a δ -Rademacher tree for some $\delta > 0$ (so in particular W is not Dunford-Pettis) and the operator $S \cdot W : L^1 \rightarrow X$ is Bochner representable.*

Proof. In [12] it is proved that, under the assumptions of Proposition 5, there exists a δ -tree $(x_{n,k})$ in X so that the dyadic martingale corresponding to the tree $(S(x_{n,k}))$ converges almost everywhere. Using Corollary 2 the tree $(x_{n,k})$ can in fact be constructed to also be a δ -Rademacher tree. The operator W is the operator associated with the tree $(x_{n,k})$ by $W(h_{n,k}) = x_{n,k}$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$. \square

For the next propositions we need some notations: If a Banach space X is contained in a space Y (e_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots$, is a basis for Y and $\varepsilon > 0$, $p < q$ integers, $x \in X$, we write $x \approx e_i[p, q; \varepsilon]$ if there exist scalars c_i , $i = p, p+1, \dots, q$ so that $\|x - \sum_{i=p}^q c_i e_i\| < \varepsilon$. If $(x_{n,k})$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$ is a tree in X , we denote by $d_{n,k}$ the differences $x_{n+1,2k-1} - x_{n+1,2k}$. These differences are called the *nodes* of the tree.

In [16] it is proved that if a Banach space X fails the CCP, then X contains a δ -tree $(x_{n,k})$ such that the sequence $d_{0,1}, d_{1,1}, d_{1,2}, d_{2,1}, \dots$ of the nodes of this tree is a basic sequence. The next proposition is in the same spirit.

Proposition 6. *Suppose that the Banach space X is contained in a space Y with a basis (e_i) , $i = 1, 2, \dots$, and that X fails the CCP. Let (ε_n) , $n = 0, 1, \dots$, be a sequence of positive reals so that $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$. Then there exist a δ -tree $(x_{n,k})$ in X , $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$ which is also a δ -Rademacher tree and a sequence $p_0 < q_0 < p_1 < q_1 < \dots$ of positive integers so that for each $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, the nodes $d_{n,k}$ have the property that $d_{n,k} \approx e_i[p_n, q_n; \varepsilon_n]$ for all $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$.*

Proof. Let $T : L^1 \rightarrow X$ be an operator so that $\|T(r_n)\| > 2 \cdot \varepsilon$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$, where (r_n) is a weakly null sequence of simple functions in L^1 and $\varepsilon > 0$. We may assume that $|r_n| \leq 1$ for all n in \mathbf{N} . By Corollary 2 there is a set $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $m(A) > 0$ and a subsequence of (r_n) (which for simplicity is also denoted by (r_n)) so that $\liminf_n \|T(r_n f)\| \geq \varepsilon$ for all f in $P(A)$.

Let $w_{0,1} = \mathbf{X}_A/m(A)$. The sequence $(T(w_{0,1}r_n))$ converges weakly to 0 in X and $\|T(w_{0,1}r_n)\| \geq \varepsilon$ for all but finitely many n in \mathbf{N} . Choose k_1 in \mathbf{N} and p_0, q_0 in \mathbf{N} such that $T(w_{0,1}; r_{k_1}) \approx e_i[p_0, q_0; \varepsilon_0]$ and $\int w_{0,1} \cdot r_{k_1}$ as small as we wish. In fact, as in [16] and in the proof of Proposition 4, we may assume that this integral is actually zero. Define now $w_{1,1} = w_{0,1}(1 + (1/3)r_{k_1})$, $w_{1,2} = w_{0,1}(1 - (1/3)r_{k_1})$, and note that $w_{1,1}, w_{1,2}$ are in $P(A)$. Now find k_2 and p_1, q_1 , $p_1 < q_1$, $p_1 > q_0$ in \mathbf{N} so that $T(w_{1,1} \cdot r_{k_2}) \approx e_i[p_1, q_1; \varepsilon_1]$, $T(w_{1,2} \cdot r_{k_2}) \approx e_i[p_1, q_1; \varepsilon_1]$, $\|T(w_{1,1}r_{k_2})\| \geq \varepsilon$, $\|T(w_{1,2}r_{k_2})\| \geq \varepsilon$, $\|T((w_{1,1} + w_{1,2})r_{k_2})\| \geq 2\varepsilon$ and $\int w_{1,1}r_{k_2} = \int w_{1,2}r_{k_2} = 0$. We can continue in this manner to construct a tree $(w_{n,k})$ in L^1 so that the tree $(T(w_{n,k}))$ has the properties in the statement of Proposition 6. \square

A refinement of the arguments in the proof of Proposition 6 gives the following:

Theorem 7. *Suppose the Banach space X fails the CCP. Then there exists a subspace Z of X such that Z has a finite dimensional*

decomposition and contains a δ -tree which is also a δ -Rademacher tree. In particular, Z fails the CCP.

Proof. Assume that X is separable. We consider X as a subspace of the space $C[0,1]$ of the continuous functions on $[0,1]$. Let (e_i) , $i = 1, 2, \dots$ be a basis for $C[0,1]$. We denote by (e_i^*) , $i = 1, 2, \dots$, the sequence of the biorthogonal functionals associated to the basis (e_i) . Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $T : L^1 \rightarrow X$ be an operator so that $\|T(r_n)\| > 2\varepsilon$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$ where now (r_n) is the sequence of the Rademacher functions on $[0,1]$. Let A be a subset of $[0,1]$ of positive Lebesgue measure and (r'_n) a subsequence of (r_n) such that $\liminf_n \|T(r'_n f)\| \geq \varepsilon$ for f in $P(A)$. We first prove the following claim (see Lemma 18 in [5] or Lemma 1.6 in [1]): Given p in \mathbf{N} , w in L^1 , $\varepsilon' > 0$, there exists an s_0 in \mathbf{N} such that if $s > s_0$ there exists a y in L^1 with $\|y\| < \varepsilon'$ so that $e_i^*(T(wr_s + y)) = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$. To prove the claim, consider the map $u : L^1 \rightarrow R^p$, $\|\cdot\|^\infty$ given by $u(f) = (e_1^*(T(f)), \dots, e_p^*(T(f)))$, for f in L^1 . Let $F = u(L^1)$. There is a subspace E of L^1 , $\dim E < \infty$ so that $u(E) = F$. By the open mapping theorem, there is a δ' so that $B(0, \delta') \cap F \subseteq u(E \cap B(0, \varepsilon'))$. Find s_0 large enough such that $|e_i^*(T(w \cdot r_s))| < \delta'$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ and $s > s_0$. For every $s > s_0$, there is a y in $E \cap B(0, \varepsilon')$ so that $u(wr_s) = u(-y)$. Therefore, $\|y\| < \varepsilon'$ and $e_i^*(T(w \cdot r_s + y)) = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$.

We construct a tree $(w_{n,k})$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$ in L^1 and a sequence of finite dimensional subspaces of X so that $F_n = [d_{n,k}, k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n]$ where $d_{n,k} = T(w_{n+1,2k-1} - w_{n+1,2k})$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$ are the nodes of the tree $(T(w_{n,k}))$ in X . We give the first few inductive steps of the construction: Let (ε_n) , $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, be a sequence of positive reals so that $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \varepsilon_n < 1/(2C)$ where C is the basis constant of the basis (e_i) . Set $w_{0,1} = \mathbf{X}_A/m(A)$. Find p_0 in \mathbf{N} so that $\|T(w_{0,1}) - \sum_{i=1}^{p_0} e_i^*(T(w_{0,1}))e_i\| < \varepsilon_0$. Find s_0 in \mathbf{N} and $y_{0,1}$ in L^1 , $\|y_{0,1}\| < \varepsilon/2$ so that $\|T(w_{0,1} \cdot r_{s_0} + y_{0,1})\| > \varepsilon/2$ and $e_i^*(T(w_{0,1}r_{s_0} + y_{0,1})) = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, p_0$. This is possible by the claim and Corollary 2. Also note that s_0 can be chosen so that by perturbing if necessary the r_{s_0} as is done in [16] (and in the Proof of Proposition 4) we may assume that $\int w_{0,1} \cdot r_{s_0} = 0$.

Now set

$$w_{1,1} = w_{0,1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} r_{s_0} \right) + \frac{y_0}{2}, \quad w_{1,2} = w_{0,1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} r_{s_0} \right) - \frac{y_0}{2}$$

and note that $\|d_{0,1}\| > \varepsilon/2$ and $e_i^*(d_{0,1}) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p_0$. Also note that the positive functions

$$w_{0,1} \left(1 \pm \frac{1}{2} r_{s_0} \right)$$

belong to $P(A)$. We set $F_{-1} = [T(w_{0,1})]$ and $F_0 = [d_{0,1}]$. There is a $p_1 > p_0$ such that if x belongs to the unit ball B_{F_0} of F_0 , then $\|x - \sum_{i=p_0}^{p_1} e_i^*(x)e_i\| < \varepsilon_1$. Again, by the claim, Corollary 2 and a perturbation argument as in [16], we can find $s_1 > s_0$ and $y_{1,1}, y_{1,2}$ in L^1 such that $\|y_{0,1}\| + \|y_{1,1}\| + \|y_{1,2}\| < \varepsilon/2$ such that the vectors $T(w_{1,k} \cdot r_{s_1} + y_{1,k})$, $k = 1, 2$, have norms $> \varepsilon/2$ and $e_i^*T(w_{1,k} \cdot r_{s_1} + y_{1,k}) = 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, p_1$, $k = 1, 2$ and the vector $T((w_{1,1} + w_{1,2})r_{s_1} + y_{1,1} + y_{1,2})$ has norm $> 2 \cdot \varepsilon/4$. Now defining

$$\begin{aligned} w_{2,1} &= w_{1,1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} r_{s_1} \right) + \frac{y_{1,1}}{2}, & w_{2,2} &= w_{1,1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} r_{s_1} \right) - \frac{y_{1,1}}{2}, \\ w_{2,3} &= w_{1,2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} r_{s_1} \right) + \frac{y_{1,2}}{2}, & w_{2,4} &= w_{1,2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} r_{s_1} \right) - \frac{y_{1,2}}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

we may assume that the nonperturbed part of the functions $w_{2,m}$, $m = 1, 2, 3, 4$ lives in $P(A)$. Note that $d_{1,1}, d_{1,2}$ have norms greater than $\varepsilon/2$ and $e_i^*(d_{1,k}) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p_1$ and $k = 1, 2$. Also note that $\|d_{1,1} + d_{1,2}\| > 2 \cdot \varepsilon/4$. Let $F_1 = [d_{1,1}, d_{1,2}]$. The compactness of B_{F_1} implies that we can find $p_2 > p_1$ such that $\|x - \sum_{i=p_1}^{p_2} e_i^*(x)e_i\| < \varepsilon/2$ for all x in B_{F_1} . Find $s_2 > s_1$ and $y_{2,k}$, $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$, so that

- (i) the vectors $T(w_{2,k} \cdot r_{s_2} + y_{2,k})$ have norm $> \varepsilon/2$,
- (ii) $e_i^*(T(w_{2,k} r_{s_2} + y_{2,k})) = 0$, for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, p_2$ and $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$,
- (iii) the vector $T((\sum_{k=1}^4 w_{2,k})r_{s_2} + \sum_{k=1}^4 y_{2,k})$ has norm greater than $2^2 \cdot \varepsilon/4$,
- (iv) $\|y_{0,1}\| + \|y_{1,1}\| + \dots + \|y_{2,4}\| < \varepsilon/2$,
- (v) the integrals $\int w_{2,k} \cdot r_{s_2} = 0$, $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

To ensure the last condition (v) we may have to perturb the r_{s_2} in the sense of [16] as we have done in the proof of Proposition 4.

Set

$$\begin{aligned} w_{3,1} &= w_{2,1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} r_{s_2} \right) + \frac{y_{2,1}}{2}, \\ w_{3,2} &= w_{2,1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} r_{s_2} \right) - \frac{y_{2,1}}{2}, \\ w_{3,3} &= w_{2,2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} r_{s_2} \right) + \frac{y_{2,2}}{2}, \dots, \\ w_{3,8} &= w_{2,4} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} r_{s_2} \right) - \frac{y_{2,2}}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $F_2 = [d_{2,1}, d_{2,2}, d_{2,3}, d_{2,4}]$. It is clear now how we construct by induction the spaces F_n . The space $Z = \overline{\cup F_n}$ has an F.D.D. and contains an $\varepsilon/2$ -tree which is also an $\varepsilon/4$ -Rademacher tree. \square

Acknowledgments. I wish to express my gratitude to Professor S. Argyros for his constant help and advice. I am particularly grateful to him for the very crucial conversations about this paper. I also wish to thank Dr. A. Kamburelis from whom I learned many things on ultrafilters. Some comments of a referee on an earlier version of this paper have been taken under consideration.

REFERENCES

1. S.A. Argyros and I. Deliyanni, *Representations of convex non-dentable sets*, Pacific J. Math. **155** (1992), 29–70.
2. J. Bourgain, *Dunford-Pettis operators on L^1 and the Radon-Nikodym property*, Israel J. Math. **37** (1980), 34–37.
3. ———, *L'appropriété de Nikodym*, Publ. Math. Univ. Pierre et Marie Curie **36**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., (1979).
4. ———, *A characterization of non-Dunford-Pettis operators on L^1* , Israel J. Math. **37** (1980), 48–53.
5. ———, *Dentability and finite dimensional decompositions*, Studia Math. **67** (1980), 135–148.
6. J. Bourgain and H. Rosenthal, *Geometrical implications of certain finite-dimensional decompositions*, Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. **3** (1980), 57–82.
7. W.W. Comfort and S. Negrepointis, *The theory of ultrafilters*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1974.
8. J. Diestel and J.J. Uhl, Jr., *Vector measures*, Math. Survey Monographs **15**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I. (1977).

9. M. Girardi, *Dentability, trees and Dunford-Pettis operators on L^1* , Pacific J. Math. (1991), 59–79.
10. ———, *Dunford–Pettis operators on L^1 and the complete continuity property*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Illinois, 1989.
11. Maria Girardi and J.J. Uhl, Jr., *Slices, RNP, strong regularity and martingales*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **41** (1990), 411–415.
12. N. Ghoussoub and H. Rosenthal, *Martingales, G_δ -embeddings and quotients of L^1* , Math. Ann. **264** (1983), 321–332.
13. S. Heinrich, *Ultraproducts in Banach space theory*, J. Reine Angew. Math **313** (1980), 72–104.
14. Thomas J. Jech, *Set theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
15. Minos A. Petrakis, *A remark on the complete continuity property*, Proc. Analysis Conf., to appear.
16. A. Wessel, *Some results on Dunford-Pettis operators, strong regularity and the Radon Nikodym property*, Seminaire d' Analyse Fonctionnell, Publ. Math. Univ. **7**, Paris, 1985–6.

TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF CRETE, DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL STUDIES, UNIVERSITY CAMPUS, 73100 CHANIA-CRETE, GREECE

E-mail address: minos@thalis.aml.tuc.gr.