

## A FORCED PENDULUM EQUATION WITH MANY PERIODIC SOLUTIONS

RAFAEL ORTEGA

**1. Introduction.** Consider the periodic problem for the forced pendulum equation

$$(1.1) \quad x'' + A \sin x = p(t)$$

where  $A > 0$  and  $p(t)$  satisfies

$$(1.2) \quad p \in L^1(\mathbf{R}/T\mathbf{Z}), \quad \int_0^T p(t) dt = 0.$$

This problem has a long history that can be found in [6]. In particular, it is known that for each  $p$  verifying (1.2) there exist at least two  $T$ -periodic solutions that are geometrically different (this means that they do not differ by a multiple of  $2\pi$ ). Recently it was proved in [3] that for arbitrary  $A$  it is possible to find a certain forcing term  $p(t)$  in the conditions of (1.2) and such that (1.1) has at least four different  $T$ -periodic solutions. The basic technique in [3] was singularity theory, and the result was of interest because  $A$  was arbitrary. We remark that if  $A > (2\pi/T)^2$  the result is trivial. In fact, the autonomous equation with  $p = 0$  has a closed orbit with minimal period  $T$ , and this orbit produces a continuum of different  $T$ -periodic solutions. In the present paper the following result is proved.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Given  $A > 0$  and an integer  $N \geq 1$  there exists  $p(t)$  satisfying (1.2) and such that (1.1) has at least  $2N$   $T$ -periodic solutions that are geometrically different. In addition, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $\tilde{p}(t)$  satisfies (1.2) and  $\|p - \tilde{p}\|_{L^1} < \delta$  then the conclusion also holds for  $\tilde{p}$ .*

---

Received by the editors on December 17, 1994, and in revised form on July 3, 1995.

Copyright ©1997 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

The proof of this result will be based on the perturbation method developed in [5]. This paper considers the equation

$$x'' + g(x) = \varepsilon p(t)$$

and assumes that the autonomous equation,  $\varepsilon = 0$ , has a closed orbit  $\gamma$  of period  $T$ . Then, imposing certain conditions on  $p$ , it is possible to obtain many bifurcations from  $\gamma$ . In this way the perturbed equation has many periodic solutions for small  $\varepsilon$ . The results in [5] are not directly applicable in our case because the pendulum equation has not closed orbits of period  $T$  when  $A \leq (2\pi/T)^2$ . However, such an orbit exists if the phase space is the cylinder instead of the plane. From this orbit it is possible to create a continuum of  $T$ -periodic solutions of (1.1) with  $p = p_0$ ,  $p_0(t) = -2\pi \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta'_{nT}(t)$ . Here  $\delta_{t_0}(t)$  is the Dirac measure at  $t = t_0$ , and the derivatives are understood in the sense of distributions. Of course,  $p_0$  is not a function and satisfies (1.2) only in a generalized sense. At this point we apply the ideas of [5] to the perturbed equation

$$x'' + A \sin x = p_\varepsilon(t)$$

in such a way that  $p_\varepsilon$  satisfies (1.2) for some small  $\varepsilon$  and has many periodic solutions.

**2. An outline of the construction.** Assuming that (1.2) holds, we consider the change of variables

$$x = y + P(t)$$

where  $P \in W^{2,1}(\mathbf{R}/T\mathbf{Z})$ ,  $P'' = p$ . This change transforms (1.1) in

$$(2.1) \quad y'' + A \sin(y + P(t)) = 0.$$

The sets of  $T$ -periodic solutions of both equations are in a one-to-one correspondence because the change is periodic in time. Even when  $P(t)$  is not smooth, the equation (2.1) makes sense, but in such a case (2.1) is not equivalent to an equation of the kind (1.1).

Consider the equation (2.1) with  $P(t) = 2\pi t/T$ . In this case the function  $P$  is not periodic but the equation is not changed if  $P$  is replaced by  $P_0(t) = 2\pi(t/T - [t/T])$ , that is, a periodic and nonsmooth

function. This example was first considered in [1] and it can be shown that, for  $P = P_0$ , there exists a continuum of  $T$ -periodic solutions  $\{y_c\}_{c \in \mathbf{R}}$ . The main idea in our construction will be to bifurcate simultaneously at many points of this continuum. To achieve this we shall consider the perturbed equation

$$y'' + A \sin(y + P_0(t) + \Psi(t, \varepsilon)) = 0$$

and impose conditions on  $\Psi$  that guarantee:

(i) the previous equation has at least  $2N$  periodic bifurcations for  $\varepsilon = 0$  of the form

$$y_i(t; \varepsilon) = y_{c_i}(t) + O(\varepsilon), \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$$

with  $0 \leq c_0 < c_1 < \dots < c_{2N-1} < T$ .

(ii)  $P_0 + \Psi(\cdot, \varepsilon)$  is smooth for some  $\varepsilon$  small.

The first condition will produce many different periodic solutions when  $\varepsilon$  is small, and the second condition allows us to transform the equation to one of the kind (1.1).

**3. The autonomous pendulum equation.** Consider the autonomous equation

$$(3.1) \quad x'' + A \sin x = 0.$$

We denote by  $x_0(t)$  the solution of (3.1) satisfying:

- (i)  $x_0(t + T) = x_0(t) + 2\pi$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ ,
- (ii)  $x'_0(t) > 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ ,
- (iii)  $x_0(0) = 0$ .

This solution exists and is unique. In fact, from the conservation of energy, (i) and (ii), we deduce that it must verify

$$x' = \sqrt{2(E + A \cos x)}$$

for some  $E > A$ . Also, it is easy to prove that the function

$$\tau(E) = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\xi}{\sqrt{2(E + A \cos \xi)}}, \quad E > A$$

is smooth, strictly decreasing and  $\tau(+\infty) = 0$ ,  $\tau(A+0) = +\infty$ . In consequence, there is a unique  $E > A$  such that  $\tau(E) = T$  and  $x_0$  is a solution of the corresponding first order equation with initial condition  $x(0) = 0$ . The uniqueness of  $x_0$  implies that it is an odd function. The Fourier expansion of  $x'_0$  in terms of cosines is denoted by

$$x'_0(t) \sim \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \cos \frac{2n\pi t}{T}.$$

**Lemma 3.1.** *The set  $I = \{n \in \mathbf{N} : a_n \neq 0\}$  is infinite.*

*Proof.* A trigonometric polynomial of period  $T$  and degree  $N \geq 1$  can be written in the form  $f(t) = \sum_{|n| \leq N} \bar{f}_n e^{2ni\pi t/T}$  with  $\bar{f}_n = \bar{f}_{-n}$ ,  $f_N \neq 0$ . We use the notation  $d(f) = N$  and remark that  $d(f') = d(f)$ ,  $d(fg) = d(g) + d(f)$ . By a contradiction argument, assume that  $x'_0$  is a trigonometric polynomial and  $d(x'_0) = N \geq 1$ . From the equation we deduce also that  $\sin x_0$  is a trigonometric polynomial with degree  $N$ . Taking derivatives,  $(\cos x_0)' = -x'_0 \sin x_0$  and  $d(\cos x_0) = 2N$ . Taking derivatives again,  $(\sin x_0)' = x'_0 \cos x_0$  and  $d(\sin x_0) = 3N$ . A contradiction with the previous value of this degree.  $\square$

*Remark.* It is possible to express the period function  $\tau(E)$  in terms of elliptic integrals and  $x_0$  in terms of the Jacobi functions, see [2]. Using the Fourier expansion of  $sn$  and  $cn$  one can compute the expansion of  $x'_0$  and verify the validity of the previous lemma in a direct but more tedious way.

**4. The perturbation result.** In this section we consider the differential equation

$$(4.1) \quad y'' + A \sin \left( y + \frac{2\pi t}{T} + \psi(t) \right) = 0$$

where  $\psi \in L^2(\mathbf{R}/T\mathbf{Z})$ . When  $\psi$  is smooth, the change of variables  $x = y + 2\pi t/T + \psi(t)$  reduces (4.1) to the forced pendulum equation with  $p = \psi''$ . When  $\psi = 0$  the function  $x_0(t)$  of the previous section

allows us to construct a continuum of  $T$ -periodic solutions of (4.1). These periodic solutions are defined as

$$y_c(t) = x_0(t + c) - \frac{2\pi t}{T}, \quad c \in \mathbf{R}.$$

The existence of such a continuum was first observed in [1]. We look for small perturbations of  $y_0(t)$  when  $\psi$  is small.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Given  $\nu > 0$  there exist positive constants  $C$  and  $c$  such that if the following conditions hold*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi\|_{L^2} \leq c, \quad \int_0^T x_0'''(t)\psi(t) dt &= 0, \\ \int_0^T x_0''''(t)\psi(t) dt &\geq \nu\|\psi\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

then (4.1) has a  $T$ -periodic solution  $y(t; \psi)$  satisfying

$$\left| y(t; \psi) - x_0(t) + \frac{2\pi t}{T} \right| + \left| y'(t; \psi) - x_0'(t) + \frac{2\pi}{T} \right| \leq C\|\psi\|_{L^2}$$

for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ .

This result will be obtained as a modification of the results in [5]. The proof is postponed to the end of the paper.

**5. Proof of Theorem 1.1.** We start with a multiplicity result for equation (4.1). To state this result, we need to consider the convolution operator generated by  $x_0'''$ . This operator associates to  $\psi \in L^2(\mathbf{R}/T\mathbf{Z})$  the smooth function

$$F_\psi(\tau) = \int_0^T x_0'''(t - \tau)\psi(t) dt, \quad \tau \in \mathbf{R}.$$

**Lemma 5.1.** *Given an integer  $N$  and positive constants  $\rho, \nu$  with  $\rho < T/(2N+1)$  there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that (4.1) has at least  $2N$   $T$ -periodic*

solutions that are geometrically different for every  $\psi \in L^2(\mathbf{R}/T\mathbf{Z})$  with  $\|\psi\|_{L^2} \leq \varepsilon$  and satisfying the condition stated below

$$(C_N) \quad \begin{cases} F_\psi \text{ has } 2N \text{ zeros in } [0, T] \text{ satisfying} \\ \rho < \tau_1 < \tau_2 < \cdots < \tau_{2N} < T - \rho, \\ |\tau_i - \tau_j| \geq \rho, \quad i \neq j, \\ |F'_\psi(\tau_i)| \geq \nu \|\psi\|_{L^2}. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* From the definition of  $x_0$  in Section 3 we obtain positive constants  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ , with  $\gamma < 2\pi$ , such that

$$(5.1) \quad |x_0(t_1) - x_0(t_2)| \geq \beta, \quad \forall t_1, t_2 \in \mathbf{R}, \quad \text{with } |t_1 - t_2| \geq \rho,$$

$$(5.2) \quad |x_0(t_1) - x_0(t_2)| \leq \gamma, \quad \forall t_1, t_2 \in [\rho, T - \rho].$$

Let  $C$  and  $c$  be the constants given by Proposition 4.1, and define  $\varepsilon = \min\{c, \beta/(4C), (2\pi - \gamma)/(4C)\}$ . Assume that  $\|\psi\|_{L^2} \leq \varepsilon$ . If  $(C_N)$  holds, it follows from the perturbation result that the equation

$$(5.3) \quad y'' + A \sin\left(y + \frac{2\pi t}{T} + \psi(t - \tau_i)\right) = 0$$

has a  $T$ -periodic solution  $y_i$  satisfying

$$\left|y_i(t) - x_0(t) + \frac{2\pi t}{T}\right| + \left|y'_i(t) - x'_0(t) + \frac{2\pi}{T}\right| \leq C\|\psi\|_{L^2}.$$

The functions  $z_i(t) = y_i(t + \tau_i) + 2\pi\tau_i/T$  are  $T$ -periodic solutions of (4.1). In view of (5.1) and (5.2), they satisfy,  $i \neq j$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |z_i(0) - z_j(0)| &\geq |x_0(\tau_i) - x_0(\tau_j)| - 2C\|\psi\|_{L^2} \\ &\geq \beta - 2C\|\psi\|_{L^2} > 0, \\ |z_i(0) - z_j(0)| &\leq |x_0(\tau_i) - x_0(\tau_j)| + 2C\|\psi\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \gamma + 2C\|\psi\|_{L^2} < 2\pi. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, all the solutions  $z_i(t)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 2N$  are different.

The Fourier expansion of  $x_0'''$  is of the form

$$x_0'''(t) \sim \sum_{n \geq 1} \alpha_n \cos \frac{2n\pi t}{T}$$

with infinitely many coefficients  $\alpha_n$  different from zero. This fact follows from Lemma 3.1. The function  $P_0$  is the  $T$ -periodic function, defined in Section 2,  $P_0(t) = 2\pi(t/T - [t/T])$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.2.** *Assume that  $\alpha_N \neq 0$ . Then, given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\psi \in L^2(\mathbf{R}/T\mathbf{Z})$  such that*

- (i)  $\|\psi\|_{L^2} \leq \varepsilon$
- (ii)  $P_0 + \psi \in C^2(\mathbf{R}/T\mathbf{Z})$

and condition  $(C_N)$  of Lemma 5.1 holds with  $\rho = T/(8N)$ ,  $\nu = (N\pi/2)|\alpha_N|\sqrt{2/T}$ .

*Proof.* The function  $\chi(t) = (\varepsilon/2)\sqrt{(2/T)}\cos(2N\pi t/T)$  satisfies  $\|\chi\|_{L^2} = \varepsilon/2$ ,  $F_\chi(\tau) = \alpha_N(T/2)\chi(\tau)$ , so that  $F_\chi$  has the zeros  $\tau_i^* = (i - 1/2)T/(2N)$  and  $|F_\chi'(\tau_i^*)| \geq N\pi|\alpha_N|\sqrt{(2/T)}\|\chi\|_{L^2}$ . Since  $\chi + P_0$  belongs to  $L^2(\mathbf{R}/T\mathbf{Z})$  there exists a sequence  $\phi_n \in L^2(\mathbf{R}/T\mathbf{Z})$  such that  $\phi_n \in C^2(\mathbf{R}/T\mathbf{Z})$  and  $\phi_n \rightarrow \chi + P_0$  in  $L^2$ . The function  $\psi_n = \phi_n - P_0$  converges to  $\chi$  in  $L^2$ . From the definition of  $F_\psi$  one deduces that  $F_{\psi_n} \rightarrow F_\chi$  in  $C^1(\mathbf{R}/T\mathbf{Z})$ . In particular, the zeros of  $F_{\psi_n}$  tend to the zeros of  $F_\chi$  and therefore  $\psi_n$  satisfies (i), (ii) and  $(C_N)$  when  $n$  is large.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let  $N \geq 1$  be such that  $\alpha_N \neq 0$ , and let  $\rho$  and  $\nu$  be given as in the previous lemma. Select  $\varepsilon$  small enough so that Lemma 5.1 applies. According to Lemmas 5.2 and 5.1, there exists  $\psi \in L^2(\mathbf{R}/T\mathbf{Z})$  such that  $P_0 + \psi \in C^2(\mathbf{R}/T\mathbf{Z})$  and (4.1) has  $2N$   $T$ -periodic solutions. The equation (4.1) can be rewritten in the form

$$(5.4) \quad y'' + A \sin(y + P_0(t) + \psi(t)) = 0.$$

The change of variables  $x = y + P_0(t) + \psi(t)$  transforms  $T$ -periodic solutions of (5.1) into  $T$ -periodic solutions of (1.1) with  $p = (P_0 + \psi)''$ . As a consequence, (1.1) will have  $2N$   $T$ -periodic solutions for such a  $p$ .

It remains to prove that these periodic solutions are preserved by small perturbations. Define  $p = (P_0 + \psi)''$  and let  $q \in L^1(\mathbf{R}/T\mathbf{Z})$  be such that  $\int_0^T q = 0$  and  $\|p - q\|_{L^1}$  is small. Let  $Q$  be the unique  $T$ -periodic solution of  $Q'' = q$  with  $\int_0^T Q = \int_0^T (P_0 + \psi)$  and define  $\hat{\psi} = Q - P_0$ . Then  $\|\hat{\psi} - \psi\|_{L^2}$  is small and the pendulum equation

$$x'' + A \sin x = q(t)$$

can be transformed into

$$y'' + A \sin(y + P_0(t) + \hat{\psi}(t)) = 0.$$

Since  $F_\psi$  and  $F_{\hat{\psi}}$  are close in the  $C^1$ -norm, we can apply Lemma 5.1 to the new equation to conclude that it also has  $2N$   $T$ -periodic solutions.

**6. Proof of the perturbation result.** This section follows along the lines of [5]. It is divided into several subsections.

I. *A Hill's equation.* The equation

$$(6.1) \quad z'' + [A \cos x_0(t)]z = 0$$

is the linearization of the pendulum equation (3.1) at  $x_0(t)$ . Differentiating (3.1), we deduce that  $p(t) = x_0'(t)$  is a positive  $T$ -periodic solution of (6.1). It satisfies the initial conditions

$$(6.2) \quad p(0) := \alpha > 0, \quad p'(0) = 0.$$

The method of reduction of order allows us to obtain a second solution given by the formula

$$(6.3) \quad q(t) = p(t) \int_0^t \frac{ds}{p(s)^2}.$$

It satisfies

$$(6.4) \quad q(0) = 0, \quad q'(0) = 1/\alpha.$$

As a consequence, the Wronskian  $W(p, q)$  satisfies  $W = 1$  and

$$(6.5) \quad p(t) = \alpha > 0, \quad p'(t) = 0, \quad q(T) := \beta > 0, \quad q'(T) = 1/\alpha.$$



**Lemma 6.1.** *In the previous notations*

$$(6.6) \quad \int_0^T q(t)p(t)^2 \sin x_0(t) dt = -\beta\alpha.$$

*Proof.* Since  $x_0$  is a primitive of  $p$ , integrating by parts

$$I := \int_0^T qp^2 \sin x_0 = [-qp \cos x_0]_0^T + \int_0^T (qp)' \cos x_0.$$

From (6.2), (6.4) and (6.5),  $I = -\beta\alpha + \int_0^T (qp' + pq') \cos x_0$ . Since  $p$  and  $q$  are solutions of (6.1),

$$\begin{aligned} I &= -\beta\alpha - \int_0^T A^{-1}(q'p'' + p'q'') \\ &= -\beta\alpha - \int_0^T A^{-1}(p'q')' \\ &= -\beta\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

II. *The linear nonhomogeneous equation.* We first consider the equation

$$(6.7) \quad z'' + [A \cos x_0(t)](z + \Psi(t)) = 0,$$

where  $\Psi \in L^2(\mathbf{R}/T\mathbf{Z})$ . The Fredholm alternative implies that (6.7) has  $T$ -periodic solutions if and only if

$$(6.8) \quad \int_0^T A[\cos x_0(t)]\Psi(t)p(t) dt = 0.$$

When (6.8) holds, the formula of variation of constants shows that there exists a unique solution of (6.7) that is  $T$ -periodic and verifies  $z(0) = 0$ . It is given by the formula

$$(6.9) \quad h_1(t) = -\frac{\alpha}{\beta}Bq(t) + \int_0^t [p(t)q(s) - p(s)q(t)]A \cos x_0(s)\Psi(s) ds,$$

where

$$(6.10) \quad B := \int_0^T A[\cos x_0(t)]\Psi(t)q(t) dt.$$

**Lemma 6.2.** *According to the previous notation, if (6.8) holds,*

$$\int_0^T A \sin x_0(t)(h_1(t) + \Psi(t))p^2(t) dt = \int_0^T p'''(t)\Psi(t) dt.$$

*Proof.* It is enough to prove the identity when  $\Psi$  is smooth, say  $\Psi \in C^1(\mathbf{R}/T\mathbf{Z})$ . The general case follows by an approximation argument.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T A \sin x_0(h_1 + \Psi)p^2 &= [-(h_1 + \Psi)pA \cos x_0]_0^T \\ &\quad + \int_0^T [(h_1 + \Psi)p]'A \cos x_0 \\ &= \int_0^T (h_1 + \Psi)p'A \cos x_0 + \int_0^T (h_1 + \Psi)'pA \cos x_0 \\ &= - \int_0^T h_1''p' - \int_0^T (h_1 + \Psi)'p'' \\ &= - \int_0^T (h_1'p')' - \int_0^T \Psi'p'' \\ &= \int_0^T \Psi p'''. \end{aligned}$$

We now consider the more general equation

$$(6.11) \quad z'' + \alpha(t)z + \beta(t) = 0$$

where  $\alpha \in L^\infty(0, T)$ ,  $\beta \in L^1(0, T)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.3.** *Assume that  $\|\alpha\|_{L^\infty} \leq A$ ,  $\|\beta\|_{L^1} \leq k$ . Then there exists  $K > 0$ , depending only on  $A$  and  $k$ , such that for each solution of (6.11), the following estimate holds*

$$|z(t)| + |z'(t)| \leq K[|z(0)| + |z'(0)| + 1], \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

The proof is elementary.

III. *A quantitative version of the implicit function theorem.* Let  $F = F(x, y)$  be a function defined on

$$\Omega = \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^N \times \mathbf{R} : |x| < 1, |y| < 1\}.$$

(i) Assume that  $F$  is  $C^2$ ,  $F(0, 0) = 0$  and

$$F_y(0, 0) \geq \mu > 0, \quad |F_x|, |F_y|, |F_{xy}|, |F_{yy}| \leq M \quad \text{on } \Omega.$$

Then there exist  $\varepsilon, \delta$  and  $C$  (depending only on  $\mu$  and  $M$ ) such that the solutions of

$$F(x, y) = 0, \quad |x| \leq \delta, \quad |y| \leq \varepsilon$$

are of the form  $(x, \varphi(x))$  where  $\varphi$  is a  $C^1$  function defined on  $|x| \leq \delta$  and such that  $|\varphi(x)| \leq C|x|$ .

(ii) Assume that  $N = 1$  and  $F$  is  $C^3$ ,  $F(0, y) = 0$ ,  $|y| < 1$ ,  $F_x(0, 0) = 0$  and

$$F_{xy}(0, 0) \geq \mu > 0, \quad |F_{xx}|, |F_{xy}|, |F_{xxy}|, |F_{xyy}| \leq M \quad \text{on } \Omega.$$

Then there exist  $\varepsilon, \delta$  and  $C$ , depending only on  $\mu$  and  $M$ , such that the solutions of

$$F(x, y) = 0, \quad |x| \leq \delta, \quad |y| \leq \varepsilon$$

are of one of the following forms  $(0, y)$  or  $(x, \varphi(x))$  where  $\varphi$  is a  $C^1$  function on  $[-\delta, \delta]$  with  $|\varphi(x)| \leq C|x|$ .

IV. *Proof of Proposition 4.1.* From now on we consider the equation

$$(6.12) \quad y'' + A \sin \left( y + \frac{2\pi t}{T} + \varepsilon \Psi(t) \right) = 0$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is a real parameter and  $\Psi \in L^2(\mathbf{R}/T\mathbf{Z})$  satisfies

$$(6.13) \quad \|\Psi\|_{L^2} = 1, \quad \int_0^T x_0'''(t) \Psi(t) dt = 0, \\ \int_0^T x_0''''(t) \Psi(t) dt \geq \nu.$$

It will be sufficient to prove the existence of a  $T$ -periodic solution of (6.12),  $y(t, \varepsilon)$  with  $|\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon_0$ , such that

$$(6.14) \quad \begin{aligned} y(t, \varepsilon) &= x_0(t) - \frac{2\pi t}{T} + O(\varepsilon), \\ y'(t, \varepsilon) &= x'_0(t) - \frac{2\pi}{T} + O(\varepsilon), \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  and the previous asymptotic expansions are uniform with respect to  $\Psi$  satisfying (6.13).

Let  $y(t; \xi, \eta, \varepsilon)$  be the solution of (6.12) with initial conditions

$$y(0) = \xi, \quad y'(0) = \eta + \alpha.$$

( $\alpha$  is given by (6.2)). Define

$$\begin{aligned} F(\xi, \eta, \varepsilon) &= y(T; \xi, \eta, \varepsilon) - \xi, \\ G(\xi, \eta, \varepsilon) &= y'(T; \xi, \eta, \varepsilon) - \alpha - \eta. \end{aligned}$$

The solutions of  $F = G = 0$  correspond in an obvious way to the initial conditions of the  $T$ -periodic solutions of (6.12). Since  $\{y_c(t)\}_{c \in \mathbf{R}}$  is a continuum of  $T$ -periodic solutions for  $\varepsilon = 0$ , we obtain

$$(6.15) \quad F(y_c(0), y'_c(0) - \alpha, 0) = G(y_c(0), y'_c(0) - \alpha, 0) = 0$$

and, in particular,  $F = G = 0$  at  $(0, 0, 0)$ .

As a first step in the proof we shall compute the derivatives of  $F$  and  $G$  at the origin and obtain

$$(6.16) \quad \begin{aligned} F_\xi = 0, \quad G_\xi = 0, \quad F_\eta = \alpha\beta, \quad G_\eta = 0, \\ F_\varepsilon = \alpha B, \quad G_\varepsilon = 0, \quad \text{at } (\xi, \eta, \varepsilon) = (0, 0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

( $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $B$  are defined by (6.2), (6.5) and (6.10)).

Once these derivatives are computed and, since  $F_\eta > 0$ , we apply the implicit function theorem to solve  $F = 0$  with respect to  $\eta = H(\xi, \varepsilon)$  to obtain

$$F(\xi, H(\xi, \varepsilon), \varepsilon) = 0.$$

It follows from (6.16) that

$$(6.17) \quad H_\xi = 0, \quad H_\varepsilon = -\frac{B}{\beta} \quad \text{at } (\xi, \varepsilon) = (0, 0).$$

Next we define  $J(\xi, \varepsilon) = G(\xi, H(\xi, \varepsilon), \varepsilon)$ . The uniqueness in the implicit function theorem reduces  $F = G = 0$  to  $J = 0$  (in a neighborhood of the origin). Applying (6.15), we obtain

$$H(y_c(0), 0) = y'_c(0) - \alpha, \quad J(y_c(0), 0) = 0$$

so that  $J(\xi, 0) = 0$  for all  $\xi$ . Also  $J_\xi = J_\varepsilon = 0$  at  $(0, 0)$  thanks to (6.16) and (6.17). We are now in the position of the classical bifurcation theorem as soon as  $J_{\xi\varepsilon}(0, 0) \neq 0$ . In fact, we shall prove

$$(6.18) \quad J_{\xi\varepsilon}(0, 0) = \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \int_0^T p''' \Psi,$$

so that  $J_{\xi\varepsilon} \geq (1/\alpha^2)\nu$  thanks to (6.13). As a consequence, there exists a function  $\xi = \varphi(\varepsilon)$ ,  $|\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon_0$  such that  $J(\varphi(\varepsilon), \varepsilon) = 0$  and  $\varphi(\varepsilon) = O(\varepsilon)$ . The solutions  $y(t, \varepsilon) = y(t; \varphi(\varepsilon), H(\varphi(\varepsilon), \varepsilon), \varepsilon)$  are  $T$ -periodic and satisfy

$$(6.19) \quad y(t, \varepsilon) = y_0(t) + O(\varepsilon), \quad y'(t, \varepsilon) = y'_0(t) + O(\varepsilon), \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

This asymptotic expansion is justified using the theorem of differentiability with respect to initial conditions and parameters together with the bounds

$$(6.20) \quad \varphi(\varepsilon) = O(\varepsilon), \quad H(\varphi(\varepsilon), \varepsilon) = O(\varepsilon).$$

Even if we assume that (6.16) and (6.18) have already been checked, the proof is not concluded. It remains to show the uniformity of  $\varepsilon_0$  and (6.19) with respect to  $\Psi$ . For this purpose, we shall apply the quantitative versions of the implicit function theorem given in III. First we apply III.1 to deduce that the domain of definition of  $H$  is uniform in  $\Psi$ . This is done by obtaining uniform bounds of  $F_\xi, F_\eta, F_\varepsilon, F_{\xi\eta}, F_{\varepsilon\eta}, F_{\eta\eta}$  for all  $(\xi, \eta, \varepsilon) \in \mathbf{R}^3$ . Notice that  $F_\eta(0, 0, 0) = \alpha\beta$  is independent of  $\Psi$ . Next we apply III.2 to  $J$  after obtaining uniform bounds of  $J_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}, J_{\xi\varepsilon}, J_{\varepsilon\xi\xi}, J_{\xi\varepsilon\varepsilon}$  on some neighborhood of  $(0, 0)$  independent of  $\Psi$ . This proves the uniformity of  $\varepsilon_0$  and (6.20). Finally, we deduce that (6.19) is also uniform because there are uniform bounds in  $C^1[0, T]$  of  $y_\xi, y_\eta$  and  $y_\varepsilon$ .

*Proof of (6.16).* The functions  $y_\xi(t; 0, 0, 0)$ ,  $y_\eta(t; 0, 0, 0)$  are solutions of (6.1) with certain initial conditions that imply

$$y_\xi = \alpha^{-1}p, \quad y_\eta = \alpha q.$$

On the other hand,  $y_\varepsilon(t; 0, 0, 0)$  is a solution of (6.7) with trivial initial conditions. From (6.13),

$$0 = \int_0^T x_0''' \Psi = \int_0^T p'' \Psi = - \int_0^T \{A \cos x_0\} p \Psi,$$

and therefore (6.8) holds. From (6.9),  $y_\varepsilon$  can be expressed in the form  $y_\varepsilon = h_1 + (\alpha B/\beta)q(t)$ . The derivatives of  $F$  and  $G$  at the origin are

$$\begin{aligned} F_\xi &= y_\xi(T) - 1, & G_\xi &= y'_\xi(T), \\ F_\eta &= y_\eta(T), & G_\eta &= y'_\eta(T) - 1 \\ F_\varepsilon &= y_\varepsilon(T) = h_1(T) + \frac{\alpha B}{\beta}q(T) = \frac{\alpha B}{\beta}q(T), \\ G_\varepsilon &= y'_\varepsilon(T) = h'_1(T) + \frac{\alpha B}{\beta}q'(T) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and we use (6.5) to deduce (6.16).  $\square$

*Proof of (6.18).* From the chain rule, we obtain

$$J_{\xi\varepsilon} = G_{\xi\varepsilon} + G_{\xi\eta}H_\varepsilon + G_{\eta\varepsilon}H_\xi + G_{\eta\eta}H_\varepsilon H_\xi + G_\eta H_{\xi\varepsilon}$$

and (6.16) and (6.17) lead to

$$J_{\xi\varepsilon} = G_{\xi\varepsilon} - \frac{B}{\beta}G_{\eta\xi} \quad \text{at } (0, 0).$$

To compute  $G_{\xi\eta}$  and  $G_{\xi\varepsilon}$  we notice that  $y_{\xi\eta}$  and  $y_{\xi\varepsilon}$  are solutions of certain equations of the kind (6.11) with  $\alpha = A \cos x_0$  and  $\beta = -A(\sin x_0)y_\xi y_\eta$  or  $\beta = -A(\sin x_0)y_\xi(y_\varepsilon + \Psi)$ . Solving these equations and using (6.5), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\xi\eta} &= y'_{\xi\eta}(T) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^T A(\sin x_0)p^2 q, \\ G_{\xi\varepsilon} &= y'_{\xi\varepsilon}(T) = \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \int_0^T A(\sin x_0)p^2 \left\{ h_1 + \frac{\alpha B}{\beta}q + \Psi \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and Lemmas 6.1 and 6.2 lead to

$$G_{\xi\eta} = -A\beta, \quad G_{\xi\varepsilon} = -AB + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \int_0^T p''' \Psi. \quad \square$$

Uniform bounds of  $F_\xi, F_{\eta, \dots}, F_{\eta\eta}, J_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}, \dots, J_{\xi\varepsilon\varepsilon}$ . We use the notation

$$\partial^\alpha = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial \xi^{\alpha_1} \partial \eta^{\alpha_2} \partial \varepsilon^{\alpha_3}}, \quad \alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3).$$

First we prove that  $\partial^\alpha y(t; \xi, \eta, \varepsilon)$  is bounded in  $C^1[0, T]$  if  $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 3$ ,  $\alpha \neq (0, 0, 3)$  and this bound is independent of  $\xi, \eta, \varepsilon$  and  $\Psi$  with  $\|\Psi\|_{L^2} = 1$ . When  $|\alpha| = 1$ ,  $\partial^\alpha y$  is the solution of an equation of the kind (6.11) with  $\alpha(t) = A \cos(y(t; \xi, \eta, \varepsilon) + 2\pi t/T + \varepsilon\Psi(t))$  and  $|\beta(t)| \leq A|\Psi(t)|^{\alpha_3}$ . We apply Lemma 6.3 to deduce that  $\partial^\alpha y$  is bounded in  $C^1$ . In the same way, we obtain the bound for  $|\alpha| = 2$  and finally for  $|\alpha| = 3, \alpha_3 \neq 3$ . As a consequence, we deduce that  $\partial^\alpha F, \partial^\alpha G$  with  $|\alpha| \leq 3, \alpha_3 \neq 3$  are uniformly bounded. When  $|\xi|, |\eta|, |\varepsilon|$  are small, the solution  $y(t; \xi, \eta, \varepsilon)$  is close to  $y_0(t)$  in  $C^1[0, T]$  uniformly with respect to  $\Psi, \|\Psi\|_{L^2} = 1$ . This follows from a variant of the theorem of continuous dependence or from Gronwall's inequality. The derivative  $y_\eta(t; \xi, \eta, \varepsilon)$  is the solution of

$$\begin{aligned} z'' + A \cos\left(y(t; \xi, \eta, \varepsilon) + \frac{2\pi t}{T} + \varepsilon\Psi(t)\right) z &= 0, \\ z(0) = 0, \quad z'(0) &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

and, if  $|\xi|, |\eta|, |\varepsilon|$  are small, this linear equation is close in  $(0, T)$  to (6.1) in the  $L^2$ -sense. The continuous dependence theorem and (6.16) allow us to assume that

$$F_\eta(\xi, \eta, \varepsilon) = y_\eta(T; \xi, \eta, \varepsilon) \geq \frac{\alpha\beta}{2}$$

in a neighborhood of the origin that may be small but independent of  $\Psi$ . From the previous estimates and implicit differentiation, it is easy to obtain bounds on  $\partial^\beta H, \beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2), |\beta| \leq 3, \beta_2 < 3$ . The bounds on the derivative of  $J$  follow by the chain rule.

*Remark.* It is possible to obtain another proof of Proposition 4.1 using the alternative method and the ideas in [4, p. 290]. In some sense that approach is simpler because it works directly with the equation instead of studying the Poincaré map. The counterpart is the need of a functional setting of infinite dimensions.

#### REFERENCES

1. P.W. Bates, *Reduction theorems for a class of semilinear equations at resonance*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **84** (1982), 73–78.
2. H.T. Davis, *Introduction to nonlinear differential and integral equations*, Dover, New York, 1962.
3. F. Donati, *Sur l'existence de quatre solutions périodiques pour l'équation du pendule forcé*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I. Math, **317** (1993), 667–672.
4. J. Hale, *Ordinary differential equations*, R.E. Krieger, Malabar, 1980.
5. W.S. Loud, *Periodic solutions of  $x'' + cx' + g(x) = \varepsilon f(t)$* , Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **31** (1959), 1–58.
6. J. Mawhin, *The forced pendulum equation: A paradigm for nonlinear analysis and dynamical systems*, Expo. Math. **6** (1988), 271–287.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA APLICADA, UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA, 18071 GRANADA, SPAIN