

## LIKE VANISHING HOLOMORPHIC RANDOM FUNCTIONS

F. MARTINEZ AND A.R. VILLENA

**ABSTRACT.** For every random function holomorphic in mean on an open connected subset  $D$  of  $\mathbf{C}$  satisfying  $\mathbf{P}[f(z) = 0] > 0$  for all  $z \in D$ , there is a measurable set  $\Delta$  satisfying  $\mathbf{P}[\Delta] > 0$  and  $f(z, \omega) = 0$  almost surely on  $\Delta$  for every  $z \in D$ .

**1. Introduction.** The most realistic formulations of the equations arising in applied mathematics typically involve the study of random functions, which are presently a very active area of mathematical research (see [1, 6, 10]). On the other hand, it is of considerable interest in the stochastic analysis to know whether a sample property of a random function can be automatically derived from its behavior in mean [2–4, 8]. In [8] we proved that every random function holomorphic in mean on an open subset  $D$  of the complex field is equivalent to a random function whose paths are holomorphic on  $D$ . This paper is devoted to investigate the behavior of those random functions which are holomorphic in mean on an open connected subset  $D$  of  $\mathbf{C}$  and vanish in a very broad sense; namely, for each  $z \in D$ , the event  $[f(z) = 0]$  can happen, that is, each set  $\Delta_z = \{\omega : f(z, \omega) = 0\}$  has a positive probability which depends on the element  $z$ . In such a case we prove that there is a measurable set  $\Delta$  satisfying  $\mathbf{P}(\Delta) > 0$  and  $f(z, \omega) = 0$  almost surely on  $\Delta$  for every  $z \in D$ . In particular, we obtain a surprising conclusion; namely, two holomorphic random functions  $f$  and  $g$  on  $D$  have versions with a nonzero probability of having common paths if, and only if,  $\mathbf{P}[f(z) = g(z)] > 0$  for all  $z \in D$ .

**2. The results.** Throughout the paper,  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mathbf{P})$  will denote a complete probability space, and  $X$  will stand for a complex Banach space. Given a subset  $D$  of  $\mathbf{C}$ , a map  $f : D \times \Omega \rightarrow X$  is said to be an  $X$ -valued (*first-order*) random function on  $D$  if, for each  $z \in D$ ,

---

Received by the editors on December 20, 1995, and in revised form on June 1, 1996.

AMS 1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30G30, 60G17.

Copyright ©1998 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

the map  $\omega \mapsto f(z, \omega)$  lies in  $\mathcal{L}_1(\mathbf{P}, X)$ , the linear space of all  $X$ -valued first-order Bochner random variables. For every fixed  $\omega \in \Omega$ , the function  $z \mapsto f(z, \omega)$  from  $D$  into  $X$  is called a *path* of  $f$ . Given  $\xi \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathbf{P}, X)$ ,  $[\xi]$  denotes the equivalence class of  $\xi$  for the usual almost surely identification. The space  $L_1(\mathbf{P}, X) = \{[\xi] : \xi \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathbf{P}, X)\}$  becomes a complex Banach space with the norm  $\|[\xi]\|_1 = \int_{\Omega} \|\xi\| d\mathbf{P}$ . For the basic information on Bochner integrability we refer to [5]. An  $X$ -valued random function  $f$  on  $D$  is said to be *holomorphic in mean* on  $D$  if, for every  $z_0 \in D$ , the quotient  $(f(z, \cdot) - f(z_0, \cdot))/(z - z_0)$  has a limit in mean as  $z$  approaches  $z_0$ , which obviously means that the function  $z \mapsto [f(z, \cdot)]$  from  $D$  into the complex Banach space  $L_1(\mathbf{P}, X)$  is holomorphic in the traditional sense. For a full discussion on holomorphic vector-valued functions, the reader is referred to [7; Section 3.2].

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\{\xi_n\}$  be a sequence of  $X$ -valued random variables converging in probability to a random variable  $\xi$ . Then  $\limsup \mathbf{P}[\xi_n = 0] \leq \mathbf{P}[\xi = 0]$ .*

*Proof.* The sequence  $\{\int_{\Omega} (\|\xi_n\|/(1+\|\xi_n\|)) d\mathbf{P}\}$  converges to  $\int_{\Omega} (\|\xi\|/(1+\|\xi\|)) d\mathbf{P}$ . Now we note that  $\int_{\Omega} (\|\xi_n\|/(1+\|\xi_n\|)) d\mathbf{P} \leq \mathbf{P}[\xi_n \neq 0] = 1 - \mathbf{P}[\xi_n = 0]$ , for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , and therefore

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\|\xi\|}{1+\|\xi\|} d\mathbf{P} \leq \liminf (1 - \mathbf{P}[\xi_n = 0]) = 1 - \limsup \mathbf{P}[\xi_n = 0].$$

Given a natural number  $k$ ,  $\{k\xi_n\}$  converges in probability to  $k\xi$ . Hence,

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{k\|\xi\|}{1+k\|\xi\|} d\mathbf{P} \leq 1 - \limsup \mathbf{P}[k\xi_n = 0] = 1 - \limsup \mathbf{P}[\xi_n = 0].$$

Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we deduce that  $\mathbf{P}[\xi \neq 0] \leq 1 - \limsup \mathbf{P}[\xi_n = 0]$  and so  $\limsup \mathbf{P}[\xi_n = 0] \leq \mathbf{P}[\xi = 0]$ .  $\square$

Given two random variables  $\xi$  and  $\zeta$ , the quantity  $\mathbf{P}\{\omega \in \Omega : \xi(\omega) = \zeta(\omega)\}$  is independent of which members of  $[\xi]$  and  $[\zeta]$  we choose and we shall write it as  $\mathbf{P}[[\xi] = [\zeta]]$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $F$  be a holomorphic function from an open subset  $D$  of  $\mathbf{C}$  into  $L_1(\mathbf{P}, X)$  such that there exists  $\delta > 0$  satisfying  $\delta \leq \mathbf{P}[F(z) = 0]$  for all  $z \in D$ . Then  $\delta \leq \mathbf{P}[F(z) = F'(z) = 0]$  for all  $z \in D$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $z \in D$  and consider the holomorphic function  $G$  on  $D$  given by  $G(w) = (w - z)^{-1}(F(w) - F(z))$  if  $w \neq z$  and  $G(z) = F'(z)$ . Then  $F(w) = F(z) + (w - z)G(w)$  for all  $w \in D$  and, for  $0 < |w - z|$  small enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &\leq \mathbf{P}[F(w) = 0] \\ &= \mathbf{P}[F(z) + (w - z)G(w) = 0] \\ &\leq \mathbf{P}[\|F(z)\| = \|G(w)\| = 0] \\ &\quad + \mathbf{P}[\|F(z)\| = |w - z|\|G(w)\|, \|F(z)\| \neq 0] \end{aligned}$$

and letting  $w \rightarrow z$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &\leq \limsup_{w \rightarrow z} \mathbf{P}[\|F(z)\| = \|G(w)\| = 0] \\ &\quad + \limsup_{w \rightarrow z} \mathbf{P}[\|F(z)\| = |w - z|\|G(w)\|, \|F(z)\| \neq 0]. \end{aligned}$$

Further, applying Lemma 1, we get

$$\limsup_{w \rightarrow z} \mathbf{P}[\|F(z)\| = \|G(w)\| = 0] \leq \mathbf{P}[\|F(z)\| = \|F'(z)\| = 0]$$

and

$$\limsup_{w \rightarrow z} \mathbf{P}[\|F(z)\| = |w - z|\|G(w)\|, \|F(z)\| \neq 0] = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\delta \leq \mathbf{P}[\|F(z)\| = \|F'(z)\| = 0]. \quad \square$$

For a subset  $\Delta$  of  $\Omega$ , let  $\chi_\Delta$  denote the characteristic function of  $\Delta$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $F$  be a holomorphic function from an open connected subset  $D$  of  $\mathbf{C}$  into  $L_1(\mathbf{P}, X)$  such that there exists  $\delta > 0$  satisfying  $\delta \leq \mathbf{P}[F(z) = 0]$  for all  $z \in D$ . Then there exists a measurable set  $\Delta$  with  $\mathbf{P}[\Delta] \geq \delta$  such that  $F(z)[\chi_\Delta] = 0$  for all  $z \in D$ .*

*Proof.* By the above lemma,  $\mathbf{P}[F(z) = F'(z) = 0] \geq \delta$  for all  $z \in D$ . Assume inductively that  $\mathbf{P}[F(z) = F'(z) = \dots = F^{(n)}(z) = 0] \geq \delta$  for all  $z \in D$ . Then the function  $z \mapsto (F(z), \dots, F^{(n)}(z))$  may be viewed as a holomorphic function,  $G$ , from  $D$  into  $L_1(\mathbf{P}, X \times \dots \times X)$  satisfying  $\mathbf{P}[G(z) = 0] \geq \delta$  for all  $z \in D$ . On account of the above lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &\leq \mathbf{P}[G(z) = G'(z) = 0] \\ &= \mathbf{P}[F(z) = F'(z) = \dots = F^{(n)}(z) = F^{(n+1)}(z) = 0] \end{aligned}$$

for every  $z \in D$ .

Thus we get  $\delta \leq \mathbf{P}[F(z) = \dots = F^{(n)}(z) = 0]$  for all  $z \in D$  and  $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$ , and fixing  $z_0 \in D$ , this clearly forces the existence of a measurable set  $\Delta$  with  $\mathbf{P}[\Delta] \geq \delta$  and  $F^{(n)}(z_0)[\chi_\Delta] = 0$  for every  $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Consequently, the function  $z \mapsto F(z)[\chi_\Delta]$  is a holomorphic function from  $D$  into  $L_1(\mathbf{P}, X)$  having zero derivatives of all orders in  $z_0$  and therefore equals zero on a suitable open disc contained in  $D$ . From the uniqueness theorem [7, Theorem 3.11.5], it may be concluded that  $F(z)[\chi_\Delta] = 0$  for every  $Z \in D$ , which is the desired conclusion.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $F$  be a continuous function from a subset  $D$  of  $\mathbf{C}$  into  $L_1(\mathbf{P}, X)$ . Then, for every  $\delta > 0$ , the set  $C_\delta = \{z \in D : \mathbf{P}[F(z) = 0] \geq \delta\}$  is closed in  $D$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{z_n\}$  be a sequence in  $C_\delta$  converging to an element  $z$  in  $D$ . Then the sequence  $\{F(z_n)\}$  converges in probability to  $F(z)$  and, applying Lemma 1, it follows that  $\delta \leq \limsup \mathbf{P}[F(z_n) = 0] \leq \mathbf{P}[F(z) = 0]$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $F$  be a holomorphic function from an open connected subset  $D$  of  $\mathbf{C}$  into  $L_1(\mathbf{P}, X)$ . If, for each  $z \in D$ ,  $\mathbf{P}[F(z) = 0] > 0$ , then there exists a measurable set  $\Delta$  with  $\mathbf{P}[\Delta] > 0$  such that  $F(z)[\chi_\Delta] = 0$  for every  $z \in D$ . Furthermore, the set  $\{\mathbf{P}[\Delta] : \Delta \in \Sigma, [\chi_\Delta]F = 0\}$  attains a maximum, which coincides with the infimum of the set  $\{\mathbf{P}[F(z) = 0] : z \in D\}$ .*

*Proof.* For each  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ , let  $C_k$  be the closed subset of  $D$  given by

$C_k = \{z \in D : \mathbf{P}[F(z) = 0] \geq 1/k\}$  (see Lemma 3). Then  $D = \cup_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k$ . Since  $D$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space, from Baire's theorem [9, Theorem 2.2(b)]  $C_k$  contains an open disc, say  $D_0$ , for a suitable  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ .

Note that  $1/k \leq \mathbf{P}[F(z) = 0]$  for all  $z \in D_0$ . From Theorem 1,  $F(z)[\chi_{\Delta}] = 0$  for all  $z \in D_0$ , for a suitable measurable set  $\Delta$  with  $\mathbf{P}[\Delta] \geq 1/k$ . According to [7, Theorem 3.11.5], we have  $F(z)[\chi_{\Delta}] = 0$  for all  $z \in D$ .

For shortness, we denote  $E_1 = \{\mathbf{P}[F(z) = 0] : z \in D\}$ ,  $E_2 = \{\mathbf{P}[\Delta] : \Delta \in \Sigma, [\chi_{\Delta}]F = 0\}$ ,  $\eta_1 = \inf E_1$  and  $\eta_2 = \sup E_2$ . Let  $\{\Delta_n\}$  be a sequence in  $E_2$  with  $\lim \mathbf{P}[\Delta_n] = \eta_2$  and consider  $\Delta = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta_n$ . Then  $[\chi_{\Delta}]F = 0$  and  $\mathbf{P}[\Delta] \in E_2$ . Hence  $\mathbf{P}[\Delta_n] \leq \mathbf{P}[\Delta] \leq \eta_2$  and therefore  $\mathbf{P}[\Delta] = \eta_2$  and  $\eta_2$  is the maximum of  $E_2$ . Clearly  $\eta_1 \geq \eta_2$  and, from Theorem 1, actually it is satisfied  $\eta_1 = \eta_2$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $f$  be an  $X$ -valued random function holomorphic in mean on an open connected subset  $D$  of  $\mathbf{C}$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1.  $\mathbf{P}[f(z, \omega) = 0] > 0$  for all  $z \in D$ .
2. There is a  $\Delta \in \Sigma$  with  $\mathbf{P}[\Delta] > 0$  such that  $f(z, \omega) = 0$  almost surely on  $\Delta$ , for every  $z \in D$ .

Moreover, the set  $\{\mathbf{P}[\Delta] : f(z, \omega) = 0 \text{ almost surely on } \Delta \text{ for all } z \in D\}$  attains a maximum which coincides with the infimum of the set  $\{\mathbf{P}[f(z, \omega) = 0] : z \in D\}$ .

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  be  $X$ -valued random functions holomorphic in mean on an open connected subset  $D$  of  $\mathbf{C}$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1.  $\mathbf{P}[f_1(z, \omega) = f_2(z, \omega)] > 0$  for all  $z \in D$ .
2. There exist two random functions  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  on  $D$  equivalent to  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ , respectively, whose paths are holomorphic on  $D$  and satisfying  $\mathbf{P}[g_1(z, \omega) = g_2(z, \omega) \text{ for all } z \in D] > 0$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to show that the second assertion follows from the first one. If 1 holds, then Corollary 1 shows that there is a  $\Delta$  satisfying  $\mathbf{P}[\Delta] > 0$  and  $f_1(z, \omega) = f_2(z, \omega)$  almost surely on  $\Delta$  for

every  $z \in D$ . Let  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  be random functions with holomorphic paths equivalent to  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ , respectively, given by [8]. It is clear that, for every  $z \in D$ ,  $g_1(z, \omega) = g_2(z, \omega)$  almost surely on  $\Delta$ . Accordingly, if  $S$  is a countable dense subset of  $D$ , then for each  $z \in S$  there exists a negligible set  $\Delta_z$  such that  $g_1(z, \omega) = g_2(z, \omega)$  for all  $\omega \in \Delta \setminus \Delta_z$ .  $\Delta_0 = \cup_{z \in S} \Delta_z$  is a negligible set and for all  $z \in D$  and  $\omega \in \Delta \setminus \Delta_0$  we have  $g_1(z, \omega) = g_2(z, \omega)$  since  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  have continuous paths.  $\square$

### REFERENCES

1. A.T. Bharucha-Reid, *Random integral equations*, Academic Press, New York, 1977.
2. S. Cambanis, *The measurability of a stochastic process of second order and its linear space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **47** (1975), 467–475.
3. ———, *On the path absolute continuity of second order processes*, Ann. Probab. **3** (1975), 1050–1054.
4. S. Cambanis and G. Miller, *Some path properties of  $p$ th order and symmetric stable processes*, Ann. Probab. **8** (1980), 1148–1156.
5. J. Diestel and J.J. Uhl, *Vector measures*, Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys **15** (1977).
6. J.L. Doob, *Stochastic processes*, Wiley, New York, 1953.
7. E. Hille and R.S. Phillips, *Functional analysis and semigroups*, Amer. Math. Soc., Colloq. Publ. **31** (1957).
8. F. Martinez and A.R. Villena, *A note on the path holomorphy of random functions holomorphic in mean*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), 1751–1754.
9. W. Rudin, *Real and complex analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
10. A.V. Skorohod, *Random linear operators*, D. Reidel Publishing Company, Holland, 1984.

DEPARTAMENTO DE ESTADÍSTICA E I.O., FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA, 18071 GRANADA, SPAIN  
*E-mail address:* palvarez@goliat.ugr.es

DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA, 18071 GRANADA, SPAIN  
*E-mail address:* avillena@goliat.ugr.es