

## MULTIPLE POSITIVE SOLUTIONS FOR HIGHER ORDER BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS

ERIC R. KAUFMANN

ABSTRACT. Multiple positive solutions are shown to exist for the boundary value problem  $u^{(n)} + f(t, u) = 0$ ,  $\alpha u^{(n-2)}(0) - \beta u^{(n-1)}(0) = 0$ ,  $\gamma u^{(n-2)}(1) + \delta u^{(n-1)}(1) = 0$ ,  $u^{(i)}(0) = 0$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n-3$ , when  $f$  is sublinear at one end point (zero or infinity) and superlinear at the other. The methods involve applications of a fixed point theorem for operators on a cone in a Banach space.

**1. Introduction.** In this paper we consider the two-point boundary value problem,

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & u^{(n)} + f(t, u) = 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\ & \alpha u^{(n-2)}(0) - \beta u^{(n-1)}(0) = 0, \\ (2) \quad & \gamma u^{(n-2)}(1) + \delta u^{(n-1)}(1) = 0, \\ & u^{(i)}(0) = 0, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n-3, \end{aligned}$$

where  $f: [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is continuous,  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \geq 0$ , and  $\rho = \beta\gamma + \alpha\gamma + \alpha\delta > 0$ . Notice if  $u(t)$  is a nonnegative solution of (1), (2), then  $u^{(n-2)}(t)$  is concave on  $[0, 1]$ .

When  $n = 2$  the boundary value problem (1), (2), arises in nonlinear elliptical equations on an annulus, see [2, 3, 11, 13, 14]. In many physical and biological problems only positive solutions are of interest. Cones provide an elegant means to define positive elements in a Banach space. In [4] and [5] fixed point theorems with respect to a cone were used to find positive solutions for higher order boundary value problems. For a thorough treatment of cones in a Banach space, see Deimling [6] or Krasnosel'skii [12].

---

Received by the editors on October 25, 1995, and in revised form on August 26, 1996.

1991 AMS *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 34B15, 34B27.

*Key words and phrases*. Boundary value problems, cones in a Banach space, Green's functions, positive solutions.

Our goal is to extend the work of Erbe, Hu, and Wang [9] to obtain two positive solutions of (1) and (2), when  $f$  is superlinear at one endpoint (zero or infinity) and sublinear at the other; that is, when either

$$(i) f_{0,m} = +\infty \text{ and } f_{\infty,m} = +\infty, \text{ or}$$

$$(ii) f_{0,M} = 0 \text{ and } f_{\infty,M} = 0,$$

where,

$$f_{0,m} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{f(t, x)}{x},$$

$$f_{\infty,m} = \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{f(t, x)}{x},$$

$$f_{0,M} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{f(t, x)}{x},$$

and,

$$f_{\infty,M} = \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{f(t, x)}{x}.$$

We will make our assumptions on  $f$  more precise in Section 3. The results herein are also related to those by Atici [1] and Elloe and Henderson [8].

In Section 2 we present some preliminary results involving the Green's function of (1) and (2). We also state a fixed point theorem due to Krasnosel'skii [12] which will be used to yield multiple positive solutions of (1) and (2). In Section 3 we provide an appropriate Banach space and cone in order to apply the fixed point theorem to obtain solutions of (1) and (2).

**2. Preliminaries.** In this section we state a fixed point theorem due to Krasnosel'skii which utilizes cones in a Banach space. Our cone will be constructed based on properties of the Green's function,  $G(t, s)$ , for the boundary value problem,

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} -u^{(n)} &= 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\ \alpha u^{(n-2)}(0) - \beta u^{(n-1)}(0) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \gamma u^{(n-2)}(1) + \delta u^{(n-1)}(1) &= 0, \\ u^{(i)}(0) &= 0, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n-3. \end{aligned}$$

It can be shown, using arguments similar to those in Eloe [7], that

$$\frac{\partial^i}{\partial t^i} G(t, s) > 0, \quad (0, 1) \times (0, 1), \quad 0 \leq i \leq n - 3,$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^{n-2}}{\partial t^{n-2}} G(t, s) = K(t, s),$$

where  $K(t, s)$  is the Green's function for

$$(5) \quad -u'' = 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1,$$

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha u(0) - \beta u'(0) &= 0, \\ \gamma u(1) + \delta u'(1) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

In [10] it was shown that  $K(t, s)$  satisfies

$$(7) \quad 0 \leq K(t, s) \leq K(s, s), \quad 0 \leq t, s \leq 1,$$

as well as

$$(8) \quad \frac{K(t, s)}{K(s, s)} \geq \sigma, \quad \frac{1}{4} \leq t \leq \frac{3}{4}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1,$$

where  $\sigma = \min\{(\gamma + 4\delta)/(4(\gamma + \delta)), (\alpha + 4\beta)/(4(\alpha + \beta))\}$ .

The existence of the multiple positive solutions of (1) and (2) is based on an application of the following fixed point theorem [12].

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a Banach space and let  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{B}$  be a cone. Assume  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$  are bounded open subsets of  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $0 \in \Omega_1 \subset \overline{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_2$ . Suppose that*

$$T: \mathcal{P} \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$$

*is a completely continuous operator such that, either*

- (i)  $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_1$  and  $\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_2$ , or
- (ii)  $\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_1$  and  $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_2$ .

*Then  $T$  has a fixed point in  $\mathcal{P} \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$ .*

**3. Multiple positive solutions.** In this section we use the fixed point theorem from Section 2 to find two positive solutions of (1) and (2). It is well known that  $u(t)$  is a solution of (1) and (2) if and only if

$$u(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,s)f(s,u(s)) ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

Define

$$\mathcal{B} = \{x \in C^{(n-2)}[0,1] : x^{(i)}(0) = 0, 0 \leq i \leq n-3\},$$

with norm  $\|x\| = |x^{(n-2)}|_\infty$ , where  $|\cdot|_\infty$  denotes the supremum norm on  $[0,1]$ . Then,  $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|)$  is a Banach space.

*Remark.* For each  $x \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $|x^{(i)}|_\infty \leq \|x\|$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n-2$ .

Define the cone  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{B}$  by

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ x \in \mathcal{B} : x^{(n-2)}(t) \geq 0 \text{ and } \min_{1/4 \leq t \leq 3/4} x^{(n-2)}(t) \geq \sigma \|x\| \right\}.$$

If  $x \in \mathcal{P}$  then,  $x^{(i)}(t) \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n-2$ , and  $x^{(i)}(t) \geq \sigma \|x\| ((t-1/4)^{n-i-2}) / (n-i-2)!$ ,  $1/4 \leq t \leq 3/4$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n-2$ . Hence, if  $x \in \mathcal{P}$  then,

$$(9) \quad x^{(i)}(t) \geq \frac{\sigma}{(n-i-2)! 4^{n-i-2}} \|x\|, \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq \frac{3}{4}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n-2.$$

Consider the operator  $T: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  given by

$$Tu(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,s)f(s,u(s)) ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

We seek a fixed point of the operator  $T$  in  $\mathcal{P}$ .

**Lemma 2.** *The operator  $T$  is completely continuous and  $T: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $u \in \mathcal{P}$ . From (7) we have, for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu)^{(n-2)}(t) &= \int_0^1 \frac{\partial^{n-2}}{\partial t^{n-2}} G(t, s) f(s, u(s)) ds \\ &= \int_0^1 K(t, s) f(s, u(s)) ds \\ &\leq \int_0^1 K(s, s) f(s, u(s)) ds, \end{aligned}$$

and, hence,

$$(10) \quad \|Tu\| = |(Tu)^{(n-2)}|_\infty \leq \int_0^1 K(s, s) f(s, u(s)) ds.$$

If  $u \in \mathcal{P}$  then, by (8) and (10),

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{1/4 \leq t \leq 3/4} (Tu)^{(n-2)}(t) &= \min_{1/4 \leq t \leq 3/4} \int_0^1 K(t, s) f(s, u(s)) ds \\ &\geq \sigma \int_0^1 K(s, s) f(s, u(s)) ds \geq \sigma \|Tu\|. \end{aligned}$$

Finally from (7), we have  $(Tu)^{(n-2)}(t) \geq 0$  for  $u \in \mathcal{P}$ , and so,  $Tu \in \mathcal{P}$ . Standard arguments can be used to show that  $T$  is completely continuous. This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

For our first theorem we will require that  $f$  satisfies the following conditions:

(A)  $f_{0,m} = +\infty$ ,  $f_{\infty,m} = +\infty$  and

(B) there exists a  $p > 0$  such that, if  $0 \leq x \leq p$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , then

$$f(t, x) \leq \eta p,$$

where

$$\eta = \left( \int_0^1 K(s, s) ds \right)^{-1} = \frac{6\rho}{6\delta\beta + 3\gamma\beta + \alpha\gamma + 3\alpha\delta}.$$

**Theorem 3.** *Assume  $f(t, u)$  satisfies conditions (A) and (B). Then, the boundary value problem, (1), (2), has at least two positive solutions,  $u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ , such that*

$$0 \leq \|u_1\| \leq p \leq \|u_2\|.$$

*Proof.* Choose  $M > 0$  so that

$$(11) \quad \frac{\sigma M}{(n-2)!4^{n-2}} \int_{1/2}^{3/4} K\left(\frac{1}{2}, s\right) ds \geq 1.$$

By condition (A), there exists a  $0 < r < p$  such that

$$(12) \quad f(t, u) \geq Mu,$$

for  $0 \leq u \leq r, 0 \leq t \leq 1$ .

Let  $u \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $\|u\| = r$ . From (9) and (12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu)^{(n-2)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) &= \int_0^1 K\left(\frac{1}{2}, s\right) f(s, u(s)) ds \\ &\geq M \int_{1/2}^{3/4} K\left(\frac{1}{2}, s\right) u(s) ds \\ &\geq \frac{\sigma M}{(n-2)!4^{n-2}} \int_{1/2}^{3/4} K\left(\frac{1}{2}, s\right) ds \|u\| \\ &\geq \|u\|. \end{aligned}$$

If we define  $\Omega_1 = \{u \in \mathcal{B} : \|u\| < r\}$ , then the above argument shows that

$$(13) \quad \|Tu\| \geq \|u\|, \quad u \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_1.$$

Now consider  $u \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $\|u\| = p$ . By the remark,  $|u|_\infty \leq p$ , and so, from condition (B),

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu)^{(n-2)}(t) &= \int_0^1 K(t, s) f(s, u(s)) ds \leq \int_0^1 K(s, s) f(s, u(s)) ds \\ &\leq \int_0^1 K(s, s) ds \eta p \leq p = \|u\|. \end{aligned}$$

If we define  $\Omega_2 = \{u \in \mathcal{B} : \|u\| < p\}$ , then

$$(14) \quad \|Tu\| \leq \|u\|, \quad u \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_2.$$

Theorem 1, together with (13) and (14), implies that there exists a fixed point,  $u_1$ , of  $T$  in  $\mathcal{P} \cap (\overline{\Omega_2} \setminus \Omega_1)$ . This fixed point satisfies  $r < \|u_1\| < p$ .

Using condition (A) again, we know there exists an  $R_1 > 0$  such that

$$(15) \quad f(t, u) \geq \varepsilon u,$$

for all  $u \geq R_1$ , where  $\varepsilon > 0$  was chosen so that

$$\frac{\sigma\varepsilon}{(n-2)!4^{n-2}} \int_{1/2}^{3/4} K\left(\frac{1}{2}, s\right) ds \geq 1.$$

Set  $R = \max\{2p, ((n-2)!4^{n-2}/\sigma)R_1\}$  and pick  $u \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $\|u\| = R$ . Notice, by (9), that  $u(t) \geq (\sigma/((n-2)!4^{n-2}))\|u\| \geq R_1$  on  $[1/2, 3/4]$ . And so,

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu)^{(n-2)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) &= \int_0^1 K\left(\frac{1}{2}, s\right) f(s, u(s)) ds \\ &\geq \int_{1/2}^{3/4} K\left(\frac{1}{2}, s\right) \varepsilon u(s) ds \\ &\geq \frac{\sigma\varepsilon}{(n-2)!4^{n-2}} \int_{1/2}^{3/4} K\left(\frac{1}{2}, s\right) ds \|u\| \\ &\geq \|u\|. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $\Omega_3 = \{u \in \mathcal{B} : \|u\| < R\}$ . Then

$$(16) \quad \|Tu\| \geq \|u\|, \quad u \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_3.$$

Theorem 1, together with (14) and (16), implies that there exists a fixed point,  $u_2$ , of  $T$  such that  $p < \|u_2\| < R$  and the proof is complete.  $\square$

For our second theorem we will require that  $f$  satisfies the following conditions:

(C)  $f_{0,M} = 0$ ,  $f_{\infty,M} = 0$ , and

(D) there exists a  $q > 0$  such that, if  $(\sigma / ((n-2)!4^{n-2}))q \leq x \leq q$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , then

$$f(t, x) \geq \lambda q,$$

where

$$\lambda = \left( \int_{1/2}^{3/4} K\left(\frac{1}{2}, s\right) ds \right)^{-1}.$$

**Theorem 4.** *Assume  $f(t, u)$  satisfies conditions (C) and (D). Then the boundary value problem (1), (2), has at least two positive solutions,  $u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ , such that*

$$0 \leq \|u_1\| \leq q \leq \|u_2\|.$$

*Proof.* From condition (C), there exists an  $0 < r < p$  such that  $f(t, u) < \eta u$  for all  $0 \leq u \leq r$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , where  $\eta = (\int_0^1 K(s, s) ds)^{-1}$ . Define

$$\Omega_1 = \{u \in \mathcal{B} : \|u\| < r\}.$$

For  $u \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_1$  we have, by the remark,  $|u|_\infty \leq \|u\| = r$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu)^{(n-2)}(t) &= \int_0^1 K(t, s) f(s, u(s)) ds \leq \int_0^1 K(s, s) \eta u(s) ds \\ &\leq \eta \int_0^1 K(s, s) ds \|u\| \leq \|u\|. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$(17) \quad \|Tu\| \leq \|u\| \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_1.$$

Now let

$$\Omega_2 = \{u \in \mathcal{B} : \|u\| < q\}.$$

Notice that, for  $u \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_2$ ,

$$\min_{1/2 \leq t \leq 3/4} u(t) \geq \frac{\sigma}{(n-2)!4^{n-2}} \|u\| = \frac{\sigma q}{(n-2)!4^{n-2}}.$$



Thus, by (D),

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu)^{(n-2)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) &= \int_0^1 K\left(\frac{1}{2}, s\right) f(s, u(s)) ds \\ &\geq \int_{1/2}^{3/4} K\left(\frac{1}{2}, s\right) ds \lambda q \geq q = \|u\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(18) \quad \|Tu\| \geq \|u\| \quad \text{on } \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_2.$$

Returning to condition (C), we know that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $M > 0$  such that,

$$f(t, u) \leq M + \varepsilon u \quad \text{for } u \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

And so

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu)^{(n-2)}(t) &\leq \int_0^1 K(t, s)[M + \varepsilon u(s)] ds \\ &\leq M \int_0^1 K(s, s) ds + \varepsilon \int_0^1 K(s, s)u(s) ds \\ &\leq \frac{M}{\eta} + \varepsilon \int_0^1 K(s, s)u(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

By choosing  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small and  $R > M/\eta$  sufficiently large, we have for  $u \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_3$

$$(19) \quad \|Tu\| \leq R = \|u\|,$$

where

$$\Omega_3 = \{x \in \mathcal{B} : \|u\| < R\}.$$

Applying Theorem 1 to (17), (18) and (19) yields the desired results. This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

As an example, consider the boundary value problem

$$(20) \quad u''' + \frac{1}{3}(u^{1/6} + u^7) = 0,$$

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{6079700}{123517}u'(0) - 49u''(0) &= 0, \\ 7u'(1) + 220u''(1) &= 0, \\ u(0) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $f_{0,m} = \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} (u^{1/6} + u^6)/(3u) = +\infty$  and  $f_{\infty,m} = +\infty$ . Also

$$\eta \approx 0.701$$

and

$$\sigma \approx 0.624.$$

It can be shown that  $f(t, u)$  satisfies condition (B) for  $p = 1.003$ .

Let  $M = 17.90$ . Then (11) holds. Using this  $M$  we can show that (12) is valid for all  $0 \leq u \leq r$  where

$$r = 8.33 \times 10^{-3}.$$

Hence there is a positive solution,  $u_1$ , of (20), (21) which satisfies

$$8.33 \times 10^{-3} \leq \|u_1\| \leq 1.003.$$

Inequality (15) holds for  $u \geq R_1 = 1.94$ . From the proof of Theorem 3 we have  $R = \max\{2p, 4R_1/\sigma\} \leq 12.44$  as an upper bound on our second solution,  $u_2$ . Thus,

$$1.003 \leq \|u_2\| \leq 12.44.$$

The bounds on the norms of the solutions can be improved. Recall that, if  $x \in \mathcal{P}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(i)}(t) &\geq \sigma \|x\| \frac{(t - 1/4)^{n-i-2}}{(n-i-2)!}, \\ \frac{1}{4} &\leq t \leq \frac{3}{4}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n-2. \end{aligned}$$

Fix  $0 < \zeta < 1/2$ . Then for all  $x \in \mathcal{P}$ ,

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} x^{(i)}(t) &\geq \frac{\sigma \zeta^{n-i-2}}{(n-i-2)!} \|x\|, \\ \zeta + \frac{1}{4} &\leq t \leq \frac{3}{4}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n-2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\zeta = 1/4$  in (9). The values of  $r$ ,  $R_1$  and  $R$  change slightly if (22) is used in place of (9) in the proofs of Theorems 3 and 4. For example, if  $\zeta = 27/100$ , then  $r = 8.39 \times 10^{-3}$  and  $R = \max\{2p, 1.94/(\sigma\zeta)\} \leq 11.51$  for the boundary value problem (20), (21). Thus the solutions  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  satisfy

$$8.39 \times 10^{-3} \leq \|u_1\| \leq 1.003,$$

and

$$1.003 \leq \|u_2\| \leq 11.51.$$

#### REFERENCES

1. F. Atici, *Two positive solutions of a boundary value problem for difference equations*, J. Difference Eqns. Appl., to appear.
2. C. Bandle, C.V. Coffman and M. Marcus, *Nonlinear elliptic problems in annular domains*, J. Differential Equations **69** (1987), 322–345.
3. C. Bandle and M.K. Kwong, *Semilinear elliptic problems in annular domains*, J. Appl. Math. Phys. **40** (1989), 245–257.
4. C.J. Chyan, *Positive solution of a system of higher order boundary value problems*, PanAm. Math. J., in press.
5. C.J. Chyan and J. Henderson, *Positive solutions for singular higher order nonlinear equations*, Differential Equations. Dynamical Systems **2** (1994), 153–160.
6. K. Deimling, *Nonlinear functional analysis*, Springer, New York, 1985.
7. P.W. Eloe, *Sign properties of Green's functions for two classes of boundary value problems*, Canad. Math. Bull. **30** (1987), 28–35.
8. P.W. Eloe and J. Henderson, *Positive solutions for higher order differential equations*, Electronic J. Differential Equations (1995), 1–8.
9. L.H. Erbe, S. Hu and H. Wang, *Multiple positive solutions of some boundary value problems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **184** (1994), 640–648.
10. L.H. Erbe and H. Wang, *On the existence of positive solutions of ordinary differential equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **120** (1994), 743–748.
11. X. Garaizar, *Existence of positive radial solutions for semilinear elliptic problems in the annulus*, J. Differential Equations **70** (1987), 69–92.
12. M.A. Krasnosel'skii, *Positive solutions of operator equations*, Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1962; English translation, P. Noordhoff Ltd., Groningen, The Netherlands, 1964.
13. H. Wang, *On the existence of positive solutions for semilinear elliptic equations in the annulus*, J. Differential Equations **109** (1994), 1–7.

14. J.S.W. Wong, *On the generalized Emden-Fowler equation*, SIAM Rev. **17** (1975), 339–360.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, 2801 S. UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY OF ARKANSAS AT LITTLE ROCK, LITTLE ROCK, ARKANSAS 72204-1099  
*E-mail address:* `erkaufmann@ualr.edu`