

**A BEST APPROXIMATION THEOREM FOR
NONEXPANSIVE SET-VALUED MAPPINGS
IN HYPERCONVEX METRIC SPACES**

JACK T. MARKIN

1. Introduction. Recent results have shown that many fixed point and best approximation theorems previously established for Banach spaces have analogues in hyperconvex metric spaces, see, for example, [2, 4–6]. In [4] the authors gave a hyperconvex version of the Fan best approximation theorem for set-valued mappings on compact sets. It is the purpose of this paper to show that a best approximation theorem can be obtained in hyperconvex spaces for set-valued mappings without compactness assumptions, under the additional requirement that the mappings are nonexpansive. This result is applied to obtain some fixed point theorems.

2. Preliminaries. Using $B(x, r)$ to denote the closed ball with center $x \in M$ and radius r , a metric space (M, d) is hyperconvex if, given any family $\{x_\alpha\}$ of points in M and any family $\{r_\alpha\}$ of real numbers satisfying $d(x_\alpha, x_\beta) \leq r_\alpha + r_\beta$, it is the case that $\bigcap B(x_\alpha, r_\alpha) \neq \emptyset$. Hyperconvex metric spaces were introduced and their basic properties elaborated in [1].

The *externally hyperconvex* subsets (relative to M), denoted by $E(M)$, are those subsets S such that, given any family $\{x_\alpha\}$ of points in M and any family $\{r_\alpha\}$ of real numbers satisfying $d(x_\alpha, x_\beta) \leq r_\alpha + r_\beta$ and $d(x_\alpha, S) \leq r_\alpha$, it follows that $S \cap (\bigcap B(x_\alpha, r_\alpha)) \neq \emptyset$. Throughout, $d(x, S) = \inf_{y \in S} d(x, y)$ for any subset S .

The admissible subsets of M , denoted by $A(M)$, are sets of the form $\bigcap B(x_\alpha, r_\alpha)$, i.e., the family of ball intersections in M . Admissible subsets are externally hyperconvex [1]. A subset S is *proximal* provided for each $x \in M$ there is an $s \in S$ such that $d(x, s) = d(x, S)$.

Key words and phrases. Hyperconvex space, admissible set, externally hyperconvex set, best approximation, fixed point, nonexpansive mapping.

Received by the editors on January 3, 2003, and in revised form on April 10, 2003.

Externally hyperconvex subsets are proximal [1].

For a subset S of M , $N_\varepsilon(S)$ denotes the closed ε -neighborhood of S , i.e., $N_\varepsilon(S) = \{x \in M : d(x, S) \leq \varepsilon\}$. If S is externally hyperconvex (admissible), then $N_\varepsilon(S)$ is externally hyperconvex (admissible) [3, 6].

If U, V are closed bounded subsets of M , let D be the *Hausdorff metric* defined as $D(U, V) = \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 : U \subseteq N_\varepsilon(V) \text{ and } V \subseteq N_\varepsilon(U)\}$. For any subset S of M , a set-valued mapping $F : S \rightarrow E(M)$ is *nonexpansive* if $D(F(x), F(y)) \leq d(x, y)$ for any $x, y \in S$.

Lemma. *Let M be a hyperconvex metric space, X an admissible subset, and U and V externally hyperconvex subsets of M . Then, $D(N_\alpha(X) \cap U, N_\beta(X) \cap V) \leq D(U, V)$, where*

$$\alpha = \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 : N_\varepsilon(X) \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$$

and

$$\beta = \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 : N_\varepsilon(X) \cap V \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Proof. We define the sets $U_0 = N_\alpha(X) \cap U$ and $V_0 = N_\beta(X) \cap V$ and observe that they are nonempty since U and V are externally hyperconvex sets. Assume $\alpha \geq \beta$. We prove the lemma by showing that $d(u, V_0) \leq D(U, V)$, for each $u \in U_0$ and that $d(v, U_0) \leq D(U, V)$, for each $v \in V_0$.

By the definition of U_0 ,

$$(1) \quad d(u, N_\beta(X)) = \inf_{y \in U} d(y, N_\beta(X)) \quad \text{for any } u \in U_0.$$

Since $N_{D(U, V)}(U) \cap V_0 \neq \emptyset$, we have $N_{D(U, V)}(U) \cap N_\beta(X) \neq \emptyset$. In view of (1), for any $u \in U_0$, $d(u, N_\beta(X)) \leq D(U, V)$, and therefore, $B(u, D(U, V)) \cap N_\beta(X) \neq \emptyset$.

Since $N_\beta(X)$ is admissible, $N_\beta(X) = \bigcap B_i$, where each B_i is a ball in M . Clearly, $B_i \cap V \neq \emptyset$, and $B(u, D(U, V)) \cap B_i \neq \emptyset$, for each $u \in U_0$ and each i . Because V is externally hyperconvex, it follows that

$$B(u, D(U, V)) \cap N_\beta(X) \cap V \neq \emptyset, \quad \text{for } u \in U_0.$$

Thus for $u \in U_0$, $d(u, V_0) \leq D(U, V)$.

Assume $v \in V_0$. Then $B(v, D(U, V)) \cap U \neq \emptyset$, $N_\alpha(X) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ by definition, and $B(v, D(U, V)) \cap N_\alpha(X) \neq \emptyset$ since $v \in N_\alpha(X)$. Because $N_\alpha(X)$ is admissible and U is externally hyperconvex, the same argument as above implies $B(v, D(U, V)) \cap N_\alpha(X) \cap U \neq \emptyset$. Hence, for any $v \in V_0$, $d(v, U) \leq D(U, V)$. It follows that $D(U_0, V_0) \leq D(U, V)$.

3. A best approximation theorem. The following Theorem 1 gives a set-valued version of the Ky Fan best approximation theorem for nonexpansive mappings defined on an admissible set with values in $E(M)$. The same result was obtained in [4] under the assumption that the domain is a compact admissible set and the mapping is continuous with values in $A(M)$. A point valued version of Theorem 1 appears in [6].

Theorem 1. *Let M be a bounded hyperconvex metric space, X an admissible subset and $F : X \rightarrow E(M) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ a nonexpansive mapping. Then either there is an $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \in F(x_0)$ or there is an x_0 in the boundary of X such that $0 < d(x_0, F(x_0)) \leq \inf_{x \in X} d(x, F(x))$.*

Proof. For each $x \in X$ define the mapping $F_0 : X \rightarrow E(M)$ by $F_0(x) = N_\alpha(X) \cap F(x)$, where $\alpha = \inf_{z \in F(x)} d(z, X)$. The set $F_0(x)$ is a nonempty externally hyperconvex subset of M since it is the intersection of an admissible set and an externally hyperconvex set [3]. By the lemma F_0 is a nonexpansive mapping. The selection theorem in [3] implies the existence of a nonexpansive point-valued mapping $f_0 : X \rightarrow M$ such that

$$f_0(x) \in F_0(x) \quad \text{for } x \in X.$$

Define the mapping $P : M \rightarrow A(X)$ by $P(y) = \{x \in X : d(y, x) = \inf_{z \in X} d(y, z)\}$. Then, by a result in [6], there is a nonexpansive set-valued selection $P_0 : M \rightarrow A(X)$, where $P_0(x) \subseteq P(x)$ for $x \in M$.

Consider the mapping $P_0(f_0(\cdot)) : X \rightarrow A(X)$, which by definition is a nonexpansive set-valued mapping of X into itself. Since admissible subsets are externally hyperconvex, the fixed point existence theorem of [3] implies there is an $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \in P_0(f_0(x_0))$. Thus, $d(x_0, F(x_0)) = \inf_{x \in X} d(x, F(x))$.

The remainder of the proof follows an idea of Park [5]. If $d(x_0, F(x_0)) = 0$, then x_0 is a fixed point of F . Otherwise, we have $0 < d(x_0, F(x_0)) \leq d(x, F(x_0))$ for each $x \in X$. To show that x_0 is in the boundary of X , assume that x_0 is in the interior of X . Then there is an $r > 0$ such that $B(x_0, r) \subseteq X$ and $r < d(x_0, F(x_0)) \leq d(x, F(x_0))$ for each $x \in B(x_0, r)$. By hyperconvexity there is a $y_0 \in B(x_0, r) \cap B(z, d(x_0, F(x_0)) - r)$, where $z \in F(x_0)$ and $d(x_0, z) = d(x_0, F(x_0))$. Hence, $d(y_0, F(x_0)) \leq d(x_0, F(x_0)) - r < d(x_0, F(x_0))$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, x_0 is in the boundary of X . \square

4. Fixed point theorems. In this section we apply Theorem 1 to obtain some fixed point theorems for set-valued nonexpansive mappings with domain an admissible subset and values in $E(M)$. Theorem 2 and its corollary were obtained in [4] under the assumption that the domain of the mappings is a compact admissible subset and the mappings are continuous with values in $A(M)$. A point valued version of Theorem 3 appears in [6]

Theorem 2. *Let M be a bounded hyperconvex metric space, X an admissible subset and $F : X \rightarrow E(M) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ a nonexpansive set-valued mapping such that, for each $x \in X$ with $x \notin F(x)$, there exists $z \in X$ such that $d(z, F(x)) < d(x, F(x))$. Then there is an $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \in F(x_0)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 1, there is an $x_0 \in X$ such that $d(x_0, F(x_0)) = \inf_{x \in X} d(x, F(x_0))$. We claim that x_0 is a fixed point of F . If not, then $x_0 \notin F(x_0)$ and, by assumption, there is a $z \in X$ such that $d(z, F(x_0)) < d(x_0, F(x_0))$. But this contradicts the fact that x_0 is a best approximation to $F(x_0)$ in X . Therefore, there is an $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \in F(x_0)$. \square

Corollary. *Let M be a bounded hyperconvex metric space, X an admissible subset and $F : X \rightarrow E(M) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ a nonexpansive set-valued mapping such that for each $x \in X$, $F(x) \cap X \neq \emptyset$. Then there is an $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \in F(x_0)$.*

Proof. Because $F(x) \cap X \neq \emptyset$ for each $x \in X$, it follows that for each $x \in X$ with $x \notin F(x)$, we can choose a $z \in F(x) \cap X$ such that $d(z, F(x)) = 0 < d(x, F(x))$. Thus, the conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied and the conclusion follows.

Theorem 3. *Let M be a bounded hyperconvex metric space, X an admissible subset and $F : X \rightarrow E(M) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ a nonexpansive set-valued mapping. If $F(x) \subseteq X$ for each x in the boundary of X , then there is an $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \in F(x_0)$.*

Proof. Assume that F does not have a fixed point. By Theorem 1, there is an x_0 in the boundary of X such that $0 < d(x_0, F(x_0)) \leq \inf_{x \in X} d(x, F(x_0))$. However, since x_0 is in the boundary of X , $F(x_0) \subseteq X$, and therefore $\inf_{x \in X} d(x, F(x_0)) = 0$. This is a contradiction, implying the theorem. \square

REFERENCES

1. N. Aronszajn and P. Panitchpakdi, *Extensions of uniformly continuous transformations and hyperconvex metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **6** (1956), 405–439.
2. M.A. Khamsi, *KKM and Ky Fan theorems in hyperconvex metric spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **204** (1996), 298–306.
3. M.A. Khamsi, W.A. Kirk and C.M. Martinez, *Fixed point and selection theorems in hyperconvex spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (2000), 3275–3283.
4. W.A. Kirk, B. Sims and George Xian-Zhi Yuan, *The Knaster-Kuratowski and Mazurkiewicz theory in hyperconvex metric spaces and some of its applications*, Nonlinear Anal. **39** (2000), 611–627.
5. S. Park, *Fixed point theorems in hyperconvex metric spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. **37** (1999), 467–472.
6. R.C. Sine, *Hyperconvexity and nonexpansive multifunctions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **315** (1989), 755–767.

528 ROVER BOULEVARD, LOS ALAMOS, NM 87544
E-mail address: jmarkin@newmexico.com