ALMOST QUASI-PURE INJECTIVE ABELIAN GROUPS

C. VINSONHALER

1. Introduction and preliminaries. The quasi-pure projective, quasi-pure injective and strongly homogeneous torsion free abelian groups of finite rank have been classified in [1], [2] and [3]. This note investigates the torsion free abelian groups quasi-isomorphic to groups in each of the above three classes, and some generalizations.

In what follows, all groups will be torsion free abelian of finite rank. A group G is called almost quasi-pure projective (aqpp) if there is non-zero integer n such that the index of the image of $\operatorname{Hom}(G,G)$ in $\operatorname{Hom}(G,G/A)$ is bounded by n for every pure subgroup, A, of G. If n can be taken to be 1, G is called quasi-pure projective (qpp). In [9] it is shown that the class of aqpp groups is closed under quasi-isomorphism and that a group G is aqpp if and only G it is G if G is qpp. Hence, the class of G groups is closed under quasi-isomorphism. This result can be obtained by dualizing some results of § 3 and § 4.

The situation is more complicated in the quasi-pure injective case. A group G is called almost quasi-pure injective (aqpi) if there is a non-zero integer n such that the index of the image of $\operatorname{Hom}(G,G)$ in $\operatorname{Hom}(A,G)$ is bounded by n for every pure subgroup, A, of G. If n can be taken to be 1, G is called quasi-pure injective (qpi). Three classes of groups arise naturally: C_1 , the class of qpi groups; C_2 , the class of groups quasi-isomorphic to groups in C_1 ; C_3 , the class of aqpi groups. We show that $C_1 \subsetneq C_2 \subsetneq C_3$ (Example 2 and the remarks following it), and characterize the groups in C_3 .

Finally, G is called almost strongly homogeneous (ash) if there is a non-zero integer n such that given any two pure rank one subgroups A and B of G, there is a monomorphism $f:G\to G$ with $nB\subseteq f(A)\subseteq B$. If f can be taken to be an automorphism (and hence n=1), G is called strongly homogeneous (sh). The ash groups are classified in Theorem 2.2, and an example is given of an ash group which is not quasi-isomorphic to any sh group.

The standard notions of height (h(x)) and type $(\tau(x))$ of an element x in a group G will be used. At times $\mathscr{E}(G)$ will be used to denote $\operatorname{Hom}_Z(G,G)$. Tensor products are all taken over the integers. Finally, G quasi-isomorphic to H will be written $G \sim H$, and if S is any subset of a group G, $\langle S \rangle_*$ will denote the pure subgroup generated by S.

The proofs of some of the theorems, which closely parallel earlier ar-

guments, will be omitted.

2. Ash groups. We begin with a lemma which helps justify the "almost" definitions.

Lemma 2.1. Let G and H be groups with $G \sim H$.

- (a) If G is appi then H is appi.
- (b) If G is ash then H is ash.

PROOF. We may assume $mH \subseteq G \subseteq H$ for some positive integer m. Thus if A is a pure subgroup of H and $f \in \text{Hom}(A, H)$, then $A \cap G$ is pure in G and $mf \in \text{Hom}(A \cap G, G)$.

- (a) Let A be pure in H and $f: A \to H$. Since G is aqpi, there is a non-zero integer n and $g: G \to G$ such that $(g nmf)(A \cap G) = 0$. But $mg: H \to H$ and $(mg nm^2f)A = 0$. Thus H is aqpi with associated integer nm^2 .
- (b) Let A and B be pure rank one subgroups of H. Since G is ash there is a non-zero integer n and monomorphism $g: G \to G$ with $n(B \cap G) \subseteq g(A \cap G) \subseteq B \cap G$. Then $mg: H \to H$ is a monomorphism and $nm^2B \subseteq nm(B \cap G) \subseteq mg(A \cap G) \subseteq mg(A) \subseteq g(A \cap G) \subseteq B$. That is, $nm^2B \subseteq mg(A) \subseteq B$ and H is ash.

The first theorem characterizes certain subrings of algebraic number fields which appear in subsequent results. Some well-known facts are used repeatedly: If R is a subring containing 1 of an algebraic number field K, and J is the ring of algebraic integers in K, then the integral closure of R is $JR = \overline{R}$ which is quasi-isomorphic to R. Furthermore \overline{R} is a Dedekind domain, so that any ideal may be uniquely expressed as a product of prime ideals. Finally, the symbol R_P is used to denote the usual localization of the ring R at the prime ideal P.

- Theorem 2.2. Let R be an integrally closed integral domain such that the quotient field, K, of R is an algebraic number field, and let J be the ring of algebraic integers in K. Then the following are equivalent:
- (a) There exists $0 \neq n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that every $0 \neq r \in \mathbb{R}$ can be written r = ks, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n\mathbb{R} \subseteq s\mathbb{R}$.
- (b) If p is a rational prime then $pR = P^{e_p}$ for some maximal ideal P of R and $0 \le e_p \in Z$, such that $e_p \le 1$ for all but a finite number of p.
- (c) $R = \bigcap_{P \in S} J_P$ where S is a collection of maximal ideals of J such that if p is a rational prime with $pJ = P_1^{e_1} \cdots P_k^{e_k}$ a product of powers of distinct maximal ideals of J, then at most one P_i is in S and for all but a finite number of p, the corresponding $e_i = 1$.
- PROOF. (a) \Rightarrow (b). Let p be a prime such that (p, n) = 1. If $pR \neq R$, choose $r \in R \setminus pR$ and write r = ks with $k \in Z$, $nR \subseteq sR \subseteq R$. Since

 $r \notin pR$, then (p, k) = 1. Hence $R \supseteq pR + Rr = pR + Rks \supseteq pR + knR = R$. Therefore, pR is maximal in R.

Now suppose $n=p^tn_1$ with $t \geq 1$, $(p,n_1)=1$. Let $pR=P_1^{-1}{}^e \cdots P_k^{e_k}$ be the product of powers of distinct maximal ideals in R and suppose $k \geq 2$. Then $p^tR=P_1^{te_1}\cdots P_k^{te_k}$. Since $P_1^{te_1+1}\subseteq pR$ would imply $P_1\subseteq P_2$, we can choose $r\in P_1^{te_1+1}\searrow pR$. Write r=ls with $l\in Z$, $nR\subseteq sR\subseteq R$. Since $r\notin pR$, $(p,\ l)=1$. But $lnR\subseteq lsR=rR\subseteq P_1^{te_1+1}$, and $lnR=p^tn_1lR=(ln_1R)(P_1^{te_1}\cdots P_k^{te_k})$. Therefore $ln_1\in P_1$, and $R=ln_1R+pR\subseteq P_1$ a contradiction. Thus $pR=P_1^{e_1}$.

(b) \Rightarrow (c). Let S be the set of all prime ideals P of J such that $P = J \cap M$ for M a maximal ideal in R. Then

$$R \subseteq \bigcap_{P \in S} \ J_P \subseteq \bigcap_{\substack{M \text{ maximal in } R}} R_{M} = R.$$

Furthermore, for all rational primes p such that $R \neq pR$ is maximal, $pR \cap J = P$, for some $P \in S$. If $P = J \cap pR$, then $R_{pR} = J_{p}$, $PJ_{p} = pJ_{p}$ and $R/pR \cong J_{p}/pJ_{p}$ is a field. Hence, if $pJ = P_{1}^{e_{1}} \cdots P_{k}^{e_{k}}$, then $P = P_{i}$ for exactly one i, and $e_{i} = 1$.

 $(c)\Rightarrow (a)$. Let $0\neq r\in R$ and write r=ks where $k\in Z$, and s has minimal (idempotent) height in R. This is possible since any element in R has the same type as 1. Then $s\notin pR$ if p is a rational prime and $pR\neq R$. Write $sR=Q_1^{f_1}\cdots Q_m^{f_m}$ as a product of maximal ideals. Now observe that if $M\notin S$ is a maximal ideal of J, then MR=R since $MJ_P=J_P$ for all $P\in S$. Hence if $\{p_j\}_{j=1}^\ell$ are the rational primes not maximal in R, then for each j, either $p_jR=R$ or $p_jR=P_j^eR$ for some $P_j\in S$, $e_j>1$. Furthermore, each P_jR is maximal in R and the set of Q_i 's is a subset of the set of P_jR 's. Thus if $n=p_1p_2\cdots p_p$ then $nR\subseteq sR$ since the multiplicity of P_jR in the factorization of sR must be less than e_j (otherwise p divides s).

Any integrally closed ring satisfying one, hence all of the conditions of Theorem 2.2 will be said to satisfy *condition* (†). Such rings appear immediately in the following characterization of *ash* groups, the proof of which parallels that of Theorem 1 in [2].

Theorem 2.3. A group G is almost strongly homogeneous if and only if $G \sim R \otimes_Z H$, where $H = \bigoplus \Sigma_{\text{finite}} A$ for some rank one group and R is a subring of an algebraic number field satisfying (\dagger) .

PROOF. (\Leftarrow). First, R, + is ash. For let X, Y be pure rank one subgroups of R. Choose $x \in X$, $y \in Y$ of minimal (idempotent) height. Then $nR \subseteq yR$ and n = yy' for some $y' \in R$. Therefore left multiplication by xy' is a monic endomorphism of R such that $nX \subseteq xy'Y \subseteq X$.

Second, $R \otimes_Z A$ is ash. Choose $0 \neq a \in A$ and define $i: R \to R \otimes A$ by $i(r) = r \otimes a$. Now let X' and Y' be pure rank one subgroups of $R \otimes A$. Let X and Y be the pure subgroups of R such that $i(X) = X' \cap i(R)$, $i(Y) = Y' \cap i(R)$. Following the first paragraph, $nX \subseteq xy'Y \subseteq X$. It is then easy to show $nX' \subseteq xy'Y' \subseteq X'$.

Finally, $G = R \otimes H$ is ash. Let X be a pure rank one subgroup of G, and let $B = \langle RX \rangle_*$. Then B is an R-pure submodule of G, for using property (a) of Theorem 2.2, $rg \in B$ implies $mg \in B$ for some $0 \neq m \in Z$, and hence $g \in B$. Following the methods in Fuchs ([5], p. 115, Lemma 86.8) we will show that B is a quasi-summand of G.

Choose $0 \neq x \in X$ and since $G = \bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^k R \otimes A_i$, $A_i = A$, write $x = \sum_{i=1}^k r_i \otimes a_i$ where, for all i, $a_i \in A_i$ satisfies $h_p^A(a_i) = h_p^G(x)$ for all p such that $h_p^G(x) < \infty$. Using (\dagger) , write $r_i = k_i s_i$ with $nR \subseteq s_i R$ such that each s_i has idempotent height in R. Without loss of generality the set $\{k_i\}$ may be taken to be relatively prime. Hence, as in Fuchs, there is a basis x, b_2, \cdots, b_k for the group $G' = \bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^k \langle s_i \otimes a_i \rangle_*$. Furthermore, by the second paragraph above, for each i there is a $u_i \in R$ such that $n\langle 1 \otimes A_i \rangle_* \subseteq u_i \langle s_i \otimes A_i \rangle_* \subseteq \langle 1 \otimes A_i \rangle_*$. This implies $nG \subseteq \langle Rx \rangle_* \bigoplus \sum_{i=2}^k \langle Rb_i \rangle_* \subseteq G$, the sum being direct since each summand is R-pure, and $\dim_F F \otimes_R G = k$, where F = quotient field of R.

Now let Y be another pure rank one subgroup of G. By the same argument $nG \subseteq \langle RY \rangle_* \oplus G' \subseteq G$ for some $G' = \oplus \sum \langle Rb_i' \rangle_*$. Furthermore, G is homogeneous so that $X \simeq Y$, and this implies $RX \simeq RY$. Now $n\langle RX \rangle_* \subseteq RX \subseteq \langle RX \rangle_*$, for suppose $y \in \langle RX \rangle_*$. Then ty = rx with $0 \neq t \in Z$, $r \in R$, $x \in X$. Using (\dagger) , r = ks with $nR \subseteq sR$. Write n = ss'. Then ts'y = knx, implying $s'y \in X$ and hence $ny = ss'y \in RX$. The composition of homomorphisms

$$G \xrightarrow{n^2} n^2 G \subseteq n \langle RY \rangle * \oplus \sum_{i=2}^k n \langle Rb_i' \rangle *$$

$$\subseteq RY \oplus \sum_{i=2}^k R \langle b_i' \rangle * \simeq RX \oplus \sum_{i=2}^k R \langle b_i \rangle * \subseteq G,$$

is a monic endomorphism f of G such that $n^2X \subseteq f(Y) \subseteq X$.

 (\Rightarrow) . First G is irreducible (has no proper pure fully invariant subgroups) since any pure rank one subgroup can be mapped to any other

pure rank one subgroup. Hence by Reid [8], $G \sim \bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^m G_0$ where G_0 is strongly indecomposable and irreducible and $Q \otimes \mathscr{E}(G_0)$ is a division algebra with Q-dimension equal to the rank of G_0 . It is immediate that G_0 is also ash.

Let $R=\mathscr{E}(G_0)$ and A be a pure rank one subgroup of G_0 . Then the map $f\colon R\otimes A\to G_0$ given by $f(r\otimes a)=ra$ is a quasi-isomorphism since G_0 is ash and rank $R=\mathrm{rank}\ G_0$.

Now let \overline{R} be the integral closure of R. Then $\overline{R} \sim R$ so that $\overline{G}_0 = \overline{R} \otimes_R G_0 \sim G_0$. Thus \overline{G}_0 is ash by Lemma 2.1. Furthermore $\overline{R} \subseteq \operatorname{End}(\overline{G}_0)$ in a natural way, and since $\overline{R} \sim \operatorname{End}(\overline{G}_0)$, there is an integer t>0 such that $t \operatorname{End}(\overline{G}_0) \subseteq \overline{R} \subseteq \operatorname{End}(\overline{G}_0)$. We will show \overline{R} satisfies (†). Let $0 \neq r \in \overline{R}$, X a pure rank one subgroup of \overline{G}_0 , and $Y = \langle rX \rangle_*$. Since \overline{G} is ash, let n > 0 be the associated integer, and pick $s \in R$ such that $tnY \subset sX \subset Y$. Since $r, s \in Hom(X, Y)$ which has rank one, there are relatively prime integers a, b such that ar = bs. Write Then s = las + mbs = a(ls + mr) = as', la + mb = 1.s' = ls + mr satisfies $tnY \subseteq s'X \subseteq Y$ and r = bs'. Now choose $u \in \overline{R}$ such that $tnX \subseteq uY \subseteq X$. Then $(tn)^2X \subseteq tnuY \subseteq us'X \subseteq uY \subseteq X$. It follows that $(tn)^2 \overline{R} \subset us' \overline{R}$: consider $(tn)^2 x$ for some $0 \neq x \in X$. By the above, $(tn)^2x = us' c/dx$ for some relatively prime integers c and d such that $c/dx \in X$. It is sufficient to consider the case where none of the primes dividing d are units in \overline{R} . Choose $x_1 \in X$ such that $p \mid d \Rightarrow h_n(x_1) = 0$. Then $(tn)^2 x_1 = us' c_1/d_1 x_1$ where $(c_1, d_1) = 1$ and $c_1/d_1 x_1 \in X$. Clearly $(d_1, d) = 1$. But since $Q \otimes \overline{R}$ is a division ring, $(tn)^2 = us' c_1/d_1 = us' c/d$. It follows that $d_1 = d = 1$ and $c_1 = c$. Thus $(tn)^2\overline{R}$. We now have r=bs' with $(tn)^2\overline{R}\subseteq s'\overline{R}$ Therefore \overline{R} satisfies $(\dagger).$

As in Arnold [2], the fact $R = \operatorname{Hom}(G_0, G_0)$, $R \otimes A \sim G_0$ and $Q \otimes R$ a division algebra imply $R \sim \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, R)$. By a result of Reid [8] this implies R, hence \overline{R} is a full subring of an algebraic number field.

Example 1. Let ξ be a primitive root of $x^{p^r}-1=0$, for some prime p and $r \ge 1$. Let J be the ring of integers in $Q(\xi)$. Then in J, $(p) = P^{\phi(p^r)}$ where ϕ is the Euler ϕ -function ([6], p. 74). Thus in the localization, J_P , of J at P, all primes except p are units, and $pJ_P = (PJ_P)^{\phi(p^r)}$, so J_P satisfies the condition (b) of Theorem 2.2. Furthermore, J_P is not quasi-isomorphic to any ring in which (p) is maximal and the other primes are units, hence by Theorem 2.3 and Proposition 5 of [2], is an example of a group which is ash, but not quasi-isomorphic to a strongly homogeneous group.

3. Strongly indecomposable aqpi groups.

THEOREM 3.1. The following are equivalent for a group G:

- (a) G is strongly indecomposable, homogeneous, and aqpi;
- (b) G is ash and every pure subgroup is strongly indecomposable;
- (c) $G \sim R \otimes_z A$ where
 - (i) R is a subring of an algebraic number field and satisfies (†),
- (ii) A is a rank one group with type $(\mathscr{E}(A)) = \text{type } (R)$,
- (iii) For all $0 \neq r \in R$ there is a rational prime p, with $pR \neq R$, and $a \in R \cap Q$ such that $r a \in pR$.

PROOF. (a) \Rightarrow (b). As in [1], Theorem B.

- (b) \Rightarrow (c). Since G is strongly indecomposable, by Theorem 2.3 and its proof, we need only show R satisfies (iii). Let $r \in R \setminus R \cap Q$. Then by (b), $B = \langle r \rangle * \oplus R \cap Q$ is not pure in R. Thus there is a prime p with $pR \neq R$ and $x \in R \setminus B$ such that $px \in B$. That is px = c/d r + a for some relatively prime integers c and d, and $a \in R \cap Q$. Since $x \notin B$, it follows that (p, c) = 1 and $r \in R \cap Q + pR$.
- (c) \Rightarrow (a). As in [1] it can be shown that for any pure subgroup H of R, + and $f: H \rightarrow R$, then nf is just left multiplication by an element of R. Therefore R, hence $R \otimes A$, hence G, is strongly indecomposable, homogeneous and aqpi.

The final result of this section covers the non-homogeneous case.

THEOREM 3.2. group G is strongly indecomposable and apply if and only if G is a torsion free R module such that

- (i) R is quasi-isomorphic to a ring with (\dagger) , and satisfies (iii) of Theorem 3.1 above,
- (ii) $\operatorname{Hom}_R(X, Y) = 0$ for every pair X, Y of distinct Z-pure R submodules of G of R-rank one,
 - (iii) For all pure rank one subgroups A of G, type $\mathscr{E}(A) = type\ R$.

PROOF. As in [1], Theorem D.

- Example 2. If R is the ring J_P of Example 1 and G=(R,+) then by the above theorem G is aqpi and by Theorem B of [1] G is not quasi-isomorphic to any qpi group. We remark that by Theorem A of [1] it follows that there are groups quasi-isomorphic to qpi groups which are not qpi.
- 4. Decompositions. In this section we complete the study of aqpi groups by characterizing the decomposable ones. The first result deals with those homogeneous, strongly indecomposable aqpi groups H such that $\oplus \Sigma H$ is aqpi. These are characterized by

Condition DOP. If x and y are independent elements of a group H then $\langle x, y \rangle * / \langle y \rangle * \simeq Q$.

REMARK. It can be shown that if H is ash then H satisfies DOP if and only if $E(H)/E(H) \cap Q$ is divisible. The latter condition on the endomorphism ring, rather than the DOP condition of the group is used in [1].

Theorem 4.1. Let H be strongly indecomposable, homogeneous and appi and $G \sim \oplus \sum_{i=1}^m H$, $m \ge 2$. Then G is appi if and only if H has DOP.

PROOF. Suppose H does not satisfy DOP. It suffices to show $H \oplus H$ is not aqpi. Assume the converse and let n be the aqpi integer. Then choose a prime p, positive integer k, and independent elements $x, y \in H$ such that $p^k \not\mid n$, height x = height y, p - height x = 0, and $1/p x + \alpha y \notin H$ for any $\alpha \in Q$. This implies $A = \langle (x, p^k x) \rangle * \oplus \langle (y, 0) \rangle *$ is pure in $H \oplus H$. But $f(x, p^k x) = (0, x)$, f(y, 0) = (0, 0) defines a map $f: A \to H \oplus H$ such that nf cannot be lifted.

The proof in the converse direction goes through as in [1], Theorem C.

We are now ready to give the main decomposition theorem.

THEOREM 4.2. Let G be a reduced group of finite rank. Then G is appi if and only if

$$(*) G \sim H_1 \oplus H_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus H_n \oplus K_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus K_m$$

where: (1) each H_i is homogeneous, (2) $H_i = \bigoplus \sum_{j=1}^{n_{j-1}} A_{ij}$ with A_{ij} aqpi, strongly indecomposable and mutually quasi-isomorphic for fixed i, and with $n_i > 1$ only if A_{ij} satisfies DOP, (3) each K_j is aqpi, non-homogeneous and strongly indecomposable and (4) if X and Y are pure rank one subgroups of distinct summands of (*), then $\tau(X) \cup \tau(Y) = \tau(Q)$.

PROOF. Assume G is aqpi. Since G is of finite rank, $G \sim G_1 \oplus G_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus G_t$ where each G_i is strongly indecomposable. By grouping together the quasi-isomorphic summands and applying Theorem 4.1 and adapting Lemma 1.1 and Theorem A of [1], the result follows.

Conversely, assume $G = H_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus H_n \oplus K_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus K_m$ with H_i 's and K_j 's satisfying the conditions of the theorem and let $\Pi_i: G \to H_i$, $\Pi_j: G \to K_j$ be the natural projections. Then given a pure subgroup A of G and $f \in \operatorname{Hom}(A, G)$, it suffices to construct $h_i \in \operatorname{hom}(\langle \Pi_i A \rangle *, H_i)$, $g_j \in \operatorname{Hom}(\langle \Pi_j A \rangle *, K_j)$ such that $h_i \Pi_i(a) = \Pi_i f(a)$, $g_j \Pi_j(a) = \Pi_i f(a)$ for all $a \in A$, as these maps can be quasi-lifted

to the corresponding summands and the sum will provide a quasi-lifting of f. The h_i and g_j are constructed in a straightforward manner, exactly as in the proof of Theorem A of [1].

REMARKS. It is shown in [9] that for groups of finite rank, aqpp is equivalent to qpp. This result can be obtained by applying the Warfield duality of [10] to the above results on aqpi. At the suggestion of the referee, details are omitted.

The author would like to thank Dave Arnold for many useful suggestions and ideas concerning this paper.

REFERENCES

- 1. D. Arnold, B. O'Brien, J. D. Reid, Quasi-pure Injective Torsion Free Abelian Groups of Finite Rank Proceedings London Math. Soc. (3) 38 (1978).
- 2. D. Arnold, Strongly Homogeneous Torsion Free Abelian Groups of Finite Rank Proceedings AMS Vol. 56 (1976) 62–72.
- 3. D. Arnold, C. Vinsonhaler, W. Wickless, Quasi-pure Projective and Injective Torsion Free Abelian Groups of Rank Two, Rocky Mountain Journal of Math. 1 (1976), 61–70.
- 4. R. Baer, Abelian Groups without Elements of Finite Order, Duke Math. J. 3 (1937), 66–122.
 - 5. L. Fuchs, Infinite Abelian Groups, Vol. II, Academic Press, New York, 1973.
- 6. H. B. Mann, Introduction to Number Theory, Ohio State University Press, Columbus, 1955.
- 7. C. Murley, The Classification of Certain Classes of Torsion Free Abelian Groups, Pacific Journal of Math., Vol. 40, 3 (1972), 647-665.
- 8. J. D. Reid, On the Ring of Quasi-Endomorphisms of a Torsion-free Group, Topics in Abelian Groups, 51–68, Chicago, 1963.
- 9. J. D. Reid, C. Vinsonhaler, W. Wickless, Quasi-pure Projectivity and Two Generalizations (preprint).
- 10. R. B. Warfield, Jr., Homomorphisms and Duality for Torsion-free Abelian Groups, Math. Z. 107 (1968), 189–200.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT, STORRS, CONNECTICUT 06268