

BLOW-UP OF SOLUTIONS FOR SEMILINEAR FRACTIONAL SCHRÖDINGER EQUATIONS

A.Z. FINO, I. DANNAWI AND M. KIRANE

Communicated by Colleen Kirk

ABSTRACT. We consider the Cauchy problem in \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 1$, for the semi-linear Schrödinger equation with fractional Laplacian. We present the local well-posedness of solutions in $H^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $0 < \alpha < 2$. We prove a finite-time blow-up result, under suitable conditions on the initial data.

1. Introduction. We study the initial-value problem for the non-linear Schrödinger equation

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} i\partial_t u = \Lambda^\alpha u + \lambda|u|^p & (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u(x, 0) = f(x) & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases}$$

where the pseudo-differential operator $\Lambda^\alpha := (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$ with $0 < \alpha < 2$ is defined by the Fourier transformation: $\widehat{\Lambda^\alpha u}(\xi) = |\xi|^\alpha \widehat{u}(\xi)$. Moreover, we assume that $T > 0$, $p > 1$, $u = u(x, t)$ is a complex-valued unknown function, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $f = f(x) \in H^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a given complex-valued function.

In recent years, the study of fractional calculus and fractional integrodifferential equations applied to physics and other areas has grown, see [8, 12, 13] and the references therein. Meltzler and Klafter discussed recent developments in the description of anomalous diffusion with the fractional dynamics approach in [12, 13] where many fractional partial differential equations are asymptotically derived from Lévy random walk models, a natural generalization of the Brownian walk models. Inspired by the Feynman path approach to quantum mechanics, Laskin used the path integral over Lévy-like quantum mechan-

2010 AMS *Mathematics subject classification.* Primary 35B44, 35Q55.

Keywords and phrases. Schrödinger equations, fractional Laplacian, blow-up.

Received by the editors on November 25, 2016, and in revised form on January 19, 2017.

ical paths to obtain a fractional Schrödinger equation, which extends a classical result that the path integral over Brownian trajectories leads to the standard Schrödinger equations, (see [10, 11]). There are also papers that address fractional Schrödinger equations and their applications, see e.g., [5, 16].

When $\alpha = 2$, i.e.,

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \Delta u = \lambda|u|^p & (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u(x, 0) = f(x) & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases}$$

it is well known, see [3], that local well-posedness holds for (1.2) in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ if $1 < p < 1 + (4/(N-2))_+$. Moreover, it is also known that the local solutions can be globally extended for some small data when p is larger than the Strauss exponent p_s , which is the positive root of $Np^2 - (N+2)p - 2 = 0$, see [2]. However, there have been no results on global existence for $p \leq p_s$. In 2013, Ikeda and Wakasugi [7] proved a small-data blow-up result for (1.2) when $1 < p \leq 1 + 2/N$. For more information on the semilinear Schrödinger equations without gauge invariance, we refer the reader to [6].

The main goal in this paper is to generalize the blow-up result of Ikeda and Wakasugi [7] to the fractional Schrödinger equations (1.1). The local existence is accomplished by the Banach fixed point theorem, using semigroup theory and Stone's theorem on the fractional operator $A = -i(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$, which is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 group of unitary operator on L^2 , see [3]. The method used to prove the blow-up result is the test function method. This method was introduced by Baras and Kersner [1] in 1987 and developed by Zhang [17], Pohozaev and Mitidieri [14] in 2001. It was also used by Kirane, et al., [9] in 2002.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present local existence of solutions for (1.1) with some properties. Section 3 contains the blow-up result of solutions for (1.1).

2. Local existence. This section is dedicated to showing the local existence and uniqueness of mild solutions of problem (1.1). Let $Au = -i(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}u$. By applying Stone's theorem [15, theorem 1.10.8], we conclude that A is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 group of unitary operators $S(t)$, $-\infty < t < \infty$, on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. We begin by giving the following definition.

Definition 2.1 (Mild solution). Let $f \in H^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $0 < \alpha < 2$, $p > 1$ and $T > 0$. We say that $u \in C([0, T], H^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^N))$ is a mild solution of problem (1.1) if u satisfies the following integral equation:

$$(2.1) \quad u(t) = S(t)f - i\lambda \int_0^t S(t-s)|u(s)|^p ds.$$

We set

$$p_0 = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 1 + \frac{2(\alpha - 1)}{\alpha(2 - \alpha)} & \text{if } n = 2, \\ 1 + \frac{n(\alpha - 1)}{(n - 1)(n - \alpha)} & \text{if } n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.2 (Local existence). *Given $f \in H^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $0 < \alpha < 2$ and $1 < p < 1 + (2\alpha/(N - \alpha))_+$, there exist $T > 0$ and a mild solution $u \in C([0, T], H^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^N))$ of (1.1). Moreover, if $1 < \alpha < 2$ and $1 < p < p_0$, then the solution u is unique, and therefore, there exist a maximal time $T_{\max} > 0$ and a unique mild solution $u \in C([0, T_{\max}], H^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^N))$ of (1.1). Furthermore, either $T_{\max} = \infty$ or else $T_{\max} < \infty$ and $\|u\|_{H^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow T_{\max}$.*

Proof. Cho, et al., [4, Propositions 4.1–4.3] have shown, using the Banach fixed-point theorem, that there exists a unique mild solution $u \in \Pi_T := C([0, T], H^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^N))$ of (1.1). Using the uniqueness of solution, we conclude the existence of a solution on a maximal interval $[0, T_{\max})$, where

$$T_{\max} := \sup \{T > 0; \text{ there exists a mild solution } u \in \Pi_T \text{ to (1.1)}\} \leq +\infty.$$

Next, we prove that $\|u\|_{H^{\alpha/2}} \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow T_{\max}$. We suppose

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow T_{\max}} \|u\|_{H^{\alpha/2}} < \infty.$$

Then, we can find a sequence $\{t_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset [0, T_{\max})$ and a positive constant $M > 0$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k = T_{\max}$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|u(t_k)\|_{H^{\alpha/2}} \leq M.$$

From (2.3) and the first part of Theorem 2.2, we can construct a solution $u \in C([t_k, t_k + T(M)]; H^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^N))$ of (2.1) for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with some $T(M) > 0$. However, by (2.2), we can take t_k satisfying $t_k + T(M) > T_{\max}$, which contradicts the definition of T_{\max} . Therefore, we obtain

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow T_{\max}} \|u\|_{H^{\alpha/2}} = \infty. \quad \square$$

3. Blow-up of solutions. This section is devoted to deriving the blow-up result of (1.1). We define the following.

Definition 3.1 (Weak solution). Let $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $T > 0$. We say that u is a weak solution of problem (1.1) if $u \in L^p_{\text{loc}}((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ which verifies the following weak formulation:

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & i \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x) \varphi(x, 0) + \lambda \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p \varphi(x, t) \\ & = - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x, t) \Lambda^\alpha \varphi(x, t) \\ & \quad - i \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x, t) \varphi_t(x, t), \end{aligned}$$

for all compactly supported real-valued functions $\varphi \in C^2_0([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\varphi(\cdot, T) = 0$.

Lemma 3.2. Consider $f \in H^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and let $u \in C([0, T], H^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^N))$ be a mild solution of (1.1). Then, u is a weak solution of (1.1), for all $T > 0$.

Proof. Let $T > 0$, $f \in H^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $u \in C([0, T], H^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^N))$ be a solution of (2.1). Given a real-valued function $\varphi \in C^2_0([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\text{supp} \varphi$ is compact and $\varphi(\cdot, T) = 0$. Then, after multiplying (2.1) by φ and integrating over \mathbb{R}^N , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x, t)\varphi(x, t) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} S(t)f(x)\varphi(x, t) - i\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_0^t S(t-s)|u(s)|^p ds\varphi(x, t). \end{aligned}$$

We differentiate to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x, t)\varphi(x, t) \\ (3.2) \quad &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{d}{dt} (S(t)f(x)\varphi(x, t)) \\ & \quad - i\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t S(t-s)|u(s)|^p ds\varphi(x, t). \end{aligned}$$

Now, using that A is a skew-adjoint operator and a property of the group $S(t)$ [3, Chapter 3], we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{d}{dt} (S(t)f(x)\varphi(x, t)) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A(S(t)f(x))\varphi(x, t) dx \\ (3.3) \quad & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} S(t)f(x)\varphi_t(x, t) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} S(t)f(x)A\varphi(x, t) dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} S(t)f(x)\varphi_t(x, t) dx, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & i\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t S(t-s)|u(s)|^p ds\varphi(x, t) dx \\ &= i\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(t)|^p\varphi(x, t) dx \\ & \quad + i\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_0^t A(S(t-s)|u(s)|^p) ds\varphi(x, t) \\ (3.4) \quad & \quad + i\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_0^t S(t-s)|u(s)|^p ds\varphi_t(x, t) dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= i\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(t)|^p \varphi(x, t) \, dx \\
&\quad + i\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_0^t S(t-s) |u(s)|^p \, ds A\varphi(x, t) \\
&\quad + i\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_0^t S(t-s) |u(s)|^p \, ds \varphi_t(x, t) \, dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, using (2.1), (3.3) and (3.4), we conclude that (3.2) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x, t) \varphi(x, t) \, dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x, t) A\varphi(x, t) \, dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x, t) \varphi_t(x, t) \, dx \\
&\quad - i\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(s)|^p \varphi(x, t) \, dx.
\end{aligned}$$

The result follows by integrating in time over $[0, T]$ and using the fact that $\varphi(\cdot, T) = 0$. \square

In order to state our result, we set $\lambda = \lambda_1 + i\lambda_2$ and $f = f_1 + if_2$. We introduce the following assumption on the data:

$$(3.5) \quad f_1 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad \lambda_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_1 \, dx > 0,$$

or

$$(3.6) \quad f_2 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad \lambda_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_2 \, dx < 0.$$

Theorem 3.3. *Under the same conditions as Theorem 2.2, if f satisfies (3.5) or (3.6) and if*

$$1 < p \leq 1 + \frac{\alpha}{N},$$

then the mild solution of (1.1) blows-up in finite time.

Proof. We argue by contradiction, supposing that u is a global mild solution of (1.1). Using Lemma 3.2, we have $u \in L^p((0, R^\alpha), L^p(B_{2\rho}))$, for all $\rho > 0$ and that it satisfies (3.1), where $B_{2\rho}$ stands for the closed ball of center 0 and radius 2ρ . We define the function $\varphi(x, t) := \varphi_1(x/BR)(\varphi_2(t))^\ell$, where

$$\ell = \frac{2p - 1}{p - 1},$$

$R, B > 0$ and $0 \leq \varphi_1 \in D(\Delta_D^{\alpha/2})$ is the first eigenfunction of the fractional Laplacian operator $\Delta_D^{\alpha/2}$ in B_2 , with the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition, associated to the first eigenvalue κ , and

$$\varphi_2(t) = \psi\left(\frac{t}{R^\alpha}\right),$$

where ψ is a smooth non-increasing function on $[0, \infty)$ such that

$$\psi(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq r \leq 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } r \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

The constant $B > 0$ in the definition of φ_1 is fixed and will be chosen later. In fact, it plays some role only in the critical case $p = 1 + \alpha/N$; in the subcritical case $p < 1 + \alpha/N$ we simply take $B = 1$.

In the following, we denote by Ω_1 and Ω_2 the supports of φ_1 and φ_2 , respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_1 &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| \leq 2BR\}, \\ \Omega_2 &= \{t \in [0, \infty) : t \leq 2R^\alpha\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since u is a weak solution, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} |u|^p(x, t) \varphi(x, t) \, dx \, dt \\ & + i \int_{\Omega_1} f(x) \varphi(x, 0) \, dx \\ (3.7) \quad & = -i \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} u(x, t) \varphi_1(x/BR) \partial_t \varphi_2^\ell(t) \, dx \, dt \\ & + \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} u(x, t) \varphi_2^\ell(t) \Lambda^\alpha(\varphi_1(x/BR)) \, dx \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

In order to obtain non-negativity on the left hand side of (3.7) (for $R, B \gg 1$), we consider four cases:

Case I. If $\lambda_1 > 0$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_2 dx < 0;$$

therefore, by taking the real part (Re) on the both sides of (3.7), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} |u|^p(x, t) \varphi(x, t) dx dt - \int_{\Omega_1} f_2(x) \varphi(x, 0) dx \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left[-i \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} u(x, t) \varphi_1(x/BR) \partial_t \varphi_2^\ell(t) dx dt \right] \\ &\quad + \operatorname{Re} \left[\int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} u(x, t) \varphi_2^\ell(t) \Lambda^\alpha(\varphi_1(x/BR)) dx dt \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Case II. If $\lambda_1 < 0$, then $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_2 dx > 0$; therefore, by taking $(-\operatorname{Re})$ on both sides of (3.7), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq -\lambda_1 \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} |u|^p(x, t) \varphi(x, t) dx dt + \int_{\Omega_1} f_2(x) \varphi(x, 0) dx \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left[i \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} u(x, t) \varphi_1(x/BR) \partial_t \varphi_2^\ell(t) dx dt \right] \\ &\quad + (-\operatorname{Re}) \left[\int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} u(x, t) \varphi_2^\ell(t) \Lambda^\alpha(\varphi_1(x/BR)) dx dt \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Case III. If $\lambda_2 > 0$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_1 dx > 0;$$

therefore, by taking the imaginary part (Im) on both sides of (3.7), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \lambda_2 \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} |u|^p(x, t) \varphi(x, t) dx dt + \int_{\Omega_1} f_1(x) \varphi(x, 0) dx \\ &= \operatorname{Im} \left[-i \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} u(x, t) \varphi_1(x/BR) \partial_t \varphi_2^\ell(t) dx dt \right] \\ &\quad + \operatorname{Im} \left[\int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} u(x, t) \varphi_2^\ell(t) \Lambda^\alpha(\varphi_1(x/BR)) dx dt \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Case IV. If $\lambda_2 < 0$, then $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_1 dx < 0$; therefore, by taking $(-\text{Im})$ on both sides of (3.7), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq -\lambda_2 \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} |u|^p(x, t) \varphi(x, t) dx dt - \int_{\Omega_1} f_1(x) \varphi(x, 0) dx \\ &= \text{Im} \left[i \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} u(x, t) \varphi_1(x/BR) \partial_t \varphi_2^\ell(t) dx dt \right] \\ &\quad + \text{Im} \left[\int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} u(x, t) \varphi_2^\ell(t) \Lambda^\alpha(\varphi_1(x/BR)) dx dt \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We only consider Case I since the others may be treated identically. In this case, we assume $f_2 \in L^1$ and

$$(3.8) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_2 dx < 0.$$

Thus, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda_1 \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} |u|^p(x, t) \varphi(x, t) dx dt \\ (3.9) \quad &\leq \kappa B^{-\alpha} \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} |u|(x, t) \varphi_2^\ell(t) R^{-\alpha} \varphi_1(x/BR) dx dt \\ &\quad + \ell \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} |u|(x, t) \varphi_1(x/BR) \varphi_2^{\ell-1}(t) \partial_t \varphi_2(t) dx dt \\ &:= I_2 + I_1, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $\Delta_D^{\alpha/2} \varphi_1(x/BR) = R^{-\alpha} B^{-\alpha} \kappa \varphi_1(x/R)$. Hence, by the ε -Young inequality $ab \leq \varepsilon a^p + C(\varepsilon) b^{\ell-1}$ (note that $1/p + 1/(\ell-1) = 1$) with $\varepsilon > 0$, we deduce:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \ell \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} |u|(x, t) \varphi^{1/p} \varphi^{-1/p} \varphi_1(x/BR) \varphi_2^{\ell-1}(t) \partial_t \varphi_2(t) dx dt \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda_1}{4} \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} |u|^p(x, t) \varphi(x, t) dx dt \\ &\quad + C \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} \varphi^{-(\ell-1)/p} \varphi_1^{(\ell-1)}(x/BR) \varphi_2^{(\ell-1)^2}(t) |\partial_t \varphi_2(t)|^{\ell-1} dx dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{\lambda_1}{4} \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} |u|^p(x, t) \varphi(x, t) dx dt \\ &\quad + C \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} \varphi_1(x/BR) \varphi_2(t) |\partial_t \varphi_2(t)|^{\ell-1} dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \kappa B^{-\alpha} \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} |u|(x, t) \varphi^{1/p} \varphi^{-1/p} \varphi_2^\ell(t) R^{-\alpha} \varphi_1(x/BR) dx dt \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda_1}{4} \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} |u|^p(x, t) \varphi(x, t) dx dt \\ &\quad + C \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} \varphi^{-(\ell-1)/p} \varphi_2^{\ell(\ell-1)}(t) B^{-\alpha(\ell-1)} R^{-\alpha(\ell-1)} \varphi_1^{\ell-1}(x/BR) dx dt \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda_1}{4} \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} |u|^p(x, t) \varphi(x, t) dx dt \\ &\quad + C \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} \varphi_2^\ell(t) B^{-\alpha(\ell-1)} R^{-\alpha(\ell-1)} \varphi_1(x/BR) dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from (3.9), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\lambda_1}{2} \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} |u|^p(x, t) \varphi(x, t) dx dt \\ &\quad \leq C \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} \varphi_1(x/BR) \varphi_2(t) |\partial_t \varphi_2(t)|^{\ell-1} dx dt \\ &\quad \quad + C \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} \varphi_1(x/BR) \varphi_2^\ell(t) B^{-\alpha(\ell-1)} R^{-\alpha(\ell-1)} dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $N + \alpha - \alpha(\ell - 1) \leq 0$ if and only if $p \leq 1 + \alpha/N$. Therefore, we consider two cases.

- If $p < 1 + \alpha/N$, we suppose that $B = 1$. Thus, by taking the change of variables $\xi = R^{-1}x$ and $\tau = R^{-\alpha}t$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\lambda_1}{2} \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} |u|^p(x, t) \varphi(x, t) dx dt \\ &\quad \leq C \int_0^2 \int_{|\xi| \leq 2} \varphi_1(\xi) \varphi_2(R^\alpha \tau) R^{-\alpha(\ell-1)} |\partial_\tau \varphi_2(R^\alpha \tau)|^{\ell-1} R^N R^\alpha d\xi d\tau \\ &\quad \quad + C \int_0^2 \int_{|\xi| \leq 2} \varphi_1(\xi) \varphi_2^\ell(R^\alpha \tau) R^{-\alpha(\ell-1)} R^N R^\alpha d\xi d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we easily obtain

$$(3.10) \quad \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} |u|^p(x, t) \varphi(x, t) \, dx \, dt \leq CR^{N+\alpha-\alpha(\ell-1)},$$

where the constant C on the right hand side of (3.10) is independent of R . Hence, computing the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$ and using the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem yields

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p(x, t) \varphi_1(0) \, dx \, dt = 0.$$

Then, $u(x, t) = 0$ for all t and almost every x . Hence, we obtain a contradiction with (3.8).

• In the critical case $p = 1 + \alpha/N$, we choose $1 \leq B < R$ large enough such that, when $R \rightarrow \infty$, we do not simultaneously have $B \rightarrow \infty$. We estimate the first term on the right hand side of inequality (3.9) by the ε -Young inequality and the second term by the Hölder inequality (with $\bar{p} = p/(p - 1) = \ell - 1$), as follows:

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} |u|^p \varphi(x, t) \, dx \, dt \\ & \leq \frac{\lambda_1}{2} \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} |u|^p \varphi(x, t) \, dx \, dt \\ & \quad + C \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} \varphi^{-\bar{p}/p} \varphi_2^{\ell \bar{p}}(t) \varphi_1^{\bar{p}}(x/BR) (RB)^{-\alpha \bar{p}} \, dx \, dt \\ & \quad + \ell \left(\int_{\Omega_3} \int_{\Omega_1} |u|^p \varphi(x, t) \, dx \, dt \right)^{1/p} \\ & \quad \times \left(\int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} \varphi_1(x/BR) \varphi_2(t) |\partial_t \varphi_2(t)|^{\bar{p}} \, dx \, dt \right)^{1/\bar{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, $\Omega_3 = \{t \in [0, \infty) : R^\alpha \leq t \leq 2R^\alpha\} \subset \Omega_2$ is the support of $\partial_t \varphi_2$.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.12) \quad & \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_3} \int_{\Omega_1} |u|^p \varphi(x, t) \, dx \, dt \\
 &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|t| \leq 2R^\alpha} \int_{\Omega_1} |u|^p \varphi(x, t) \, dt \, dx \\
 &\quad - \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|t| \leq R^\alpha} \int_{\Omega_1} |u|^p \varphi(x, t) \, dt \, dx \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p(x, t) \varphi_1(0) \, dx \, dt \\
 &\quad - \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p(x, t) \varphi_1(0) \, dx \, dt = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem and the fact that $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty))$, cf., (3.10). Now, introducing the new variables $\xi = (BR)^{-1}x$, $\tau = R^{-\alpha}t$ and recalling that $p = 1 + \alpha/N$, we rewrite (3.11) as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.13) \quad & \frac{\lambda_1}{2} \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} |u|^p \varphi(x, t) \, dx \, dt \\
 &\leq C \int_0^2 \int_{|\xi| \leq 2} \psi^\ell(\tau) \varphi_1(\xi) B^{-\alpha} \, d\xi \, d\tau \\
 &\quad + \ell \left(\int_{\Omega_3} \int_{\Omega_1} |u|^p \varphi(x, t) \, dx \, dt \right)^{1/p} \\
 &\quad \times \left(\int_0^2 \int_{|\xi| \leq 2} \psi(\tau) \varphi_1(\xi) B^N |\partial_\tau \psi(\tau)|^{\bar{p}} \, d\xi \, d\tau \right)^{1/\bar{p}} \\
 &\leq CB^{-\alpha} + CB^{N/\bar{p}} \left(\int_{\Omega_3} \int_{\Omega_1} |u|^p \varphi(x, t) \, dx \, dt \right)^{1/p},
 \end{aligned}$$

where the constant C is independent of R and B . Passing in (3.13) to the limit as $R \rightarrow +\infty$ and using (3.12) and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we obtain

$$(3.14) \quad \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p(x, t) \varphi_1(0) \, dx \, dt \leq CB^{-\alpha}.$$

Finally, computing the limit $B \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.14), we infer that $u(x, t) = 0$ for all t and almost every x . A contradiction with (3.8) is again obtained. \square

REFERENCES

1. P. Baras and R. Kersner, *Local and global solvability of a class of semilinear parabolic equations*, J. Diff. Eqs. **68** (1987), 238–252.
2. T. Cazenave, *Semilinear Schrödinger equations*, Courant Lect. Notes Math. **10**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2003.
3. T. Cazenave and A. Haraux, *Introduction aux problèmes d'évolution semi-linéaires*, Ellipses, Paris, 1990.
4. Y. Cho, G. Hwang, H. Hajaiej and T. Ozawa, *On the orbital stability of fractional Schrödinger equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Anal. **13** (2014), 1267–1282.
5. B. Guo and D. Huang, *Existence and stability of standing waves for nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equations*, J. Math. Phys. **53** (2012).
6. M. Ikeda and T. Inui, *Small data blow-up of L^2 or H^1 -solution for the semilinear Schrödinger equation without gauge invariance*, J. Evol. Eqs. **15** (2015), 571–581.
7. M. Ikeda and Y. Wakasugi, *Small-data blow-up of L^2 -solution for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation without gauge invariance*, Differ. Int. Eqs. **26** (2013), 1275–1285.
8. A. Kilbas, H.M. Srivastava and J.J. Trujillo, *Theory and applications of fractional differential equations*, North-Holland Math. Stud. **204**, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006.
9. M. Kirane and M. Qafsaoui, *Global nonexistence for the Cauchy problem of some nonlinear reaction-diffusion systems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **268** (2002), 217–243.
10. N. Laskin, *Fractional quantum mechanics and Lévy integral*, Phys. Lett. **268** (2000), 298–305.
11. ———, *Fractional quantum mechanics*, Phys. Rev. **62** (2000), 3135.
12. R. Metzler and J. Klafter, *The random walk's guide to anomalous diffusion: A fractional dynamics approach*, Phys. Rep. **339** (2000), 1–77.
13. ———, *The restaurant at the random walk: Recent developments in the description of anomalous transport by fractional dynamics*, J. Phys. **37** (2004), 161–208.
14. E. Mitidieri and S.I. Pohozaev, *A priori estimates and blow-up of solutions to nonlinear partial differential equations and inequalities*, Proc. Steklov. Inst. Math. **234** (2001), 1–383.
15. A. Pazy, *Semigroup of linear operator and applications to partial differential equation*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
16. P. Rozmej and B. Bandrowski, *On fractional Schrödinger equation*, Comp. Meth. Sci. Tech. **16** (2010), 191–194.
17. Q.S. Zhang, *A blow up result for a nonlinear wave equation with damping: The critical case*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **333** (2001), 109–114.

LASIE, UNIVERSITÉ DE LA ROCHELLE, AVENUE M. CRÉPEAU, 17042 LA ROCHELLE
CEDEX, FRANCE

Email address: ihab.dannawi@gmail.com

LASIE, UNIVERSITÉ DE LA ROCHELLE, AVENUE M. CRÉPEAU, 17042 LA ROCHELLE
CEDEX, FRANCE AND KING ABDULAZIZ UNIVERSITY, NONLINEAR ANALYSIS AND AP-
PLIED MATHEMATICS (NAAM) RESEARCH GROUP, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
JEDDAH 21589, SAUDI ARABIA

Email address: mokhtar.kirane@univ-lr.fr