

## A Note on the Riemann-Roch-Weil's Theorem

By

Ryoichiro KAWAI

(Received October 1, 1951)

The beautiful theory of hyperabelian functions through which A. Weil took the remarkable first step into the "non-abelian mathematics" is founded on the basis of the Riemann-Roch's theorem concerning with the generalized divisors which he introduced. He proved this theorem, using the abelian integrals of the 3<sup>rd</sup> kind, in a purely function-theoretical way. Under a remark of Mr. Igusa, that this theorem will be innerly related to the Riemann-Roch's theorem which E. Witt proved in the case of simple algebras over function-fields, in this note we shall show a relation between the above two theorems and prove the Weil's theorem in a purely algebraic way.

During my investigation I have received many kind advices from Mr. Igusa to whom I express my sincere gratitude.

### §1. "Signature."

Let  $K=k(x, y)$  be an algebraic function-field of one variable over an algebraically closed constant-field  $k$ , and let  $S$  be the ring of all matrices of degree  $m$  whose elements belong to  $K$ . We shall now construct a certain kind of Riemann-Roch's theorem in  $S$ . The letter  $P$  always denotes a prime divisor of  $K$ , and  $K_P, S_P$  denote the  $P$ -adic completion of  $K, S$  respectively.

We shall associate a positive integer  $n=n(P)$  to each prime divisor  $P$  of  $K$  in the following way.

$$\begin{aligned} n(P) > 1, (n, p) = 1 & \text{ for finite number of } P \neq P_\infty \text{ 's,} \\ n(P) = 1 & \text{ for the other prime divisors,} \end{aligned}$$

where  $p$  is the characteristic of  $k$ . We shall call these integers  $n(P)$  given in this way the "Signatures" of  $S$  (or of  $K$ ).

For eachone of finite number of  $P$ 's for which  $n(P) > 1$ , we choose a galois-extension  $Z_P$  such that  $[Z_P : K_P] = n(P)$ . Then the prime divisor  $P$  is completely ramified and therefore  $P = P^n$

in  $Z_P$ . The ramification theorem of Hilbert shows that  $Z_P/K_P$  is cyclic as  $n$  is relatively prime to the characteristic  $p$  of  $K$ .

Lemma 1. *If  $(n, p) = 1$ , there exists a number  $\Pi // P$  such that*

$$\Pi^\sigma = \zeta \Pi,$$

where  $\sigma$  is a generator of the galois-group of  $Z_P$  over  $K_P$ , and  $\zeta$  is a primitive root of  $x^n - 1 = 0$ .

Proof: Let  $\Pi$  be a number in  $P$  such that,  $\Pi // P$ , then we have

$$\Pi^{\sigma^i} = \varepsilon_i \Pi \quad (i=1, 2, \dots, n-1, \varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_n = 1.)$$

with a unit  $\varepsilon_i$  of  $K_P$  and  $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_{i-1}^2 \varepsilon_1$ . Hence if we put

$$\varepsilon_1 \equiv \eta \pmod{P},$$

then we have  $\varepsilon_i \equiv \eta^i \pmod{P} \quad (i=1, 2, \dots, n-1, n),$

therefore  $\eta^n = 1,$

that is  $\eta = \zeta^s,$

where  $\zeta$  is a primitive root of  $x^n - 1 = 0$  and  $1 \leq s < n$ .

Then a number

$$\bar{\Pi} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-si} \Pi^{\sigma^i} = \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-si} \varepsilon_i \right) \Pi$$

satisfies all the conditions of the Lemma 1. For

$$\left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-si} \varepsilon_i \right) \equiv n \pmod{P},$$

this shows that  $\bar{\Pi} // P$ ,  $\bar{\Pi}^\sigma = \zeta^s \bar{\Pi}$  and that  $(s, n) = 1$ .

## § 2. Local divisors. (Canonical form.)

Let  $P \cap k(x) = \mathfrak{p}$ , and let  $\mathfrak{o}_P$  be the integral domain of  $K$  with respect to  $k(x)_\mathfrak{p}$ , then  $I_P = (\mathfrak{o}_P)_m$ , which is the set of all matrices of degree  $m$  over  $\mathfrak{o}_P$  is a "Maximalordnung" of  $S$  and the other "Maximalordnung"  $I'_P$  of  $S_P$  are represented as

$$I'_P = \rho^{-1} I_P \rho$$

with a regular element  $\rho$  of  $S_P$ .  $I_P$  has only one two-sided prime ideal  $(P)$  and the other two-sided ideal of it are powers of  $(P)$ .

In the case  $n(P) = 1$ , all the left-ideals  $\mathfrak{A}_P$  of  $I_P$  are principal and are uniquely normalized as

$$\mathfrak{A}_P = I_P \theta_P,$$

where

$$\theta_P = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_{11} & \theta_{12} & \dots & \theta_{1n} \\ 0 & \theta_{22} & \theta_{23} & \dots & \theta_{2n} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & \theta^{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $\theta_{ik} (i < k)$  are determined uniquely modulo  $\theta_{ii}$  (see Weil [1], Witt [2]). We shall call a left-ideal  $\mathfrak{A}_P$ , for which  $\theta_P$  is regular, a *local leftdivisor* of  $S$  for  $n(P)=1$ . If we restrict the elements of  $I_P$  to the set of all  $P$ -adic units, we get a Weil's divisor  $U_P \theta_P$ .

For  $n(P) > 1$ , if a left-ideal  $\mathfrak{A}_P = I_P \theta_P$  of  $I_P$  in  $Z_P$  satisfies the following two conditions, then we shall call it a *local leftdivisor* of  $S$ .

$$\theta_P \text{ is regular in } S, \tag{1}$$

$$\mathfrak{A}_P^\sigma = \mathfrak{A}_P \text{ for all } \sigma \text{ of the galois-group of } Z_P \text{ over } K_P.$$

We shall call this  $\theta_P$  the representative of  $\mathfrak{A}_P$ .

Let  $\theta$  be a representative of a local divisor and let  $\theta^\sigma = V\theta$ , then the other representative of the same divisor is given by  $\theta' = U\theta$ , where  $U$  is a modulo  $P$  unimodular matrix of  $S_P$ , and  $\theta'$  satisfies  $\theta'^\sigma = V'\theta'$ . Clearly  $V = U^\sigma V U^{-1}$ . Now if we put

$$\begin{cases} V \equiv A \pmod{P} \\ V' \equiv A' \pmod{P} \\ U \equiv U_0 \pmod{P}, \end{cases}$$

then we have  $A' = U_0 A U_0^{-1}$ . And if we assume  $\theta^{\sigma^\nu} = V_\nu \theta$  ( $\nu = 1, \dots, n$ ), then we have  $V_\nu = V_{\nu-1}^\sigma V$ , therefore  $V_\nu \equiv A^\nu \pmod{P}$  ( $V_0 = E_m$ ). From  $A^n = E_m$ , there exists a regular constant matrix  $M$  such that

$$A = M^{-1} D M, \quad D = (\delta_{ij} \zeta^{d_i}),$$

where  $\zeta$  is a primitive root of  $x^n - 1 = 0$  of Lemma 1., and  $d$ 's are uniquely determined by

$$n-1 \geq d_1 \geq \dots \geq d_k \geq 0 > d_{k+1} \geq \dots \geq d_m \geq -(n-1), \quad d_1 - d_m < n.$$

Clearly these  $d$ 's are characteristic to  $\theta$ . Replacing  $\theta$  by  $\theta' = M\theta$  we get a divisor  $\theta$  satisfying (we write  $\theta$  instead of  $\theta'$ )

$$\theta^\sigma = V\theta, \quad V \equiv D \pmod{P}, \quad V_\nu \equiv D^\nu \pmod{P},$$

Then the divisor

$$\bar{\theta} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} D^{-\nu} \theta^{\sigma^\nu} = \left( \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} D^{-\nu} V_\nu \right) \theta$$

represents the same divisor as  $\theta$ , since

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} D^{-\nu} V_\nu \equiv n E_m \pmod{P}$$

is modulo  $P$  unimodular, and clearly we have  $\bar{\theta}^\sigma = D\bar{\theta}$ . From now on we always choose as a representative of a divisor such a  $\theta$  that satisfies  $\theta^\sigma = D\theta$ . Then if we take a matrix  $\Delta = (\delta_{ij} \Pi^{a_i})$ , so the matrix  $\theta_0 = \Delta^{-1} \theta$  satisfies  $\theta_0^\sigma = \theta_0$ , i. e., is a divisor of  $K_P$ . Hence we have proved

*Lemma 2. For  $n(P) > 1$ , each local left-divisor  $\theta_P$  is uniquely normalized in the following form*

$$\theta_P = \Delta_P \theta_{0P},$$

where

$$\Delta_P = (\delta_{ij} \Pi^{d_i}), \quad n-1 \geq d_1 \geq \dots \geq d_m \geq -(n-1), \quad d_1 - d_m < n.$$

and  $\theta_{0P}$  is a local left-divisor of  $K_P$ .

§ 3. Divisors and their ideals.

If we were given a left-divisor  $\mathfrak{A} = \prod_{n(P)=1} \mathfrak{A}_P \prod_{n(P)>1} \mathfrak{A}_P$ , where  $\mathfrak{A}_P$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_P$  are all equal to  $E_m$  but a finite number of  $P$ , the set of the numbers of  $S$

$$a = \prod_{n(P)=1} a_P \prod_{n(P)>1} a_P,$$

which satisfy the conditions

$$a_P \in \mathfrak{A}_P \quad \text{for all } P \neq P_\omega, \quad n(P) = 1,$$

and 
$$\mathfrak{A}_P \in \mathfrak{A}_P \quad \text{for all } P, \quad n(P) > 1,$$

form an  $I$ -ideal ( $\mathfrak{A}$ ). For (1°) if  $a, \beta \in \mathfrak{A}$ , then it follows  $a_P \in \mathfrak{A}_P, \beta_P \in \mathfrak{A}_P$  for all  $P \neq P_\omega$ 's,  $n(P) = 1$  and  $a_P \in \mathfrak{A}_P, \beta_P \in \mathfrak{A}_P$  for all  $P, n(P) > 1$ , therefore  $(a \pm \beta)_P = a_P \pm \beta_P \in \mathfrak{A}_P$  and  $(a \pm \beta)_P = a_P \pm \beta_P$  i. e.  $a \pm \beta \in \mathfrak{A}$ . (2°) If  $a \in \mathfrak{A}, o \in I$ , it follows that  $(oa)_P = o a_P \in I_P a_P \subset \mathfrak{A}_P$  and  $(oa)_P = o a_P \in I_P a_P \subset \mathfrak{A}_P$  i. e.  $oa \in \mathfrak{A}$ . (3°) Because  $\mathfrak{A}_P$  is an  $I_P$ -ideal, there is a number  $\mu_P$  such that  $\mu_P \mathfrak{A}_P \subset I_P$  for each  $P, n(P) = 1$  and  $\mu_P \mathfrak{A}_P \subset I_P$  for  $n(P) > 1$ . But  $\mu_P$  (or  $\mu_P$ ) =  $E_m$  all but a finite number of  $P$  (or  $P$ ). Let

$$\mu_P = (\mu_{ij}^{(P)}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_P = (\mu_{ij}^{(P)}) \quad \text{for } P = P_1, \dots, P_i, \quad P = P_1, \dots, P_i'$$

and  $\mu_{ij}^{(P)} = \pi^{\nu_{ij}^{(P)}} \epsilon_{ij}^{(P)}$  and  $\mu_{ij}^{(P)} = \Pi^{\nu_{ij}^{(P)}} \epsilon_{ij}^{(P)}$  ( $\pi//P$ ),

then there exists a matrix of  $S$  such that

$$\mu = (\mu_{ij}), \mu_{ij} = \pi^{\nu_{ij}} \epsilon_{ij}; \nu_{ij} \geq \nu_{ij}^{(P)} \text{ and } \nu_{ij} \geq \nu_{ij}^{(P)}$$

and clearly this  $\mu$  satisfies  $\mu(\mathfrak{A}) \subset I$ .

From the above we can conclude that every left-divisor uniquely determines a left-ideal of  $I$ , and that, if  $\mathfrak{A}_P$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_P$  are normal,  $(\mathfrak{A})$  is also normal and vice versa.

The above all things which we have proved about left-divisors and left-ideals are also true for any right-divisors and right-ideals. (See [2], [3]). If we are given a left-divisor  $\mathfrak{A} = \Pi \mathfrak{A}_P \Pi \mathfrak{A}_P$ , then the problem of finding an element of  $S$  which satisfies the conditions

$$\mathfrak{A}_P \phi \in I_P \text{ and } \mathfrak{A}_P \phi \in I_P \text{ for all } P \text{ and } P,$$

is reduced to the problem of finding an element (of  $S$ ) from the right-ideal  $(\mathfrak{A}^{-1})$  such that

$$\phi \in I_P \text{ for all } P_\infty\text{'s}$$

because of  $\mathfrak{A}_P \mathfrak{A}_P^{-1} = I_P$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_P \mathfrak{A}_P^{-1} = I_P$  for all  $P$  and  $P$  (Cf. [2], [3], [4]). The number of linearly independent  $\phi$  satisfying (3), we shall call  $\dim \mathfrak{A}$ . Let  $\phi = (\phi_{ij})$  ( $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) and assume that the given divisor  $\mathfrak{A} = \Pi \theta_P \Pi \theta_P$  is normalized such that  $\theta_P = \Delta_P \theta_{0P}$ ,  $\Delta$  and  $\theta_{0P}$  means as before the fractional and integral part of the local divisor  $\theta_P$ , then the second condition of (3) is transformed as follows:

$$\text{If we put } \theta_0 \phi = \Psi$$

in  $\Delta \theta_0 \cdot \phi \in I_P,$

then we have  $\Psi \in I_P$  and  $\theta_0 \phi \in I_P$  therefore  $\phi$  must lie in the ideal  $(\theta_0^{-1})$ . And if we put  $\Psi = (\psi_{ij})$ , then we have

$$\Delta \Psi = (\psi_{ij} \Pi^{d_i}) \quad (d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_m, n-1 \geq d_i \geq -(n-1)),$$

and the condition  $\Delta \Psi \in I_P$  insists that

$$\psi_{k+i,j} \equiv 0 \pmod{P} \quad \left( \begin{matrix} i=1, 2, \dots, m-k \\ j=1, 2, \dots, m \end{matrix} \right).$$

Therefore  $\Psi$  must satisfy the above  $m[m-k(P)]$  conditions and

$$\dim \mathfrak{A} = \dim \tilde{\mathfrak{A}} - m \sum_{n(P) > 1} [m - k(P)] \quad (4)$$

where  $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$  denotes  $K$ -divisor

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{A}} = \prod_{n(P)=1} \theta_P \prod_{n(P) > 1} \theta_{0P}.$$

§ 4. Riemann-Roch-Witt's theorem for given "Signatures".

*Lemma 3. (Riemann-Roch-Witt's theorem).*

$$\dim \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_{1,2} = \deg \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_{1,2} - G + 1 + \dim \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}^{21},$$

where  $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_{1,2} \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}^{21} = k$  and  $k$  denotes the canonical divisor of  $K$ , and  $G$  the genus of  $S$ , and we assume that  $I_1 = I$ .

The proof is well known, so we shall not write it down (see [2]).

A. Well introduced a symbol  $I(\theta)$  by

$$I(\theta) = \sum_{n(P)=1} I(\theta) + \sum_{n(P) > 1} I(\theta_P)$$

where  $I(\theta_P)$  and  $I(\theta_P)$  is defined for each  $P$  and  $P$  by

$$\det \theta_P = P^{I(\theta_P)} \quad \text{and} \quad \det \theta_P = P^{I(\theta_P)}$$

The theorem 6 of Deuring's "Algebren" in VI § 4 (P. 82) (see [5]) shows that, if we put  $P \cap k(x) = \mathfrak{p}$ ,

$$(\mathfrak{p}^{I(\theta_{0P})})^m = \mathfrak{p}^{\deg \theta_{0P}} \quad (\theta_{0P} \in S_P),$$

therefore we have

$$\deg \theta_{0P} = m I(\theta_{0P}). \quad (5)$$

Hence  $\deg \theta_0 = \sum_P \deg \theta_{0P} = m \sum_P I(\theta_P) = m I(\theta_0)$ ,

therefore in lemma 3 we have

$$\deg \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_{1,2} = m \sum_{n(P)=1} I(\theta_P) + m \sum_{n(P) > 1} I(\theta_{0P}).$$

According to the Weil's definition, if we put

$$\deg \mathfrak{A}_{1,2} = m \left[ \sum_{n(P)=1} I(\theta_P) + \sum_{n(P) > 1} I(\theta_P) \right],$$

so we have

$$\deg \mathfrak{A}_{1,2} = m \sum_{n(P)=1} I(\theta_P) + m \sum_{n(P) > 1} \left[ I(\theta_{0P}) + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{d_i}{n(P)} \right].$$

From Lemma 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dim \mathfrak{A}_{12} &= \deg \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_{12} - G + 1 + \dim \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}^{21} - m \sum_{n(P) > 1} [m - k(P)] \\
 &= \deg \mathfrak{A}_{12} - G + 1 + \dim \mathfrak{A}^{21} - m \sum_{n(P) > 1} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{d_i}{n(P)} + m - k(P) \right] \\
 &= \deg \mathfrak{A}_{12} - G + 1 + \dim \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}^{21} - m \sum_{n(P) > 1} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{k(P)} \frac{d_i}{n(P)} + \sum_{i=k+1}^m \left(1 + \frac{d_i}{n(P)}\right) \right] \\
 &= \deg \mathfrak{A}_{12} - G + 1 + \dim \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}^{21} - m \sum_P \sum_{i=1}^m \left\langle \frac{d_i}{n(P)} \right\rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

In this formula  $\langle * \rangle$  denotes the fractional part of  $*$ , and  $\dim \mathfrak{A}$  denotes also the rank of the modul generated by the differntial matrices  $d\Phi$  (Cf. [1]) satisfying

$$d\Phi \mathfrak{A}_{12}^{-1} \in I_P \text{ and } d\Phi \mathfrak{A}_{12}^{-1} \in I_P \text{ for all } P \text{ and } P.$$

For  $n(P)=1$ , from  $d\Phi = \Phi k$ ,  $\Phi \mathfrak{A}_{12}^{-1} k \in I_P$  and  $d\Phi \mathfrak{A}_{12}^{-1} \in I_P$  are equivalent. And for  $n(P) > 1$ ,  $\Phi \theta_0^{-1} \Delta^{-1} k \in I_P$  and  $d\Phi = \Phi k_P = \Phi k P^{n-1}$  shows the equivalence of  $d\Phi \mathfrak{A}_{12}^{-1} \in I_P$  and  $\Phi \mathfrak{A}_{12}^{-1} k \in I_P$ .

*Theorem 1. (Witt's theorem for given "Signatures.")*

$$\dim \mathfrak{A}_{12} = \deg \mathfrak{A}_{12} - G + 1 - m \sum_P \sum_{i=1}^m \left\langle \frac{d_i}{n(P)} \right\rangle + \dim \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}^{21},$$

where  $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}^{21}$  is regarded as the dimension of  $d\Phi$  which satisfies

$$d\Phi \mathfrak{A}_{12}^{-1} \in I_P \text{ and } I_P \text{ for all } P \text{ and } P,$$

Remark: In our case, the genus  $G$  of  $S$  is easily computed, and we have

$$G = m^2(g-1) + 1,$$

where  $g$  is the genus of the function-field  $K$ .

§ 5. Relation to the Riemann-Roch-Weil's theorem.

If we are given two divisors  $\theta$  and  $\theta'$  of degree  $r$  and  $r'$  respectively, the rank of the modul generated by the following  $r$  by  $r'$  matrix  $\Phi$  of  $K$  which satisfies the condition

$$\theta_P \Phi \theta_P^{-1} \in I_P^{(r,r')} \text{ and } \theta_P \Phi \theta_P^{-1} \in I_P^{(r,r')} \text{ for all } P \text{ and } P,$$

is denoted by  $N(\theta, \theta')$ , where  $I_P^{(r,r')}$  and  $I_P^{(r,r')}$  denote the modul of all  $r$  by  $r'$  matrices of  $o_P$  and  $o_P$  respectively. (See [1] Chapitre I, Cf. [5]). Using theorem 1, this number  $N(\theta, \theta')$  is easily computed.

The Kroneckerian product  $\theta \times {}^t\theta'^{-1}$  i. e.

$$\theta \times {}^t\theta'^{-1} = \prod_{n(P)=1} \theta_P \times {}^t\theta'_P{}^{-1} \prod_{n(P)>1} \theta_P \times {}^t\theta'_P{}^{-1}$$

gives also a divisor of  $K_{r,r'}$  in our sense. If we denote by  $\dim(\theta \times {}^t\theta'^{-1})$  the rank of the modul generated by the elements of  $K_{r,r'}$  which are determined by the conditions

$$\theta_P \times {}^t\theta'_P{}^{-1} \cdot \phi \in I_P \text{ and } \theta_P \times {}^t\theta'_P{}^{-1} \cdot \phi \in I_P \text{ for all } P \text{ and } P.$$

So we can easily verify that

$$\dim(\theta \times {}^t\theta'^{-1}) = rr' N(\theta, \theta'). \quad (6)$$

On the other hand, by theorem 1

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(\theta \times {}^t\theta'^{-1}) &= \deg(\theta \times {}^t\theta'^{-1}) - G + 1 \\ &\quad - rr' \sum_P \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{i'=1}^{r'} \left\langle \frac{d_i - d_{i'}}{n(P)} \right\rangle + \dim({}^t\tilde{\theta}' \times \tilde{\theta}^{-1} \cdot k), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{\theta} = \prod_{n(P)=1} \theta_P \prod_{n(P)>1} \theta_{0P}$  and  $\tilde{\theta}' = \prod_{n(P)=1} \theta'_P \prod_{n(P)>1} \theta'_{0P}$ .

But using (5) and the remark of theorem 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(\theta \times {}^t\theta'^{-1}) &= rr' [r' I(\theta) - r I(\theta')] - (rr')^2 (g-1) \\ &\quad - rr' \sum_P \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{i'=1}^{r'} \left\langle \frac{d_i - d_{i'}}{n(P)} \right\rangle + \dim({}^t\tilde{\theta}' \times \tilde{\theta}^{-1} \cdot k), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

and  $\dim({}^t\tilde{\theta}' \times \tilde{\theta}^{-1} \cdot k)$  represents the number of linearly independent differential matrices  $d\phi$ , which satisfies

$$d\phi \cdot {}^t\theta'_P \times \theta_P^{-1} \in I_P \text{ and } d\phi \cdot {}^t\theta'_P \times \theta_P^{-1} \in I_P \text{ for all } P \text{ and } P.$$

It is clear that this is  $rr'$ -times of the number  $\sigma(\theta, \theta')$  of linearly independent  $r$  by  $r'$  differential matrices  $d\phi$  of  $K$ , which satisfies

$$\theta'_P d\phi \theta_P^{-1} \in I_P^{(r,r')} \text{ and } \theta'_P d\phi \theta_P^{-1} \in I_P^{(r,r')} \text{ for all } P \text{ and } P.$$

So we have proved, by dividing the both side of (7) by  $rr'$ .

*Theorem 2. (Weil's theorem.)*

$$\begin{aligned} N(\theta, \theta') &= r' I(\theta) - r I(\theta') - rr' (g-1) + \sum_P \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{i'=1}^{r'} \left\langle \frac{d_i - d_{i'}}{n(P)} \right\rangle \\ &\quad + \sigma(\theta, \theta') \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma(\theta, \theta')$  denotes the number of linearly independent  $r$  by



*r*' differential matrices  $d\Phi$  of  $K$ .

---

**Bibliography.**

- 1) A. Weil, Généralization des fonctions abéliennes. Journal de Mathématiques pures et appliquées XVII (1938).
- 2) E. Witt, Riemann-Rochscher Satz und  $\zeta$ -Funktion in Hyperkomplexen. Math. Ann. Bd 110. (1934).
- 3) F. K. Schmidt, Analytische Zahlentheorie in Körpern der Charakteristik  $p$ . Math. Zeitschr. Bd 33. (1931).
- 4) F. K. Schmidt, Zur arithmetische Theorie der Algebraischen Funktionen. I. Math. Zeitschr. Bd 41. (1939).
- 5) M. Deuring, Algebren. Berlin. Ergebnis (1935).
- 6) H. Toyama, Über nicht-abelsche Theorie der algebraischen Funktionen. Bulletin of the Tokyo Institute of Technology. Series-B (1950).