MEMOIRS OF THE COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF KYOTO, SERIES A Vol. XXXI, Mathematics No. 2, 1958.

## Some results in the theory of the differential forms of the first kind on algebraic varieties II.

By

## Yoshikazu Nakai

(Received April 15, 1958)

This is a suit of our previous paper  $[3]^{1}$  with the same title, and we shall prove the following:

THEOREM. Let  $V^n$  be a hypersurface in a projective space  $L^{n+1}$ , and let s be the minimum number among the codimensions of the singular subvarieties of V (we shall put s=n+1 when  $V^n$  has no singular point). Now assume that s>2, then V has no differential form of degree  $\leq s-2$  which is relatively of the first kind on  $V^{2}$ . In particular V has no differential form of the first kind of degree  $\leq s-2$ .

This is the generalization of the well known classical results that a non singular surface in a projective 3-space has no differential form of the first kind of degree  $1^{3}$  Moreover it will be shown by an example that the hypersurface  $V^n$  in  $L^{n+1}$  may have a differential form of the first kind which is of degree >s-2, where s has the same meaning as above. Thus the estimation s-2 is the best one in the above sence.

The method of the proof is based on the representation of the differential forms of the first kind given in [3] and some auxiliary lemmas. Let  $V^n$  be a hypersurface in a projective space  $L^{n+1}$  and assume that V has no singular subvariety of codimension 1.

<sup>1)</sup> The number in the bracket refers to the bibliography at the end of the paper.

<sup>2)</sup> A differential form  $\omega$  is called relatively of the first kind on V when  $\omega$  is finite at every simple point of V. A differential form  $\omega$  is of the first kind, if  $\omega$  is relatively of the first kind on every birationally equivalent model of V. This is equivalent to say that  $\omega$  is relatively of the first kind on a non-singular birational model of V (cf. [2]).

<sup>3)</sup> An algebraic proof can be found, e.g., in [4], pp. 119-120. But the proof appeared there is not satisfactory.

Yoshikazu Nakai

Let k be a field of definition for V and  $X_0, X_1, \dots, X_{n+1}$  be indeterminates in L. Let  $u_{ij}$   $(i, j=0, 1, \dots, n+1)$  be  $(n+2)^2$  independent variables over k and put

$$Y_i = \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} u_{ij} X_j \quad (i = 0, 1, \cdots, n+1)$$

Let  $f(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_{n+1}) = 0$  be a defining equation for V with coefficients in k and we shall put

$$F(Y_0, Y_1, \cdots, Y_{n+1}) = f(\sum_{j=0}^{n+1} v_{0j}Y_j, \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} v_{1j}Y_j, \cdots, \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} v_{n+1j}Y_j)$$

where  $(v_{ij})$  is the inverse matrix of the matrix  $(u_{ij})$ . Let  $P = (\xi_0, \xi_0)$  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n+1}$ ) be a generic point of V over the field  $K = k(u_{ij})$  in the coordinate system (X). Then in the new coordinate system (Y), P can be represented by a homogeneous coordinates  $(\eta_0, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)$  $\eta_{n+1}$ ), where  $\eta_i = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} u_{ij} \xi_j$   $(i=0, 1, \dots, n+1)$ , and F(Y) = 0 is the defining equation for V, and P is also a generic point of Vover K. We shall put  $y_i = \eta_i / \eta_0$ . As is easily seen the function field K(y) = K(P) of V over K is separably generated over  $K(y_{i_1}, y_{i_2})$ ...,  $y_{i_n}$ ) for any choice  $i_1, \dots, i_n$  taken from  $1, \dots, n+1$ . Hence n differentials  $dy_{i_1}, \dots, dy_{i_n}$  form a base of the Grassmann algebra of the differential forms on V. Let  $H_i$  be the hyperplane defined by the equation  $Y_i = \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} u_{ij} X_j = 0$  and  $C_i = V \cdot H_i$ . Then  $C_i$  is an irreducible variety without singular subvariety of codimension 1 for any index  $i(0 \le i \le n+1)$ . For any subvariety  $A^{n-1}$  of V different from  $C_0$  we can find *n* indices  $i_1, \dots, i_n$  such that  $v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_n}$  $y_{i_n}$   $(1 \le i_o \le n+1)$  is a set of uniformizing parameters along A. Let  $F_i$   $(i=0, 1, \dots, n+1)$  be the partial derivatives of the form F(Y) with respect to  $Y_i$ , then  $F_i$  is either identically zero or a form of degree m-1, where  $m = \deg V$ . We shall also denote by  $F_i(y)$  the polynomial in K[y] defined by  $F_i(y) = F_i(1, y_1, \dots, y_{n+1})$ . Let G(Y) be a form in (Y), then we shall denote by (G) the hypersurface defined by the equation G(Y) = 0. Now we shall restate a part of the Theorem 1 of [3] in the

PROPOSITION. Under the same notations and assumptions as above, let  $\omega$  be a differential form on V which is relatively of the first kind on V and of degree q(< n). Moreover we shall assume that  $\omega$  is defined over k. Then  $\omega$  must be written in the form Some results in the theory of the differential forms

$$\omega = \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_q} \frac{A_{i_1} \cdots i_q(y)}{F_{n+1}(y)} dy_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dy_{i_q}$$

where the sum is extended over all indices  $i_1 < \cdots < i_q$  taken from  $1, \cdots, n$ , and  $A_{i_1 \cdots i_q}(y)$ 's are polynomials in K[y] satisfying the following conditions:

(1) degrees of  $A_{i_1 \cdots i_q}(y)$ 's as a polynomials in y's are at most equal to m-q-1, where m is the projective degree of V.

(2) 
$$\sum_{\alpha \pm i_1, \cdots, i_{q-1}} y_{\alpha} A_{\alpha i_1 \cdots i_{q-1}} = A^* i_1 \cdots i_{q-1} (y)$$

is a polynomial of degree  $\leq m-q-1$ .

(3) There exist the polynomials  $A_{i_0i_1\cdots i_q}^{**}(y)$  of degree  $\leq m-q-1$  such that

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}=0}^{\vee} (-1)^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} F_{i_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}(y) A_{i_{0}\cdots\hat{i}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\cdots i_{q}}(y) = A_{i_{0}i_{1}\cdots i_{q}}^{**}(y) F_{n+1}(y) .$$

Conversely if  $\omega$  satisfies these three conditions,  $\omega$  is relatively of the first kind on V.

We shall remark here the following. In the proposition we take the hyperplane  $H_0$  as a plane at infinity, but the similar formulation are valid when we take any one of the hyperplanes  $H_i$   $(i=1, \dots, n+1)$  as a plane at infinity.

LEMMA 1. Let  $V^n$  be a variety in a projective space L and let  $G_1, \dots, G_i$  be hypersurfaces in L. Assume that the components of the set-theoretic intersection  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{i} G_i \cap V$  has the dimension  $\leq n-r$ , and there exists at least one component in that intersection which is exactly of dimension n-r. Then there exist indices  $i_1, \dots, i_r$  among  $1, \dots, t$  such that any component of  $V \cap G_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap G_{i_r}$  is of dimension exactly n-r.

PROOF. We shall use the induction on the number t of the hypersurfaces. When t = 1 the assertion is trivial. We shall denote by dim  $(G_{1} \cap \cdots \cap G_{t} \cap V)$  the highest dimension of the components in that intersection. Then by our assumption dim  $(G_{1} \cap \cdots \cap G_{t} \cap V) = n - r$ . We shall pay attension to the intersection  $G_{1} \cap \cdots \cap G_{t-1} \cap V$ . Then either dim  $(G_{1} \cap \cdots \cap G_{t-1} \cap V) = n - r + 1$  or dim  $(G_{1} \cap \cdots \cap G_{t-1} \cap V) = n - r$ . Now assume that the second case take place, then the asertion is valid by the induction assumption. When the first case occurs, then  $G_t$  does not contain any component of  $G_{1} \cap \cdots \cap G_{t-1} \cap V$  which is of dimension n - r + 1. By the induction assumption we can find r-1 indices  $i_1, \cdots, i_{r-1}$  from  $1, \cdots, t-1$ 

89

such that any component of  $G_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap G_{i_{r-1}} \cap V$  is of dimension exactly n-r+1. Moreover these components are not contained in  $G_t$ , hence  $G_{i_1}, \cdots, G_{i_{r-1}}, G_t$  satisfy the condition of our Lemma.

LEMMA 2. Let V, f(X) and F(Y) be as before and assume that V has no singular subvariety of codimension less than s. Then for any integer  $a \leq s$ , we have  $\dim((F_{i_1}) \cap \cdots \cap (F_{i_a}) \cap V) = n-a$ , where  $i_1, \dots, i_a$  are arbitrary a indices taken from  $0, 1, \dots, n+1$ .

PROOF. Since any singular subvariety has the dimension  $\leq n-s$ , we have dim  $((f_0) \cap \cdots \cap (f_{n+1}) \cap V) = n-r \leq n-s$ . Then we can find r indices  $i_1, \cdots, i_r$  such that dim  $((f_{i_1}) \cap \cdots \cap (f_{i_r}) \cap V) = n-r$ . We shall assume for the sake of simplicity that  $i_a = \alpha$   $(\alpha = 1, \cdots, r)$ . Let  $j_1 < \cdots < j_a$  be the indices taken form  $1, \cdots, r$ , then dim  $((f_{j_1}) \cap \cdots \cap (f_{j_a}) \cap V) = n-a$ . Let  $i_1, \cdots, i_a$  be arbitrary indices taken from  $0, 1, \cdots, n+1$ . Then since  $F_{\alpha} = \sum_{\beta=0}^{n+1} f_{\beta} v_{\beta\alpha}$  and  $(v_{\beta\alpha})$  are independent variables over k which contains all the coefficients of  $f_{\beta}$ 's, the hypersurfaces  $(F_{i_1}), \cdots, (F_{i_a})$  can be specialized simultaneously to the hypersurfaces  $(f_{j_1}), \cdots, (f_{j_a})$  over k. Hence we must have dim  $((F_{i_1}) \cap \cdots \cap (F_{i_a}) \cap V) \leq n-a$ . Combining the inverse inequality which holds true in general we get the Lemma.

LEMMA 3. Let  $V^n$  be a hypersurface of degree m in a projective space  $L^{n+1}$  and F(Y) = 0 be the defining equation for V. Let  $F_i$  be the partial derivative of F(Y) with respect to the indeterminate  $Y_i$ and assume that dim  $((F_{i_1})_{\cap} \cdots _{\cap} (F_{i_s})_{\cap} V) = n-s$ . Then there cannot exist the relation of the form

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} A_{\alpha} F_{i_{\alpha}} \equiv 0 \pmod{F(Y)}$$

with the forms A's of degrees < m-1, unless all the forms are identically zero.

PROOF. Without losing any generality we can assume that  $i_{\sigma} = \alpha - 1$  ( $\alpha = 1, \dots, s$ ). Let K be a field containing all the coefficients of F(Y). Let  $w_{ij}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, s-1$ ;  $j = 0, 1, \dots, s-1$ ) be s(s-1) independent variables over K. Let

$$X_{0} = Y_{0}, X_{s-1+j} = Y_{s-1+j} \quad (j = 1, \dots, n-s+2)$$
$$X_{i} = \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} w_{ij} Y_{j} \quad (i = 1, \dots, s-1)$$

For the sake of simplicity we shall put  $w_{00}=1$  and  $w_{0i}=0$  for i>0. Let  $(\overline{w}_{ij})$  be the inverse matrix of the matrix  $(w_{ij})$ , then we have

$$Y_i = \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \overline{w}_{ij} X_j \quad (0 \le i \le s-1)$$

Substituting these relations in the equation F(Y) = 0, we get the equation G(X) = 0 for V in the new coordinate system (X). We shall denote as before by  $F_i$  and  $G_{\alpha}$ , the partial derivatives of F(Y) with respect to  $Y_i$  and the partial derivatives of G(X) with respect to  $X_{\alpha}$  respectively. Then we have the relations

$$F_{i} = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{s-1} G_{\alpha} w_{\alpha i} \quad (0 \leq i \leq s-1)$$
$$G_{\alpha} = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} F_{i} \overline{w}_{i\alpha} \quad (0 \leq \alpha \leq s-1)$$

Hence

$$\sum_{\alpha=0}^{s-1} A_{\alpha} F_{\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} A_{\alpha} G_{\beta} w_{\beta\alpha} = \sum_{\beta=0}^{s-1} \left( \sum_{\alpha=0}^{s-1} w_{\beta\alpha} A_{\alpha} \right) G_{\beta} = \sum_{\beta=0}^{s-1} B_{\beta} G_{\beta}$$

where  $B_{\beta} = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{s-1} w_{\beta\alpha} A_{\alpha}$ , in particular  $B_0 = A_0$ .

Let C be a component of  $V_{\cap}(G_1)_{\cap} \cdots_{\cap} (G_{s-2})$ . Then dim C= n-s+2. In fact if dim C > n-s+2, we have dim  $(V_{\cap}(G_0)_{\cap} \cdots_{\cap})$  $(G_{s-1}) > n-s$ . But this is a contradiction to the assumption, since  $(V_{\cap}(G_0)_{\cap}\cdots_{\cap}(G_{s-1}))=(V_{\cap}(F_0)_{\cap}\cdots_{\cap}(F_{s-1})).$  We shall show that if the form  $A_0$  is not identically zero, C cannot be contained in the hypersurface  $(A_0)$ . Let x be a generic point of C over the field  $\overline{K}_1$ , where  $K_1 = K(w_{ij}, 1 \leq i \leq s-1, 1 \leq j \leq s-2)$ . We shall show that  $\dim_{\kappa}(x) = n$  which will prove our assertion since the hypersurface  $(A_0)$  is defined over K and does not contain V. Since  $w_{ij}$   $(1 \le i \le s-1, 1 \le j \le s-1)$  are independent variables over K,  $\overline{w}_{ij}$   $(1 \leq i \leq s-1, 1 \leq j \leq s-1)$  are also independent variables over K, and hence  $\dim_{\kappa} K_1 = (s-1)(s-2)$ . From this we get the equality  $\dim_{K} K_{1}(x) = \dim_{K} K_{1} + \dim_{K_{1}}(x) = (s-1)(s-2) + (n-s+2)$ Since dim  $((F_0) \cap \cdots \cap (F_{s-1}) \cap V) = n-s$ , C is not  $= n + (s - 2)^2$ . contained in at least one of the hypersurfaces  $F_i$   $(i=1, \dots, s-1)$ . Now assume that C is not contained in the hypersurface  $(F_1)$ . Then we can solve the linear equations  $0 = G_{\beta}(x) = \overline{w}_{1\beta}F_1(x) + \cdots$  $+\overline{w}_{s-1\beta}F_{s-1}(x)$  with respect to  $\overline{w}_{1\beta}$  ( $\beta=1, \dots, s-2$ ) and we see that  $\dim_{K(x)} K_1 \leq (s-2)^2$ . Combining the inequalities  $\dim_K K_1(x) = n + 1$  $(s-2)^2$  and  $\dim_{K(x)}K_1 \leq (s-2)^2$  we get the required result  $\dim_K(x) \geq n$ .

Let l be a linear form such that the hyperplane (l) does not contain C. Then  $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{s-1} B_{\alpha}G_{\alpha})/l^{\alpha}$  is a function of the ambiant space for a suitable integer a. Restricting this function on C we get  $(B_0G_0 + B_{s-1}G_{s-1})/l^{\alpha} = 0$  on C. Now assume that  $A_0 = B_0$  is not identically zero. Then by the preceding considerations the hypersurface  $(B_0)$  does not contain C. On the other hand  $(G_0)$  does not contain C. Then we get the relation

$$(B_0) \cdot C + (G_0) \cdot C = (B_{s-1}) \cdot C + (G_{s-1}) \cdot C$$

Since dim  $((G_0) \cap \cdots \cap (G_{s-1}) \cap V) = n-s$ ,  $(G_0) \cdot C$  and  $(G_{s-1}) \cdot C$  have no common component. Then we must have  $(B_0) \cdot C > (G_{s-1}) \cdot C$ . But this is impossible because the degree of  $B_0$  is less that that of  $G_{s-1}$ . Thus we have shown that under our assumption  $A_0$  must be identically zero. Changing the rolles of the forms  $A_i$ 's, we see that all the forms  $A_i$   $(i=1, \dots, s-1)$  must be identically zero. This proves the Lemma.

THE PROOF OF THE THEOREM. Let V, f(X) and F(Y) have the same meaning as before and let  $\omega$  be a differential form of degree  $q (\leq s-2)$  defined over k which is relatively of the first kind on V. Let us represent  $\omega$  in the form (\*) of the Proposition. Then the coefficients  $A_{i_1\cdots i_q}$  of  $\omega$  must satisfy the equation of the type (3) in the Proposition, i.e.

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{q+1} (-1)^{\alpha} F_{i_{\alpha}}(y) A_{i_{1}} \dots \hat{i_{\alpha}} \dots i_{q+1}(y) = F_{n+1}(y) A_{i_{1}}^{**} \dots i_{q+1}(y)$$

The above equality implies the existence of the forms of degree  $\langle m-1 \rangle$  such that  $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{q+1} F_{i_{\alpha}} A_{i_{\alpha}} + F_{n+1} A^* = 0$  on V. Since  $q+2 \leq s$ , the Lemma 2 implies that dim  $((F_1) \cap \cdots \cap (F_{q+1}) \cap (F_{n+1}) \cap V) = n-q+2$ . Thus the proof of the theorem is reduced to the Lemma 3. Moreover if there exists a differential form which is relatively of the first kind on V, then we can find such one among the differential forms defined over a given field of definition for V ([2]). Hence the proof is complete.

COROLLARY 1. Let  $V^n$  be a non-singular hypersurface in a projective (n+1)-space, then there cannot exist the differential form of the first kind on V which is of degree  $\leq n$ .

As is known the irregularity of a normal variety V (=the dimension of the Picard variety attached to V) is not greater than

the number of the linearly independent differential forms of the first kind of degree 1([1]). Hence we have the

COROLLARY 2. Let  $V^n$  be a hypersurface in  $L^{n+1}$  such that any singular subvariety has the codimension >2, then V is a regular variety.

At the end of the paper we shall give an example which shows that a hypersurface  $V^n$  in a projective space  $L^{n+1}$  whose singular subvarieties have the codimensions s at least, may have a differential form of the first kind of degree >s-2. Let  $U^{s-1}$ be a non-singular variety contained in a linear subspace  $L^s$  and assume that U has a differential form of the first kind of degree s-1. Let  $T^{n-s}$  be a linear subspace in  $L^{n+1}$  such that  $T \cap L^s = \phi$ . Let  $\tilde{U}$  be the variety which is composed of the straight lines connecting the points on U and T. Then  $\tilde{U}$  is an irreducible variety of dimension *n*, i.e. a hypersurface in  $L^{n+1}$ , and whose singular loci are all contained in T, i.e. T is the largest singular subvariety of  $\tilde{U}$  which is of codimension s on  $\tilde{U}$ . Moreover  $\tilde{U}$  is birationally equivalent to the product of U and a projective space of dimension n-s+1. Since U has a differential form of the first kind of degree s-1,  $\tilde{U}$  has also a differential form of the first kind of degree s-1. This is a required example.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] Igusa, J. A fundamental inequality in the theory of Picard varieties, Proc. N. A. Sc., U. S. A. 41 (1955), 317-320.
- [2] Koizumi, S. On the differential forms of the first kind on algebraic varieties, Jour. M. S. of Japan, 1 (1949), 273-280.
- [3] Nakai, Y. Some results in the theory of the differential forms of the first kind on algebraic varieties, Proc. Inter. Symp. on algebraic number theory, Tokyo-Nikko (1955), 155-178.
- [4] Picard, E. and Simard, G. Théorie des fonctions algébriques de deux variables indepéndantes, Tome 1, Paris (1897).

93