## A conjecture of Nagata on graded Cohen-Macaulay rings

By

## Jacob Matijevic and Paul Roberts

(Communicated by Professor Nagata, May 7, 1973)

Let  $R = \sum_{n \geq 0} R_n$  be a commutative Noetherian graded ring. Nagata conjectured in [3] that if the localization  $R_m$  is Cohen-Macaulay for every graded maximal ideal m of R, then R is itself Cohen-Macaulay. We note that if p is any graded prime ideal of R, then p is contained in a graded maximal ideal m, and  $R_p$  is a localization of  $R_m$ , so if  $R_m$  is Cohen-Macaulay, then  $R_p$  is as well. This remark enables us to state a slight generalization of the conjecture; that is, from now on we will allow graded rings to have elements of negative degree. We prove the following:

**Theorem.** If R is a graded ring and  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is Cohen-Macaulay for every graded prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of R, then R is Cohen-Macaulay.

Let  $\mathfrak p$  be a non-graded prime ideal of R, and let  $\mathfrak p^*$  be the ideal generated by homogeneous elements of  $\mathfrak p$ ; thus  $\mathfrak p^* = \{\sum r_i | r_i \in \mathfrak p, r_i \text{ homogeneous of degree } i\}$ . Then  $\mathfrak p^*$  is a graded prime ideal and is the largest graded ideal contained in  $\mathfrak p$ . Let S be the multiplicative set of homogeneous elements of R not in  $\mathfrak p$ . The localization  $R_S$  is a graded ring whose subring of elements of degree zero is local (the maximal ideal consists of those x/s where x and s are homogeneous of the same degree and x is in  $\mathfrak p$ ), and the quotient  $R_S/\mathfrak p^*R_S$  is the localization of  $R/\mathfrak p^*$  at the multiplicative set of all non-zero homogeneous elements. Thus if k is the residue field of  $(R_S)_0$ ,  $R_S/\mathfrak p^*R_S$ 

is isomorphic to the graded ring  $k[X, X^{-1}]$ , where X has degree equal to the greatest common divisor of degrees of elements of S. We note that since  $\mathfrak p$  is not graded, S contains elements of non-zero degree. It follows that  $\mathfrak p R_S$  is generated by  $\mathfrak p * R_S$  and one other element, and that there are no prime ideals properly between  $\mathfrak p *$  and  $\mathfrak p$ .

## **Lemma 1.** height $(\mathfrak{p}) = \text{height } (\mathfrak{p}^*) + 1$ .

**Proof.** We prove this by induction on  $n = \text{height } (\mathfrak{p})$ , and since the case n = 1 is trivial, we can assume  $n \ge 2$ . Let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be a prime contained in  $\mathfrak{p}$  of height n - 1. If  $\mathfrak{q}$  is graded, then  $\mathfrak{q}$  must be  $\mathfrak{p}^*$  and we are done. Otherwise,  $\mathfrak{q}^* \ne \mathfrak{q}$ , and by induction  $\mathfrak{q}^*$  has height n - 2. Since  $\mathfrak{p}^*$  is between  $\mathfrak{q}^*$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}^*$  could only fail to have height n - 1 if  $\mathfrak{p}^* = \mathfrak{q}^*$ . However, in that case  $\mathfrak{q}$  would be a prime ideal properly between  $\mathfrak{p}^*$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$ , and this is impossible.

We wish to prove that the localization  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is Cohen-Macaulay. The aim is now to find a regular sequence of homogeneous elements in  $\mathfrak{p}^*$  and reduce to the case where  $\mathfrak{p}^*$  is minimal. If x is a regular homogeneous element of  $\mathfrak{p}^*$ , then we can indeed replace R by R/xR and  $\mathfrak{p}$  by  $\mathfrak{p}/xR$ ; then  $(\mathfrak{p}/xR)^*$  is just  $\mathfrak{p}^*/xR$  and, since it suffices to show that  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}/xR_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is Cohen-Macaulay, we have reduced the height of  $\mathfrak{p}^*$  by one.

We will assume, as we can do with no problem, that R is equal to the localization  $R_s$  described above. If  $\mathfrak{p}^*$  consists of zero-divisors, then it annihilates some non-zero homogeneous element x of R; the annihilator of x is graded and must thus be precisely  $\mathfrak{p}^*$ . Thus x does not go to zero in  $R_{\mathfrak{p}^*}$ , so, since  $R_{\mathfrak{p}^*}$  is Cohen-Macaulay,  $\mathfrak{p}^*$  must be minimal. Hence if height  $\mathfrak{p}^*>0$ , there are non-zero-divisors in  $\mathfrak{p}^*$ , and we use the following variation of a well-known fact to show that we can find one which is homogeneous.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a graded ideal of R, and let  $\mathfrak{p}_1,...,\mathfrak{p}_n$  be graded prime ideals which do not contain all elements of R

of positive degree. If the set of homogeneous elements of  $\mathfrak a$  is contained in  $\mathfrak p_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathfrak p_n$ , then  $\mathfrak a$  is contained in some  $\mathfrak p_i$ .

**Proof.** Assume  $\alpha \nsubseteq \mathfrak{p}_i$  for all *i*. We can assume that no inclusion exists among the  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ , and, by induction, that the homogeneous elements of  $\mathfrak{a}$  are not contained in the union  $\bigcup_{i \neq j} \mathfrak{p}_i$  for any *j*. Thus, for each *j*, we can find a homogeneous element  $a_j$  in  $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{p}_j$  but in no other  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ . Let  $y_j = \prod_{i \neq j} a_i$ ; then  $y_j$  is an element of  $\mathfrak{a}$  and of every  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  except  $\mathfrak{p}_j$ . We then multiply  $y_j$  by a homogeneous element of positive degree not in  $\mathfrak{p}_j$  to insure that  $y_j$  itself has positive degree, and we can then take powers of the  $y_j$ 's to produce a set of elements with the same properties as the  $y_j$ 's and all of the same degree. Adding them up then gives a homogeneous element of  $\mathfrak{a}$  which is not in  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathfrak{p}_n$ .

In our case,  $\mathfrak{a}$  is  $\mathfrak{p}^*$  and the  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  are the associated primes of  $R=R_S$ . Since each  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  is graded (cf. Bourbaki [1], section 3.1, Proposition 1), and since each  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{p}^*$ , the conditions of the lemma are satisfied. Thus we can find a homogeneous non-zero-divisor and reduce the height of  $\mathfrak{p}^*$ . When  $\mathfrak{p}^*$  is minimal,  $\mathfrak{p}$  has height 1 by Lemma 1, and it is enough to show that  $\mathfrak{p}$  contains a non-zero-divisor. We take a non-zero element in  $\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}^* \subseteq R/\mathfrak{p}^* \cong k[X, X^{-1}]$ , and we can lift this back to an element of  $\mathfrak{p}$  whose term of highest degree is a unit. Such an element cannot be a zero-divisor, so the proof is complete. Hence  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is Cohen-Macaulay for every prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of R, and R is a Cohen-Macaulay ring.

**Remarks.** 1. There is another method of producing homogeneous non-zero-divisors which involves replacing R by the polynomial ring R[T], where T is an indeterminate of degree one. If  $\sum a_n$  is a non-zero-divisor in  $\mathfrak{p}^*$ , where  $a_n$  is homogeneous of degree n, then  $\sum a_n T^{k-n}$  is a homogeneous non-zero-divisor in  $\mathfrak{p}^*R[T]$  for k large enough, and R and  $\mathfrak{p}$  can be replaced by R[T] and  $\mathfrak{p}R[T]$ .

2. When  $R = R_S$  as above, it is actually possible to find a regular

sequence contained in  $R_0$ . If m is the maximal ideal of  $R_0$ , it is fairly easy to show that  $\mathfrak{p}^*$  is nilpotent modulo  $\mathfrak{m}R$ , and from the fact that  $R_{\mathfrak{p}^*}$  is Cohen-Macaulay, one deduces that if  $\mathfrak{p}^*$  is not minimal, there is a non-zero-divisor in m. Furthermore, if  $\mathfrak{p}^*$  is minimal, then if  $R_0$  containes a prime  $\mathfrak{p}_0$  different from m, the nilradical of  $\mathfrak{p}_0R$  would be a prime ideal of R properly contained in  $\mathfrak{p}^*$ , so  $R_0$  must be Artinian, and  $\mathfrak{p}^*$  must be nilpotent. These facts could be used to replace the lemmas above and give a somewhat different proof of the theorem.

3. It has been brought to our attention that a different proof of the original conjecture is to appear in Hochster and Ratliff ([2]). They also raise the possibility that a proof might already exist somewhere in the literature.

University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, USA. McGill University, Montréal, Québec, Canada.

## References

- [1] N. Bourbaki, Algèbre Commutative, Chap. IV, Hermann, Paris (1961).
- [2] M. Hochster and L. J. Ratliff, Jun., Five theorems on Macaulay rings, Pacific J. Math., (to appear).
- [3] M. Nagata, Some questions on Cohen-Macaulay rings, Journal of Mtah., Kyoto University Vol. 13 (1973).