

# The Veronesean subrings of Gorenstein rings

By

Shiro GOTO

(Communicated by Prof. Nagata, Oct. 26, 1974; Revised, Dec. 16, 1974)

Let  $k$  be a field and  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$  be a Noetherian graded ring with  $R_0 = k$  and suppose that  $R$  is generated by  $R_1$  as a  $k$ -algebra. For  $d > 0$  we define  $R^{(d)} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_{nd}$  and call it the Veronesean subring of  $R$  of order  $d$ . Note that  $R^{(d)}$  is Macaulay if  $R$  is Macaulay (cf. Proposition 12 of M. Hochster and J. A. Eagon [3]). We put  $H(n, R) = [R_n : k]$  ( $n \geq 0$ ), and  $t(R) = \max \{n \geq 0 \text{ such that } R_n \neq (0)\}$  if  $R$  is Artinian. The purpose of this note is to give

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $R$  be Gorenstein and  $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_r\}$  be a homogeneous system of parameters of  $R$  ( $r = \dim R > 0$ ). Then for  $d > 0$ ,  $R^{(d)}$  is Gorenstein  $\Leftrightarrow H(1, R) = 1$  or  $t(R/(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_r)) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^r \deg X_i \pmod{d}$ .*

and to show similar results in case  $R$  is Artinian.\*)

## 1. The case where $R$ is Artinian.

In this section  $R$  is assumed to be Artinian. We denote the  $k$ -dimension of the socle of  $R$  by  $r(R)$  and put  $t = t(R)$ .

**Lemma 1.**  $H(s, R) = 1$  ( $s > 0$ )  $\Rightarrow H(n, R) \leq 1$  for every  $n \geq s$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that  $H(s+1, R) \leq 1$ . Since  $R_s = R_1 R_{s-1}$  and  $H(s, R) = 1$ , we have  $R_s = x R_{s-1}$  for some  $x \in R_1$ . Hence  $R_{s+1} = R_1 (R_{s-1} x) = R_s x$  and this implies that  $H(s+1, R) \leq 1$ . QED.

---

\*) The referee showed to the author that our theorems give a generalization to some results of T. Matsuoka [4]. He proved Th. 1 in case  $R$  is a polynomial ring.

**Corollary 1.** *Suppose that  $R$  is Gorenstein and  $H(1, R) \geq 2$ . Then  $H(s, R) = 1 \Leftrightarrow s = t$ .*

*Proof.*  $\Rightarrow$ )  $R_t$  coincides with the socle of  $R$  and hence  $H(t, R) = 1$ .  $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume that  $s < t$ . Then it follows from Lemma 1 that  $H(t-1, R) = 1$ . If we define  $R^* = \text{Hom}_k(R, k)$ , then  $R^*$  becomes a graded  $R$ -module with  $\{\text{Hom}_k(R_{-n}, k)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  as its grading (Here we understand  $R_n = (0)$  for  $n < 0$ ). Since  $R$  is Gorenstein, it is known that  $R \simeq R^*(-t)$  as graded  $R$ -modules (Here  $R^*(-t)$  denotes the graded  $R$ -module whose underlying  $R$ -module is the same as that of  $R^*$  and whose grading is given by  $[R^*(-t)]_n = [R^*]_{n-t}$ ) by Ch. 4 of S. Goto and K. Watanabe [2]. Therefore  $H(n, R) = H(t-n, R)$  for  $n = 0, 1, \dots, t$ . Thus we have  $H(1, R) = H(t-1, R) = 1$  and this contradicts the hypothesis of Corollary. QED.

**Remark 1.** This is incorrect if  $R$  is *not* Gorenstein. For example, let  $k$  be a field and  $R = k[X, Y]/(X^2, XY, Y^{s+1})$  ( $s \geq 2$ ). Then  $t(R) = s$  and  $H(1, R) = 2$ . But  $H(n, R) = 1$  for every  $n = 2, 3, \dots, s$ . Hence the assertion of Corollary does not hold for  $s > 2$ . In this case the socle of  $R$  is  $(x, y^s)$  and  $r(R) = 2$  (Here  $x = X \bmod (X^2, XY, Y^{s+1})$  and similarly for  $y$ ). Moreover the case  $s = 2$  shows that the converse of Corollary is not true.

**Lemma 2.**  $R_t$  coincides with the socle of  $R \Leftrightarrow 0 : R_d = \sum_{n+d \geq t+1} R_n$  for every  $d \geq 0$ .

*Proof.*  $\Rightarrow$ ) Put  $d = 1$ .  $\Rightarrow$ ) We have only to prove  $0 : R_d \supset \sum_{n+d \geq t+1} R_n$ . Assume the contrary and let  $x$  be a homogeneous element of  $0 : R_d$  such that  $x \notin \sum_{n+d \geq t+1} R_n$ . Then  $0 < e < t + 1 - d$  where  $e = \deg x$ . If we choose  $x$  for which  $d + e$  is smallest among the counter examples, then  $xR_{d-1} \neq (0)$ . Since  $xR_{d-1} \subset 0 : R_1$ , this implies that  $(0) \neq xR_{d-1} \subset R_t$ . Hence  $e + (d-1) = t$ . This is the required contradiction. QED.

**Proposition.** *Let  $R$  be Gorenstein and  $0 < d \leq t$ . Put  $s = \min\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ such that } n + d \geq t + 1\}$ . Then the socle of  $R^{(d)}$*

coincides with  $R_s$ . Therefore  $r(R^{(d)}) = H(s, R)$ . In particular  $R^{(d)}$  is Gorenstein  $\Leftrightarrow H(s, R) = 1$ .

*Proof.* The socle of  $R^{(d)} = (0 : R_d) \cap R^{(d)}$

$$= \sum_{n+d \geq t+1} R_n \cap R^{(d)} \quad (\text{by Lemma 2})$$

$$= R_s. \quad \text{QED.}$$

**Theorem 2.** *Assume that  $R$  is Gorenstein.*

- (1) *Let  $H(1, R) \leq 1$ . Then  $R^{(d)}$  is Gorenstein for every  $d > 0$ .*
- (2) *Suppose that  $H(1, R) \geq 2$ . Then*
  - (a)  *$R^{(d)}$  is Gorenstein for every  $d > t$ .*
  - (b) *Let  $t \geq d > 0$ . Then  $R^{(d)}$  is Gorenstein  $\Leftrightarrow t \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ .*

*Proof.* (1) In this case  $R^{(d)}$  is a PIR and hence it is Gorenstein. (2) (a) For  $d > t$  we have  $R^{(d)} = k$ . (b) Let  $t \geq d > 0$ . Then  $R^{(d)}$  is Gorenstein  $\Leftrightarrow H(s, R) = 1$  where  $s = \min\{n \in d\mathbf{Z} \text{ such that } n + d \geq t + 1\}$ . The latter is equivalent to say that  $s = t$  by Corollary of Lemma 1. And this means that  $t \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ . QED.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.

In this section  $R$  is assumed to be Macaulay and  $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_r\}$  denotes a homogeneous system of parameters of  $R$  ( $r = \dim R > 0$ ).

**Lemma 3.**  $t(R/(X_1^d, X_2^d, \dots, X_r^d)) = t(R/(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_r)) + \sum_{i=1}^r (\deg X_i) (d-1)$  for every  $d > 0$ .

*Proof.* Put  $P = k[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_r]$ . Then  $P$  is a graded subring of  $R$ . Since  $R$  is Macaulay,  $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_r\}$  are algebraically independent over  $k$  and  $R$  is a finitely generated free graded  $P$ -module (By a free  $P$ -module we understand a graded  $P$ -module which is isomorphic to a direct sum of graded  $P$ -modules of the form  $P(n)$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Recall that  $P(n)$  denotes the graded  $P$ -module whose underlying  $P$ -module is the same as that of  $P$  and whose grading is given by  $[P(n)]_m = P_{n+m}$ ). In fact, if  $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a family of homogeneous elements of  $R$  which constitutes a  $k$ -basis of  $R/(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_r) \pmod{(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_r)}$ ,

then  $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$  forms a free basis of  $R$  as a  $P$ -module. Thus we have  $R \cong \bigoplus_{n=0}^t P(-n)^{a_n}$  as graded  $P$ -modules for some  $\{a_n\}_{n=0,1,\dots,t}$  (Here  $t = t(R/(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_r))$ ). Therefore we obtain  $R/(X_1^d, X_2^d, \dots, X_r^d) \cong \bigoplus_{n=0}^t [P/(X_1^d, X_2^d, \dots, X_r^d)](-n)^{a_n}$  and hence  $t(R/(X_1^d, X_2^d, \dots, X_r^d)) = t(P/(X_1^d, X_2^d, \dots, X_r^d)) + t = \sum_{i=1}^r (\deg X_i)(d-1) + t$ .

QED.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Suppose that  $H(1, R) = 1$ . Then  $R = k[X]$  (a polynomial ring over  $k$  with a variable  $X$ ) and hence  $R^{(d)} = k[X^d]$  for every  $d > 0$ . Therefore we assume that  $H(1, R) \geq 2$ . The assertion is obvious in case  $d=1$  and hence we assume that  $d \geq 2$ . Now suppose that  $r \geq 2$ . In this case  $t(R/(X_1^d, X_2^d, \dots, X_r^d)) \geq r(d-1) \geq d$  by Lemma 3. Note that if we put  $A = R/(X_1^d, X_2^d, \dots, X_r^d)$  then  $R^{(d)}/(X_1^d, X_2^d, \dots, X_r^d)R^{(d)} \cong A^{(d)}$ . Since  $R^{(d)}$  is Macaulay and  $\{X_1^d, X_2^d, \dots, X_r^d\}$  is a system of parameters of  $R^{(d)}$ ,  $R^{(d)}$  is Gorenstein globally if and only if  $A^{(d)}$  is Gorenstein (cf. Corollary (4.2) of Ch. 1, S. Goto and K. Watanabe [2]). Thus Theorem 2 is applicable since  $H(1, R) = H(1, A) \geq 2$  and we have the assertion by virtue of Lemma 3 if  $r \geq 2$ . For  $r=1$ , put  $X = X_1$ . In the following we will prove that  $t(A) \geq d$ . Assume the contrary. Then  $d > t(A) \geq (\deg X)(d-1)$ . Hence  $\deg X = 1$  and  $t(A) = d-1$ . Therefore  $R_d = kX^d$  and so  $H(d, R) = 1$ . On the other hand, since  $X$  is  $R$ -regular and  $XR_i \subset R_{i+1}$ , we have that  $H(i, R) \leq H(i+1, R)$  ( $i=0, 1, 2, \dots$ ). Hence  $H(1, R) \leq H(d, R)$  and therefore  $H(1, R) = 1$ . This is a contradiction. Thus we have  $t(A) \geq d$  and the assertion follows from Theorem 2.

QED.

**Remark 2.** With the same hypothesis as Theorem 1 we define  $g(R) = \{d > 0 \text{ such that } R^{(d)} \text{ is Gorenstein}\}$ . Then

- (a)  $g(R) < \infty \Leftrightarrow H(1, R) \geq 2$  and  $t(R/(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_r)) \neq \sum_{i=1}^r \deg X_i$ .
- (b)  $g(R) = \infty \Leftrightarrow R^{(d)}$  is Gorenstein for every  $d > 0$ .

**Examples.** (1) Let  $k$  be a field and  $R = k[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_r]$  ( $r > 0$ ) be a polynomial ring over  $k$  with  $r$  variables  $\{X_i\}_{i=1,2,\dots,r}$ . Then for  $d > 0$ ,  $R^{(d)}$  is Gorenstein  $\Leftrightarrow r=1$  or  $r \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ . (This example is

given by T. Matsuoka [4].)

(2) Let  $k$  be a field and  $R = k[\{X_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}]/\mathfrak{A}_2$  ( $r \geq 2$ ) where  $k[\{X_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}]$  is a polynomial ring over  $k$  with  $r^2$  variables  $\{X_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_2$  denotes the ideal generated by all the  $2 \times 2$  minors of the matrix  $[X_{ij}]$ . Then  $R$  is Gorenstein and  $H(1, R) = r^2$  (cf. S. Goto and K. Watanabe [2]). If we put  $f_t = \sum_{i+j=t} X_{ij} \pmod{\mathfrak{A}_2}$  ( $t = 2, 3, \dots, 2r$ ),  $\{f_t\}_{t=2, 3, \dots, 2r}$  constitutes a homogeneous system of parameters of  $R$  and  $t(R/(f_2, f_3, \dots, f_{2r})) = r - 1$  (cf. S. Goto [1]). Hence for  $d > 0$ ,  $R^{(d)}$  is Gorenstein  $\Leftrightarrow r \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ .

(3) Let  $k$  be a field and  $R = k[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_r, X_{r+1}]/(X_{r+1}^{n+1} - f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_r, X_{r+1}))$  ( $r > 0, n > 0$ ) where  $f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_r, X_{r+1})$  denotes a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $n+1$  which does not contain the term  $X_{r+1}^{n+1}$ . Then  $H(1, R) = r+1$  and  $\dim R = r$ . Moreover if we put  $x_i = X_i \pmod{(X_{r+1}^{n+1} - f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_r, X_{r+1}))}$ ,  $t(R/(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r)) = n$ . Hence for  $d > 0$ ,  $R^{(d)}$  is Gorenstein  $\Leftrightarrow n \equiv r \pmod{d}$ . Thus, if  $n = r$ ,  $R^{(d)}$  is Gorenstein for every  $d > 0$ .

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
NIHON UNIVERSITY

#### References

- [ 1 ] S. Goto: "When do the determinantal ideals define Gorenstein rings?," Sci. Rep. of the Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku, Sect. A. **12** (1974), 129-145.
- [ 2 ] S. Goto and K. Watanabe: "Noetherian graded rings I," in preparation.
- [ 3 ] M. Hochster and J. A. Eagon: "Cohen-Macaulay rings, invariant theory and generic perfection of determinantal loci," Amer. J. Math., **93** (1971), 1020-1058.
- [ 4 ] T. Matsuoka: "On an invariant of Veronesean rings," Proc. Japan Acad., **50** (1974), 287-291.