

# Irreducible Banach representations of locally compact groups of a certain type

By

Hitoshi SHIN'YA

(Communicated by Prof. H. Yoshizawa, Dec. 12, 1978)

## Introduction

Let  $G$  be a locally compact unimodular group, and  $\{\mathfrak{H}, T_x\}$  a topologically irreducible representation of  $G$  on a complete locally convex topological vector space  $\mathfrak{H}$ . If there exists a compact subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  and an equivalence class  $\delta$  of irreducible representations of  $K$  such that  $\{\mathfrak{H}, T_x\}$  contains  $\delta$  finitely many times, then  $\{\mathfrak{H}, T_x\}$  is called "nice". Let  $\chi_\delta$  be the normalized trace of  $\delta$  and  $du$  the normalized Haar measure on  $K$ , and put  $\mathfrak{H}(\delta) = E(\delta)\mathfrak{H}$  where  $E(\delta) = \int_K T_u \overline{\chi_\delta}(u) du$ . If the multiplicity of  $\delta$  in  $\{\mathfrak{H}, T_x\}$  is  $p$ , then the function

$$\phi_\delta(x) = \text{trace} [E(\delta)T_x]$$

on  $G$  is called a spherical function of type  $\delta$  of height  $p$ . Two topologically irreducible nice representations  $\{\mathfrak{H}, T_x\}$  and  $\{\mathfrak{H}', T'_x\}$  of  $G$  are called spherical-function-equivalent (or SF-equivalent) if there exists a common spherical function corresponding to both representations. In fact, this is an equivalence relation (see Theorem 3). If  $G$  is a connected unimodular Lie group and if  $\{\mathfrak{H}, T_x\}, \{\mathfrak{H}', T'_x\}$  are  $K$ -finite Banach representations for a compact analytic subgroup  $K$ , then they are SF-equivalent if and only if infinitesimally equivalent (Theorem 13 in [4]).

Let  $\{\mathfrak{H}, T_x\}$  be a topologically irreducible nice representation of  $G$  on a Banach space  $\mathfrak{H}$ . Put  $\rho(x) = \|T_x\|$  and denote by  $dx$  a Haar measure on  $G$ . Then the set  $L_\rho(G)$  of all functions  $f$  on  $G$  satisfying

$$\|f\|_\rho = \int_G |f(x)|\rho(x) dx < +\infty$$

is an algebra with the convolution product. By the assumption, there exists a compact subgroup  $K$  and an equivalence class  $\delta$  of irreducible representations of  $K$  such that  $0 < \dim \mathfrak{H}(\delta) < +\infty$ . For a non-zero vector  $a \in \mathfrak{H}(\delta)$ , put

$$\mathfrak{H}_\rho = \{T_f a; f \in L_\rho(G)\}$$

where  $T_f = \int_G T_x f(x) dx$ . This is a  $G$ -invariant dense subspace of  $\mathfrak{H}$ , and independent

of the choice of such  $K$ ,  $\delta$ , and  $a$  (cf. Lemma 4 in [2]).

Assume that  $G = S \cdot K$ ,  $S \cap K = \{1\}$ , where  $S$  is a closed subgroup and  $K$  a compact subgroup of  $G$ , and that the decomposition  $x = su$  ( $s \in S$ ,  $u \in K$ ) is continuous. Let  $\{\mathfrak{H}, T_x\}$  be a topologically irreducible nice representation of  $G$  on a Banach space  $\mathfrak{H}$  which contains an equivalence class  $\delta$  of irreducible representations of  $K$  finitely many times. Then our main theorem consists of two assertions. The first is that there exists a topologically irreducible representation  $\Lambda$  of  $S$  on a Banach space such that  $\{\mathfrak{H}, T_x\}$  is SF-equivalent to a constituent of the induced representation of  $G$  from  $\Lambda$ . The other is that one of such representations  $\Lambda$  of  $S$  is obtained as follows; we take a non-trivial maximal  $L_\rho(S)$ -invariant subspace  $\mathcal{K}$  of  $\mathfrak{H}_\rho$  with  $\rho(x) = \|T_x\|$  (the existence of such  $\mathcal{K}$  will be proved in this paper), and introduce a suitable topology into  $\mathfrak{H}_\rho/\mathcal{K}$  with respect to which it becomes a Banach space. Since it is proved that  $\mathcal{K}$  is  $S$ -invariant, we obtain the naturally defined representation of  $S$  on  $\mathfrak{H}_\rho/\mathcal{K}$ . This representation is one of those we want.

**§1. Representations of the algebra  $L^\circ(\delta)$  corresponding to those of  $G$**

Let  $G$  be a locally compact unimodular group,  $K$  a compact subgroup of  $G$ , and  $\hat{K}$  the set of all equivalence classes of irreducible representations of  $K$ . Let  $\delta$  be an element of  $\hat{K}$  with degree  $d$ . Fix an irreducible unitary matricial representation  $D(u)$  of  $K$  belonging to  $\delta$ , and denote by  $d_{ij}(u)$  its  $(i, j)$ -matrix element. Put  $\chi_\delta(u) = d \cdot \text{trace } D(u)$ . We shall denote by  $L(G)$  the algebra of all continuous functions on  $G$  with compact supports, and, for every function  $f \in L(G)$ , define

$$f^\circ(x) = \int_K f(uxu^{-1}) du, \quad f * \bar{\chi}_\delta(x) = \int_K f(xu^{-1}) \bar{\chi}_\delta(u) du,$$

$$\bar{\chi}_\delta * f(x) = \int_K f(u^{-1}x) \bar{\chi}_\delta(u) du,$$

where  $du$  is the normalized Haar measure on  $K$ . We shall regard the algebra  $L(G)$  to be endowed with the usual inductive topology generated by Banach spaces  $L_C(G)$  of all continuous functions with supports in compact subsets  $C \subset G$  with supremum norm. Then the sets  $L^\circ(G) = \{f^\circ; f \in L(G)\}$ ,  $L(\delta) = \{\bar{\chi}_\delta * f * \bar{\chi}_\delta; f \in L(G)\}$ , and  $L^\circ(\delta) = L^\circ(G) \cap L(\delta)$  are closed subalgebras of  $L(G)$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{H}$  be a complete locally convex topological vector space, and  $\{\mathfrak{H}, T_x\}$  a representation of  $G$  on  $\mathfrak{H}$ . The operators

$$E(\delta) = \int_K T_u \bar{\chi}_\delta(u) du \quad \text{and} \quad E_{ij}(\delta) = d \int_K T_u \bar{d}_{ij}(u) du,$$

where  $i, j = 1, \dots, d$ , are continuous and satisfy

$$E(\delta) = \sum_{i=1}^d E_{ii}(\delta), \quad E_{ij}(\delta) E_{kl}(\delta) = \delta_{jk} E_{il}(\delta),$$

denoting by  $\delta_{jk}$  the Kronecker's delta. Put

$$\mathfrak{H}(\delta) = E(\delta)\mathfrak{H}, \quad \mathfrak{H}_i(\delta) = E_{ii}(\delta)\mathfrak{H} \quad (i = 1, \dots, d).$$

Then  $\mathfrak{H}(\delta)$  is invariant under the operators  $T_u$  ( $u \in K$ ) and  $T_f = \int_G T_x f(x) dx$  ( $f \in L(\delta)$ ) where  $dx$  is a Haar measure on  $G$ . For simplicity, we say that  $\mathfrak{H}(\delta)$  is  $K$ -invariant and  $L(\delta)$ -invariant. The subspaces  $\mathfrak{H}_i(\delta)$  are  $L^\circ(\delta)$ -invariant and the representations  $T_f|_{\mathfrak{H}_i(\delta)}$  of the algebra  $L^\circ(\delta)$  are mutually equivalent since  $E_{ij}(\delta)T_f = T_f E_{ij}(\delta)$  for all  $f \in L^\circ(\delta)$ . Therefore the representation  $T_f|_{\mathfrak{H}(\delta)}$  of  $L^\circ(\delta)$  is equivalent to the direct sum of  $d$  copies of a certain representation  $U(f)$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact unimodular group,  $K$  a compact subgroup of  $G$ , and  $\delta$  an element of  $\hat{K}$ . If a representation  $\{\mathfrak{H}, T_x\}$  of  $G$  is topologically irreducible, then the corresponding representation  $U(f)$  of the algebra  $L^\circ(\delta)$  is also topologically irreducible.*

*Proof.* Let  $W$  be a  $L^\circ(\delta)$ -invariant subspace of  $\mathfrak{H}_1(\delta)$ , then the subspace  $V = \sum_{i=1}^d \oplus E_{i1}(\delta)W$  is  $L^\circ(\delta)$ -invariant. And for all  $u \in K$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_u V &= \sum_{i=1}^d \oplus T_u E_{i1}(\delta)W = \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d d_{ji}(u) E_{j1}(\delta)W \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^d E_{j1}(\delta)W = V, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,  $V$  is also  $K$ -invariant. Therefore  $\bar{V}$  is  $L(\delta)$ -invariant (Lemma 14 in [2]). This means  $\bar{V} = \{0\}$  or  $\bar{V} = \mathfrak{H}(\delta)$  since the representation  $T_f|_{\mathfrak{H}(\delta)}$  of the algebra  $L(\delta)$  is topologically irreducible (Lemma 2 in [2]). Then it follows that

$$W = \{0\} \quad \text{or} \quad \bar{W} = E_{11}\bar{V} = \mathfrak{H}_1(\delta)$$

respectively. Thus the theorem is proved.

Q. E. D.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\{\mathfrak{H}, T_x\}$  and  $\{\mathfrak{H}', T'_x\}$  be two representations of  $G$ . If the corresponding representations  $U(f)$  and  $U'(f)$  of the algebra  $L^\circ(\delta)$  are equivalent, then the representations  $T_f|_{\mathfrak{H}(\delta)}$  and  $T'_f|_{\mathfrak{H}'(\delta)}$  of the algebra  $L(\delta)$  are also equivalent.*

*Proof.* From the assumption it follows that there exists a linear isomorphism  $\phi$  of  $\mathfrak{H}(\delta)$  onto  $\mathfrak{H}'(\delta)$  such that

$$\phi T_f = T'_f \phi, \quad \phi E_{ij}(\delta) = E'_{ij}(\delta) \phi$$

for any  $f \in L^\circ(\delta)$  and  $i, j = 1, \dots, d$ . For every  $u \in K$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{-1} T'_u \phi &= E(\delta) \phi^{-1} T'_u \phi = \sum_{i=1}^d E_{ii}(\delta) \phi^{-1} T'_u \phi = \phi^{-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^d E'_{ii}(\delta) T'_u \right) \phi \\ &= \phi^{-1} \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^d d_{ij}(u) E'_{ij}(\delta) \right) \phi = \sum_{i,j=1}^d d_{ij}(u) E_{ij}(\delta) \\ &= E(\delta) T_u. \end{aligned}$$

Namely  $T'_u \phi = \phi T_u$  for all  $u \in K$  on  $\mathfrak{H}(\delta)$ . Thus  $\phi T_f = T'_f \phi$  for all  $f \in L(\delta)$  (Lemma

14 in [2]).

Q. E. D.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $G$  be a locally compact unimodular group,  $K$  a compact subgroup of  $G$ , and  $\delta$  an element of  $\hat{K}$ . Let  $\{\mathfrak{H}, T_x\}, \{\mathfrak{H}', T'_x\}$  be two topologically irreducible representations of  $G$  which contain  $\delta$ , and  $U(f), U'(f)$  corresponding topologically irreducible representations of  $L^\circ(\delta)$  respectively. Then  $U$  is equivalent to  $U'$  if and only if there exists a linear mapping  $\psi$  of  $\mathfrak{H}$  into  $\mathfrak{H}'$  which satisfies the following conditions;

- (a)  $\psi$  is defined on a  $G$ -invariant dense subspace  $\mathcal{D}(\psi)$  of  $\mathfrak{H}$ , and injective,
- (b)  $T'_x\psi = \psi T_x$  on  $\mathcal{D}(\psi)$  for all  $x \in G$ ,
- (c)  $E(\gamma)\mathcal{D}(\psi) \subset \mathcal{D}(\psi)$  for every  $\gamma \in \hat{K}$ , and  $E'(\gamma)\psi = \psi E(\gamma)$ ,
- (d)  $\psi|_{\mathcal{D}(\psi) \cap \mathfrak{H}(\delta)}$  can be extended to a bijective and bicontinuous linear mapping of  $\mathfrak{H}(\delta)$  onto  $\mathfrak{H}'(\delta)$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $U$  is equivalent to  $U'$ . Then, by Lemma 1, there exists a bijective and bicontinuous linear mapping  $\phi$  of  $\mathfrak{H}(\delta)$  onto  $\mathfrak{H}'(\delta)$  satisfying  $\phi T_f = T'_f \phi$  for any  $f \in L(\delta)$ . Fix a non-zero vector  $a_0 \in \mathfrak{H}(\delta)$  and put

$$\mathcal{D}(\psi) = \{T_f a_0; f \in L(G)\}.$$

For arbitrary  $f, g \in L(G)$ , we have

$$E'(\delta)T'_g T'_f a'_0 = T'_{\bar{x}_\delta \circ g \circ f \circ \bar{x}_\delta} \phi(a_0) = \phi(T_{\bar{x}_\delta \circ g \circ f \circ \bar{x}_\delta} a_0) = \phi E(\delta)T_g T_f a_0$$

where  $a'_0 = \phi(a_0)$ . This means that  $T_f a_0 = 0$  implies  $T'_f a'_0 = 0$ . Therefore we may define a linear mapping  $\psi$  of  $\mathcal{D}(\psi)$  to  $\mathfrak{H}'$  by  $\psi(T_f a_0) = T'_f a'_0$ . The injectivity of  $\psi$  follows from the above equality. Now it is clear that  $\psi$  satisfies the conditions (a), (b), and (c). To prove that  $\psi$  satisfies the condition (d), we have only to show  $\phi|_{\mathcal{D}(\psi) \cap \mathfrak{H}(\delta)} = \psi|_{\mathcal{D}(\psi) \cap \mathfrak{H}(\delta)}$ , but this is easy.

Conversely, we assume that a linear mapping  $\psi$  satisfies the above four conditions. By the condition (c), we obtain  $\mathcal{D}(\psi) \cap \mathfrak{H}(\delta) = E(\delta)\mathcal{D}(\psi)$  and therefore  $\mathcal{D}(\psi) \cap \mathfrak{H}(\delta)$  is dense in  $\mathfrak{H}(\delta)$ . Denote by  $\phi$  a bijective and bicontinuous linear mapping of  $\mathfrak{H}(\delta)$  onto  $\mathfrak{H}'(\delta)$  which is an extension of the mapping  $\psi|_{\mathcal{D}(\psi) \cap \mathfrak{H}(\delta)}$ . Since  $E'(\delta)T'_x E'(\delta)\phi = \phi E(\delta)T_x E(\delta)$  for all  $x \in G$  and  $\phi$  is continuous, we obtain  $E'(\delta)T'_f E'(\delta)\phi = \phi E(\delta)T_f E(\delta)$  for all  $f \in L(G)$ , i.e.,  $T'_f \phi = \phi T_f$  for all  $f \in L(\delta)$ . Now it is clear that  $U$  is equivalent to  $U'$  by Lemma 1. Q. E. D.

**Definition.** A representation  $\{\mathfrak{H}, T_x\}$  of  $G$  is called “nice” if there exists a pair  $(K, \delta)$  of a compact subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  and  $\delta \in \hat{K}$  which satisfies  $0 < \dim \mathfrak{H}(\delta) < +\infty$ .

Let  $\{\mathfrak{H}, T_x\}$  be a topologically irreducible nice representation of  $G$ . Then we can find a pair  $(K, \delta)$  which satisfies  $0 < \dim \mathfrak{H}(\delta) < +\infty$ . Now we take an arbitrary non-zero vector  $a \in \mathfrak{H}(\delta)$  and put

$$\mathfrak{H}_0 = \{T_f a; f \in L(G)\}.$$

This is a  $G$ -invariant dense subspace of  $\mathfrak{H}$ , and an important fact is that  $\mathfrak{H}_0$  is inde-

pendent of the choice of such  $(K, \delta)$  and  $a$  (cf. Lemma 4 in [2]). The following theorem, which is the same as Theorem 9 in [4], is a corollary to Theorem 2.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact unimodular group, and  $\{\mathfrak{H}, T_x\}, \{\mathfrak{H}', T'_x\}$  two topologically irreducible nice representations of  $G$ . Let  $\mathfrak{H}_0, \mathfrak{H}'_0$  be the corresponding subspaces of  $\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{H}'$  as above. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(i) *For a pair  $(K, \delta)$  of a compact subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  and  $\delta \in \hat{K}$  satisfying  $0 < \dim \mathfrak{H}(\delta) < +\infty$ , the corresponding two irreducible representations  $U(f), U'(f)$  of the algebra  $L^\circ(\delta)$  are equivalent.*

(ii) *For every pair  $(K, \delta)$  of a compact subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  and  $\delta \in \hat{K}$  satisfying  $0 < \dim \mathfrak{H}(\delta) < +\infty$ , the corresponding two irreducible representations  $U(f), U'(f)$  of the algebra  $L^\circ(\delta)$  are equivalent.*

(iii) *There exists a bijective linear mapping  $\psi$  of  $\mathfrak{H}_0$  onto  $\mathfrak{H}'_0$  satisfying  $\psi T_x = T'_x \psi$  for all  $x \in G$  and  $\psi E(\delta) = E'(\delta) \psi$  for all pairs  $(K, \delta)$ .*

**Definition.** Two topologically irreducible nice representations  $\{\mathfrak{H}, T_x\}$  and  $\{\mathfrak{H}', T'_x\}$  are called *spherical-function-equivalent* (or *SF-equivalent*) if the conditions in Theorem 3 are satisfied.

Let  $U(a)$  be a representation of an algebra  $A$  on a topological vector space  $\mathfrak{H}$ . If there exists a closed invariant subspace  $\mathcal{L}$  of  $\mathfrak{H}$ , then the representation  $U(a)|_{\mathcal{L}}$  on  $\mathcal{L}$  is called a *subrepresentation* of  $U(a)$ .

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact unimodular group,  $K$  a compact subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $\{\mathfrak{H}, T_x\}$  be a representation of  $G$  on a complete locally convex topological vector space  $\mathfrak{H}$ . Assume  $\{\mathfrak{H}, T_x\}$  contains  $\delta \in \hat{K}$ , and denote by  $U(f)$  the corresponding representation of the algebra  $L^\circ(\delta)$ . If we can find a topologically irreducible subrepresentation  $U_0(f)$  of  $U(f)$ , then there exist closed  $G$ -invariant subspaces  $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$  of  $\mathfrak{H}$  satisfying the following conditions;*

(a)  $\mathcal{H}_1 \supset \mathcal{H}_2, E(\delta)\mathcal{H}_2 = \{0\}$ ,

(b) *the naturally defined representation  $\tau$  of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{H}_1/\mathcal{H}_2$  is topologically irreducible, and the corresponding topologically irreducible representation of the algebra  $L^\circ(\delta)$  is equivalent to  $U_0(f)$ .*

**Remark.** The author does not know whether  $\mathcal{H}_1/\mathcal{H}_2$  is complete or not. But the integrals  $\int_G \tau_x f(x) dx$  ( $f \in L(G)$ ) and  $\int_K \tau_u \bar{\chi}_\delta(u) du$  converge in  $\mathcal{H}_1/\mathcal{H}_2$ , and therefore we can make the same arguments as in the case of representations on complete topological vector spaces.

*Proof of Theorem 4.* By the assumption, there exists a closed  $L^\circ(\delta)$ -invariant subspace  $\mathcal{L}_1$  of  $\mathfrak{H}_1(\delta) = E_{11}(\delta)\mathfrak{H}$  such that the representation  $T_f|_{\mathcal{L}_1}$  of  $L^\circ(\delta)$  is equivalent to  $U_0(f)$ . Then the closed subspace  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1 + E_{21}(\delta)\mathcal{L}_1 + \dots + E_{d1}(\delta)\mathcal{L}_1$ , where  $d$  is the degree of  $\delta$ , is  $L^\circ(\delta)$ -invariant and  $K$ -invariant, and therefore  $L(\delta)$ -invariant. For every  $a \in \mathcal{L}$ , put  $\mathcal{L}_a = \overline{\{T_f a; f \in L(\delta)\}}$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}_a$  is invariant under  $E_{ii}(\delta)$ , we have

$$\mathcal{L}_a = E_{11}(\delta)\mathcal{L}_a + \cdots + E_{dd}(\delta)\mathcal{L}_a.$$

Clearly  $E_{11}(\delta)\mathcal{L}_a = \mathcal{L}_a \cap \mathfrak{H}_1(\delta) \subset \mathcal{L} \cap \mathfrak{H}_1(\delta) = \mathcal{L}_1$  and  $E_{11}(\delta)\mathcal{L}_a$  is  $L^\circ(\delta)$ -invariant, thus  $E_{11}(\delta)\mathcal{L}_a = \mathcal{L}_1$  or  $=\{0\}$ . On the other hand, we have  $E_{i1}(\delta)E_{11}(\delta)\mathcal{L}_a = E_{ii}(\delta)\mathcal{L}_a$ . Therefore we obtain  $\mathcal{L}_a = \mathcal{L}$  or  $=\{0\}$ , and this means that  $\mathcal{L}$  is topologically irreducible under  $T_f|_{\mathcal{L}}$  ( $f \in L(\delta)$ ).

Now the closed subspace

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \bigcap_{\substack{a \in \mathcal{L} \\ a \neq 0}} \overline{\{T_f a; f \in L(G)\}}$$

is  $G$ -invariant, and  $E(\delta)\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{L}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{H}_2$  the maximal  $G$ -invariant subspace of  $\mathcal{H}_1$  satisfying  $E(\delta)\mathcal{H}_2 = \{0\}$ . Then these subspaces  $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$  satisfy the conditions (a) and (b). Q. E. D.

**§2. Irreducible Banach representations of  $G = SK$**

Let  $G$  be a locally compact unimodular group, and  $K$  a compact subgroup of  $G$ . We assume that there exists a closed subgroup  $S$  of  $G$  such that all  $x \in G$  are uniquely and continuously decomposed into the products  $x = su$  where  $s \in S$  and  $u \in K$ . Let  $d\mu(s)$  be a left Haar measure on  $S$  and  $du$  the normalized Haar measure on  $K$ , then  $dx = d\mu(s)du$  ( $x = su$ ) is a Haar measure on  $G$ .

In the following, we shall denote by  $\{\mathfrak{H}, T_x\}$  a fixed topologically irreducible representation of  $G$  on a Banach space  $\mathfrak{H}$ . We assume  $\dim \mathfrak{H}(\delta) = pd$  for a fixed equivalence class  $\delta \in \hat{K}$ , where  $d$  is the degree of  $\delta$  and  $p$  a natural number. If we denote by  $\rho(x)$  the operator norm of  $T_x$ , then  $\rho(x)$  is a semi-norm on  $G$  (cf. [1]). Let  $L_\rho(G)$  be the algebra of all measurable functions  $f$  on  $G$  which satisfy

$$\|f\|_\rho = \int_G |f(x)|\rho(x)dx < +\infty.$$

Then  $L_\rho(G)*\overline{\chi_\delta}$  and  $L_\rho^\circ(\delta) = \{f^\circ; f \in L_\rho(G)*\overline{\chi_\delta}\}$  are closed subalgebras of  $L_\rho(G)$ .

On the other hand, we shall denote by  $A_\rho$  the space of all  $d \times d$ -matrix valued measurable functions  $F$  on  $S$  which satisfy

$$\|F\|_\rho = d \cdot \text{Max}_{1 \leq i, j \leq d} \int_S |f_{ij}(s)|\rho(s)d\mu(s) < +\infty,$$

where  $f_{ij}(s)$  are  $(i, j)$ -matrix elements of  $F(s)$ .  $A_\rho$  is a Banach algebra with the convolution product

$$F*G(s) = \int_S F(t)G(t^{-1}s)d\mu(t).$$

Fix an irreducible unitary matricial representation  $D(u)$  of  $K$  belonging to  $\delta$ , and define a transformation

$$\Phi(f)(s) = \int_K \overline{D(u)}f(su^{-1})du$$

of  $L_\rho(G)*\overline{\chi_\delta}$  into  $A_\rho$ . This is continuous, bijective, and linear. The inverse transformation  $\Phi^{-1}$  is also continuous, and given by

$$\Phi^{-1}(F)(x) = d \cdot \text{trace} [F(s)\overline{D(u)}]$$

where  $x = su$ . For every element  $F = \Phi(f) \in A_\rho$  we put  $F^\circ = \Phi(f^\circ)$ . Then  $F \rightarrow F^\circ$  is a continuous projection, and we easily have an equality

$$\Phi(f * g^\circ) = \Phi(f) * \Phi(g^\circ) = \Phi(f) * \Phi(g)^\circ.$$

Therefore  $A_\rho^\circ = \{F^\circ; F \in A_\rho\}$  is a closed subalgebra of  $A_\rho$ , and isomorphic to the Banach algebra  $L_\rho^\circ(\delta)$ .

Put

$$\mathfrak{p} = \{f \in L_\rho^\circ(\delta); T_f = 0\},$$

then this is a regular closed two-sided ideal in  $L_\rho^\circ(\delta)$ , and an element  $e \in L_\rho^\circ(\delta)$  is a right identity modulo  $\mathfrak{p}$  if and only if  $T_e | \mathfrak{H}(\delta)$  is the identity operator on  $\mathfrak{H}(\delta)$ . A non-trivial subspace  $V$  of  $\mathfrak{H}(\delta)$  is called  $K$ -irreducible if  $V$  is invariant and irreducible under  $T_u$  ( $u \in K$ ). For a  $K$ -irreducible subspace  $V$  of  $\mathfrak{H}(\delta)$ , we put

$$\mathfrak{a}_V = \{f \in L_\rho^\circ(\delta); T_f | V = 0\}.$$

**Lemma 2.** *The mapping  $V \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_V$  of the set of all  $K$ -irreducible subspaces of  $\mathfrak{H}(\delta)$  to the set of all maximal left ideals in  $L_\rho^\circ(\delta)$  containing  $\mathfrak{p}$  is bijective.*

*Proof.* Let  $V$  be a  $K$ -irreducible subspace of  $\mathfrak{H}(\delta)$ , and  $\mathfrak{a}$  a left ideal in  $L_\rho^\circ(\delta)$  such that  $\mathfrak{a}_V \not\subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ . Then  $\sum_{f \in \mathfrak{a}} T_f V$  is invariant under all operators  $T_f$  ( $f \in L_\rho^\circ(\delta)$ ) and  $T_u$  ( $u \in K$ ). Therefore  $\sum_{f \in \mathfrak{a}} T_f V$  is invariant under all operators  $T_f$  ( $f \in \overline{\chi_\delta} * L_\rho(G) * \overline{\chi_\delta}$ ) by Lemma 11 in [1], and this means  $\mathfrak{H}(\delta) = \sum_{f \in \mathfrak{a}} T_f V$ . Since  $\dim \mathfrak{H}(\delta) = pd$ , there exist  $p$  functions  $f_1, \dots, f_p \in \mathfrak{a}$  such that

$$\mathfrak{H}(\delta) = T_{f_1} V \oplus \dots \oplus T_{f_p} V \quad (\text{direct sum}).$$

Thus every vector  $a \in \mathfrak{H}(\delta)$  is uniquely written in the form  $a = T_{f_1} a_1 + \dots + T_{f_p} a_p$  where  $a_1, \dots, a_p \in V$ . Since the linear transformation  $a \rightarrow a_i$  on  $V$  commutes with all operators  $T_u$  ( $u \in K$ ), we have  $a_i = \lambda_i a$  for some  $\lambda_i \in \mathbf{C}$ , i.e.,

$$a = (\lambda_1 T_{f_1} + \dots + \lambda_p T_{f_p}) a.$$

Therefore, for every function  $f \in L_\rho^\circ(\delta)$ , we can find a function  $g \in \mathfrak{a}$  such that  $T_f a = T_g a$  for all  $a \in V$ . This means  $L_\rho^\circ(\delta) = \mathfrak{a}$ . Now we have proved that  $\mathfrak{a}_V$ , which clearly contains  $\mathfrak{p}$ , is a maximal left ideal in  $L_\rho^\circ(\delta)$ .

Conversely let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a maximal left ideal in  $L_\rho^\circ(\delta)$  containing  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Suppose  $\mathfrak{a} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{a}_V$  for every  $K$ -irreducible subspace  $V$  of  $\mathfrak{H}(\delta)$ . Take an arbitrarily chosen non-zero vector  $a \in \mathfrak{H}(\delta)$ . Then there exist  $u_1, \dots, u_r \in K$  and  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in \mathbf{C}$  such that  $b = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i T_{u_i} a$  is a non-zero vector in a  $K$ -irreducible subspace  $V$  of  $\mathfrak{H}(\delta)$ . By our assumption, at least one function  $f \in \mathfrak{a}$  satisfies  $T_f V \neq \{0\}$ , or equivalently,  $\dim T_f V = \dim V = d$ . For such a function  $f \in \mathfrak{a}$ , we have  $T_f b \neq 0$ . On the other hand, we put

$$f * \varepsilon_u(x) = f(xu^{-1}) = f(u^{-1}x) = \varepsilon_u * f(x)$$

for all  $f \in \mathfrak{a}$  and  $u \in K$ . Let  $A$  be the algebra generated by  $\{f * \varepsilon_u; f \in \mathfrak{a}, u \in K\}$ . Then above consideration shows that  $\{T_f a; f \in A\} \neq \{0\}$ . This subspace  $\{T_f a; f \in A\}$  is  $L_\rho^\circ(\delta)$ - and  $K$ -invariant, and therefore  $\overline{\chi_\delta} * L_\rho(G) * \overline{\chi_\delta}$ -invariant. Consequently  $\{T_f a; f \in A\} = \mathfrak{H}(\delta)$ . Namely,  $f \rightarrow T_f | \mathfrak{H}(\delta)$  is a  $p$ -dimensional irreducible representation of  $A$ . By the Burnside's theorem, we can find a function  $g \in A$  satisfying  $T_g | \mathfrak{H}(\delta) = 1$ . If we take  $f_1, \dots, f_t \in \mathfrak{a}$  and  $u_1, \dots, u_t \in K$  such that  $g = \sum_{i=1}^t f_i * \varepsilon_{u_i}$ , then

$$1 = T_g | \mathfrak{H}(\delta) = T_{g \circ} | \mathfrak{H}(\delta) = \sum_{i=1}^t \xi_i T_{f_i} | \mathfrak{H}(\delta)$$

where  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_t$  are certain constants. Thus we have  $T_h | \mathfrak{H}(\delta) = 1$  for  $h = \sum_{i=1}^t \xi_i f_i \in \mathfrak{a}$ , and it follows that  $f * h - f \in \mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{a}$  for all  $f \in L_\rho^\circ(\delta)$ . This is a contradiction since  $\mathfrak{a} \not\subseteq L_\rho^\circ(\delta)$ . Therefore we have proved that there exists a  $K$ -irreducible subspace  $V$  of  $\mathfrak{H}(\delta)$  satisfying  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}_V$ .

At last, we show that the mapping  $V \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_V$  is injective. Let  $V$  and  $V'$  be two distinct  $K$ -irreducible subspaces of  $\mathfrak{H}(\delta)$ . Since  $V \cap V' = \{0\}$ , there exists a linear operator  $L$  on  $\mathfrak{H}(\delta)$  such that  $LT_u = T_u L$  ( $u \in K$ ),  $LV = V$ , and  $LV' = \{0\}$ . A function  $f \in L_\rho^\circ(\delta)$  which satisfies  $T_f | \mathfrak{H}(\delta) = L$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{a}_V$ , but does not to  $\mathfrak{a}_{V'}$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{a}_V \neq \mathfrak{a}_{V'}$ . Q. E. D.

Since our topologically irreducible representation  $\{\mathfrak{H}, T_x\}$  contains  $\delta$   $p$  times, the irreducible representation  $U(f)$  of the algebra  $L^\circ(\delta)$  corresponding to  $\{\mathfrak{H}, T_x\}$  in the sense of § 1 is  $p$ -dimensional. If we denote by  $U = U(x)$  the spherical matrix function of degree  $p$  of type  $\delta$  defined from  $\{\mathfrak{H}, T_x\}$  (see [3]), then we have

$$U(f) = \int_G U(x) f(x) dx \quad (f \in L^\circ(\delta))$$

up to equivalence. The right hand side converges for  $f \in L_\rho^\circ(\delta)$ , therefore we can extend  $U(f)$  to a representation of  $L_\rho^\circ(\delta)$ . We shall denote this by the same notation  $U(f)$ . On the other hand, for every  $K$ -irreducible subspace  $V$  of  $\mathfrak{H}(\delta)$ , we have a naturally defined irreducible representation of  $L_\rho^\circ(\delta)$  on  $L_\rho^\circ(\delta)/\mathfrak{a}_V$ . It is easily seen that this representation is equivalent to  $U(f)$ .

For every  $K$ -irreducible subspace  $V$  of  $\mathfrak{H}(\delta)$ , we put

$$\mathfrak{A}_V = \Phi(\mathfrak{a}_V).$$

Since  $\Phi$  maps  $L_\rho^\circ(\delta)$  isomorphically onto  $A_\rho^\circ$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}_V$  is a closed regular maximal left ideal in  $A_\rho^\circ$ , and an element  $\mathfrak{E} = \Phi(e)$ , where  $e$  is a function in  $L_\rho^\circ(\delta)$  satisfying  $T_e | \mathfrak{H}(\delta) = 1$ , is a right identity modulo  $\mathfrak{A}_V$ . Moreover

$$\mathfrak{M}_V = \{F \in A_\rho^\circ; (G * F)^\circ \in \mathfrak{A}_V \text{ for all } G \in A_\rho^\circ\}$$

is a closed regular left ideal in  $A_\rho^\circ$ , and  $\mathfrak{E}$  is a right identity modulo  $\mathfrak{M}_V$ .

**Definition.** Let  $V$  be a  $K$ -irreducible subspace of  $\mathfrak{H}(\delta)$ . We shall denote by



$\{e_1^V, \dots, e_d^V\}$  a base of  $V$  with respect to which the operators  $T_u|V$  are represented by our fixed unitary matrices  $D(u)$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $V$  be a  $K$ -irreducible subspace of  $\mathfrak{H}(\delta)$ . For every  $F = \Phi(f) \in A_\rho$  ( $f \in L_\rho(G) * \bar{\chi}_\delta$ ) whose  $(i, j)$ -matrix coefficient is denoted by  $f_{ij}$ , we have*

$$T_f e_i^V = \sum_{j=1}^d T_{f_{ij}} e_j^V \quad (i = 1, \dots, d)$$

where  $T_{f_{ij}} = \int_S T_s f_{ij}(s) d\mu(s)$ .

*Proof.* Denoting by  $d_{\alpha\beta}(u)$  the  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -matrix coefficient of  $D(u)$ , we have

$$f(su) = d \cdot \text{trace} [F(s) \overline{D(u)}] = d \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^d f_{\beta\alpha}(s) \overline{d_{\alpha\beta}(u)}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} T_f e_i^V &= \int_{S \times K} T_s T_u f(su) e_i^V d\mu(s) du \\ &= d \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^d \int_{S \times K} T_s T_u f_{\beta\alpha}(s) \overline{d_{\alpha\beta}(u)} e_i^V d\mu(s) du \\ &= d \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{S \times K} T_s f_{\beta\alpha}(s) \overline{d_{\alpha\beta}(u)} d_{ji}(u) e_j^V d\mu(s) du \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^d T_{f_{ij}} e_j^V. \end{aligned} \quad \text{Q. E. D.}$$

**Corollary.** *Let  $f$  be a function in  $L_\rho(G) * \bar{\chi}_\delta$  and put  $F = \Phi(f) \in A_\rho$ . If we denote by  $E_{ii}$  the  $d \times d$ -matrix whose  $(i, i)$ -matrix coefficient is 1 and the others are 0, then the functions  $f_i = \Phi^{-1}(E_{ii} F) \in L_\rho(G) * \bar{\chi}_\delta$  satisfy  $f = f_1 + \dots + f_d$  and*

$$T_{f_i} e_i^V = T_f e_i^V, \quad T_{f_i} e_j^V = 0 \quad (i \neq j).$$

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $V$  be a  $K$ -irreducible subspace of  $\mathfrak{H}(\delta)$ , and  $F = \Phi(f)$  an element in  $A_\rho$  where  $f \in L_\rho(G) * \bar{\chi}_\delta$ . Then  $F \in \mathfrak{M}_V$  if and only if  $T_f V = \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* We shall denote by  $L_\rho(S)$  the algebra of all functions  $\phi$  on  $S$  satisfying  $\int_S |\phi(s)| \rho(s) d\mu(s) < +\infty$ . Let  $E_{\alpha\beta}$  be the  $d \times d$ -matrix whose  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -matrix coefficient is 1 and the others are 0. For every  $\phi \in L_\rho(S)$  and  $E_{\alpha\beta}$ , the  $d \times d$ -matrix valued function  $\phi E_{\alpha\beta}$ , whose  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -coefficient is  $\phi$  and the others are 0, belongs to  $A_\rho$ . If we denote by  $f_{ij}$  the  $(i, j)$ -matrix coefficient of  $F = \Phi(f)$  and by  $g_{mn}$  the  $(m, n)$ -matrix coefficient of  $G = (\phi E_{\alpha\beta}) * F$ , then  $g_{mn} = \delta_{m\alpha} (\phi * f_{\beta n})$  where  $\delta_{m\alpha}$  is the Kronecker's delta. Putting  $g = \Phi^{-1}(G)$  and using Lemma 3,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{g^\circ} e_i^V &= \int_K T_u T_g T_{u^{-1}} e_i^V du \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^d \int_K T_u d_{mi}(u^{-1}) T_g e_m^V du \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{m=1}^d \int_K T_u \overline{d_{im}(u)} \left[ \sum_{n=1}^d T_{g_{mn}} e_n^V \right] du \\
 &= \int_K T_u \overline{d_{i\alpha}(u)} \left[ \sum_{n=1}^d T_\phi T_{f_{\beta n}} e_n^V \right] du \\
 &= \int_K T_u \overline{d_{i\alpha}(u)} [T_\phi T_f e_\beta^V] du.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 F \in \mathfrak{M}_V &\iff [(\phi E_{\alpha\beta}) * F]^\circ \in \mathfrak{M}_V \quad \text{for all } \alpha, \beta \in \{1, \dots, d\} \text{ and } \phi \in L_\rho(S), \\
 &\iff [\Phi^{-1}(\phi E_{\alpha\beta} * F)]^\circ \in \mathfrak{a}_V \quad \text{for all } \alpha, \beta \in \{1, \dots, d\} \\
 &\hspace{20em} \text{and } \phi \in L_\rho(S), \\
 &\iff \int_K T_u \overline{d_{i\alpha}(u)} [T_\phi T_f e_\beta^V] du = 0 \quad \text{for all } i, \alpha, \beta \in \{1, \dots, d\} \\
 &\hspace{20em} \text{and } \phi \in L_\rho(S), \\
 &\iff E(\delta) T_\phi T_f V = \{0\} \quad \text{for all } \phi \in L_\rho(S), \\
 &\iff E(\delta) T_s T_f V = \{0\} \quad \text{for all } s \in S, \\
 &\iff E(\delta) T_u T_s T_f V = \{0\} \quad \text{for all } u \in K \text{ and } s \in S, \\
 &\iff E(\delta) T_x T_f V = \{0\} \quad \text{for all } x \in G, \\
 &\iff T_f V = \{0\}. \hspace{10em} \text{Q. E. D.}
 \end{aligned}$$

For every  $d \times d$ -matrix  $M$  and every element  $F \in A_\rho$ , we put  $(MF)(s) = M \times F(s)$  where the right hand side is the product of two matrices  $M$  and  $F(s)$ .  $MF$  is obviously an element in  $A_\rho$ .

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $V$  be a  $K$ -irreducible subspace of  $\mathfrak{H}(\delta)$ , and  $\mathfrak{M}$  a left ideal in  $A_\rho$  such that  $\mathfrak{M} \supset \mathfrak{M}_V$  and that  $M\mathfrak{M} \subset \mathfrak{M}$  for every  $d \times d$ -matrix  $M$ . Then the subspace  $\{T_f a; f \in L_\rho(G) * \overline{\chi_\delta}, \Phi(f) \in \mathfrak{M}\}$  of  $\mathfrak{H}$ , where  $a \in V - \{0\}$ , is independent of the choice of nonzero vector  $a \in V$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a, b \in V$  and  $a \neq 0, b \neq 0$ . We can find a continuous function  $\xi(u)$  on  $K$  such that  $\xi * \overline{\chi_\delta} = \xi$  and that

$$T_\xi b = \int_K T_u \xi(u) b du = a.$$

For every function  $f \in L_\rho(G) * \overline{\chi_\delta}$  satisfying  $\Phi(f) \in \mathfrak{M}$ , we see  $f * \xi \in L_\rho(G) * \overline{\chi_\delta}$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi(f * \xi)(s) &= \int_K \overline{D(u)} f * \xi(su^{-1}) du \\
 &= \int_{K \times K} \overline{D(u)} f(su^{-1}v^{-1}) \xi(v) dudv
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_{K \times K} \overline{D(v^{-1}u)} f(su^{-1}) \xi(v) dudv \\ &= \left[ \int_K \overline{D(v^{-1})} \xi(v) dv \right] \Phi(f)(s) \in \mathfrak{M} \end{aligned}$$

by our assumption on  $\mathfrak{M}$ . Since  $T_f a = T_{f * \xi} b$ , we clearly have  $\{T_f a; f \in L_\rho(G) * \overline{\chi}_\delta, \Phi(f) \in \mathfrak{M}\} \subset \{T_f b; f \in L_\rho(G) * \overline{\chi}_\delta, \Phi(f) \in \mathfrak{M}\}$ .  
 Q. E. D.

**Definition.** For a  $K$ -irreducible subspace of  $\mathfrak{H}(\delta)$  and a left ideal  $\mathfrak{M}$  in  $A_\rho$  such that  $\mathfrak{M} \supset \mathfrak{M}_V$  and that  $M\mathfrak{M} \subset \mathfrak{M}$  for every  $d \times d$ -matrix  $M$ , we put

$$\mathfrak{H}_V(\mathfrak{M}) = \{T_f a; f \in L_\rho(G) * \overline{\chi}_\delta, \Phi(f) \in \mathfrak{M}\}$$

where  $a \in V, a \neq 0$ .

Since our representation  $\{\mathfrak{H}, T_x\}$  is topologically irreducible and nice, we can define the subspace  $\mathfrak{H}_0$  of  $\mathfrak{H}$  as in § 1. But  $\{\mathfrak{H}, T_x\}$  is a Banach representation, so let's define another subspace  $\mathfrak{H}_\rho$  of  $\mathfrak{H}$  which is a natural extension of  $\mathfrak{H}_0$ , i.e., taking a non-zero vector  $a$  in  $\mathfrak{H}(\delta)$ , we put

$$\mathfrak{H}_\rho = \{T_f a; f \in L_\rho(G)\}.$$

As in the case of  $\mathfrak{H}_0$ , this subspace  $\mathfrak{H}_\rho$  is independent of  $K, \delta$ , and  $a$ . Namely, if a pair  $(K', \delta')$  of a compact subgroup  $K'$  and  $\delta' \in \hat{K}'$  satisfies  $0 < \dim \mathfrak{H}(\delta') < +\infty$ , then, for every nonzero vector  $a' \in \mathfrak{H}(\delta')$ , we have  $\mathfrak{H}_\rho = \{T_f a'; f \in L_\rho(G)\}$ . Our subspace  $\mathfrak{H}_V(\mathfrak{M})$  in the above definition is a subspace of  $\mathfrak{H}_\rho$  and  $L_\rho(S)$ -invariant, i.e., invariant under all operators  $T_\phi = \int_S T_s \phi(s) d\mu(s)$  for  $\phi \in L_\rho(S)$ .

**Lemma 6.** Let  $V$  be a  $K$ -irreducible subspace of  $\mathfrak{H}(\delta)$ , and  $\mathcal{X}$  a  $L_\rho(S)$ -invariant subspace of  $\mathfrak{H}_\rho$ . Then there exists a left ideal  $\mathfrak{M}$  in  $A_\rho$  such that  $\mathfrak{M} \supset \mathfrak{M}_V, M\mathfrak{M} \subset \mathfrak{M}$  for all  $d \times d$ -matrices  $M$ , and that  $\mathcal{X} = \mathfrak{H}_V(\mathfrak{M})$ .

*Proof.* Put  $\mathfrak{M} = \{F \in A_\rho; T_f V \subset \mathcal{X} \text{ for } f = \Phi^{-1}(F)\}$ . Let  $F$  be any element of  $\mathfrak{M}$ , and denote by  $g_{ij} \in L_\rho(S)$  the  $(i, j)$ -matrix coefficient of an arbitrary element  $G \in A_\rho$ . The function  $h = \Phi^{-1}(G * F)$  is given as follows;

$$\begin{aligned} h(su) &= d \cdot \text{trace} [G * F(s) \overline{D(u)}] \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^d d \cdot \text{trace} [g_{ij} * (E_{ij} F)(s) \overline{D(u)}] \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^d g_{ij} * \Phi^{-1}(E_{ij} F)(su) \end{aligned}$$

where  $E_{ij}$  is the  $d \times d$ -matrix whose  $(i, j)$ -matrix coefficient is 1 and the others are 0. Choose a continuous function  $\xi_{ij}$  on  $K$  such that  $\xi_{ij} * \overline{\chi}_\delta = \xi_{ij}$  and that  $\int_K \overline{D(u^{-1})} \xi_{ij} \cdot (u) du = E_{ij}$ , then we have  $E_{ij} F = \Phi(f * \xi_{ij})$  and

$$T_{\Phi^{-1}(E_{ij} F)} a = T_f(T_{\xi_{ij}} a) \in T_f V \subset \mathcal{X} \quad (a \in V)$$

i.e.,  $E_{ij}F \in \mathfrak{M}$  ( $1 \leq i, j \leq d$ ). From this, we know two facts; the one is that  $M\mathfrak{M} \subset \mathfrak{M}$  for all  $d \times d$ -matrices  $M$  and the other is that  $T_u a \in \mathcal{X}$  for all  $a \in V$ , namely,  $G * F \in \mathfrak{M}$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a left ideal in  $A_\rho$ . The inclusion  $\mathfrak{M} \supset \mathfrak{M}_V$  is clear. At last let's prove  $\mathcal{X} = \mathfrak{H}_V(\mathfrak{M})$ . Let  $\{e_1^V, \dots, e_d^V\}$  be, as was already defined, a base of  $V$  with respect to which the operator  $T_u|V$  is represented by the matrix  $D(u)$ . For every vector  $a \in \mathcal{X} \subset \mathfrak{H}_\rho = \{T_f e_1^V; f \in L_\rho(G) * \overline{\chi_\delta}\}$ , there exists a function  $f \in L_\rho(G) * \overline{\chi_\delta}$  such that  $T_f e_1^V = a$ . From Corollary to Lemma 3, we may assume  $T_f e_i^V = 0$  ( $i=2, \dots, d$ ) without loss of generality. Then  $T_f V \subset \mathcal{X}$  or, by definition,  $\Phi(f) \in \mathfrak{M}$ . Therefore  $a = T_f e_1^V \in \mathfrak{H}_V(\mathfrak{M})$ . Thus we obtain  $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathfrak{H}_V(\mathfrak{M})$ . Since  $\mathfrak{H}_V(\mathfrak{M}) \subset \mathcal{X}$  is clear, we have proved the equality  $\mathcal{X} = \mathfrak{H}_V(\mathfrak{M})$ . Q. E. D.

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $V$  be a  $K$ -irreducible subspace of  $\mathfrak{H}(\delta)$ . The mapping  $\mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} = \mathfrak{H}_V(\mathfrak{M})$  is a bijection of the set of all left ideals  $\mathfrak{M}$  in  $A_\rho$  which satisfy  $\mathfrak{M} \supset \mathfrak{M}_V$  and  $M\mathfrak{M} \subset \mathfrak{M}$  for all  $d \times d$ -matrices  $M$  onto the set of all  $L_\rho(S)$ -invariant subspace  $\mathcal{X}$  of  $\mathfrak{H}_\rho$ .*

*Proof.* We have only to prove the injectivity. Let  $\mathfrak{M}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{M}_2$  be distinct left ideals in  $A_\rho$  which satisfy the above conditions. We may assume that there exists an element  $F \in \mathfrak{M}_1$  such that  $F \notin \mathfrak{M}_2$ . Since  $F = E_{11}F + \dots + E_{dd}F$ , one of the  $d$  terms of the right hand side, say  $E_{11}F$ , does not belong to  $\mathfrak{M}_2$ . We put  $f_1 = \Phi^{-1}(E_{11}F)$ . Suppose  $\mathfrak{H}_V(\mathfrak{M}_1) = \mathfrak{H}_V(\mathfrak{M}_2)$ , then  $T_{f_1} e_1^V \in \mathfrak{H}_V(\mathfrak{M}_1)$  has another expression of the form  $T_{f_1} e_1^V = T_g e_1^V$  with a suitable function  $g \in \Phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{M}_2)$ . Since  $E_{11}G \in \mathfrak{M}_2$  where  $G = \Phi(g)$ , the function  $g_1 = \Phi^{-1}(E_{11}G)$  satisfies  $T_{g_1} a = T_{f_1} a$  for every  $a \in V$  by Corollary to Lemma 3. Therefore, by Lemma 4, we obtain  $E_{11}F - E_{11}G \in \mathfrak{M}_V \subset \mathfrak{M}_2$ . This means  $E_{11}F \in \mathfrak{M}_2$ , but this is a contradiction. Q. E. D.

Since  $\mathfrak{M}_V$ , where  $V$  is a  $K$ -irreducible subspace of  $\mathfrak{H}(\delta)$ , is a regular left ideal in the Banach algebra  $A_\rho$ , a maximal left ideal  $\mathfrak{M}$  in  $A_\rho$  which contains  $\mathfrak{M}_V$  is closed in  $A_\rho$ . Therefore  $M\mathfrak{M} \subset \mathfrak{M}$  for every  $d \times d$ -matrix  $M$ , and  $\mathfrak{M}$  is invariant under left translations  $\varepsilon_s$  ( $s \in S$ ) where  $(\varepsilon_s F)(t) = F(s^{-1}t)$ . Now we naturally define the left multiplication by  $d \times d$ -matrix and the left translations  $\varepsilon_s$  on the quotient space  $A_\rho/\mathfrak{M}$  which is a Banach space with the usual norm. Put

$$H_i = E_{ii}(A_\rho/\mathfrak{M}) \quad (i = 1, \dots, d)$$

where  $E_{ii}$  denotes, as before, the  $d \times d$ -matrix whose  $(i, i)$ -matrix coefficient is 1 and the others are 0. We shall denote by  $\pi_i(s)$  the left translation by an element  $s \in S$  on the Banach space  $H_i$ , then  $\{H_i, \pi_i(s)\}$  are mutually equivalent topologically irreducible representations of  $S$ .

On the other hand, for a maximal left ideal  $\mathfrak{M}$  in  $A_\rho$  which contains  $\mathfrak{M}_V$ ,  $\mathcal{X} = \mathfrak{H}_V(\mathfrak{M})$  is a maximal  $L_\rho(S)$ -invariant subspace of  $\mathfrak{H}_\rho$  by Lemma 7. Since  $\mathfrak{M}$  is invariant under  $\varepsilon_s$  ( $s \in S$ ), the subspace  $\mathcal{X}$  is obviously  $S$ -invariant, i.e.,  $T_s \mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{X}$  for all  $s \in S$ . Thus the operator  $T_s$  naturally induces a linear operator, which is denoted by  $A(s)$ , on the vector space  $\mathfrak{H}_\rho/\mathcal{X}$ .  $A(s)$  is a representation of  $S$  on the vector space  $\mathfrak{H}_\rho/\mathcal{X}$  in a purely algebraic sense.

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a maximal left ideal in  $A_\rho$  which contains  $\mathfrak{M}_V$ . The representations  $\pi_i(s)$  and  $\Lambda(s)$  of  $S$ , which are defined for  $\mathfrak{M}$  as above, are algebraically equivalent. In other words, there exists a linear bijection  $I_i$  of  $H_i$  onto  $\mathfrak{H}_\rho/\mathcal{K}$ , where  $\mathcal{K} = \mathfrak{H}_V(\mathfrak{M})$ , such that  $I_i \circ \pi_i(s) = \Lambda(s) \circ I_i$  for  $s \in S$ .*

*Proof.* For  $F \in A_\rho$  we define  $I'_i(E_{ii}F) = T_{f_i}e_i^V$  where  $f_i = \Phi^{-1}(E_{ii}F)$ . If  $E_{ii}F \in \mathfrak{M}$ , then  $T_{f_i}e_i^V \in \mathfrak{H}_V(\mathfrak{M})$  by the definition of  $\mathfrak{H}_V(\mathfrak{M})$ . Conversely, if  $T_{f_i}e_i^V \in \mathfrak{H}_V(\mathfrak{M})$ , then  $T_{f_i}a \in \mathfrak{H}_V(\mathfrak{M})$  for all  $a \in V$  by Corollary to Lemma 3. Therefore  $E_{ii}F = \Phi(f_i) \in \mathfrak{M}$  by Lemma 7. These facts mean that  $I'_i$  induces naturally a linear bijection  $I_i$  of  $H_i$  onto  $\mathfrak{H}_\rho/\mathfrak{H}_V(\mathfrak{M})$ . The equality  $I_i \circ \pi_i(s) = \Lambda(s) \circ I_i$  is clear. Q. E. D.

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a non-trivial maximal  $L_\rho(S)$ -invariant subspace of  $\mathfrak{H}_\rho$ . For a  $K$ -irreducible subspace  $V$  of  $\mathfrak{H}(\delta)$ , there exists a maximal left ideal  $\mathfrak{M}$  in  $A_\rho$  which contains  $\mathfrak{M}_V$  such that  $\mathcal{K} = \mathfrak{H}_V(\mathfrak{M})$  (Lemma 7). For this maximal left ideal  $\mathfrak{M}$ , we can define topologically irreducible representations  $\{H_i, \pi_i(s)\}$  of  $S$  as above. If we introduce a structure of Banach space into  $\mathfrak{H}_\rho/\mathcal{K}$  with respect to which the linear bijection  $I_i$  of  $H_i$  onto  $\mathfrak{H}_\rho/\mathcal{K}$  is an isomorphism, then we obtain a topologically irreducible representation  $\Lambda(s)$  of  $S$  on the Banach space  $\mathfrak{H}_\rho/\mathcal{K}$ .

**§3. Main theorem**

Let  $G = S \cdot K$  be the same locally compact group as in §2. Let  $\{H, \Lambda(s)\}$  be a topologically irreducible representation of  $S$  on a Banach space  $H$ . We shall denote by  $\mathfrak{H}^A$  the Banach space of all  $H$ -valued continuous functions  $\xi$  on  $K$  with a norm  $\|\xi\| = \sup \|\xi(u)\|_H$ , where  $\|\cdot\|_H$  is the norm in  $H$ . For every pair  $(x, y) \in G \times G$ , we define  $\kappa(x, y) \in K$  and  $\sigma(x, y) \in S$  by

$$xy = \kappa(x, y)\sigma(x, y).$$

With this notations, we define a bounded linear operator  $T_x^A$  on  $\mathfrak{H}^A$  for every  $x \in G$  by

$$(T_x^A \xi)(u) = \Lambda(\sigma(x^{-1}, u)^{-1})\xi(\kappa(x^{-1}, u)) \quad (u \in K).$$

Then  $\{\mathfrak{H}^A, T_x^A\}$  is a representation of  $G$ .

Let  $\delta$  be an equivalence class of irreducible representations of  $K$ . As in §1, we choose an irreducible unitary matricial representation  $D(u)$  of  $K$  belonging to  $\delta$ , and denote by  $d_{ij}(u)$  its  $(i, j)$ -matrix coefficient. Put

$$E^A(\delta) = \int_K T_u^A \overline{\chi_\delta(u)} du, \quad E_{ij}^A(\delta) = d \int_K T_u^A \overline{d_{ij}(u)} du \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq d)$$

where  $d$  is the degree of  $\delta$ . By the arguments in §1, mutually equivalent  $d$  representations of the algebra  $L^\circ(\delta)$  are defined on subspaces

$$\mathfrak{H}_i^A(\delta) = E_{ii}^A(\delta)\mathfrak{H}^A = \{\xi(u) = \sum_{j=1}^d \overline{d_{ij}(u)} a_j; a_j \in H\} \quad (1 \leq i \leq d).$$

Denote by  $e_j$  a  $d$ -dimensional column vector whose  $j$ -th component is 1 and the

others are 0, then the mapping  $P$  defined by

$$P\left(\sum_{j=1}^d \overline{d_{ij}} a_j\right) = \sum_{j=1}^d e_j \otimes a_j$$

is a linear isomorphism of  $\mathfrak{H}_i^A(\delta)$  onto  $\mathbf{C}^d \otimes H$ . If we adopt  $\sum_{j=1}^d \|a_j\|_H$  as a norm of  $\sum_{j=1}^d e_j \otimes a_j$ , then  $\mathbf{C}^d \otimes H$  is a Banach space and  $P$  gives an isomorphism of the Banach space  $\mathfrak{H}_i^A(\delta)$  onto the Banach space  $\mathbf{C}^d \otimes H$ .

For every function  $f \in L^\circ(\delta)$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (T_j^A \overline{d_{ij}} a)(u) &= \int_G \overline{d_{ij}(\kappa(x^{-1}, u))} \Lambda(\sigma(x^{-1}, u)^{-1}) a f(x) dx \\ &= \int_{G \times K} \overline{d_{ij}(\kappa(x^{-1}, u))} \Lambda(\sigma(x^{-1}, u)^{-1}) a f(vxv^{-1}) dx dv \\ &= \int_{G \times K} \overline{d_{ij}(v \cdot \kappa(x^{-1}, u))} \Lambda(\sigma(x^{-1}, v^{-1}u)^{-1}) a f(x) dx dv \\ &= \int_{G \times K} \overline{d_{ij}(uv \cdot \kappa(x^{-1}, v^{-1}))} \Lambda(\sigma(x^{-1}, v^{-1})^{-1}) a f(x) dx dv \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^d \overline{d_{ij}(u)} \left[ \int_{G \times K} \overline{d_{nj}(v \cdot \kappa(x^{-1}, v^{-1}))} \Lambda(\sigma(x^{-1}, v^{-1})^{-1}) a f(x) dx dv \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} P \circ (T_j^A | \mathfrak{H}_i^A(\delta)) \circ P^{-1}(e_j \otimes a) &= \sum_{n=1}^d e_n \otimes \left[ \int_{G \times K} \overline{d_{nj}(v \cdot \kappa(x^{-1}, v^{-1}))} \Lambda(\sigma(x^{-1}, v^{-1})^{-1}) a f(x) dx dv \right] \\ &= \int_G \left[ \int_K \left( \sum_{n=1}^d \overline{d_{nj}(v \cdot \kappa(x^{-1}, v^{-1}))} e_n \right) \otimes \Lambda(\sigma(x^{-1}, v^{-1})^{-1}) a dv \right] f(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Now put

$$W^A(x) = \int_K \tilde{W}^A(vx^{-1}v^{-1}) dv$$

where  $\tilde{w}^A(x) = \overline{D(u)} \otimes \Lambda(s^{-1})$  with  $x=us$ , then it follows that

$$P \circ (T_j^A | \mathfrak{H}_i^A(\delta)) \circ P^{-1} = W^A(f) = \int_G W^A(x) f(x) dx$$

for  $f \in L^\circ(\delta)$ .

Let  $\{\mathfrak{H}, T_x\}$  be a topologically irreducible representation of  $G$  on a Banach space  $\mathfrak{H}$  which contains  $\delta$   $p$  times ( $0 < p < +\infty$ ), i.e.,  $\dim \mathfrak{H}(\delta) = pd$ . As is proved in §2, there exists a maximal  $L_\rho(S)$ -invariant subspace  $\mathcal{X}$ , which is  $S$ -invariant at the same time, of  $\mathfrak{H}_\rho$  where  $\rho(x) = \|T_x\|$ , and we introduce the Banach space structure into  $H = \mathfrak{H}_\rho / \mathcal{X}$  defined in the last paragraph of §2.  $\Lambda(s)$  denotes the topologically irreducible representation of  $S$  naturally defined on  $H$ . For this representation

$\{H, \Lambda(s)\}$  we consider the induced representation  $\{\mathfrak{S}^A, T_x^A\}$  of  $G$ . Let  $U_0(f)$  be a  $p$ -dimensional irreducible representation of the algebra  $L^\circ(\delta)$  which is equivalent to  $T_f| \mathfrak{S}_i(\delta)$  on  $\mathfrak{S}_i(\delta)$ , then this is naturally extended to a representation of the algebra  $L_\rho^\circ(\delta)$ , denoted by the same notation  $U_0(f)$ . In [5] it is proved that there exists a  $p$ -dimensional subspace  $\mathcal{L}$  of  $\mathbf{C}^d \otimes H$  which is invariant for all  $W^A(f)$  ( $f \in L_\rho^\circ(\delta)$ ) such that  $U_0(f)$  is equivalent to  $W^A(f)| \mathcal{L}$ . Of course the representation  $L^\circ(\delta) \ni f \rightarrow W^A(f)| \mathcal{L}$  of the algebra  $L^\circ(\delta)$  is irreducible and equivalent to  $U_0(f)$ . On the other hand,  $W^A(f)$  is equivalent to the representation  $T_f^A| \mathfrak{S}_i^A(\delta)$  of the algebra  $L^\circ(\delta)$ , therefore  $U_0(f)$  is equivalent to a subrepresentation of  $T_f^A| \mathfrak{S}_i^A(\delta)$ . Now, by Theorem 4, we can find closed  $G$ -invariant subspaces  $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$  of  $\mathfrak{S}^A$  satisfying the following conditions;

(a)  $\mathcal{H}_1 \supset \mathcal{H}_2, E^A(\delta)\mathcal{H}_2 = \{0\},$

(b) the naturally defined representation  $\tau$  of  $G$  on the Banach space  $\mathcal{H}_1/\mathcal{H}_2$  is topologically irreducible, and SF-equivalent to  $\{\mathfrak{S}, T_x\}$ .

Therefore we have proved the following main theorem.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact unimodular group with a continuous decomposition  $G = SK$ , where  $S$  is a closed subgroup and  $K$  a compact subgroup of  $G$  such that  $S \cap K = \{1\}$ . Let  $\{\mathfrak{S}, T_x\}$  be a topologically irreducible representation of  $G$  on a Banach space  $\mathfrak{S}$  which contains  $\delta \in \hat{K}$  finitely many times. Then,*

(I) *there exists a topologically irreducible representation  $\Lambda(s)$  of  $S$  on a Banach space with the following property; for the induced representation  $\{\mathfrak{S}^A, T_x^A\}$  of  $G$ , there exist closed  $G$ -invariant subspaces  $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$  of  $\mathfrak{S}^A$  such that*

(a)  $\mathcal{H}_1 \supset \mathcal{H}_2, E^A(\delta)\mathcal{H}_2 = \{0\},$

(b) *the naturally defined representation  $\tau$  of  $G$  on the Banach space  $\mathcal{H}_1/\mathcal{H}_2$  is topologically irreducible, and SF-equivalent to  $\{\mathfrak{S}, T_x\}$ .*

(II) *One of topologically irreducible representations  $\Lambda(s)$  of  $S$  which satisfy (I) is algebraically equivalent to the naturally defined representation of  $S$  on  $\mathfrak{S}_\rho/\mathcal{K}$ , where  $\rho(x) = \|T_x\|$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  is a non-trivial maximal  $L_\rho(S)$ -invariant subspace of  $\mathfrak{S}_\rho$ .*

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
EHIME UNIVERSITY

**Bibliography**

[1] R. Godement; A theory of spherical functions. I, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 73 (1952), 496-556.  
 [2] H. Shin'ya; Spherical functions on locally compact groups, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., 12-1 (1972), 55-85.  
 [3] H. Shin'ya; Spherical matrix functions on locally compact groups, Proc. Japan Acad., 50 (1974), 368-373.

- [4] H. Shin'ya; Spherical functions and spherical matrix functions on locally compact groups, Lectures in mathematics, Kyoto Univ., 7.
- [5] H. Shin'ya; Spherical matrix functions on locally compact groups of a certain type, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., 17-3 (1977), 501-509.