

Metrical Finsler structures and metrical Finsler connections

Dedicated to Professor Makoto Matsumoto on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday

By

Radu MIRON

(Communicated by Prof. Toda, February 1, 1982)

A Finsler space is sometimes adopted as a basic concept in the theoretical physics. However, the fact that the fundamental tensor field of a Finsler space is provided from a positively homogeneous function is not always desirable for physicists, as pointed out by several authors. In fact, recently Matsumoto [8] showed an unexpected result (Corollary of Theorem 2) on four-dimensional Finsler spaces, which may be a direct consequence of such an origin of the fundamental tensor field. It seems to the author that Kern's Lagrange geometry [6] is noteworthy in this aspect. As to physical viewpoint, see Ingarden's lecture [5] and Takano's lecture [11]. Further it is suggestive that Horváth and Moór [4] again developed their theory based on a generalized metric in Moór's terminology, after their Finsler-geometric treatment of the same subject [3].

In the present paper we first define a metrical structure on a differentiable manifold as a Finsler tensor field g of type $(0, 2)$ in Matsumoto's terminology [7] and establish the existence of a set of connections FG of Finsler type which are metrical with respect to g . Based on the notion of absolute energy associated to g , we define regular Finsler structures. From a regular Finsler structure a metrical Finsler connection, called canonical by us, is uniquely determined. This Finsler connection is regarded as a generalization of the Cartan connection in case of Finsler geometry.

Almost all the theorems in this paper are proved applying the methods given by the present author and Hashiguchi [9, 10]; so the proofs are omitted.

Throughout the present paper we suppose that the contents of Matsumoto's monograph [7] are known.

§1. The metrical Finsler structures and metrical Finsler connections

Let M be an n -dimensional differentiable manifold, TM its tangent bundle and $\pi: TM \rightarrow M$ the natural projection. If $U \subset M$ is the coordinate neighborhood of a coordinate system (x^i) , then $\pi^{-1}(U) \subset TM$ is a coordinate neighborhood, too. Let (x^i, y^i) be the coordinate system of a point $y \in \pi^{-1}(U)$, $x = (x^i) = \pi(y)$.

Definition 1.1. A Finsler tensor field g of type $(0, 2)$ which is symmetric and nondegenerate is called a *metrical Finsler structure* on the differentiable manifold M .

If $g_{ij}(x, y)$ are local components of g on $\pi^{-1}(U)$, the above conditions for g are written as

$$(1.1) \quad g_{ij}(x, y) = g_{ji}(x, y), \quad \det(g_{ij}) \neq 0.$$

Let $g^{ij}(x, y)$ be the reciprocal tensor field of g : $g_{ij}g^{kj} = \delta_i^k$, and let

$$(1.2) \quad \Omega_{sj}^{ir} = (\delta_s^i \delta_j^r - g_{sj} g^{ir})/2, \quad \Omega_{sj}^{*ir} = (\delta_s^i \delta_j^r + g_{sj} g^{ir})/2$$

be the Obata operators of g .

Definition 1.2. A Finsler connection $FG = (N, F, C)$ is called *metrical* if it satisfies the conditions:

$$(1.3) \quad g_{ij|k} = 0, \quad g_{ij}|_k = 0.$$

Evidently we have $\Omega_{sj|k}^{ir} = \Omega_{sj}^{ir}|_k = 0$ and $\Omega_{sj|k}^{*ir} = \Omega_{sj}^{*ir}|_k = 0$ from (1.3). Using the Ricci identities we easily obtain

Theorem 1.1. *The curvature tensor fields R_{jkl}^i, P_{jkl}^i and S_{jkl}^i of a metrical Finsler connection FG have the property that the Finsler tensor fields $\Omega_{sj}^{*ir} R_{rkl}^s, \Omega_{sj}^{*ir} P_{rkl}^s, \Omega_{sj}^{*ir} S_{rkl}^s$ and their h - and v -covariant derivatives of any order vanish.*

On a similar way to the proof used in the papers [9, 10] we get

Theorem 1.2. *Let $F\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}$ be a fixed Finsler connection. Then any metrical Finsler connection FG is given by*

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} N_k^i &= \overset{\circ}{N}_k^i - X_k^i, \\ F_{jk}^i &= \overset{\circ}{F}_{jk}^i + \overset{\circ}{C}_{jm}^i X_k^m + g^{im}(g_{mj}\overset{\circ}{\mid}_k + g_{mj|p} \overset{\circ}{X}_k^p)/2 + \Omega_{sj}^{ir} X_{rk}^s, \\ C_{jk}^i &= \overset{\circ}{C}_{jk}^i + g^{im} g_{mj}\overset{\circ}{\mid}_k/2 + \Omega_{sj}^{ir} Y_{rk}^s, \end{aligned}$$

where $\overset{\circ}{\mid}$ and $\overset{\circ}{\mid}$ denote the h - and v -covariant derivatives with respect to $F\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}$ and $X_j^i, X_{jk}^i, Y_{jk}^i$ are arbitrary Finsler tensor fields.

As a particular case:

Theorem 1.3. *Let $F\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}$ be a given Finsler connection. Then the following Finsler connection FG is metrical:*

$$(1.5) \quad N_k^i = \overset{\circ}{N}_k^i, \quad F_{jk}^i = \overset{\circ}{F}_{jk}^i + g^{im} g_{mj}\overset{\circ}{\mid}_k/2, \quad C_{jk}^i = \overset{\circ}{C}_{jk}^i + g^{im} g_{mj}\overset{\circ}{\mid}_k/2.$$

The last two theorems show the existence and arbitrariness of the metrical Finsler connections.

Remark 1. Applying the method in the paper [9, 10] we can study the metrical Finsler connections, the transformation group of metrical Finsler connections and its invariants. The theory of semi-symmetric metrical Finsler connections is very interesting, too. See [2].

§2. Regular metrical Finsler structures

To a metrical Finsler structure g we associate the function

$$(2.1) \quad L(x, y) = g_{00} = g_{ij}(x, y)y^i y^j,$$

which is called the *absolute energy*.

Consider the Finsler tensor field

$$(2.2) \quad \mathring{C}_{jk}^i = g^{im}(\partial g_{jm}/\partial y^k + \partial g_{km}/\partial y^j - \partial g_{jk}/\partial y^m)/2,$$

which is symmetric in the indices j and k , and we put

$$(2.3) \quad \mathring{C}_{ijk} = g_{jm} \mathring{C}_{ik}^m,$$

$$(2.4) \quad \mathring{C}_{i00} = \mathring{C}_{ijk} y^j y^k = (\partial g_{jk}/\partial y^i) y^j y^k / 2,$$

$$(2.5) \quad A_j^i = \delta_j^i + g^{im}(\partial g_{hm}/\partial y^j) y^h.$$

Definition 2.1. The metrical Finsler structure g is called *regular* if

$$(2.6) \quad \mathring{C}_{i00} = 0, \quad (2.7) \quad \det(\partial^2 L / \partial y^j \partial y^k) \neq 0$$

are satisfied.

Proposition 2.1. *The metrical Finsler structure g is regular if and only if*

$$(a) \quad g_{ij} y^j = (\partial L / \partial y^i) / 2, \quad (b) \quad \det(A_j^i) \neq 0$$

are satisfied.

Indeed, the condition (2.6) is equivalent to (a) and, because of $(\partial^2 L / \partial y^j \partial y^k) / 2 = g_{jk} + (\partial g_{hj} / \partial y^k) y^h$, we have $(g^{im} \partial^2 L / \partial y^m \partial y^j) / 2 = A_j^i$, so that (2.7) is equivalent to (b).

Remark 2. (1) If g is a regular metrical Finsler structure, we get $(\partial g_{hj} / \partial y^k) y^h = (\partial g_{hk} / \partial y^j) y^h$.

(2) If we are concerned with the characteristic polynomial of the matrix $(g^{im} \{\partial g_{hm} / \partial y^j\} y^h)$, the determinant of the matrix (A_j^i) can be easily computed.

Let B_j^i be the reciprocal tensor field of A_j^i :

$$(2.8) \quad B_h^i A_j^h = \delta_j^i,$$

and put

$$(2.9) \quad \gamma_{jk}^i = g^{im}(\partial g_{jm} / \partial x^k + \partial g_{km} / \partial x^j - \partial g_{jk} / \partial x^m) / 2,$$

$$(2.10) \quad \gamma_{00}^i = \gamma_{jk}^i y^j y^k.$$

Then we have

Theorem 2.1. *For any regular metrical Finsler structure g , $\mathring{N}_j^i(x, y)$ given by*

$$(2.11) \quad \mathring{N}_j^i(x, y) = \{\partial(B_h^i \gamma_{00}^h) / \partial y^j\} / 2$$

are coefficients of a non-linear connection \mathring{N} determined by the structure g only.

Proof. A coordinate transformation on the tangent bundle TM , namely,

$$\bar{x}^i = \bar{x}^i(x^1, \dots, x^n), \quad \bar{y}^i = \bar{X}_p^i y^p, \quad (\bar{X}_p^i = \partial \bar{x}^i / \partial x^p),$$

implies the transformation $(\partial/\partial x^p, \partial/\partial y^p) \rightarrow (\partial/\partial \bar{x}^i, \partial/\partial \bar{y}^i)$ given by

$$\partial/\partial \bar{x}^i = \underline{X}_p^i \partial/\partial x^p + (\partial \underline{X}_p^i / \partial \bar{x}^i) \bar{y}^h \partial/\partial y^p, \quad (\underline{X}_p^i = \partial x^p / \partial \bar{x}^i), \quad \partial/\partial \bar{y}^i = \underline{X}_p^i \partial/\partial y^p.$$

From these equations, direct computation leads to

$$\bar{\bar{N}}_j^i = \bar{X}_p^i \underline{X}_q^j \mathring{N}_q^p + \bar{X}_p^i (\partial \underline{X}_q^p / \partial \bar{x}^k) \bar{y}^k,$$

which shows that $\bar{\bar{N}} = \{\bar{\bar{N}}_j^i\}$ certainly is a non-linear connection.

The non-linear connection \mathring{N} is considered as a distribution: $y \in TM \mapsto \mathring{N}_y \subset TM_y$ having the property $TM_y = \mathring{N}_y \oplus TM_y^v$, and the vector fields

$$(2.12) \quad \delta/\delta x^i = \partial/\partial x^i - \mathring{N}_i^m \partial/\partial y^m, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

provide a local basis of the (horizontal) distribution \mathring{N} .

§3. Canonical Finsler connections

From the non-linear connection \mathring{N} given by Theorem 2.1 we can introduce

$$(3.1) \quad \mathring{F}_{jk}^i = g^{im} (\delta g_{jm} / \delta x^k + \delta g_{km} / \delta x^j - \delta g_{jk} / \delta x^m) / 2.$$

Then we get

Theorem 3.1. *Let g be a regular metrical Finsler structure. The triad $F\mathring{\Gamma} = (\mathring{N}, \mathring{F}, \mathring{C})$, where \mathring{N} , \mathring{F} and \mathring{C} are given by (2.11), (3.1) and (2.2) respectively, is a metrical Finsler connection.*

Proof. It is easy to see that $F\mathring{\Gamma}$ is a Finsler connection. A straightforward calculation shows that $g_{ij} \mathring{\Gamma}_k = 0$ and $g_{ij} \mathring{\Gamma}_k = 0$.

The above metrical Finsler connection $F\mathring{\Gamma}$ has the properties:

- (a) It is determined by the regular metrical Finsler structure g only.
- (b) Its torsion tensor fields \mathring{T} and \mathring{S}^1 vanish.

For these reasons $F\mathring{\Gamma}$ is called the *canonical metrical Finsler connection* of the regular metrical Finsler structure g .

In the formulas (1.4), taking the canonical metrical Finsler connection of g as the fixed Finsler connection $F\mathring{\Gamma}$, we obtain

Theorem 3.2. *Let g be a regular metrical Finsler structure and let $F\mathring{\Gamma}$ be its canonical metrical Finsler connection. Then, the set of all the metrical Finsler connection FF is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_k^i &= \mathring{N}_k^i - X_k^i, \\
 (3.2) \quad F_{jk}^i &= \mathring{F}_{jk}^i + \mathring{C}_{jm}^i X_k^m + \Omega_{sj}^{ir} X_{rk}^s, \\
 C_{jk}^i &= \mathring{C}_{jk}^i + \Omega_{sj}^{ir} Y_{rk}^s,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $X_j^i, X_{jk}^i, Y_{jk}^i$ are arbitrary Finsler tensor fields.

We denote by $FG(N)=(N, F, C)$ any Finsler connection which has a fixed non-linear connection N . Then the last theorem has the following consequence:

Theorem 3.3. *Let g be a regular metrical Finsler structure and $F\mathring{\Gamma}=(\mathring{N}, \mathring{F}, \mathring{C})$ be its canonical metrical Finsler connection. Then the set of all the metrical Finsler connections $FG(\mathring{N})$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.3) \quad F_{jk}^i &= \mathring{F}_{jk}^i + \Omega_{sj}^{ir} X_{rk}^s, \\
 C_{jk}^i &= \mathring{C}_{jk}^i + \Omega_{sj}^{ir} Y_{rk}^s,
 \end{aligned}$$

where X_{jk}^i, Y_{jk}^i are arbitrary Finsler tensor fields.

Now, applying the method used in the papers [9, 10], we obtain

Theorem 3.4. *Let g be a regular metrical Finsler structure and $F\mathring{\Gamma}$ be its canonical metrical Finsler connection. Further, let two alternate Finsler tensor fields T_{jk}^i, S_{jk}^i be given. Then there exists an unique metrical Finsler connection $FG(\mathring{N})$ having torsion tensor fields $T=(T_{jk}^i)$ and $S^1=(S_{jk}^i)$, which is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{jk}^i &= \mathring{F}_{jk}^i + g^{im}(g_{mh}T_{jk}^h - g_{jh}T_{mk}^h + g_{kh}T_{jm}^h)/2, \\
 C_{jk}^i &= \mathring{C}_{jk}^i + g^{im}(g_{mh}S_{jk}^h - g_{jh}S_{mk}^h + g_{kh}S_{jm}^h)/2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently we get

Theorem 3.5. *Let g be a regular metrical Finsler structure and $F\mathring{\Gamma}=(\mathring{N}, \mathring{F}, \mathring{C})$ be its canonical metrical Finsler connection. Then there exists an unique metrical Finsler connection $FG(\mathring{N})$ with $T=S^1=0$. This is the canonical metrical Finsler connection $F\mathring{\Gamma}$.*

It is easy to particularise the above results to the Finsler spaces. If $F(x, y)$ denotes the fundamental function of a Finsler space F_n , the absolute energy $L(x, y)$ is $F^2(x, y)$. We have $\mathring{C}_{j00}=0$ and $A_j^i=\delta_j^i=B_j^i$. Thus $g_{ij}=(\partial^2 F^2/\partial y^i \partial y^j)/2$ is a regular metrical Finsler structure. In this case the canonical metrical Finsler connection $F\mathring{\Gamma}$ is obviously nothing but Cartan's connection CF . So we have

Theorem 3.6. *If g is the metrical Finsler structure $g_{ij}=(\partial^2 F^2/\partial y^i \partial y^j)/2$ obtained from a fundamental function $F(x, y)$ of a Finsler space, it is regular and its canonical metrical Finsler connection $F\mathring{\Gamma}$ coincides with the Cartan connection CF .*

UNIVERSITATEA „AL. I. CUZA”
FACULTATEA DE MATEMATICA
IAȘI, ROMANIA

References

- [1] M. Anastasiei, Transformations of Finsler connections, *Lucrarile Colocviului Național de geometrie și topologie*, Cluj-Napoca, 1978.
- [2] M. Hashiguchi, Wagner connections and Miron connections, *Rev. Roumaine Pures et Appliquees*, **25** (1980), 1387–1390.
- [3] J. I. Horváth u. A. Moór, Entwicklung einer einheitlichen Feldtheorie begründet auf die Finslerschen Geometrie, *Z. Physik* **131** (1952), 544–570.
- [4] J. I. Horváth u. A. Moór, Entwicklung einer Feldtheorie begründet auf einer allgemeinen metrischen Linienelementraum. I, II., *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. A58=Indag. Math.* **17** (1955), 421–429, 581–587.
- [5] R. S. Ingarden, Differential geometry and physics, *Tensor*, N. S. **30** (1976), 201–209.
- [6] J. Kern, Lagrange geometry, *Arch. Math.* **25** (1974), 438–443.
- [7] M. Matsumoto, The theory of Finsler connections, *Publ. of the Study Group of Geometry* **5**, Dept. Math., Fac. Liberal Art and Sci., Okayama Univ., 1970.
- [8] M. Matsumoto, On Einstein's gravitational field equation in a tangent Riemannian space of a Finsler space, *Rep. on Math. Phys.*, **8** (1975), 103–108.
- [9] R. Miron, Spatii Finsler. Cercetari ale matematicienilor romani, *Lucrarile Colocviului Național de geometrie și topologie*, Timișoara, 26–28 aprilie 1977, 9–21.
- [10] R. Miron and M. Hashiguchi, Metrical Finsler connections, *Rep. Fac. Sci. Kagoshima Univ. (Math. Phys. Chem.)*, **12** (1979), 21–35.
- [11] Y. Takano, On the theory of fields in Finsler spaces, *Proc. Intern. Symp. Relativity and Unified Field Theory*, Calcutta, 1975, 17–26.