

Structure of solutions for the Lewy type equations

Dedicated to the late Professor Hans Lewy

By

Haruki NINOMIYA

§1. Introduction

Let L be an real analytic vector field in R^3 . It is called a differential operator of Lewy type if it enjoys the following properties:

- (a.1) L, \bar{L} and $[L, \bar{L}]$ are linearly independent.
- (a.2) \exists real analytic functions X and Y such that
 (i) $X = \bar{X}$, (ii) $L(Y) = 0$, (iii) $L(X + iY\bar{Y}) = 0$ and (iv) $dX \wedge d\bar{Y} \wedge dY \neq 0$.

Suppose L be a differential operator of Lewy Type. Taking a suitable analytic change of coordinates, one can transform L to this: $a(x)\{1/2(\partial/\partial x_1 - i(\partial/\partial x_2)) + i(x_1 - ix_2)\partial/\partial x_3\}$, where $a(x)$ denotes a nonvanishing real analytic function. The operator $1/2(\partial/\partial x_1 - i(\partial/\partial x_2)) + i(x_1 - ix_2)\partial/\partial x_3$ is the celebrated H. Lewy one ([3]); it is hereafter denoted by L_x . Then the equation of Lewy type $Lu = f$ is reduced to the Lewy equation $L_x u = f$. Sato [6] and Greiner-Kohn-Stein [2] gave the micro-local solvability conditions and the local solvability ones for the Lewy equation, respectively. In Greiner-Kohn-Stein [2], the following results are included: Let \mathcal{D} and $\partial\mathcal{D}$ denote $\{(z_1, z_2) \in C^2; \text{Im } z_2 > |z_1|^2\}$ and $\{(z_1, z_2) \in C^2; \text{Im } z_2 = |z_1|^2\}$, respectively. $R^3 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3)\}$ is identified with $\partial\mathcal{D}$ by $z_1 = x_1 + ix_2$ and $z_2 = x_3 + i(x_1^2 + x_2^2)$. Let Ω be an open set in R^3 .

[G-K-S-I] Let $f \in \mathcal{E}'(\Omega)$, then the Lewy equation $L_x u = f$ has a solution $u \in \mathcal{E}'$ in a neighborhood of a point P in Ω if and only if $C_b(f)$ given by $C_b(f) = C(f)(z_1, z_2)|_{\partial\mathcal{D}}$ is real analytic in a neighborhood of P , where $C(f)(z_1, z_2)$ is the Cauchy-Szegö Integral defined by

$$C(f)(z_1, z_2) = \int_{\partial\mathcal{D}} S(z_1, z_2; w_1, w_2) f d\sigma_w,$$

where $S(z_1, z_2; w_1, w_2) = 1/\{\pi(i(\bar{w}_2 - z_2) - 2\bar{w}_1 z_1)\}^2$ and $d\sigma_w = d \text{Re } w_1 d \text{Im } w_1 d \text{Re } w_2$.

[G-K-S-II] $-L_x \bar{L}_x \cdot K = K \cdot (-L_x \bar{L}_x) = I - C_b$ when acting on \mathcal{E}' , where the operator K is defined by

$$K(f) = f * \frac{\{\log(|z_1|^2 - ix_3) - \log(|z_1|^2 + ix_3)\}}{2\pi^2(|z_1|^2 - ix_3)}$$

provided that the convolution is with respect to the Heisenberg group.

[G-K-S-III] Suppose that the condition of [G-K-S-I] for f is satisfied. If f belongs

to one of the spaces (see Folland-Stein [1] for definition) $S_k^p(\Omega, \text{loc})$, $\Gamma_\alpha(\Omega, \text{loc})$, $L^\infty(\Omega, \text{loc})$ or $C^\infty(\Omega)$, then one can find a u which belongs to $S_{k+1}^p(\omega, \text{loc})$, $\Gamma_{\alpha+1}(\omega, \text{loc})$, $\Gamma_1(\omega, \text{loc})$ or $C^\infty(\omega)$, respectively, where ω denotes an neighborhood ($\subset \Omega$) of P .

In this article, nevertheless, we investigate the structure of classical solutions for the Lewy equation from a different viewpoint: in [5], the author gave a characterization that the solvability of the Mizohata equation is reduced to that of the Cauchy Problem for the Cauchy-Riemann equation; the same is valid for the Lewy equation; that is, the solvability of the Lewy equation can be reduced to that of the Cauchy problem for the Cauchy-Riemann equation; more precisely, for the Cauchy-Riemann equation with two (or three) parameters, which is assured by the property of "Heisenberg group" attached to the Lewy operator; as a result, it seems that it has become far more obvious why the Cauchy-Szegö kernel appears in solving the Lewy equation; and moreover, we have obtained delicate terms which are not in [2] or [6].

Now we shall state our results. First, denoting through by k a positive integer or ∞ , we state the following

Theorem I. *Every C^k solution u of the homogeneous Lewy equation $L_x u = 0$ in a neighborhood of $P(x_1^0, x_2^0, x_3^0) \in \Omega$ can be expressed in the following fashion: $\exists \rho: a \text{ constant} > 0$;*

$$u(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w_1^n \cdot h_n(w_2) \quad \text{in } \omega_\rho,$$

where $w_1 = x_1 - ix_2 - (x_1^0 - ix_2^0)$, $w_2 = |w_1|^2 + i(x_3 - x_3^0 - 2x_2^0 x_1 + 2x_1^0 x_2)$, $\omega_\rho = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3); |w_1|^2 < \rho, |\text{Im } w_2| < \rho\}$ and $h_n(z)$ ($n=0, 1, 2, \dots$) are holomorphic in a complex domain $D_\rho = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; 0 < \text{Re } z < \rho, |\text{Im } z| < \rho\}$, furthermore $h_m(z)$ ($m=0, 1, 2, \dots, k$) are continuous in $\{z \in \mathbb{C}; 0 \leq \text{Re } z < \rho, |\text{Im } z| < \rho\}$. (The summation is uniformly convergent on compact sets in ω_ρ .)

Remark. It follows that every C^1 solution of the homogeneous Lewy equation is "a function" of the independent two solutions w_1 and w_2 .

Next we have obtained the following another expression which corresponds to [G-K-S-I]:

Theorem II. *Given $f(x) = f(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, then the Lewy equation $L_x u(x) = f(x)$ has a C^1 solution $u(x)$ in a neighborhood of $P(x_1^0, x_2^0, x_3^0) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ if and only if there is a positive constant $c (\neq |x_3^0|)$ such that the function $Af(x)$ given by*

$$Af(x) = \int_m S(x; y) f(y) dy_1 dy_2 dy_3 \\ \equiv \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_m S_\epsilon(x; y) f(y) dy_1 dy_2 dy_3,$$

$x \in \Omega_c \equiv \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3; |x_k - x_k^0| < c, k=1, 2, 3\}$, is Lipschitz continuous in Ω_c and extends holomorphically in x_3 to the complex domain $\{x_3 \in \mathbb{C}; \text{Re } x_3 \neq x_3^0 \pm c\}$ uniformly for x_1 and for x_2 , where $S(x; y) \equiv 1 / \{\pi [x_1^2 + x_2^2 + y_1^2 + y_2^2 - 2(x_1 + ix_2)(y_1 - iy_2) + i(y_3 - x_3)]\}^2$, $S_\epsilon(x; y) \equiv 1 / \{\pi [\epsilon^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + y_1^2 + y_2^2 - 2(x_1 + ix_2)(y_1 - iy_2) + i(y_3 - x_3)]\}^2$, $\omega \equiv \{(y_1, y_2, y_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3; (y_1 - x_1)^2$

$+(y_2-x_2)^2 < c_0^2, |y_3-x_3^0-2x_2y_1+2x_1y_2| < c$ and c_0 denotes a positive constant such that $c_0 \leq \min \{c, c/[2(2c+|x_1^0|+|x_2^0|)]\}$.

Notice that $S(x; y)$ is just the Cauchy-Szegö kernel: $S(x; y)=S(z_1, z_2; w_1, w_2)$ where $z_1=x_1+ix_2, z_2=x_3+i|z_1|^2, w_1=y_1+iy_2$ and $w_2=y_3+i|w_1|^2$. Therefore we get the following

Theorem III. Let $f(x) \in C^1(R^3) \cap L^2(R^3)$. Assume $Af(x)$ satisfies the above condition. Then $C_b(f) \equiv C_b f(x)$ is real analytic in a neighborhood of P ; that is,

$$C_b f(x) = \int_{R^3} S(x; y) f(y) dy_1 dy_2 dy_3 \\ \equiv \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{R^3} S_\varepsilon(x; y) f(y) dy_1 dy_2 dy_3$$

is real analytic in a neighborhood of P .

Notation. For a function $g(x) = g(x_1, x_2, x_3), g^*(y_1, y_2, y_3; x_1, x_2)$ denotes $g(x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, y_3 + 2x_2y_1 - 2x_1y_2)$.

We note that

$$Af(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_J \frac{f^*(\sqrt{\xi} \cos \theta, \sqrt{\xi} \sin \theta, \eta; x_1, x_2)}{\{\xi + i(\eta - x_3)\}^2} d\xi d\eta d\theta \\ \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_J \frac{f^*(\sqrt{\xi} \cos \theta, \sqrt{\xi} \sin \theta, \eta; x_1, x_2)}{\{\varepsilon^2 + \xi + i(\eta - x_3)\}^2} d\xi d\eta d\theta,$$

where $J \equiv \{(\xi, \eta, \theta); 0 \leq \xi \leq c_0, x_3^0 - c \leq \eta \leq x_3^0 + c, 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi\}$.

Next we obtain the following theorem which, in a sense, corresponds to [G-K-S-II].

Theorem IV. Let $f(x) \in C^k(R^3)$. For \forall positive constants c and c_0 it holds that

$$L_r \left\{ \frac{-1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^{c_0} d\xi \int_{x_3^0 - c}^{x_3^0 + c} \frac{Hf(\xi, \eta; x_1, x_2)}{\xi + i(\eta - x_3)} d\eta \right\} = f(x) - Af(x) - Rf(x),$$

where

$$Hf(\xi, \eta; x_1, x_2) \equiv \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{f^*(\sqrt{\xi} \cos \theta, \sqrt{\xi} \sin \theta, \eta; x_1, x_2)}{\sqrt{\xi} \exp(-i\theta)} d\theta,$$

and $Rf(x)$ is a certain function which belongs to $C^k(D_c)$ and extends holomorphically in x_3 to the complex domain $\{x_3 \in C; \operatorname{Re} x_3 \neq x_3^0 \pm c\}$ uniformly for x_1 and for x_2 . Here D_c denotes $\{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in R^3; |x_3 - x_3^0| < c\}$.

Now our main theorem is as follows:

Theorem V. Let $f(x) \in C^k(R^3)$. Assume $f(x)$ satisfies the solvability condition in Theorem II. Then every C^m solution $u(x)$ of the Lewy equation in a neighborhood of $P(x_1^0, x_2^0, x_3^0)$ can be expressed in the following fashion, provided $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$:

$$u(x) = n(x) + C_L f(x) + R_L \{Af(x) + Rf(x)\},$$

where $n(x)$ denotes a C^m solution of the homogeneous Lewy equation which has a form

stated in Theorem I and $C_L f(x)$ denotes

$$\frac{-1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^{c_0^2} d\xi \int_{x_3^0 - c}^{x_3^0 + c} \frac{Hf(\xi, \eta; x_1, x_2)}{\xi + i(\eta - x_3)} d\eta,$$

and $R_L\{Af(x) + Rf(x)\}$ is given by

$$R_L\{Af(x) + Rf(x)\} = \frac{-1}{\pi} \int_{S_\delta} \frac{\{Af(\xi^P, \eta^P, \tau^P) + Rf(\xi^P, \eta^P, \tau^P)\}}{[\xi - i\eta - \{x_1 - x_1^0 - i(x_2 - x_2^0)\}]} d\xi d\eta,$$

where ξ^P, η^P, τ^P and S_δ denotes $\xi + x_1^0, \eta + x_2^0, -ix_3 + \xi^2 + \eta^2 - x_1^2 - x_2^2 + (x_1^0)^2 + (x_2^0)^2 + 2x_1^0\xi + 2x_2^0\eta$ and $\{(\xi, \eta) \in R^2; \xi^2 + \eta^2 < \delta^2\}$, respectively; provided δ is a suitably chosen positive constant such that the above integral can be defined.

Remark V.1. $C_L f(x) \in C^{k+1}, R_L\{Af(x) + Rf(x)\} \in C^k$, if $f(x) \in C^k$.

We mention that Treves ([7] and [8]) gave an integral representation of solutions of “solvable” linear PDEs.

Finally, about the proofs; first Theorem I is proved in §2. Next we prove Theorem IV in §3. To prove necessity part of Theorem II, we prepare the following “key Lemma” which is proved in §4:

Lemma A. Given $f(x) \in C^1(R^3)$, assume the Lewy equation $L_x u(x) = f(x)$ has a C^1 solution $u(x)$ in a neighborhood of P . Then, there is a positive constant $c (\neq |x_3^0|)$ such that, taking a constant c_0 such that $0 < c_0 < \min\{c, c/[2(2c + |x_1^0| + |x_2^0|)]\}$, it holds that

$$f(x) = L_x \left\{ P.V. \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{x_3^0 + c}^{x_3^0 - c} u(x_1, x_2, \eta) / (\eta - x_3) d\eta \right\} + L_x \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi^2 i} \int_{\ell} \frac{\Theta u(\xi, \eta; x_1, x_2)}{\{\xi + i(\eta - x_3)\}} d(\xi + i\eta) \right\} + L_x \{C_L f(x)\},$$

where ℓ is the oriented path $ABCD$; $A(0, x_3^0 - c), B(c_0^2, x_3^0 - c), C(c_0^2 x_3^0 + c)$ and $D(0, x_3^0 + c)$, and $\Theta u(\xi, \eta; x_1, x_2)$ denotes

$$\int_0^{2\pi} u^*(\sqrt{\xi} \cos \theta, \sqrt{\xi} \sin \theta, \eta; x_1, x_2) d\theta.$$

Theorem IV and Lemma A explain why the Cauchy-Szegő kernel appears in solving the Lewy equation. The sufficiency of Theorem II follows from Theorem IV and the subsequent Corollary to Proposition B:

Proposition B. Let U be a neighborhood of (x_1^0, x_2^0) in R^2 and I an open interval in R^1 containing x_3^0 . Let $h(x) \in Lip(U \times I)$ and be continuously differentiable in x_3 . Taking a suitable positive constant δ , the function $R_L h(x)$ given by

$$R_L h(x) = \frac{-1}{\pi} \int_{S_\delta} \frac{h(\xi^P, \eta^P, \chi^P)}{[\xi - i\eta - \{x_1 - x_1^0 - i(x_2 - x_2^0)\}]} d\xi d\eta \quad (x \in U_\delta)$$

satisfies the equation

$$L\{R_L h(x)\} \equiv \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right) + (x_1 - ix_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \right\} R_L h(x) = h(x) \quad \text{in } U_\delta,$$

where $\chi^P = \xi^2 + \eta^2 - x_1^2 - x_2^2 + (x_1^0)^2 + (x_2^0)^2 + x_3 + 2x_1^0\xi + 2x_2^0\eta$ and $U_\delta = \{x; (x_1 - x_1^0)^2 + (x_2 - x_2^0)^2 < \delta^2, x_3 \in I\}$. (ξ^P, η^P and S_δ are the same notations as in Theorem V.)

Remark B.1. If $h(x) \in C^k$, then $R_L h(x) \in C^{k+1}$.

Corollary B.1. Same notation as above. Let $h(x) \in Lip(U \times I)$ and extend holomorphically in x_3 to a complex domain $\{x_3 \in C; \operatorname{Re} x_3 \neq x_3^0 \pm c\}$ (c : a positive constant such that $c \neq |x_3^0|$) uniformly for x_1 and for x_2 . Then, \exists a positive constant δ such that the function $R_L h(x)$ satisfies the Lewy equation $L_x \{R_L h(x)\} = h(x)$ in U_δ .

Theorem V thus results from Theorem IV and Corollary B.1; Proposition B is proved in §5. Finally, though Theorem III results from Theorem II and [G-K-S-I], a direct proof to it is given in §6.

§2. Proof of Theorem I

Let $u(x)$ satisfy the homogeneous Lewy equation

$$(2.1) \quad L_x u(x) = 0$$

in a neighborhood of P . Set $x^0 = (x_1^0, x_2^0, x_3^0)$. We denote $u(x_1 + x_1^0, x_2 + x_2^0, x_3 + x_3^0 + 2x_2^0 x_1 - 2x_1^0 x_2)$ by $u(x \star x^0)$. Then $u(x \star x^0)$ is a $C^k(O)$ solution of (2.1) in a neighborhood O of the origin in R^3 , where $O = \{x; x_1^2 + x_2^2 < \rho, |x_3| < \rho\}$ (ρ : a positive constant). Introducing the polar coordinates $x_1 + ix_2 = r \exp(i\theta)$, (2.1) becomes to

$$(2.2) \quad \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{i}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + 2ir \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \right\} \tilde{u}(r, \theta, x_3) = 0$$

where $\tilde{u}(r, \theta, x_3) \equiv u(x \star x^0)$. Considering the Fourier series of $\tilde{u}(r, \theta, x_3)$, from (2.2), we have the following:

$$(2.3) \quad u(x \star x^0) = \tilde{u}(r, \theta, x_3) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{u}_n(r, x_3) \exp(in\theta),$$

$$(2.4) \quad \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + 2ir \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} + \frac{n}{r} \right\} \tilde{u}_n(r, x_3) = 0$$

in $\{(r, x_3); 0 < r < \sqrt{\rho}, |x_3| < \rho\} \equiv \tilde{O}$.

Notice that

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{n}{r} \tilde{u}_n(r, x_3) \right|^2$$

is uniformly convergent on compact sets in \tilde{O} . Now (2.4) are rewritten as follows:

$$(2.6) \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \right) \{ \sqrt{t}^n \tilde{u}_n(\sqrt{t}, x_3) \} = 0 \quad \text{in } \tilde{\omega}_\rho,$$

where $\tilde{\omega}_\rho \equiv \{(t, x_3); 0 < t < \rho, |x_3| < \rho\}$.

Therefore (2.6) give the following Lemmas:

Lemma 2.1. $\tilde{u}_n = 0$ for $\forall n > 0$.

Lemma 2.2. For $\forall n \leq 0$ $\sqrt{t}^n \tilde{u}_n(\sqrt{t}, x_3)$ is holomorphic in $t + ix_3 \in \tilde{\omega}_\rho$.

On the other hand, we easily obtain the following

Lemma 2.3. $\sqrt{t}^{-n} \tilde{u}_{-n}(\sqrt{t}, x_3) \in C^0(\tilde{\omega}_\rho \cup \{t=0\})$ for $n=0, 1, \dots, k$.

Therefore, putting $h_n(t+ix_3) = \sqrt{t}^{-n} \tilde{u}_{-n}(0 \leq n)$, we have

$$u(x \star x_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (x_1 - ix_2)^n h_n(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + ix_3).$$

That is,

$$u(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w_1^n \cdot h_n(w_2) \quad \text{in } \omega_\rho$$

which converges on compact sets in ω_ρ , by virtue of (2.5). Taking Lemma 2.3 into consideration, we have thus proved Theorem I.

Remark. From H. Lewy [4] it is known that every C^1 solution of (2.1) can locally extend holomorphically in a complex domain

$$\{(z_1, z_2) \in C^2; \operatorname{Im} z_2 > |z_1|^2, z_1 = x_1 + ix_2, \operatorname{Re} z_2 = x_3\}.$$

§3. Proof of Theorem IV

First we easily see the following

Lemma 3. Let $f(x) \in C^1(R^3)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} f^*(\sqrt{\xi} \cos \theta, \sqrt{\xi} \sin \theta, \eta; x_1, x_2) \\ &= \sqrt{\xi} \exp(-i\theta) \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} - \frac{i}{2\xi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right\} f^*(\sqrt{\xi} \cos \theta, \sqrt{\xi} \sin \theta, \eta; x_1, x_2) \\ & \quad - i \bar{z}_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} f^*(\sqrt{\xi} \cos \theta, \sqrt{\xi} \sin \theta, \eta; x_1, x_2), \end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right)$.

Now, for simplicity, we use the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= x_0^2, \quad \beta = x_3^0 + c, \quad \gamma = x_3^0 - c; \quad \partial(\xi, \eta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}; \\ Hf_\lambda(\xi, \eta; x_1, x_2) &= \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{f_\lambda^*(\sqrt{\xi} \cos \theta, \sqrt{\xi} \sin \theta, \eta; x_1, x_2)}{\sqrt{\xi} \exp(-i\theta)} d\theta, \end{aligned}$$

where λ denotes ξ or η ;

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta f(\xi, \eta; x_1, x_2) &= \int_0^{2\pi} f^*(\sqrt{\xi} \cos \theta, \sqrt{\xi} \sin \theta, \eta; x_1, x_2) d\theta; \\ \Theta f_\theta(\xi, \eta; x_1, x_2) &= \int_0^{2\pi} f_\theta^*(\sqrt{\xi} \cos \theta, \sqrt{\xi} \sin \theta, \eta; x_1, x_2) d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \left\{ \frac{-1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^{c_0^2} d\xi \int_{x_3-c}^{x_3+c} \frac{Hf(\xi, \eta; x_1, x_2)}{\xi+i(\eta-x_3)} d\eta \right\} \\
 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \frac{-1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\alpha d\xi \int_r^\beta \frac{Hf(\xi, \eta; x_1, x_2)}{\varepsilon^2+\xi+i(\eta-x_3)} d\eta \right\} \\
 &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \left\{ \frac{-1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\alpha d\xi \int_r^\beta \frac{Hf(\xi, \eta; x_1, x_2)}{\varepsilon^2+\xi+i(\eta-x_3)} d\eta \right\} \\
 &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \frac{-1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\alpha \int_r^\beta \frac{\partial(\xi, \eta)\Theta f(\xi, \eta; x_1, x_2)}{\varepsilon^2+\xi+i(\eta-x_3)} d\xi d\eta \right. \\
 &\quad + \frac{i}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\alpha \int_r^\beta \frac{\Theta f_\theta(\xi, \eta; x_1, x_2)}{2\xi\{\varepsilon^2+\xi+i(\eta-x_3)\}} d\xi d\eta \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{i\bar{z}_1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\alpha \int_r^\beta \frac{Hf_\eta(\xi, \eta; x_1, x_2)}{\varepsilon^2+\xi+i(\eta-x_3)} d\xi d\eta \right\} \\
 &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \frac{-1}{2\pi^2} \int_r^\beta d\eta \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_0^\alpha \frac{f_\xi^*(\sqrt{\xi} \cos \theta, \sqrt{\xi} \sin \theta, \eta; x_1, x_2)}{\varepsilon^2+\xi+i(\eta-x_3)} d\xi \right. \\
 &\quad + \frac{i}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\alpha d\xi \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_r^\beta \frac{f_\eta^*(\sqrt{\xi} \cos \theta, \sqrt{\xi} \sin \theta, \eta; x_1, x_2)}{\varepsilon^2+\xi+i(\eta-x_3)} d\eta \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{i\bar{z}_1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\alpha \int_r^\beta \frac{Hf_\eta(\xi, \eta; x_1, x_2)}{\varepsilon^2+\xi+i(\eta-x_3)} d\xi d\eta \right\} \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_r^\beta \frac{\Theta f(\alpha, \eta; x_1, x_2)}{\alpha+i(\eta-x_3)} d\eta + \frac{1}{2\pi} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_r^\beta \frac{f(x_1, x_2, \eta)}{\varepsilon^2+i(\eta-x_3)} d\eta \\
 &\quad + \frac{i}{2\pi^2} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^\alpha \left[\frac{\Theta f(\xi, \eta; x_1, x_2)}{\varepsilon^2+\xi+i(\eta-x_3)} \right]_{\eta=r}^{\eta=\beta} d\xi \\
 &\quad - Af(x) + \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{i\bar{z}_1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\alpha \int_r^\beta \frac{Hf_\eta(\xi, \eta; x_1, x_2)}{\varepsilon^2+\xi+i(\eta-x_3)} d\xi d\eta \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_r^\beta \frac{\Theta f(\alpha, \eta; x_1, x_2)}{\alpha+i(\eta-x_3)} d\eta + P.V. \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_r^\beta \frac{f(x_1, x_2, \eta)}{\eta-x_3} d\eta \\
 &\quad + f(x_1, x_2, x_3) - Af(x_1, x_2, x_3) \\
 &\quad + \frac{i\bar{z}_1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\alpha \left[\frac{\Theta f(\xi, \eta; x_1, x_2)}{\xi+i(\eta-x_3)} \right]_{\eta=r}^{\eta=\beta} d\xi \\
 &\quad + \frac{i\bar{z}_1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\alpha \int_r^\beta \frac{Hf_\eta(\xi, \eta; x_1, x_2)}{\xi+i(\eta-x_3)} d\xi d\eta.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \left\{ \frac{-1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^{c_0^2} d\xi \int_{x_3-c}^{x_3+c} \frac{Hf(\xi, \eta; x_1, x_2)}{\xi+i(\eta-x_3)} d\eta \right\} \\
 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \frac{-1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\alpha d\xi \int_r^\beta \frac{Hf(\xi, \eta; x_1, x_2)}{\varepsilon^2+\xi+i(\eta-x_3)} d\eta \right\} \\
 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{i}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\alpha d\xi \int_r^\beta \{ \log [\varepsilon^2+\xi+i(\eta-x_3)] \}_\eta Hf(\xi, \eta; x_1, x_2) d\eta
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{i}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\alpha [\log \{\varepsilon^2 + \xi + i(\eta - x_3)\} Hf(\xi, \eta; x_1, x_3)]_{\eta=r}^{\eta=\beta} d\xi \\
 &\quad - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{i}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\alpha d\xi \int_r^\beta \log \{\varepsilon^2 + \xi + i(\eta - x_3)\} Hf_\eta(\xi, \eta; x_1, x_2) d\eta \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\alpha [Hf(\xi, \eta; x_1, x_2) \{\xi + i(\eta - x_3)\}^{-1}]_{\eta=r}^{\eta=\beta} d\xi \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\alpha \int_r^\beta \frac{Hf_\eta(\xi, \eta; x_1, x_2)}{\xi + i(\eta - x_3)} d\xi d\eta.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &L_x \left\{ \frac{-1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^{c_0^2} d\xi \int_{x_3^0 - c}^{x_3^0 + c} \frac{Hf(\xi, \eta; x_1, x_2)}{\xi + i(\eta - x_3)} d\eta \right\} \\
 &= f(x) - Af(x) - \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_r^\beta \frac{\Theta f(\alpha, \eta; x_1, x_2)}{\alpha + i(\eta - x_3)} d\eta \\
 &\quad + P.V. \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_r^\beta \frac{f(x_1, x_3, \eta)}{\eta - x_3} d\eta + \frac{i\bar{z}_1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\alpha \left[\frac{\Theta f(\xi, \eta; x_1, x_2)}{\xi + i(\eta - x_3)} \right]_{\eta=r}^{\eta=\beta} d\xi \\
 &\quad + \frac{i\bar{z}_1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\alpha [Hf(\xi, \eta; x_1, x_2) \{\xi + i(\eta - x_3)\}^{-1}]_{\eta=r}^{\eta=\beta} d\xi
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore it is proved that $Rf(x)$ defined by

$$Rf(x) = L_x \left\{ \frac{-1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^{c_0^2} d\xi \int_{x_3^0 - c}^{x_3^0 + c} \frac{Hf(\xi, \eta; x_1, x_2)}{\xi + i(\eta - x_3)} d\eta \right\} - f(x) + Af(x)$$

belongs to $C^k(D_c)$ and extends holomorphically in x_3 to the complex domain $\{x_3 \in C; \operatorname{Re} x_3 \neq x_3^0 \pm c\}$ uniformly for x_1 and for x_2 . This completes the proof of Theorem IV.

§ 4. Proof of Lemma A

Let $u(x)$ be a C^1 solution of the Lewy equation

$$(4.1) \quad L_x u(x) = f(x)$$

in a neighborhood Ω of P . We may assume $\Omega = \{x; |x_j - x_j^0| < 2c, j=1, 2, 3\}$, where c is a suitably chosen positive constant such that $c \neq |x_3^0|$. Set $\Omega_c = \{x; |x_j - x_j^0| < c\}$. Take (x_1, x_2, x_3) in Ω_c . Let (x_1, x_2) be fixed for a while. Taking a positive constant c_0 such that $c_0 \leq \min(c, c/[2(2c + |x_1^0| + |x_2^0|)])$, we see $u^*(y_1, y_2, x_3; x_1, x_2) \in C^1(\{y_1^2 + y_2^2 < c_0^2\} \times \{|x_3 - x_3^0| < c\})$. Then from (4.1) we have:

$$(4.3) \quad \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} \right) + i(y_1 - iy_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \right\} u^*(y_1, y_2, x_3; x_1, x_2) = f^*(y_1, y_2, x_3; x_1, x_2).$$

Set $y_1 + iy_2 = r \exp(i\theta)$. Then (4.3) becomes to

$$(4.4) \quad \left\{ \frac{1}{2r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{i}{2r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \right\} u^*(r, \theta, x_3; x_1, x_2) = \{r \exp(-i\theta)\}^{-1} \tilde{f}^*(r, \theta, x_3; x_1, x_2),$$

where $\tilde{g}^*(r, \theta, x_3; x_1, x_2) \equiv g^*(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta, x_3; x_1, x_2)$, where g denotes u or f . Con-

sequently, from (4.4), we have :

$$(4.5) \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \right) \Theta u(r^2, x_3; x_1, x_2) = Hf(r^2, x_3; x_1, x_2)$$

Putting $t=r^2$ ($r=\sqrt{t}$), (4.5) is rewritten as follows :

$$(4.6) \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \right) \Theta u(t, x_3; x_1, x_2) = Hf(t, x_3; x_1, x_2)$$

in $\mathcal{D} = \{(t, x_3); 0 < t < c_0^2, |x_3 - x_3^0| < c\}$.

(4.6) gives the following :

$$(4.7) \quad \Theta u(t, x_3; x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial \mathcal{D}} \frac{\Theta u(\xi, \eta; x_1, x_2)}{\xi + i\eta - (t + ix_3)} d\zeta - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{Hf(\xi, \eta; x_1, x_2)}{\xi + i\eta - (t + ix_3)} d\xi d\eta,$$

$\zeta \equiv \xi + i\eta.$

Letting $t \rightarrow 0$ and noticing that $\Theta u(0, x_3; x_1, x_2) = 2\pi u(x)$, we thus obtain :

$$(4.7) \quad u(x) = \text{P. V.} \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{x_3^0 - c}^{x_3^0 + c} \frac{u(x_1, x_2, \eta)}{\eta - x_3} d\eta + \frac{1}{2\pi^2 i} \int \frac{\Theta u(\xi, \eta; x_1, x_2)}{\xi + i(\eta - x_3)} d\zeta + C_L f(x)$$

for $\forall x \in \Omega_c.$

We thus have the conclusion of Lemma A by operating L_x to the both handsides of (4.7).

§ 5. Proof of Proposition B

First we prove Proposition B in case of $x_1^0 = x_2^0 = 0$; that is, we shall prove that

$$R_{\tilde{L}} h(x) = -\pi^{-1} \int_{S_\delta} \frac{h(\xi^P, \eta^P, \chi^P)}{\xi - i\eta - (x_1 - ix_2)} d\xi d\eta$$

is a C^1 solution of

$$(5.1) \quad \tilde{L} \{R_{\tilde{L}} h(x)\} = h(x)$$

in U_δ , by taking a suitable positive constant δ . In the actual case, $\xi^P, \eta^P, \chi^P, S_\delta$ and U_δ denotes $\xi, \eta, \xi^2 + \eta^2 - x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_3, \{(\xi, \eta) \in R^2; \xi^2 + \eta^2 < \delta^2\}$ and $\{x \in R^3; x_1^2 + x_2^2 < \delta^2, x_3 \in I\}$, respectively.

Now first we choose a positive constant δ so that the above integral can be defined. Then we easily obtain the following Lemmas :

Lemma 5.1. *Putting $z_1(\equiv x_1 + ix_2) = r \exp(i\theta)$, it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|z_1| < |z_2|} h(\xi, \eta, |\zeta|^2 - |z_1|^2 + x_3) / (\bar{\zeta} - \bar{z}_1) d\xi d\eta \\ &= - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^{-n-1} \exp\{i(n+1)\theta\} \int_0^r \tau^{n+1} h_n(\tau, r, x_3) d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

where $h_n(\tau, r, x_3) \equiv \int_0^{2\pi} h(\tau \cos \phi, \tau \sin \phi, \tau^2 - r^2 + x_3) e^{-in\phi} d\phi$ ($\zeta = \xi + i\eta$).

Lemma 5.2. *Same notation as above.*

$$\int_{|\bar{\theta}| > |\zeta| > |z_{11}|} h(\xi, \eta, |\zeta|^2 - |z_1|^2 + x_3) / (\bar{\zeta} - \bar{z}_1) d\xi d\eta$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n \exp\{-in\theta\} \int_r^{\delta} \tau^{-n} h_{-n-1}(\tau, r, x_3) d\tau.$$

By these Lemmas we have:

$$\pi R_{\tilde{L}} h(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^{-n-1} \exp\{i(n+1)\theta\} \int_0^r \tau^{n+1} h_n(\tau, r, x_3) d\tau$$

$$- \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n \exp\{-in\theta\} \int_r^{\delta} \tau^{-n} h_{-n-1}(\tau, r, x_3) d\tau.$$

Therefore, we see

$$R_{\tilde{L}} h(x) \in C^1(U_{\delta}) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\tilde{L}(R_{\tilde{L}} h(x)) = \frac{e^{-i\theta}}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{i}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + 2r \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \right) R_{\tilde{L}} h(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta, x_3)$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \{h_n(r, r, x_3) e^{in\theta} + h_{-n-1}(r, r, x_3) e^{-i(n+1)\theta}\}$$

$$= h(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta, x_3) = h(x_1, x_2, x_3).$$

Finally, in case of $(x_1^0, x_2^0) \neq (0, 0)$, the proof is as follows:

We put $h^*(t) = h(t_1 + x_1^0, t_2 + x_2^0, t_3 + 2x_1^0 t_1 + 2x_2^0 t_2)$, where $t = (t_1, t_2, t_3)$. Then, by virtue of the result proved above, \exists a positive constant δ so that

$$R_{\tilde{L}_t} h^*(t) \text{ satisfies } L_t \{R_{\tilde{L}_t} h^*(t)\} = h^*(t) \quad \text{in } U_{\delta},$$

where $\tilde{L}_t \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} \right) + (t_1 - it_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_3}$.

Set $x_j = t_j + x_j^0$ ($j=1, 2$) and $x_3 = t_3 + 2x_1^0 t_1 + 2x_2^0 t_2$. Then $R_{\tilde{L}_t} h^*(t) \equiv R_{\tilde{L}} h(x)$ is a C^1 solution of $\tilde{L} \{R_{\tilde{L}} h(x)\} = h(x)$ in U_{δ} . This completes the proof.

§6. Proof of Theorem III

Suppose $Af(x)$ satisfies the condition of Theorem II. Then $C_b f(x)$ satisfies the same condition; that is, $C_b f(x)$ belongs to $\text{Lip}(\mathcal{Q}_c)$ and extends holomorphically in x_3 to the complex domain $\{x_3 \in \mathbb{R}^3; \text{Re } x_3 \neq x_3^0 \pm c\}$ uniformly for x_1 and for x_2 . Set $M = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{R}^4; x_4 = x_1^2 + x_2^2\}$, $x_4^0 = (x_1^0)^2 + (x_2^0)^2$ and $P^* = (x_1^0, x_2^0, x_3^0, x_4^0)$. We can extend $C_b f(x)$ to the function $C_b f(x_1, x_2, x_3 + i(x_4 - x_1^2 - x_2^2))$ which is defined in a neighborhood \mathcal{O} of $P^* \in \mathbb{R}^4$, where $C_b f(x_1, x_2, w)$ is holomorphic with respect to w . Let us denote $C_b f(x_1, x_2, x_3 + i(x_4 - x_1^2 - x_2^2))$ by $C_b^!(f)$. We note $C_b^!(f)|_M = C_b f(x_1, x_2, x_3) \equiv C_b(f)$. It is known that $C_b(f)$ is the boundary function of

$$C(f)(z_1, z_2) \equiv \int_{(\text{Im } w_2 = 1, |w_1|^2)} \frac{f(y_1, y_2, y_3)}{[\pi \{i(\bar{w}_2 - z_2) - 2\bar{w}_1 z_1\}]^2} dy_1 dy_2 dy_3$$

which is holomorphic in $\mathcal{M}_+ \equiv \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2; \text{Im } z_2 > |z_1|^2\}$, where $(w_1, \text{Re } w_2) = (y_1 + iy_2, y_3)$.

Now let $L_{\mathcal{M}}$ denote the tangential Cauchy-Riemann operator $\partial/\partial\bar{z}_1 - 2iz_1(\partial/\partial\bar{z}_2)$ to $\partial\mathcal{M}$, which is identified with M . We denote the inner product

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} f \bar{g} \, dy_1 dy_2 dy_3 \text{ in } L^2(\mathcal{M}) \text{ by } (f, g).$$

Then we easily see the following:

Lemma 6.1. *Let $u, v \in C^1(U)$, where U is an open set in R^4 . Suppose $u = v$ on $U \cap \mathcal{M}$, then $L_{\mathcal{M}}u = L_{\mathcal{M}}v$ on $U \cap \mathcal{M}$.*

Corollary 6.2. *Let $u \in C^1(\mathcal{M})$. Suppose u^\dagger is an $C^1(R^4)$ extension of u , then $L_{\mathcal{M}}u^\dagger = \bar{L}_x u$ on \mathcal{M} .*

Since $C_b^\dagger(f)|_{\mathcal{M}} = C_b(f)$ is the boundary function of $C(f)(z_1, z_2)$, by virtue of Corollary 6.2, it follows that

$$(6.1) \quad (\bar{L}_x \{C_b(f)\}, v) = 0 \quad \text{for } \forall v \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{M}).$$

On the other hand, we see the following

Lemma 6.3. $(\bar{L}_x \{C_b(f)\}, v) = (L_{\mathcal{M}} \{C_b^\dagger f\}, v)$ for $\forall v \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{M})$.

Proof. If $C_b^\dagger f \in C^1$, by virtue of Corollary 6.2, $(L_{\mathcal{M}} \{C_b^\dagger f\}, v) = (\bar{L}_x \{C_b^\dagger f\}, v) = (\bar{L}_x \{C_b(f)\}, v)$. In the actual case, we have only to approximate $C_b^\dagger f$ by appropriate C^1 functions.

We thus obtain $(L_{\mathcal{M}} \{C_b^\dagger f\}, v) = 0$ for $\forall v \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{M})$. Here, $(L_{\mathcal{M}} \{C_b^\dagger f\}, v) = (\partial/\partial\bar{z}_1 \{C_b^\dagger f\}, v) - 2i(\bar{z}_1 \partial/\partial\bar{z}_2 \{C_b^\dagger f\}, v) = (\partial/\partial\bar{z}_1 \{C_b^\dagger f\}, v)$. Therefore $\partial/\partial\bar{z}_1 \{C_b^\dagger f\} = 0$ in distribution sense. Therefore $C_b^\dagger f$ is holomorphic in z_1 and in z_2 in a neighborhood of P^\dagger which is identified with P^* ; and hence, we conclude that $C_b(f) \equiv C_b f(x)$ is real analytic in a neighborhood of P . This completes the proof of Theorem III.

Appendix

We here consider C^1 solutions of the homogeneous Lewy equation (H.L) $L_x u = 0$ in a neighborhood of P . Any holomorphic function of w_1 and w_2 is a solution of (H.L); but, of course, the converse is not true. As is already remarked, however, every C^1 solution is "a function" of them. Suppose that there exist two independent solutions W_1 and W_2 , namely, such that $\text{rank } \partial(W_1, W_2)/\partial(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 2$ satisfying (H.L), such that every C^1 solution is a holomorphic function of W_1 and W_2 . Then we easily see that every C^1 solution is a holomorphic function of w_1 and w_2 . Therefore it is not true that there exist two independent solutions W_1 and W_2 of (H.L) such that every C^1 solution is a holomorphic function of them. From Theorem I we see the following

Proposition A. *Let u be a C^1 solution of (H.L) in a neighborhood of P . Then u is a holomorphic function of w_1 and w_2 if and only if \exists a positive constant c such that*

$$\int_0^{2\pi} u(x_1^0 + r \cos \theta, x_2^0 + r \sin \theta, x_3) e^{-in\theta} d\theta$$

is real analytic in $x_3 \in \{x_3^0 - c < x_3 < x_3^0 + c\}$ for $\forall n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $\forall r \in \{0 < r < c\}$.

Now let A be an real analytic vector field in R^n . Suppose that it enjoys the following properties:

(A.1) A, \bar{A}, A_j are linearly independent, where $A_{j-1} \equiv [A, A_j]$ ($j=0, 1, 2, \dots, n-3$) and $A_0 \equiv [A, \bar{A}]$.

(A.2) $\exists X_1, \exists X_2, \dots, \exists X_{n-1} \in C^\omega$ such that X_j ($j=1, 2, \dots, n-1$) are complex-valued solutions of $AX_j=0$.

(A.3) $\text{rank } \partial(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n-1})/\partial(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) = n-1$, where t_j ($j=1, 2, \dots, n$) denote coordinates variables.

We propose questions: under what conditions can every C^1 solution of $Au=0$ be a holomorphic function of the X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n-1} ? Is it true that every C^1 solution of $Au=0$ is "a function" of them?

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
OSAKA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

References

- [1] G.B. Folland and E.M. Stein, Estimates for the $\bar{\partial}_b$ complex and analysis on the Heisenberg group, *Com. Pure Appl. Math.*, **27** (1974), 429-522.
- [2] P.C. Greiner, J.J. Kohn and E.M. Stein, Necessary and sufficient conditions for the solvability of the Lewy equation, *Pro. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA*, **72** (1975), 3287-3289.
- [3] H. Lewy, An example of a smooth linear partial differential equation without solution, *Ann. of Math.*, (2) **66** (1957), 155-158.
- [4] H. Lewy, On the local character of the solutions of an atypical linear differential equation in three variables and a related theorem for regular functions of two complex variables, *Ann. of Math.*, **64** (1956), 514-522.
- [5] H. Ninomiya, Necessary and sufficient conditions for the local solvability of the Mizohata equations, *J. Math. of Kyoto Univ.*, **28-4** (1988), 593-603.
- [6] M. Sato, Regularity of hyperfunction solutions of partial differential equations, *Actes Congrès Internat. Mathématiciens, Nice, 1970*, vol. 2, 785-794, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1972.
- [7] F. Trèves, Integral representation of solutions of linear partial differential equations, I, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa*, (1975), 1-35.
- [8] F. Trèves, Integral representation of solutions of linear partial differential equations, II, *Astérisque* **34-35** (1976), 341-357.
- [9] P.C. Greiner and E.M. Stein, ESTIMATES FOR THE $\bar{\partial}$ -NEUMANN PROBLEM, *MATHEMATICAL NOTES*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1977.