

Commutant algebra of Cartan-type Lie superalgebra $W(n)$

By

Kyo NISHIYAMA and Haiquan WANG

Introduction

Undoubtedly, Weyl's classical reciprocity law is very important in Lie theory ([4]). It tells us that there is a correspondence between irreducible representations of general linear group $GL(V)$ in m -fold tensor space $V \otimes \cdots \otimes V$ and those of \mathfrak{S}_m (symmetric group of degree m). The correspondence is one-to-one and so an irreducible representation of \mathfrak{S}_m determines that of $GL(V)$ and vice versa. If we consider various m , then all the irreducible polynomial representations of $GL(V)$ appear in the decomposition, so one gets a classification of irreducible representations via those of \mathfrak{S}_m .

In the article [2], the first author studied an analogous phenomenon for a Cartan-type Lie algebra of vector fields. In the present paper, we want to do it for a Cartan-type Lie *superalgebra* $W(n)$. By definition $W(n)$ is a Lie superalgebra of all the superderivations on a Grassmann algebra $\Lambda(n)$ of n -variables (see [1]), so $W(n)$ acts on $\Lambda(n)$ naturally. We call it the natural representation of $W(n)$ and denote it by ψ . To study an analogue of Weyl's reciprocity, the first important thing is to calculate the commutant algebra of the natural representation of $W(n)$ in m -fold tensor Grassmann algebra $\otimes^m \Lambda(n)$. Let $\text{End}[m]$ be the set of all the maps from $[m]$ to $[m]$, where $[m] = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, and denote the semigroup ring of $\text{End}[m]$ by \mathfrak{G}_m . There is the natural representation of \mathfrak{G}_m on $\otimes^m \Lambda(n)$ (see Section 1.3) and denote the image algebra of this representation by \mathcal{E}_m . Also we denote the commutant algebra of $\psi^{\otimes m}(W(n))$ in $\text{End} \otimes^m \Lambda(n)$ by \mathcal{C}_m (see Section 1.2). One of the main results is the identification of the commutant algebra \mathcal{C}_m and the semigroup ring \mathcal{E}_m (Theorems 2.3 and 3.2). However, in Theorem 2.3 we assume $m \leq n$ (the rank n is larger than the power of tensor product m), and in Theorem 3.2 we restrict ourselves to the case $n = 1$. In the future studies, we want to clarify the relationship between \mathcal{C}_m and \mathcal{E}_m for general m and n .

The another main result is Theorem 3.3, which says that the bicommutant algebra of $W(1)$ coincides with the image of its universal enveloping algebra $U(W(1))$. We consider it an analogy of Weyl's reciprocity for $W(1)$

$\times \text{End}[m]$. However, we did not get any decomposition of the (non-semisimple) representation of $W(1) \times \text{End}[m]$ on $\otimes^m \Lambda(1) \simeq \Lambda(m)$, and it is a future subject of ours.

We divide this article into three sections. In Section 1, we give the basic definitions. In Section 2, we calculate the commutant algebra \mathcal{C}_m for the case $m \leq n$. In Section 3, we get the commutant algebra and bicommutant algebra for the case $n=1$.

Acknowledgement. The authors express sincere thanks to Professor T. Hirai for discussions and encouragements. We Would like to dedicate this paper to him on his 60-th anniversary.

1. Lie superalgebra $W(n)$ and its natural representation

1.1. Tensor product of a natural representation of $W(n)$. Let $\Lambda(n)$ be a Grassmann algebra over \mathbf{C} in n variables $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n$. If we set $\deg \xi_i = 1$, then $\Lambda(n)$ becomes a \mathbf{Z} -graded algebra. Let Λ_k be the space of k -homogeneous elements of $\Lambda(n)$:

$$\Lambda_k = \langle \xi_{i_1} \wedge \xi_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_{i_k} \mid 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n \rangle,$$

where $\langle B \rangle$ denotes the vector space over \mathbf{C} spanned by B . Then $\Lambda(n) = \otimes_{k=0}^n \Lambda_k$, a direct sum, where $\Lambda_0 = \mathbf{C}$. We can naturally consider $\Lambda(n)$ as a superalgebra, where even elements are $\Lambda_{\bar{0}} = \bigoplus_{k: \text{even}} \Lambda_k$, and odd elements are $\Lambda_{\bar{1}} = \bigoplus_{k: \text{odd}} \Lambda_k$.

Let A be a superalgebra and $\text{End}_s A$ the set of linear endomorphisms on A of degree s , that is $A = A_{\bar{0}} \oplus A_{\bar{1}}$ and $\text{End}_s A = \{X \in \text{End } A \mid X(A_i) \subseteq A_{i+s}, (i \in \mathbf{Z}_2)\}$. A superderivation of degree s ($s \in \mathbf{Z}_2$) of a superalgebra A is an endomorphism $D \in \text{End}_s A$ with the property

$$D(ab) = D(a)b + (-1)^{s \deg a} aD(b)$$

for any homogeneous $a, b \in A$. The space of all the superderivations of degree s is denoted by $\text{Der}_s A$.

Let $W(n)$ be the set of all superderivations over $\Lambda(n)$, then it becomes naturally a Lie superalgebra. According to results in [1], every derivation $D \in W(n)$ can be written in the form

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^n P_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}$$

with $P_i \in \Lambda(n)$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$), where $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}$ is a superderivation of degree 1 defined by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} \xi_j = \delta_{ij}.$$

By definition, the Lie superalgebra $W(n)$ acts on Grassmann superalgebra $\Lambda(n)$ as follows: for $\forall D \in W(n)$ and $\forall \xi_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{i_r}$

$$D(\xi_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{i_r}) = \sum_{s=1}^r (-1)^{(s-1)\deg D} \xi_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge D(\xi_{i_s}) \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{i_r}.$$

We call it the natural representation of $W(n)$, and denote it by ψ .

Let us consider m -fold tensor product $\otimes^m \Lambda(n)$. Then we have a natural isomorphism as $W(n)$ -modules

$$\otimes^m \Lambda(n) \cong \Lambda[\xi_{ij} | 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m] =: \Lambda(n, m),$$

where $\Lambda[\xi_{ij} | 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m]$ is a Grassmann algebra generated by ξ_{ij} ($1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m$). In the following, we identify $\otimes^m \Lambda(n)$ with $\Lambda(n, m)$. By means of a tensor product, $W(n)$ is imbedded into $\text{End}(\otimes^m \Lambda(n)) \cong \text{End} \Lambda(n, m)$. More precisely, an element

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^n P_i(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} \in W(n)$$

corresponds to an element

$$\psi^{\otimes m}(D) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\alpha=1}^m P_i(\xi_{1\alpha}, \dots, \xi_{n\alpha}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{i\alpha}} \in \text{Der} \Lambda(n, m)$$

via m -fold tensor product $\psi^{\otimes m}$ of ψ .

1.2. Definition of commutant algebra \mathcal{C}_m . By definition of commutativity in Lie superalgebra, we say that a and b are commutative if $[a, b] = 0$, whence $ab = (-1)^{\deg a \cdot \deg b} ba$ if a and b are homogeneous. Let \mathcal{C}_m denote the commutant algebra of $\psi^{\otimes m}(W(n))$ in $\text{End} \Lambda(n, m)$:

$$\mathcal{C}_m = \{E \in \text{End}(\otimes^m \Lambda(n)) \mid [E, D] = 0, \forall D \in \psi^{\otimes m}(W(n))\}.$$

Then \mathcal{C}_m has a natural \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded structure, $\mathcal{C}_m = \mathcal{C}_{m, \bar{0}} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{m, \bar{1}}$. However, $\mathcal{C}_{m, \bar{1}}$ vanishes as we see in the following lemma.

Lemma 1.1. *The odd subspace $\mathcal{C}_{m, \bar{1}}$ of \mathcal{C}_m vanishes:*

$$\mathcal{C}_{m, \bar{1}} = \{0\}.$$

Proof. Let $\Lambda(n, m)_k$ be a space of homogeneous Grassmannian polynomials of degree k and put

$$D_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{ij}} \in \psi^{\otimes m}(W(n)).$$

Since D_0 is an Euler operator and its eigenvalues are precisely \mathbf{Z} -degrees of Grassmannian polynomials, $E \in \mathcal{C}_m$ must preserve homogeneous spaces $\Lambda(n, m)_k$. Therefore $\deg E$ is zero. Q. E. D.

By the above lemma, we have $\mathcal{C}_m = \mathcal{C}_{m, \bar{0}}$, hence the commutant algebra \mathcal{C}_m becomes

$$\mathcal{C}_m = \{E \in \text{End}(\otimes^m \Lambda(n)) \mid ED = DE, \forall D \in \psi^{\otimes m}(W(n))\}.$$

1.3. Action of the permutation semigroup. Denote by $[m]$ the set $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ of integers, and put $\text{End}[m] = \{\varphi: [m] \rightarrow [m]\}$ the set of all the maps from $[m]$ to itself. By composition of maps, $\text{End}[m]$ becomes a semigroup with unit, whose group elements form a permutation group \mathfrak{S}_m of degree m . Denote the semigroup ring of $\text{End}[m]$ by \mathfrak{C}_m . An element $\varphi \in \text{End}[m]$ acts on $\Lambda(n, m)$ as $(\varphi P)(\xi_{ij}) = P(\xi_{i\varphi(j)})$ ($P \in \Lambda(n, m)$) and we extend it to \mathfrak{C}_m by linearity (see[2]), thus, we have a representation of \mathfrak{C}_m on $\Lambda(n, m)$. Denote the image algebra of this representation by $\mathcal{E}_m \subset \text{End} \Lambda(n, m)$. Using the above notations, we can state

Lemma 1.2. *For arbitrary n and m , we have*

$$\mathcal{E}_m \subseteq \mathcal{C}_m.$$

Proof. Let $D = \sum_{i=1}^n P_i(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} \in W(n)$, where $P_i \in \Lambda(n)$. Then

$$\psi^{\otimes m}(D) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\alpha=1}^m P_i(\xi_{1\alpha}, \dots, \xi_{n\alpha}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{i\alpha}}.$$

For any $\varphi \in \text{End}[m]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi \psi^{\otimes m}(D) (\xi_{i_1 j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_{i_r j_r}) \\ &= \varphi \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\alpha=1}^m P_i(\xi_{1\alpha}, \dots, \xi_{n\alpha}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{i\alpha}} (\xi_{i_1 j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_{i_r j_r}) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\alpha=1}^m P_i(\xi_{1\varphi(\alpha)}, \dots, \xi_{n\varphi(\alpha)}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{i\varphi(\alpha)}} (\xi_{i_1 \varphi(j_1)} \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_{i_r \varphi(j_r)}) \\ &= P_{i_1}(\xi_{1\varphi(j_1)}, \dots, \xi_{n\varphi(j_1)}) \widehat{\xi_{i_1 \varphi(j_1)}} \wedge \xi_{i_2 \varphi(j_2)} \wedge \xi_{i_r \varphi(j_r)} \\ & \quad + (-1) P_{i_2}(\xi_{1\varphi(j_2)}, \dots, \xi_{n\varphi(j_2)}) \xi_{i_1 \varphi(j_1)} \wedge \widehat{\xi_{i_2 \varphi(j_2)}} \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_{i_r \varphi(j_r)} \\ & \quad + \dots \\ & \quad + (-1)^{r-1} P_{i_r}(\xi_{1\varphi(j_r)}, \dots, \xi_{n\varphi(j_r)}) \xi_{i_1 \varphi(j_1)} \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_{i_{r-1} \varphi(j_{r-1})} \wedge \widehat{\xi_{i_r \varphi(j_r)}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\widehat{}$ means an elimination. On the other hand,

$$\psi^{\otimes m}(D) \varphi(\xi_{i_1 j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_{i_r j_r})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \psi^{\otimes m}(D) (\xi_{i_1\varphi(j_1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{i_r\varphi(j_r)}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\alpha=1}^m P_i(\xi_{1\alpha} \cdots \xi_{n\alpha}) (\delta_{ii_1} \delta_{\alpha\varphi(j_1)} \widehat{\xi_{i_1\varphi(j_1)}} \wedge \xi_{i_2\varphi(j_2)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{i_r\varphi(j_r)} \\
&\quad + (-1) \delta_{ii_2} \delta_{\alpha\varphi(j_2)} \xi_{i_1\varphi(j_1)} \wedge \widehat{\xi_{i_2\varphi(j_2)}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{i_r\varphi(j_r)} \\
&\quad + \cdots \\
&\quad + (-1)^{r-1} \delta_{ii_r} \delta_{\alpha\varphi(j_r)} \xi_{i_1\varphi(j_1)} \wedge \xi_{i_2\varphi(j_2)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{\xi_{i_r\varphi(j_r)}}).
\end{aligned}$$

So we obtain

$$\varphi \psi^{\otimes m}(D) = \psi^{\otimes m}(D) \varphi \quad (\varphi \in \text{End}[m]).$$

By the definition of \mathcal{E}_m , we complete the proof of the lemma.

Q. E. D.

2. Commutant algebra of $\psi^{\otimes m}(W(n))$ (the case $m \leq n$)

2.1. Semigroup ring \mathcal{E}_m and commutant algebra \mathcal{C}_m . Let $(\varphi, \Lambda(n))$ be the natural representation of $W(n)$ and $(\psi^{\otimes m}, \Lambda(n, m))$ its m -fold tensor product. Denote by $U(W(n))$ the universal enveloping algebra of $W(n)$, then we have

Lemma 2.1. Put $\xi(\alpha) = (\xi_{1\alpha}, \dots, \xi_{n\alpha})$. Then the subalgebra $\psi^{\otimes m}(U(W(n)))$ in $\text{End } \Lambda(n, m)$ is generated by

$$\sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \leq m} P_1(\xi(\alpha_1)) \cdots P_k(\xi(\alpha_k)) \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \xi_{b_1\alpha_1} \cdots \partial \xi_{b_k\alpha_k}},$$

where $1 \leq b_1, \dots, b_k \leq n$ are indices, P_i is a Grassmannian polynomial in n -variables, and $P_i(\xi(\alpha)) = P_i(\xi_{1\alpha}, \dots, \xi_{n\alpha})$.

Proof. Let $P_i \in \Lambda(n)$ be homogeneous and fix $1 \leq b_1, b_2 \leq n$. Then it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\alpha_1=1}^m P_1(\xi(\alpha_1)) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{b_1\alpha_1}} \sum_{\alpha_2=1}^m P_2(\xi(\alpha_2)) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{b_2\alpha_2}} \\
&= \sum_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \left\{ P_1(\xi(\alpha_1)) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{b_1\alpha_1}} P_2(\xi(\alpha_2)) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{b_2\alpha_2}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (-1)^{\text{deg} P_2} P_1(\xi(\alpha_1)) P_2(\xi(\alpha_2)) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_{b_1\alpha_1} \partial \xi_{b_2\alpha_2}} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

By definition of $\psi^{\otimes m}$, we know that the left hand side and the first term in the right hand side of the above formula is in $\psi^{\otimes m}(U(W(n)))$, whence

$$\sum_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} P_1(\xi(\alpha_1)) P_2(\xi(\alpha_2)) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_{b_1, \alpha_1} \partial \xi_{b_2, \alpha_2}} \in \psi^{\otimes m}(U(W(n))).$$

We can complete the proof of the lemma by using induction on k . Q. E. D.

Lemma 2.2. *If $m \leq n$, then the representation of \mathfrak{G}_m on $\Lambda(n, m)$ is faithful, hence we have*

$$\dim \mathcal{E}_m = \dim \mathfrak{G}_m = m^m.$$

Proof. Put

$$\mathcal{N}_k := \{\varphi \mid \varphi \in \text{End}[m], \# \text{Im}(\varphi) = k\} = \{\varphi_h^k \mid h = 1, 2, \dots, n_k\},$$

where $n_k = \#\mathcal{N}_k$. Then the semigroup ring \mathfrak{G}_m is generated by \mathcal{N}_k 's, hence $\mathcal{E}_m = \sum_{k=1}^m \langle \mathcal{N}_k \rangle$. Suppose

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{h=1}^{n_k} c_h^k \varphi_h^k|_{\Lambda(n, m)} = 0 \quad (c_h^k \in \mathbf{C}, \varphi_h^k \in \mathcal{N}_k).$$

We want to prove $c_h^k = 0$. By the assumption and the condition $m \leq n$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{h=1}^{n_k} c_h^k \varphi_h^k(\xi_{11} \wedge \xi_{12} \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_{1m} \wedge \prod_{i=2}^m \xi_{ii}) = 0.$$

Note that $\varphi_h^k (1 \leq k \leq m-1)$ kills the vector in the left hand side. So we obtain $c_h^m = 0$ from the formula

$$\sum_h c_h^m \xi_{1\varphi_h^m(1)} \wedge \xi_{1\varphi_h^m(2)} \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_{1\varphi_h^m(m)} \wedge \prod_{i=2}^m \xi_{i\varphi_h^m(i)} = 0.$$

We prove the result by induction on k . Assume that $c_h^t = 0 (t \geq k+1)$. Let us consider the case $t=k$. We have

$$\sum_t \sum_h c_h^t \varphi_h^t(\xi_{11} \wedge \xi_{1i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_{1i_k} \wedge \prod_{i=2}^m \xi_{ii}) = 0,$$

where $1 < i_2 < i_3 < \dots < i_k$. So by the induction hypothesis, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_h c_h^k \varphi_h^k(\xi_{11} \wedge \xi_{1i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_{1i_k} \wedge \prod_{i=2}^m \xi_{ii}) \\ &= \sum_h c_h^k \xi_{1\varphi_h^k(1)} \wedge \xi_{1\varphi_h^k(i_2)} \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_{1\varphi_h^k(i_k)} \wedge \prod_{i=2}^m \xi_{i\varphi_h^k(i)} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

For any two elements $\varphi_{h_1}^k, \varphi_{h_2}^k$ of \mathcal{N}_k , obviously,

$$\xi_{1\varphi_{h_1}^k(1)} \wedge \xi_{1\varphi_{h_1}^k(i_2)} \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_{1\varphi_{h_1}^k(i_k)} \wedge \prod_{i=2}^m \xi_{i\varphi_{h_1}^k(i)}$$

$$= \xi_{1\varphi_{h_1}(1)} \wedge \xi_{1\varphi_{h_1}(i_2)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{1\varphi_{h_1}(i_r)} \wedge \prod_{i=2}^m \xi_{i\varphi_{h_1}(2)} \neq 0,$$

if and only if

$$(\varphi_{h_1}^k(1), \varphi_{h_1}^k(2), \dots, \varphi_{h_1}^k(m)) = (\varphi_{h_2}^k(1), \varphi_{h_2}^k(2), \dots, \varphi_{h_2}^k(m)), \text{ i.e., } \varphi_{h_1}^k = \varphi_{h_2}^k.$$

By the linear independence of elements

$$\left\{ \xi_{1\varphi_h^k(1)} \wedge \xi_{1\varphi_h^k(i_2)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{1\varphi_h^k(i_r)} \wedge \prod_{i=2}^m \xi_{i\varphi_h^k(i)} (\neq 0) \mid \varphi_h^k \in \mathcal{N}_k \right\},$$

we obtain $c_h^k = 0$. By induction, we complete the proof.

Q. E. D.

Now we can prove the following

Theorem 2.3. *If $m \leq n$, then the commutant algebra \mathcal{C}_m of $\psi^{\otimes m}(W(n))$ coincides with the representation image \mathcal{E}_m of the semigroup ring \mathfrak{S}_m of the permutation semigroup $\text{End}[m]$:*

$$\mathcal{C}_m = \mathcal{E}_m.$$

Proof. Take an $E \in \mathcal{C}_m$. For Grassmannian polynomials P_1, \dots, P_m in n -variables, put

$$X(P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m) = \sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \leq m} P_1(\xi(\alpha_1)) \cdots P_m(\xi(\alpha_m)) \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \xi_{1\alpha_1} \cdots \partial \xi_{m\alpha_m}},$$

which is in $\psi^{\otimes m}(U(W(n)))$ by Lemma 2.1. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(P_1(\xi(1))P_2(\xi(2)) \cdots P_m(\xi(m))) &= EX(P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m)(\xi_{11} \wedge \xi_{22} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{mm}) \\ &= X(P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m)E(\xi_{11} \wedge \xi_{22} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{mm}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Lambda(n, m)$ is generated by $\{P_1(\xi(1)) \cdots P_m(\xi(m)) \mid P_i \in \Lambda(n)\}$, E is completely determined by $E(\xi_{11} \wedge \xi_{22} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{mm})$. On the other hand, Euler operators

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^m \xi_{j\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{j\alpha}} \quad (1 \leq j \leq n)$$

are in $\psi^{\otimes m}(W(n))$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \xi_{j\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{j\alpha}} E(\xi_{11} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{mm}) &= E\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^m \xi_{j\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{j\alpha}} (\xi_{11} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{mm})\right) \\ &= \begin{cases} E(\xi_{11} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{mm}) & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq m, \\ 0 & \text{if } m < j \leq n. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

This means that if $1 \leq j \leq m$, then $E(\xi_{11} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{mm})$ is the eigenvector of the Euler operator with eigenvalue 1 and if $m+1 \leq j \leq n$, then $E(\xi_{11} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{mm})$ is in the kernel of the Euler operator. So $E(\xi_{11} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{mm})$ is degree 1 in $(\xi_{j_1}, \dots, \xi_{j_m})$ if $1 \leq j \leq m$ and degree 0 in $(\xi_{j_1}, \dots, \xi_{j_m})$ if $m+1 \leq j \leq n$. Hence we obtain

$$E(\xi_{11} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{mm}) = \sum_{1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_m \leq m} a_{j_1 \dots j_m} (\xi_{1j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{mj_m}).$$

So $\dim \mathcal{C}_m$ is less than or equal to m^m .

On the other hand, by Lemma 1.2, \mathcal{C}_m contains the subalgebra \mathcal{E}_m and by Lemma 2.2, its dimension is equal to m^m if $m \leq n$. Therefore we conclude the theorem. Q. E. D.

3. A kind of Weyl reciprocity for $W(1) \times \text{End}[m]$

3.1. Commutant algebra of $\phi^{\otimes m}(W(1))$. In this section, we consider the case $n=1$. In this case, we get a stronger result which is independent of m . For $n=1$, it becomes

$$W(1) = \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}, \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \right\rangle, \deg \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \right) = 1, \deg \left(\xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \right) = 0.$$

For convenience, we use isomorphism

$$\Lambda(1, m) := \langle \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_m \rangle \cong \Lambda(m).$$

So we have

$$D_{-1} := \phi^{\otimes m} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}, \quad D_0 := \phi^{\otimes m} \left(\xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}.$$

Obviously, $D_{-1}(\Lambda_k) \subseteq \Lambda_{k-1}$, $D_0(\Lambda_k) \subseteq \Lambda_k$ for any k . Further, we have

Lemma 3.1. *The operator D_{-1} is an exact derivation, i.e., $(D_{-1})^2=0$ and the chain complex*

$$0 \longrightarrow \Lambda_m \xrightarrow{D_{-1}} \Lambda_{m-1} \xrightarrow{D_{-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{D_{-1}} \Lambda_2 \xrightarrow{D_{-1}} \Lambda_1 \xrightarrow{D_{-1}} \Lambda_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Proof. First of all, note that $(D_{-1})^2=0$. So D_{-1} is a boundary operator. To prove the exactness, we define linear operators $P_k: \Lambda_k \rightarrow \Lambda_{k+1}$ by

$$P_k(\xi_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{i_k}) = \xi_1 \wedge \xi_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{i_k},$$

where $0 \leq k \leq m$. We put $P_{-1}=0$ for convenience. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& (D_{-1}P_k + P_{k-1}D_{-1})(\xi_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{i_k}) \\
&= D_{-1}(\xi_1 \wedge \xi_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{i_k}) + P_{k-1}D_{-1}(\xi_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{i_k}) \\
&= \xi_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{i_k} + \sum_{t=1}^k (-1)^t \xi_1 \wedge \xi_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{\xi_{i_t}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{i_k} \\
&+ P_{k-1} \left(\sum_{t=1}^k (-1)^{t-1} \xi_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{\xi_{i_t}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{i_k} \right) \\
&= \xi_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{i_k}.
\end{aligned}$$

So we obtain

$$D_{-1}P_k + P_{k-1}D_{-1} = I \quad (k=0, 1, 2, \dots, m).$$

By general theory of algebraic topology, the lemma follows from the above formula (e.g., see [3, p.163]). Q. E. D.

By the above lemmas, we can prove the following

Theorem 3.2. *Let $n=1$ and the notations be as above. Then the commutant algebra \mathcal{C}_m of $\psi^{\otimes m}(W(1))$ coincides with the representation image \mathcal{E}_m of semigroup ring \mathfrak{S}_m of the permutation semigroup $\text{End}[m]$:*

$$\mathcal{E}_m = \mathcal{C}_m.$$

Proof. By Lemma 1.2. we have $\mathcal{E}_m \subseteq \mathcal{C}_m$, so it is enough to prove $\mathcal{C}_m \subseteq \mathcal{E}_m$. For this purpose, we introduce some notations. For any $E \in \mathcal{C}_m$, put

$$\begin{aligned}
E_k &:= E|_{\Lambda_k}, \\
\mathfrak{S}_k &:= \{E \in \mathcal{C}_m \mid E_i = 0 \ (\forall i > k)\}, \\
D_{-1,k} &:= D_{-1}|_{\Lambda_k}.
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly,

$$\mathcal{C}_m = \mathfrak{S}_m \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \mathfrak{S}_1 \supseteq \mathfrak{S}_0 = (0),$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_m \simeq (\mathfrak{S}_m/\mathfrak{S}_{m-1}) \oplus (\mathfrak{S}_{m-1}/\mathfrak{S}_{m-2}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{S}_1 \quad (\text{as a vector space}).$$

We divide the proof into 5 steps.

STEP 1. Taking a complementary subspace Λ'_k of $\mathfrak{R}(D_{-1,k+1})$ (here $\mathfrak{R}(D_{-1,k+1})$ is the image space of $D_{-1,k+1}$), we have

$$\Lambda_k = \mathfrak{R}(D_{-1,k+1}) \oplus \Lambda'_k. \quad (3.1)$$

We define a linear operator $P_k: \mathfrak{S}_k/\mathfrak{S}_{k-1} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Lambda'_k, \Lambda_k)$ by

$$P_k(\bar{E}): = E_k|_{\Lambda'_k}, \text{ for any } \bar{E} \in \mathfrak{S}_k/\mathfrak{S}_{k-1},$$

where $E \in \mathfrak{S}_k$ is a representative of $\bar{E} \in \mathfrak{S}_k/\mathfrak{S}_{k-1}$. This map is well-defined, be-

cause if two elements $E, E' \in \mathcal{C}_m$ satisfy $E - E' \in \mathfrak{S}_{k-1}$, then by definition, $(E - E')_k = E_k - E'_k = 0$.

STEP 2. We show that P_k is an injection. Assume that $P_k(\bar{E}) = 0$ for an $\bar{E} \in \mathfrak{S}_k/\mathfrak{S}_{k-1}$, then there exists a representative $\bar{E} \in \mathfrak{S}_k$ such that $E|_{\Lambda'_k} = 0$. On the other hand, for any $x \in \mathfrak{R}(D_{-1, k+1})$, there exists $y \in \Lambda_{k+1}$ such that $x = D_{-1}y$, so

$$E_k x = E_k D_{-1} y = D_{-1} E_{k+1} y = 0,$$

whence $E_k|_{\Lambda_{k+1}} = 0$. Then we see $E_k = 0$ in total and $E \in \mathfrak{S}_{k-1}$. Thus we obtain $\bar{E} = 0$.

STEP 3. We show P_k is surjective. For any $H \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Lambda'_k, \Lambda_k)$, we define $E \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Lambda'(n), \Lambda(n))$ as follows:

$$\begin{cases} E|_{\Lambda_l} = 0 \quad (l \neq k-1, k), \\ E|_{\mathfrak{R}(D_{-1, k+1})} = 0, \quad E|_{\Lambda'_k} = H, \\ E|_{\mathfrak{R}(D_{-1, k})} = D_{-1, k} H, \quad E|_{\Lambda'_{k-1}} = 0 \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 3.1 and the definition of E , we have $D_0 E = E D_0$, $D_{-1} E = E D_{-1}$ and $E \in \mathfrak{S}_k$. Furthermore, let \bar{E} be the image of E in $\mathfrak{S}_k/\mathfrak{S}_{k-1}$. Then

$$P_k(\bar{E}) = E_k|_{\Lambda'_k} = H.$$

So P_k is surjective.

So far, we have the following result.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_m &\simeq (\mathfrak{S}_m/\mathfrak{S}_{m-1}) \oplus (\mathfrak{S}_{m-1}/\mathfrak{S}_{m-2}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{S}_1 \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Lambda'_m, \Lambda_m) \oplus \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Lambda'_{m-1}, \Lambda_{m-1}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Lambda'_1, \Lambda_1). \end{aligned}$$

STEP 4. Let us prove that above Λ'_k can be replaced by $\Lambda_{k-1} \wedge \xi_m$, that is,

$$\Lambda_k = \mathfrak{R}(D_{-1, k+1}) \oplus (\Lambda_{k-1} \wedge \xi_m), \quad (3.2)$$

where $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $D_{1, m+1} = 0$. If $x \wedge \xi_m = D_{-1, k+1} y$ with $x \in \Lambda_{k-1}$, $y \in \Lambda_{k+1}$, then

$$D_{-1, k}(x \wedge \xi_m) = D_{-1, k} D_{-1, k+1} y = 0,$$

and

$$D_{-1, k}(x \wedge \xi_m) = (D_{-1, k} x) \wedge \xi_m - (-1)^{k-1} x.$$

So it holds that

$$x \wedge \xi_m = ((-1)^{k-1} (D_{-1, k} x) \wedge \xi_m) \wedge \xi_m = 0.$$

This means that

$$\mathfrak{R}(D_{-1,k+1}) \cap (\Lambda_{k-1} \wedge \xi_m) = (0). \quad (3.3)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\dim \Lambda'_k = \binom{m-1}{m-k}$$

which is proved by induction on k . By a direct calculation, we get

$$\dim (\Lambda_{k-1} \wedge \xi_m) = \binom{m-1}{k-1} = \binom{m-1}{m-k}.$$

Thus, from (3.1) and (3.3), we obtain the direct sum relation (3.2).

STEP 5. A basis of the space $\Lambda'_k = \Lambda_{k-1} \wedge \xi_m$ is given by

$$\{\xi_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{j_{k-1}} \wedge \xi_m \mid 1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_{k-1} < m\}.$$

For any basis element $\xi_{i_1} \wedge \xi_{i_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{i_k}$ of Λ_k , we define $\varphi_{i_1 \cdots i_{k-1} i_k}^{j_1 \cdots j_{k-1} m} \in \text{End } [m]$ as follows:

$$\varphi_{i_1 \cdots i_{k-1} i_k}^{j_1 \cdots j_{k-1} m} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & j_1 & \cdots & j_{k-1} & \cdots & m \\ i_k & i_k & \cdots & i_1 & \cdots & i_{k-1} & \cdots & i_k \end{pmatrix},$$

i.e., $\varphi_{i_1 \cdots i_{k-1} i_k}^{j_1 \cdots j_{k-1} m}(j_l) = i_l$ ($1 \leq l \leq k-1$), $\varphi_{i_1 \cdots i_{k-1} i_k}^{j_1 \cdots j_{k-1} m}(j) = i_k$ ($j \notin \{j_1, \dots, j_{k-1}\}$). Then we have

$$\varphi_{i_1 \cdots i_{k-1} i_k}^{j_1 \cdots j_{k-1} m}(\xi_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{j_{k-1}} \wedge \xi_m) = \xi_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{i_{k-1}} \wedge \xi_{i_k},$$

and

$$\varphi_{i_1 \cdots i_{k-1} i_k}^{j_1 \cdots j_{k-1} m}(\xi_{s_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{s_{k-1}} \wedge \xi_m) = 0 \text{ for } (s_1, \dots, s_{k-1}) \neq (j_1, \dots, j_{k-1}).$$

Therefore the set

$$\left\{ \varphi_{i_1 \cdots i_{k-1} i_k}^{j_1 \cdots j_{k-1} m} \mid 1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_{k-1} \leq m-1, 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq m \right\}$$

is a basis of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Lambda'_k, \Lambda_k)$. So we get a surjection

$$\mathcal{E}_m \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{k=1}^m \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Lambda'_k, \Lambda_k) \simeq \mathbb{C}^m$$

and $\dim \mathcal{E}_m \leq \dim \mathcal{E}_m$. By Lemma 1.2, we have finally $\mathcal{E}_m = \mathcal{E}_m$.

Q. E. D.

3.2. The bicommutant algebra.

In the special case where $n = 1$, we also get the bicommutant algebra of $\phi^{\otimes m}(W(1))$. The next Theorem 3.3 states that it is the image of the enveloping algebra $\phi^{\otimes m}(U(W(1)))$. Therefore, in this case, we have an analogue of Schur duality for $W(1) \times \text{End}[m]$.

Theorem 3.3. *The bicommutant algebra of m -fold tensor product $\phi^{\otimes m}$ of the natural representation ϕ of $W(1)$ is equal to the image $\phi^{\otimes m}(U(W(1)))$ of the enveloping algebra.*

Proof. Let $U(W(1))$ be the universal enveloping algebra of $W(1)$. Then by Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem, $U(W(1))$ is spanned over \mathbf{C} as

$$U(W(1)) = \left\langle \left(\xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \right)^k, \left(\xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \right)^k \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \middle| k=0,1, \dots \right\rangle / \mathbf{C}.$$

Denote the bicommutant algebra by \mathcal{C}'_m . Obviously $\phi^{\otimes m}(U(W(1))) \subseteq \mathcal{C}'_m$ holds. Put

$$D_0 = \phi^{\otimes m} \left(\xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \right), \quad D_{-1} = \phi^{\otimes m} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \right),$$

then, under the representation $\phi^{\otimes m}$,

$$\phi^{\otimes m}(U(W(1))) = \langle I, D_0^k, D_0^{k-1}D_{-1} \mid k=1,2, \dots \rangle / \mathbf{C}.$$

We prove that $\{I, D_0, \dots, D_0^m, D_{-1}, D_0D_{-1}, \dots, D_0^{m-1}D_{-1}\}$ are linearly independent, hence $\dim \phi^{\otimes m}(U(W(n))) \geq 2m+1$.

In fact, assume that

$$\sum_{i=0}^m k_i D_0^i + \sum_{i=1}^m k_{m+i} D_0^{i-1} D_{-1} = 0.$$

then

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^m k_i D_0^i + \sum_{i=1}^m k_{m+i} D_0^{i-1} D_{-1} \right) (\xi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_r) = 0.$$

Take $r=0, 1, \dots, m$, then we get $k_0=0$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 2 & 2^2 & \dots & 2^m \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ m & m^2 & \dots & m^m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \\ \vdots \\ k_m \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & \cdots & 2^{m-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & (m-1) & \cdots & (m-1)^{m-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} k_{m+1} \\ k_{m+2} \\ k_{m+3} \\ \vdots \\ k_{2m} \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

So we have $k_1 = k_2 = \cdots = k_{2m} = 0$.

Second, we prove that

$$\dim \mathcal{C}'_m \leq 2m + 1.$$

For this purpose, let Q_k be the projection from $\Lambda(m)$ onto Λ_k , then

$$\mathcal{C}'_m = \bigoplus_{i,j=0}^m Q_i \mathcal{C}'_m Q_j.$$

For any $\bar{E} \in \mathcal{C}'_m$, we have $E = \sum_{i,j=1}^m E_{ij}$ where $E_{ij} = Q_i E Q_j$, and E_{ij} is essentially a linear operator from Λ_j to Λ_i . For any multi-index (j_1, \cdots, j_k) , take a $\varphi \in \mathfrak{S}_m$ such that

$$\varphi(j_t) = t \quad (1 \leq t \leq k).$$

By $E\varphi = \varphi E$, we have

$$E(\xi_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{j_k}) = \varphi^{-1} E(\xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_k).$$

So the value $E(\xi_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{j_k})$ is determined uniquely by $E(\xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_k)$.

Let us now consider E_{ij} .

1°. THE CASE $E_{ij} (j < i)$. Put

$$E_{ij}(\xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_j) = \sum_{1 \leq t_1 < \cdots < t_j \leq m} c_{t_1 \cdots t_j} \xi_{t_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{t_j}.$$

Take a $\varphi \in \text{End}[m]$ such that $\varphi(k) = k$ ($1 \leq k \leq j$), $\varphi(k) = j$ ($j < k \leq m$). Then, because of $E_{ij}\varphi = \varphi E_{ij}$,

$$E_{ij}(\xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_j) = \sum_{1 \leq t_1 < \cdots < t_j \leq m} c_{t_1 \cdots t_j} \xi_{\varphi(t_1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{\varphi(t_j)} = 0,$$

whence $E_{ij} = 0$.

2°. THE CASE $E_{ij} (j \geq i + 2)$. Put

$$E_{ij}(\xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_j) = \sum_{1 \leq t_1 < \cdots < t_j \leq m} c_{t_1 \cdots t_j} \xi_{t_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{t_j}.$$

For any $1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_i \leq m$, $1 \leq k \leq m$, and $k \notin \{k_1, \cdots, k_i\}$, take $\varphi \in \text{End}[m]$ such that $\varphi(k_l) = k_l$ ($1 \leq l \leq i$), $\varphi(t) = k$ ($t \notin \{k_1, \cdots, k_i\}$). Then $\varphi(\xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_j) = 0$, and it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= E_{ij}\varphi(\xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_j) = \varphi E_{ij}(\xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_j) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq t_1 < \cdots < t_j \leq m} c_{t_1 \cdots t_j} \xi_{\varphi(t_1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{\varphi(t_j)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain $c_{k_1 \dots k_t} = 0$, whence $E_{ij} = 0$.

According to the above facts, an operator $E \in \mathcal{C}'_m$ is determined completely by the values

$$E_{0,0}(1), E_{1,1}(\xi_1), \dots, E_{m,m}(\xi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_m),$$

and

$$E_{1,0}(\xi_1), E_{2,1}(\xi_1 \wedge \xi_2), \dots, E_{m,m-1}(\xi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_m).$$

Further, if we take

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & r & r+1 & \dots & j & \dots & m \\ 1 & 2 & \dots & r & r & \dots & r & \dots & r \end{pmatrix},$$

then φ maps $\Lambda_r(m)$ into the one-dimensional subspace $\mathbf{C} \xi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_r$. So by $E\varphi = \varphi E$, we get

$$E_{r,r}(\xi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_r) \in \mathbf{C} \xi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_r,$$

$$E_{r,r-1}(\xi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_{r-1}) \in \mathbf{C} \xi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_r.$$

Therefore, it holds that

$$\dim \mathcal{C}'_m \leq 2m + 1.$$

In conclusion, we have $\dim \mathcal{C}'_m = 2m + 1$ and $\mathcal{C}'_m = \phi^{\otimes m}(U(W(1)))$. This completes the proof of the theorem. Q. E. D.

DIVISION OF MATHEMATICS
FACULTY OF INTEGRATED HUMAN STUDIES
KYOTO UNIVERSITY

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
KYOTO UNIVERSITY

References

- [1] V. G. Kac, Lie superalgebra, *Advances in Mathematics*, **26** (1977), 8-96.
- [2] K. Nishiyama, Commutant algebra and harmonic polynomials of a Lie algebra of vector fields, to appear in *J. Alg.*
- [3] E. H. Spanier, *Algebraic Topology*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
- [4] H. Weyl, *The classical group*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1946.