ON INJECTIVE RESOLUTIONS OF LOCAL COHOMOLOGY MODULES

TONY J. PUTHENPURAKAL

ABSTRACT. Let $R = K[X_1, ..., X_n]$ where K is a field of characteristic zero. Let I be an ideal in R and let $M = H_I^i(R)$ be the ith-local cohomology module of R with respect to I. Let c = injdim M. We prove that if P is a prime ideal in R with Bass number $\mu_c(P, M) > 0$ then P is a maximal ideal in R.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, R is a commutative Noetherian ring. If M is an R-module and Y be a locally closed subscheme of $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$, we denote by $H_Y^i(M)$ the ith-local cohomology module of M with support in Y. If Y is closed in $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ with defining ideal I, then $H_Y^i(M)$ is denoted by $H_I^i(M)$.

In a remarkable paper, [4], Huneke and Sharp proved that if R is a regular ring containing a field of characteristic p > 0, and I is an ideal in R then the local cohomology modules of R with respect to I have the following properties:

- (i) $H^j_{\mathfrak{m}}(H^i_I(R))$ is injective, where \mathfrak{m} is any maximal ideal of R.
- (ii) $\operatorname{injdim}_R H_I^i(R) \leq \dim \operatorname{Supp} H_I^i(R)$.
- (iii) The set of associated primes of $H_I^i(R)$ is finite.
- (iv) All the Bass numbers of $H_I^i(R)$ are finite.

Here $\operatorname{injdim}_R H_I^i(R)$ denotes the injective dimension of $H_I^i(R)$. Also $\operatorname{Supp} M = \{P \mid M_P \neq 0 \text{ and } P \text{ is a prime in } R\}$ is the support of an R-module M. The jth Bass number of an R-module M with respect to a prime ideal P is defined as $\mu_j(P,M) = \dim_{k(P)} \operatorname{Ext}_{R_P}^j(k(P),M_P)$ where k(P) is the residue field of R_P .

In another remarkable paper, for regular rings in characteristic zero, Lyubeznik was able to establish the above properties for a considerably larger

Received February 25, 2014; received in final form April 15, 2015. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 13D45. Secondary 13D02, 13H10. class of functors than just the local cohomology modules, see [5]. We call such functors as Lyubeznik functors, see section two for details. If \mathcal{T} is a Lyubeznik functor on Mod(R) then $\mathcal{T}(R)$ satisfies the following properties:

- (i) $H^{\mathfrak{I}}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathcal{T}(R))$ is injective, where \mathfrak{m} is any maximal ideal of R.
- (ii) $\operatorname{injdim}_{R} \mathcal{T}(R) \leq \dim \operatorname{Supp} \mathcal{T}(R)$.
- (iii) For every maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , the number of associated primes of $\mathcal{T}(R)$ contained in \mathfrak{m} is finite.
- (iv) All the Bass numbers of $\mathcal{T}(R)$ are finite.

We should note that if $R = K[X_1, ..., X_n]$ then the number of associate primes of $\mathcal{T}(R)$ is finite.

The results of Lyubeznik for characteristic zero raised the question of whether the results (i)–(iv) of Huneke and Sharp (in characteristic p > 0) could be extended to this larger class of functors. In [6], Lyubeznik proves it.

If M is a finitely generated module over a Cohen–Macaulay ring R and say M has finite injective dimension $d = \dim R$, then it is elementary to prove that if $\mu_d(P,M) > 0$ then P is a maximal ideal in R, use [3, Proposition 3.1.13]. This fails for modules which are not finitely generated, for instance consider the injective hull E(R/P) of R/P where P is a prime ideal which is not maximal.

Our main result is the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.1. Let $R = K[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ where K is a field of characteristic zero. Let \mathcal{T} be a Lyubeznik functor on $\operatorname{Mod}(R)$. Suppose $\operatorname{injdim} \mathcal{T}(R) = c$. If P is a prime ideal in R with Bass number $\mu_c(P, \mathcal{T}(R)) > 0$ then P is a maximal ideal of R.

As an aside, we note that to best of our knowledge this is the first result whose proof uses the fact that $\operatorname{Ass} \mathcal{T}(R)$ is finite for any Lyubeznik functor \mathcal{T} .

The referee suggested to us the following interesting consequence of Theorem 1.1.

COROLLARY 1.2. Let $R = K[X_1, ..., X_n]$ where K is a field of characteristic zero. Let \mathcal{T} be a Lyubeznik functor on $\operatorname{Mod}(R)$. Then $\operatorname{injdim} \mathcal{T}(R) = \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Supp} \mathcal{T}(R)$.

A natural question is what can we say about $\mu_c(\mathfrak{m}, \mathcal{T}(R))$ as \mathfrak{m} varies over maximal ideals in R. Our next result is essentially only an observation.

PROPOSITION 1.3. Let K be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and let $R = K[X_1, ..., X_n]$. Let \mathcal{T} be a Lyubeznik functor on Mod(R). Suppose injdim $\mathcal{T}(R) = c$. Then for all i = 0, ..., c; the set

$$\{\mu_i(\mathfrak{m}, \mathcal{T}(R)) \mid \mathfrak{m} \text{ a maximal ideal of } R\}$$

is bounded.

A natural question is whether Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 1.3 hold in characteristic p > 0. Although we expect this to be true; our techniques do not work in positive characteristic. We are only able to extend Proposition 1.3 to a subclass of Lyubeznik functors, see Remark 5.2.

We now describe in brief the contents of this paper. In Section 2, we define Lyubeznik functors and also a few preliminary results on holonomic modules which we need. In Section 3, we discuss two lemmas which will help in proving Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2. Finally in Section 5, we prove Proposition 1.3.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we define Lyubeznik functors. We also prove a result on holonomic modules which we need.

2.1. Lyubeznik functors. Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring and let $X = \operatorname{Spec}(R)$. Let Y be a locally closed subset of X. If M is an R-module and Y be a locally closed subscheme of $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$, we denote by $H^i_Y(M)$ the ith-local cohomology module of M with support in Y. Suppose $Y = Y_1 \setminus Y_2$ where $Y_2 \subseteq Y_1$ are two closed subsets of X, then we have an exact sequence of functors

$$\cdots \to H_{Y_1}^i(-) \to H_{Y_2}^i(-) \to H_Y^i(-) \to H_{Y_1}^{i+1}(-) \to \cdots$$

A Lyubeznik functor \mathcal{T} is any functor of the form $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_1 \circ \mathcal{T}_2 \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{T}_m$ where every functor \mathcal{T}_j is either $H_Y^i(-)$ for some locally closed subset of X or the kernel, image or cokernel of some arrow in the previous long exact sequence for closed subsets Y_1, Y_2 of X such that $Y_2 \subseteq Y_1$.

We need the following result from [5, Lemma 3.1].

PROPOSITION 2.1. Let $\phi: R \to S$ be a flat homomorphism of Noetherian rings. Let \mathcal{T} be a Lyubeznik functor on $\operatorname{Mod}(R)$. Then there exists a Lyubeznik functor $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}$ on $\operatorname{Mod}(S)$ and isomorphisms $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}(M \otimes_R S) \cong \mathcal{T}(M) \otimes_R S$ which is functorial in M.

2.2. Lyubeznik functors and holonomicity. Let K be a field of characteristic zero. Let $S = K[[X_1, \ldots, X_n]]$. Let D be the ring of K-linear differential operators on S. Let \mathcal{T} be a Lyubeznik functor on $\operatorname{Mod}(S)$. If M is any holonomic D-module then $\mathcal{T}(M)$ is a holonomic D-module; see [5, Remark 2.2d]. In particular, $\mathcal{T}(S)$ is a holonomic D-module.

Let $R = K[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ and let $A_n(K)$ be the *n*th-Weyl algebra over K. Let \mathcal{T} be a Lyubeznik functor on $\operatorname{Mod}(R)$. If M is any holonomic $A_n(K)$ -module then $\mathcal{T}(M)$ is a holonomic $A_n(K)$ -module (the proof in [5, Remark 2.2d] can be modified to prove this result). In particular, $\mathcal{T}(R)$ is a holonomic $A_n(K)$ -module.

REMARK 2.2. In [2] holonomic $A_n(K)$ -modules are called modules belonging to the Bernstein class.

2.3. Localization of holonomic modules. Let k be a field of characteristic zero and let $S = k[[Y_1, \ldots, Y_n]]$. Let D be the ring of k-linear differential operators on S. Let C be a simple holonomic D-module. Notice $\operatorname{Ass}_S C = \{P\}$ for some prime P in S. Also C is P-torsion; see [2, Lemma 3.3.16–17]. It follows from [2, p. 109, lines 3–6] that there exists $h \in (S/P)$ non-zero such that $\operatorname{Hom}_S(S/P,C)_h$ is a finitely generated $(S/P)_h$ module. Let g be a preimage of h in S. Then clearly $\operatorname{Hom}_S(S/P,C)_g$ is a finitely generated S_g -module. We now generalize this result.

PROPOSITION 2.3 (with hypotheses as in 2.3). Let M be a holonomic D-module. Assume $\operatorname{Ass}_S M = \{P\}$ and M is P-torsion. Then there exists $h \in S \setminus P$ such that $\operatorname{Hom}_S(S/P, M)_h$ is finitely generated as a S_h -module.

Proof. Let $0 = M_0 \subsetneq M_1 \subsetneq M_2 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq M_{n-1} \subsetneq M_n = M$ be a filtration of M with M_i/M_{i-1} simple D-module for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. By induction on i, we prove that there exists $h_i \in S \setminus P$ such that $\operatorname{Hom}_S(S/P, M_i)_{h_i}$ is finitely generated as a S_{h_i} -module.

For i=1, note that M_1 is a simple holonomic D-module. Also $\mathrm{Ass}_S\,M_1\subseteq \mathrm{Ass}_S\,M=\{P\}$. Then by 2.3 we get the required assertion. We assume the result for i=r and prove it for i=r+1. Say $\mathrm{Hom}_S(S/P,M_r)_{h_r}$ is a finitely generated S_{h_r} -module. We consider the following two cases.

Case 1: $Ass_S M_{r+1}/M_r = \{P\}.$

By 2.3, there exists $g_r \in S \setminus P$ such that $\operatorname{Hom}_S(S/P, M_{r+1}/M_r)_{g_r}$ is finitely generated S_{g_r} -module. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_S(S/P, M_r) \to \operatorname{Hom}_S(S/P, M_{r+1}) \to \operatorname{Hom}_S(S/P, M_{r+1}/M_r).$$

Localize at $h_{r+1} = h_r g_r \in S \setminus P$. Notice

- (1) $\text{Hom}_S(S/P, M_r)_{h_{r+1}} = (\text{Hom}_S(S/P, M_r)_{h_r})_{g_r}$ is finitely generated as a $S_{h_{r+1}}$ -module.
- (2) $\operatorname{Hom}_S(S/P, M_{r+1}/M_r)_{h_{r+1}} = (\operatorname{Hom}_S(S/P, M_{r+1}/M_r)_{g_r})_{h_r}$ is finitely generated as a $S_{h_{r+1}}$ -module.

It follows that $\operatorname{Hom}_S(S/P, M_{r+1})_{h_{r+1}}$ is finitely generated as a $S_{h_{r+1}}$ -module. $Case\ 2$: $\operatorname{Ass}_S M_{r+1}/M_r = \{Q\}$ with $Q \neq P$.

As M is P-torsion we have that $Q \supseteq P$. Take $g \in Q \setminus P$. Then $(M_{r+1}/M_r)_g = 0$. So $\operatorname{Hom}_S(S/P, M_{r+1}/M_r)_g = 0$. Put $h_{r+1} = h_r g \in S \setminus P$. Then note that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{S}(S/P, M_{r+1})_{h_{r+1}} \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{S}(S/P, M_{r})_{h_{r+1}} = \left(\operatorname{Hom}_{S}(S/P, M_{r})_{h_{r}}\right)_{q},$$

is finitely generated as a $S_{h_{r+1}}$ -module. Thus by induction, we get that there exists $h \in S \setminus P$ such that $\operatorname{Hom}_S(S/P,M)_h$ is finitely generated as a S_h -module.

2.4. Non-singular locus of affine varieties. Finally, we need the following well-known result regarding non-singular locus of affine domains.

Theorem 2.4. Let A be an affine domain, finitely generated over a perfect field k. Then

- (1) The non-singular locus of A is non-empty and an open subset of Spec(A).
- (2) There exists a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of A with $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ regular local.
- (3) If dim $A \ge 1$, then there exists infinitely many maximal ideals of A with $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ regular local.
- (4) Suppose dim $A \ge 2$ and let $f \in A$. Then there exists a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of A with $f \notin \mathfrak{m}$ and $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -regular local.

3. Two lemmas

In this section, we establish two lemmas which will enable us to prove our main result. Let K be a field of characteristic zero and let P be a prime ideal of height g in $R = K[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$. Let E(R/P) denote the injective hull of R/P. Recall that $E(R/P) = H_P^g(R)_P$. It follows that E(R/P) is a $A_n(K)$ -module and the natural inclusion $H_P^g(R) \to E(R/P)$ is $A_n(K)$ -linear.

LEMMA 3.1. Let K be a field of characteristic zero and let P be a prime ideal of height n-1 in $R = K[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$. Then E(R/P) is not a holonomic $A_n(K)$ -module.

Proof. Suppose if possible E(R/P) is a holonomic $A_n(K)$ -module. We have an exact sequence of $A_n(K)$ -modules

$$0 \to H_P^{n-1}(R) \to E(R/P) \to C \to 0.$$

As E(R/P) is holonomic we have that C is also a holonomic $A_n(K)$ -module. Notice $C_P=0$. It follows that C is supported at only finitely many maximal ideals of R, say $\mathfrak{m}_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{m}_r$. By Theorem 2.4(3), there exists a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of R such that $\mathfrak{m}\neq\mathfrak{m}_i$ for all i and $(R/P)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is regular local. Note $H_P^{n-1}(R)_{\mathfrak{m}}=E(R/P)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ as $C_{\mathfrak{m}}=0$. If $\mathfrak{m}R_{\mathfrak{m}}=(z_1,\ldots,z_n)$ then as $R_{\mathfrak{m}}/PR_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is regular we may assume that $PR_{\mathfrak{m}}=(z_1,\ldots,z_{n-1})$. In particular, $H_{PR_{\mathfrak{m}}}^n(R_{\mathfrak{m}})=0$.

Let $f \in \mathfrak{m}R_{\mathfrak{m}} \setminus PR_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Note that we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^{n-1}_{PR_{\mathfrak{m}}}(R_{\mathfrak{m}}) \to H^{n-1}_{PR_{\mathfrak{m}}}(R_{\mathfrak{m}})_f \to H^n_{(PR_{\mathfrak{m}},f)}(R_{\mathfrak{m}}) \to H^n_{PR_{\mathfrak{m}}}(R_{\mathfrak{m}}) = 0.$$

As $H_{PR_{\mathfrak{m}}}^{n-1}(R_{\mathfrak{m}}) = H_P^{n-1}(R)_{\mathfrak{m}} = E(R/P)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ it follows that the first map in the above exact sequence is an isomorphism. It follows that $H_{(PR_{\mathfrak{m}},f)}^{n}(R_{\mathfrak{m}}) = 0$. This contradicts Grothendieck's non-vanishing theorem as $\sqrt{(PR_{\mathfrak{m}},f)} = \mathfrak{m}R_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

Our next result is

LEMMA 3.2. Let K be a field of characteristic zero and let P be a height g prime in $R = K[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ with $g \le n-2$. Suppose \mathfrak{m} is a maximal ideal in R with $(R/P)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ a regular local ring. Let \mathcal{T} be a Lyubeznik functor on $\operatorname{Mod}(R_{\mathfrak{m}})$. Then $\mathcal{T}(R_{\mathfrak{m}}) \ne E(R/P)_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathfrak{m}}$ for any c > 0.

Proof. Suppose if possible $\mathcal{T}(R_{\mathfrak{m}}) = E(R/P)^{c}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ for some c > 0. Let $\widehat{R}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ be the completion of $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ at $\mathfrak{m}R_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Note $\widehat{R}_{\mathfrak{m}} = K'[[Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{n}]]$ where $K' \cong R/\mathfrak{m}$. Let D be the ring of K'-linear differential operators on $\widehat{R}_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Note by Proposition 2.1 there exists a Lyubeznik functor $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}$ on $\operatorname{Mod}(\widehat{R}_{\mathfrak{m}})$ such that $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}(\widehat{R}_{\mathfrak{m}}) = \mathcal{T}(R_{\mathfrak{m}}) \otimes \widehat{R}_{\mathfrak{m}}$. In particular $E(R/P)^{c}_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes \widehat{R}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a holonomic D-module. So $V = E(R/P)_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes \widehat{R}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a holonomic D-module.

As $(R/P)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is regular local, we may assume that $PR_{\mathfrak{m}} = (Z_1, \ldots, Z_g)$. Note $n \geq g+2$. In particular, we have that $P\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ is a prime ideal in $\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}$. Notice V is $P\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ -torsion. Furthermore, Ass $V = \{P\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}\}$. Using Proposition 2.3, we get that there exists $h \in \widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}} \setminus P\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ such that $\operatorname{Hom}(\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}/P\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}},V)_h$ is a finitely generated $(\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}})_h$ -module. Notice $\operatorname{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}(R_{\mathfrak{m}}/PR_{\mathfrak{m}},E(R/P)_{\mathfrak{m}}) = k(P)$ where k(P) is the quotient field of $R_{\mathfrak{m}}/PR_{\mathfrak{m}}$. It follows that

$$\operatorname{Hom}(\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}/P\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}},V)=\operatorname{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}\big(R_{\mathfrak{m}}/PR_{\mathfrak{m}},E(R/P)_{\mathfrak{m}}\big)\otimes\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}=k(P)\otimes\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}.$$

For $\lambda \in K$ let $\mathfrak{q}_{\lambda} = (Z_1, \dots, Z_g, Z_{g+1} + \lambda Z_{g+2})$. Clearly, \mathfrak{q}_{λ} is a prime ideal of height g+1 in $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ containing $PR_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Furthermore, we have that $\mathfrak{q}_{\lambda}\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ is a prime ideal in $\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}$. If $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$, then it is easy to show that $\mathfrak{q}_{\lambda_1} \neq \mathfrak{q}_{\lambda_2}$. Now consider \overline{h} , the image of h in $\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}/P\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}$. By considering a primary decomposition of (\overline{h}) it follows that infinitely many $\mathfrak{q}_{\lambda}\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ do not contain h. Choose one such λ . Thus we have that $\operatorname{Hom}(\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}/P\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}},V)_{\mathfrak{q}_{\lambda}\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}}$ is a finitely generated $(\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}})_{\mathfrak{q}_{\lambda}\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}}$ -module. Notice we have a flat local map $(R_{\mathfrak{m}})_{\mathfrak{q}_{\lambda}} \to (\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}})_{\mathfrak{q}_{\lambda}\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}}$. Furthermore, note that

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{Hom}(\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}/P\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}, V)_{\mathfrak{q}_{\lambda}\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}} &= k(P) \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}} \widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}} \otimes_{\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}} (\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}})_{\mathfrak{q}_{\lambda}\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}} \\ &= k(P) \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}} (\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}})_{\mathfrak{q}_{\lambda}\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}} \\ &= k(P) \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}} (R_{\mathfrak{m}})_{\mathfrak{q}_{\lambda}} \otimes_{(R_{\mathfrak{m}})_{\mathfrak{q}_{\lambda}}} (\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}})_{\mathfrak{q}_{\lambda}\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}} \\ &= k(P) \otimes_{(R_{\mathfrak{m}})_{\mathfrak{q}_{\lambda}}} (\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}})_{\mathfrak{q}_{\lambda}\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}}. \end{split}$$

In the last equation, we have used that $k(P)_{\mathfrak{q}_{\lambda}} = k(P)$. By Proposition 3.3, we get that k(P) is a finitely generated $(R_{\mathfrak{m}})_{\mathfrak{q}_{\lambda}}$ -module. This is a contradiction as $P(R_{\mathfrak{m}})_{\mathfrak{q}_{\lambda}}$ is a non-maximal prime ideal in $(R_{\mathfrak{m}})_{\mathfrak{q}_{\lambda}}$.

We need the following result in the proof of Lemma 3.2.

PROPOSITION 3.3. Let $\phi: A \to B$ be a flat local map of Noetherian local rings. Let L be an A-module. Then L is finitely generated as a A-module if and only if $L \otimes_A B$ is finitely generated as a B-module.

Proof. If L is finitely generated as a A-module, then clearly $L \otimes_A B$ is finitely B-module. Suppose now that L is not a finitely generated A-module. Let

$$L_1 \subsetneq L_2 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq L_n \subsetneq L_{n+1} \subsetneq \cdots$$

be a strictly ascending chain of submodules in L. By faithful flatness, we have that

$$L_1 \otimes B \subsetneq L_2 \otimes B \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq L_n \otimes B \subsetneq L_{n+1} \otimes B \subsetneq \cdots$$

is a strictly ascending chain of submodules of $L \otimes B$. It follows that $L \otimes B$ is not finitely generated.

4. Proof of the Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2

In this section, we prove our main result. We need the following easily proved fact.

PROPOSITION 4.1. Let A be a Noetherian ring and let T be an A-module. Let $f \in A$. Then the natural map

$$\eta \colon T \to T_f \text{ is injective} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad f \notin \bigcup_{P \in \operatorname{Ass} T} P.$$

We now give

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Set $M = \mathcal{T}(R)$. We prove that if P is a prime ideal in R and not maximal then $\mu_c(P, M) = 0$. Notice $\mu_c(P, M) = \mu_0(P, H_P^c(M))$, see [5, Lemma 1.4 and Theorem 3.4(b)]. We consider two cases.

Case 1: height P = n - 1.

Suppose if possible $\mu_0(P, H_P^c(M)) \neq 0$. Notice then P is a minimal prime of $H_P^c(M)$. So if $\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Ass}_R H_P^c(M)$ and $\mathfrak{q} \neq P$ then \mathfrak{q} is a maximal ideal of R. In this case, $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}(H_P^c(M)) = E(R/\mathfrak{q})^r$ for some r > 0. Since $\operatorname{Ass}_R H_P^c(M)$ is a finite set we can write $H_P^c(M) = L \oplus I$ as R-modules where $\operatorname{Ass}_R L = \{P\}$ and $I = E(R/\mathfrak{m}_1)^{r_1} \oplus E(R/\mathfrak{m}_2)^{r_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus E(R/\mathfrak{m}_s)^{r_s}$ for some maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{m}_s$ and finite numbers r_1, \ldots, r_s . Thus, I is an injective R-module. Also note that both L and I are P-torsion. Further note that $I = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}_1\mathfrak{m}_2\cdots\mathfrak{m}_s}(H_P^c(M))$ is a $A_n(K)$ -submodule of $H_P^c(M)$ and so $L \cong H_P^c(M)/I$ is a holonomic $A_n(K)$ -module.

Let $f \in R \setminus P$. Recall injdim M = c. We have an exact sequence

$$H^{c}_{(P,f)}(M) \to H^{c}_{P}(M) \to H^{c}_{P}(M)_{f} \to H^{c+1}_{(P,f)}(M) = 0.$$

Thus, the natural map $\eta: L \to L_f$ is surjective. As $f \notin P$ and Ass $L = \{P\}$ we get that η is also injective. Thus, $L = L_f$ for every $f \in R \setminus P$. It follows that $L = L_P$. Also note that $L_P = H_P^c(M)_P$. By [5, Theorem 3.4(b)], $L_P = E(R/P)^l$ for some finite l > 0. Thus, we have that E(R/P) is a holonomic $A_n(K)$ -module. By Lemma 3.1, this is a contradiction.

Case 2: height $P \leq n-2$.

Suppose if possible $\mu_0(P, H_P^c(M)) \neq 0$. Let $\operatorname{Ass} H_P^c(M) = \{P, Q_1, \dots, Q_c\}$ where $Q_i \neq P$. As $H_P^c(M)$ is P-torsion we have that $Q_i \supsetneq P$ for all i. Let $f_i \in Q_i \setminus P$. Put $f = f_1 \cdots f_c$. By Theorem 2.4(4), there exists a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of R such that $f \notin \mathfrak{m}$ and $(R/P)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is regular local. Localize at \mathfrak{m} . Notice $\operatorname{Ass}_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}} H_P^c(M)_{\mathfrak{m}} = \{PR_{\mathfrak{m}}\}$. Let $g \in R_{\mathfrak{m}} \setminus PR_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Notice injdim $_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}} M_{\mathfrak{m}} \leq c$. So we have an exact sequence

$$H^c_{(PR_{\mathfrak{m}},g)}(M_{\mathfrak{m}}) \to H^c_{PR_{\mathfrak{m}}}(M_{\mathfrak{m}}) \to H^c_{PR_{\mathfrak{m}}}(M_{\mathfrak{m}})_g \to H^{c+1}_{(PR_{\mathfrak{m}},g)}(M_{\mathfrak{m}}) = 0.$$

Thus, the natural map $\eta\colon H^c_{PR_{\mathfrak{m}}}(M_{\mathfrak{m}})\to H^c_{PR_{\mathfrak{m}}}(M_{\mathfrak{m}})_g$ is surjective. By Lemma 4.1, it is also injective as $\operatorname{Ass} H^c_{PR_{\mathfrak{m}}}(M_{\mathfrak{m}})=\{PR_{\mathfrak{m}}\}$. It follows that $H^c_{PR_{\mathfrak{m}}}(M_{\mathfrak{m}})=H^c_{PR_{\mathfrak{m}}}(M_{\mathfrak{m}})_g$. So $H^c_{PR_{\mathfrak{m}}}(M_{\mathfrak{m}})=H^c_{PR_{\mathfrak{m}}}(M_{\mathfrak{m}})_{PR_{\mathfrak{m}}}$. By [5, Theorem 3.4(b)], we get that $H^c_{PR_{\mathfrak{m}}}(M_{\mathfrak{m}})_P\cong E(R_{\mathfrak{m}}/PR_{\mathfrak{m}})^s$ for some finite s>0. By Proposition 2.1 there exist a Lyubeznik functor \mathcal{T}' on $\operatorname{Mod}(R_{\mathfrak{m}})$ with $\mathcal{T}'(R_{\mathfrak{m}})=\mathcal{T}(R)\otimes R_{\mathfrak{m}}=M_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Observe that $\mathcal{G}=H^c_{PR_{\mathfrak{m}}}\circ \mathcal{T}'$ is a Lyubeznik functor on $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$. We have $\mathcal{G}(R_{\mathfrak{m}})=E(R_{\mathfrak{m}}/PR_{\mathfrak{m}})^s$. This contradicts Lemma 3.2.

We now give a proof of Corollary 1.2. I thank the referee for both the statement and proof of this result.

Proof of Corollary 1.2. Let $s = \operatorname{injdim} \mathcal{T}(R)$ and let $d = \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Supp} \mathcal{T}(R)$. As $\operatorname{Ass} \mathcal{T}(R)$ is finite we may choose finitely many primes P_1, \ldots, P_s in $\operatorname{Supp} \mathcal{T}(R)$ such that $d = \dim R/P_i$ for all i and $\dim R/P < d$ for all primes P in $\operatorname{Supp} \mathcal{T}(R)$ with $P \neq P_i$ for all i. We use induction on d.

If d = 0, there is nothing to prove. Assume we have proved the result when $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Supp} \mathcal{G}(S) = d - 1$ for all polynomial rings S over K and all Lyubeznik functors \mathcal{G} .

If d>1, then we can choose a linear combination y of the variables such that $k[y]\cap P_i=0$ for $i=1,\ldots,s$. Set $R'=k(y)\otimes_{k[y]}R$. Notice that R' is a polynomial ring over the field k(y) in n-1 variables and $\dim \operatorname{Supp} \mathcal{T}(R)\otimes_R R'=d-1$. Now by Proposition 2.1 there exists a Lyubeznik functor $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}$ on $\operatorname{Mod}(R')$ and an isomorphism $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}(R')\cong \mathcal{T}(R)\otimes_R R'$. Hence by induction hypothesis, injdim $\mathcal{T}(R)\otimes_R R'=d-1$. So $\mu_{d-1}(Q',\mathcal{T}(R)\otimes_R R')>0$ for some maximal ideal Q' of R'. Let Q be the contraction of Q' to R. We note that Q cannot be a maximal ideal of R (for instance see [8, Theorem 5.1]). As $\mu_{d-1}(Q,\mathcal{T}(R))>0$ we get by Theorem 1.1 that injdim $\mathcal{T}(R)$ cannot be d-1. Since injdim $\mathcal{T}(R)\leq d$, it follows that injdim $\mathcal{T}(R)=d$.

5. Proof of Proposition 1.3

In this section, we prove Proposition 1.3. Throughout K is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Let $R = K[X_1, ..., X_n]$ and let $A_n(K)$ be the nth-Weyl algebra over K. We use notions developed in [2, Chapter 1], in particular we use the notion of Bernstein filtration of $A_n(K)$, good filtration,

multiplicity and dimension of a finitely generated $A_n(K)$ -module. We will use the fact that for any holonomic module M we have $\ell(M) \leq e(M)$; here $\ell(M)$ denotes the length of M as an $A_n(K)$ -module and e(M) denotes its multiplicity.

The following result is well-known. So we just sketch an argument.

PROPOSITION 5.1. Let \mathfrak{m} be a maximal ideal of R. Then $e(E(R/\mathfrak{m})) = 1$. In particular $E(R/\mathfrak{m})$ is a simple $A_n(K)$ -module.

Proof (Sketch). As K is algebraically closed $\mathfrak{m} = (X_1 - a_1, \dots, X_n - a_n)$ for some $a_1, \dots, a_n \in K$. After a change of variables, we may assume $a_1 = \dots = a_n = 0$. Note $E(R/\mathfrak{m}) = K[\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n]$. The obvious filtration on $E(R/\mathfrak{m})$ is compatible with the Bernstein filtration and is good. So $e(E(R/\mathfrak{m})) = 1$. \square

5.1. A multiplicity bound. Let M be a holonomic $A_n(K)$ -module. Let $f \in R$ be a polynomial of degree d. Then by proof of Theorem 5.19 in Chapter 1 of [2] we have

(1)
$$e(M_f) \le e(M)(1 + \deg f)^n.$$

We now give

Proof of Proposition 1.3. Set $M = \mathcal{T}(R)$. Let $\mathfrak{m} = (X_1 - a_1, \dots, X_n - a_n)$ be a maximal ideal of R. Fix i with $0 \le i \le c$. Notice $\mu_i(\mathfrak{m}, M) = \mu_0(\mathfrak{m}, H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M))$, see [5, Lemma 1.4 and Theorem 3.4(b)]. If $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = E(R/\mathfrak{m})^{r_i}$ then $\mu_i(\mathfrak{m}, M) = r_i = \ell(H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M))$. To compute $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ we use the Čech-complex:

$$\mathbf{C} \colon 0 \to M \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^n M_{(X_j - a_j)} \to \cdots \to M_{(X_1 - a_1) \cdots (X_n - a_n)} \to 0.$$

In particular we have that $\ell(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)) \leq \ell(\mathbf{C}^i)$. Notice C^i has $\binom{n}{i}$ copies of modules of the form M_f were f is a product of i distinct polynomials among $X_1 - a_1, \ldots, X_n - a_n$. In particular $\deg f = i$. So by Equation (1), we have $e(M_f) \leq e(M)(1+i)^n$. Thus,

$$r_i \le e(\mathbf{C}^i) \le \binom{n}{i} e(M)(1+i)^n.$$

REMARK 5.2. If K_p is an algebraically closed field of characteristic p and $S = K_p[X_1, ..., X_n]$ then Proposition 1.3 holds for functors of the form

$$\mathcal{G}(-) = H^{i_1}_{I_1} \left(H^{i_2}_{I_2} \left(\cdots \left(H^{i_r}_{I_r}(-) \right) \cdots \right) \right).$$

The point is that $\mathcal{G}(R)$ is a holonomic *D*-module where *D* is the ring of K_p -linear differential operators over *S*. Here we use the notion of holonomicity by V. Bavula [1]. In this case the bound $\ell(M_f) \leq n!\ell(M)(1 + \deg f)^n$ holds, see [7, Proof of Corollary 3.6]. The proof then follows by the same argument as before.

Acknowledgment. I thank the referee for suggesting Corollary 1.2.

References

- V. Bavula, Dimension, multiplicity, holonomic modules, and an analogue of the inequality of Bernstein for rings of differential operators in prime characteristic, Represent. Theory 13 (2009), 182–227. MR 2506264
- [2] J.-E. Björk, Rings of differential operators, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1979. MR 0549189
- [3] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, Cohen-Macaulay rings, revised ed., Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., vol. 39, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. MR 1251956
- [4] C. Huneke and R. Sharp, Bass numbers of local cohomology modules, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 339 (1993), 765–779. MR 1124167
- [5] G. Lyubeznik, Finiteness properties of local cohomology modules (an application of D-modules to commutative algebra), Invent. Math. 113 (1993), 41–55. MR 1223223
- [6] G. Lyubeznik, F-modules: Applications to local cohomology and D-modules in characteristic p > 0, J. Reine Angew. Math. 491 (1997), 65–130. MR 1476089
- [7] G. Lyubeznik, A characteristic-free proof of a basic result on D-modules, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 215 (2011), no. 8, 2019–2023. MR 2776441
- [8] H. Matsumura, Commutative ring theory, 2nd ed., Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., vol. 8, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989. MR 1011461

Tony J. Puthenpurakal, Department of Mathematics, IIT-Bombay, Mumbai 400 076. India

E-mail address: tputhen@math.iitb.ac.in