

A NOTE ON UNITAL FULL AMALGAMATED FREE PRODUCTS OF RFD C*-ALGEBRAS

QIHUI LI AND JUNHAO SHEN

ABSTRACT. In the paper, we consider the question whether a unital full amalgamated free product of RFD (residually finite dimensional) C*-algebras is RFD again. One example shows that the answer to the general case is no. We give a necessary and sufficient condition such that a unital full amalgamated free product of RFD C*-algebras with amalgamation over a finite dimensional C*-algebra is RFD. Applying this result, we conclude that a unital full free product of two same RFD C*-algebras with amalgamation over a finite-dimensional C*-algebra is always RFD.

1. Introduction

A C*-algebra is said to be residually finite-dimensional (RFD) if it has a separating family of finite-dimensional representations. Also this property is inherited by subalgebras. Choi [6] showed that the full C*-algebra of the free group on two generators is RFD. Later Exel and Loring showed that the unital full free product of two unital RFD C*-algebras is RFD [8]. In the same paper, they gave several equivalent conditions for the RFD property. Armstrong, Dykema, Exel and Li [1] characterized the RFD property of unital full amalgamated free products of finite dimensional C*-algebras, which extends an earlier result by Brown and Dykema [4].

In this paper, we are interested in the question whether a unital full free product of two RFD C*-algebras with amalgamation over a common C*-algebra is, again, an RFD C*-algebra. One example (see Example 2.1) is given to show that the answer to this general question is no. But an affirmative

Received August 28, 2011; received in final form May 26, 2012.

The research of first author was partially supported by the NSFC grant No.11201146 and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

The research of second author was partially supported by an NSF grant.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L09, 46L35.

answer was given by Exel and Loring [8] when the common C^* -subalgebra in a unital full amalgamated free product of RFD algebras is $*$ -isomorphic to a full matrix algebra. In fact, a similar result holds when we consider MF algebras and quasidiagonal C^* -algebras (for more information about MF algebras and quasidiagonal C^* -algebras, we refer the reader to [2], [5]).

When the common C^* -subalgebra is a finite-dimensional C^* -algebra, we are able to provide a necessary and sufficient condition such that a unital full amalgamated free product of RFD C^* -algebras is RFD again. More specifically, we conclude that a unital full free product of two same RFD C^* -algebras with amalgamation over a finite-dimensional C^* -algebra is always RFD.

A brief overview of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we recall the definition of unital full amalgamated free product of unital C^* -algebras. We show that a unital full amalgamated free product of unital RFD (or MF, quasidiagonal) C^* -algebras is RFD (or MF, quasidiagonal) when the overlap C^* -algebra is $*$ -isomorphic to a full matrix algebra. One example is given at the end of the section to show that a unital full amalgamated free product of RFD (or MF, quasidiagonal) C^* -algebras may not be RFD (or MF, quasidiagonal) again. Section 3 is devoted to results on unital full free products of RFD C^* -algebras with amalgamation over finite-dimensional C^* -algebras.

2. Definitions and preliminaries

Recall the definition of full amalgamated free product of unital C^* -algebras as follows.

DEFINITION 1. Given C^* -algebras \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{D} with unital embeddings (injective $*$ -homomorphisms) $\psi_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and $\psi_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, the corresponding full amalgamated free product C^* -algebra is the C^* -algebra \mathcal{C} , equipped with unital embeddings $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \circ \psi_{\mathcal{A}} = \sigma_{\mathcal{B}} \circ \psi_{\mathcal{B}}$, such that \mathcal{C} is generated by $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}) \cup \sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{B})$ and satisfying the universal property that whenever \mathcal{E} is a C^* -algebra and $\pi_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ and $\pi_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ are $*$ -homomorphisms satisfying $\pi_{\mathcal{A}} \circ \psi_{\mathcal{A}} = \pi_{\mathcal{B}} \circ \psi_{\mathcal{B}}$, there is a $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ such that $\pi \circ \sigma_{\mathcal{A}} = \pi_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\pi \circ \sigma_{\mathcal{B}} = \pi_{\mathcal{B}}$. The full amalgamated free product C^* -algebra \mathcal{C} is commonly denoted by $\mathcal{A} *_D \mathcal{B}$.

When $D = \mathbb{C}I$, the above definition is the unital full free product $\mathcal{A} *_C \mathcal{B}$ of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} . The following result can be found in [11]. But we offer a new proof, which is perhaps more elementary.

THEOREM 1. *Suppose that \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{D} are unital C^* -algebras. Then*

$$(\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{D}) *_D (\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{D}) \cong (\mathcal{A} *_C \mathcal{B}) \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{D}.$$

Proof. Let $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $I_{\mathcal{B}}$ be the identity in \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , respectively. From the definition of unital full free product, we can get two natural unital embeddings

$$\pi_1 : \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{D} \rightarrow (\mathcal{A} *_C \mathcal{B}) \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{D}$$

and

$$\pi_2 : \mathcal{B} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{D} \rightarrow (\mathcal{A} *_C \mathcal{B}) \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{D}$$

from $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{D}$ into $(\mathcal{A} *_C \mathcal{B}) \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{D}$, respectively. It is clear that the restrictions of π_1 on $I_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{D}$ and π_2 on $I_{\mathcal{B}} \otimes \mathcal{D}$ agree, i.e., $\pi_1|_{I_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{D}} = \pi_2|_{I_{\mathcal{B}} \otimes \mathcal{D}}$. Suppose \mathcal{K} is a C*-algebra acting on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} such that there are two *-homomorphisms $q_1 : \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ and $q_2 : \mathcal{B} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ satisfying $q_1|_{I_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{D}} = q_2|_{I_{\mathcal{B}} \otimes \mathcal{D}}$. Then $q_1(\mathcal{A} \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}})$ commutes with $q_1(I_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{D})$ in \mathcal{K} and $q_2(\mathcal{B} \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}})$ commutes with $q_2(I_{\mathcal{B}} \otimes \mathcal{D})$ in \mathcal{K} . Let

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{K} \cap (q_1(I_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{D}))' = \mathcal{K} \cap (q_2(I_{\mathcal{B}} \otimes \mathcal{D}))'$$

Since $q_1(\mathcal{A} \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}})$ and $q_2(\mathcal{B} \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}})$ are both subalgebras of C*-algebra \mathcal{M} , there is a *-homomorphism $\tilde{q} : \mathcal{A} *_C \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ by the definition of unital full free product. Moreover, the image $\tilde{q}(\mathcal{A} *_C \mathcal{B})$ of $\mathcal{A} *_C \mathcal{B}$ under \tilde{q} commutes with $q_1(I_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{D})$ in \mathcal{K} . From the definition of maximal C*-norm on tensor product of two C*-algebras, there is a *-homomorphism

$$q : (\mathcal{A} *_C \mathcal{B}) \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$$

such that $q \circ \pi_1 = q_1$ and $q \circ \pi_2 = q_2$. The desired conclusion now follows from the definition of full amalgamated free products of unital C*-algebras. \square

Combining the following lemma and preceding result, we are able to obtain a result about unital full amalgamated free products of RFD C*-algebras, which can be also found in [8].

LEMMA 1 (Theorem 3.2, [8]). *Suppose \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 are unital C*-algebras. Then the unital full free product $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 *_C \mathcal{A}_2$ is RFD if and only if \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 are both RFD.*

PROPOSITION 1 (Corollary 3.3, [8]). *Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be unital C*-algebras. If \mathcal{D} can be embedded as a unital C*-subalgebra of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} respectively, and \mathcal{D} is *-isomorphic to a full matrix algebra $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ for some integer n , then the unital full amalgamated free product $\mathcal{A} *_D \mathcal{B}$ is RFD if and only if \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are both RFD.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{A} *_D \mathcal{B}$ is a unital RFD algebra, then it is easy to see that \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are both RFD. On the other hand, since \mathcal{D} is *-isomorphic to a full matrix algebra, from Lemma 6.6.3 in [10], it follows that $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{A}' \otimes \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{B} \cong \mathcal{B}' \otimes \mathcal{D}$ where \mathcal{A}' and \mathcal{B}' are C*-subalgebras of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , respectively. Therefore, \mathcal{A}' and \mathcal{B}' are RFD as well. Then the desired conclusion follows from Theorem 1 and Lemma 1. \square

If a separable C*-algebra \mathcal{A} can be embedded into C*-algebra

$$\prod_k \mathcal{M}_{n_k}(\mathbb{C}) / \sum_k \mathcal{M}_{n_k}(\mathbb{C})$$

for a sequence of positive integers $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$, then \mathcal{A} is called an MF algebra. This concept was first introduced by Blackadar and Kirchberg in [2]. The class of MF algebras contains all separable RFD C^* -algebras and separable quasidiagonal C^* -algebras. Note that a separable C^* -algebra is RFD if and only if it can be embedded into $\prod_k \mathcal{M}_{n_k}(\mathbb{C})$ for a sequence of positive integers $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$.

REMARK 1. Since a unital full free product of quasidiagonal C^* -algebras (or MF algebras) is quasidiagonal (or MF) (see [3], [9]), Proposition 1 can be stated and proved when we consider unital MF algebras or unital quasidiagonal C^* -algebras.

REMARK 2. Armstrong, Dykema, Exel and Li [1] showed that, for unital inclusions of C^* -algebras $\mathcal{A} \supseteq \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ with \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} finite dimensional, $\mathcal{A} *_D \mathcal{B}$ is RFD if and only if there are faithful tracial states $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}$ on \mathcal{A} and $\tau_{\mathcal{B}}$ on \mathcal{B} whose restrictions on \mathcal{D} agree. Combining this result and the fact that each RFD C^* -algebra has a faithful tracial state, it is not hard to see that $\mathcal{A} *_D \mathcal{B}$ is RFD if and only if $\mathcal{A} *_D \mathcal{B}$ has a faithful tracial state in this case.

The following example shows that a full amalgamated free product of two RFD (or MF, quasidiagonal) algebras may not be RFD (or MF, quasidiagonal) again, even for a unital full free product of two full matrix algebras with amalgamation over a two dimensional C^* -algebra which is $*$ -isomorphic to $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$.

EXAMPLE 1. Let C^* -algebra $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$. Suppose that $\varphi_1 : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $\varphi_2 : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$ are unital embeddings such that

$$\varphi_1(1 \oplus 0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_2(1 \oplus 0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C}) *_D \mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$ is not MF algebra (therefore it is not RFD or quasidiagonal). Actually, if we assume that $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C}) *_D \mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$ is an MF algebra, then there exists a tracial state τ on $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C}) *_D \mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$. So the restrictions of τ on $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$ are the unique tracial states on $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$, respectively. It follows that $\tau(\varphi_1(1 \oplus 0)) = \frac{1}{2} \neq \tau(\varphi_2(1 \oplus 0)) = \frac{1}{3}$ which contradicts to the fact that $\varphi_1(1 \oplus 0) = \varphi_2(0 \oplus 1)$ in $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C}) *_D \mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$. Therefore, $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C}) *_D \mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$ is not MF.

3. Full amalgamated free products of RFD C^* -algebras

Throughout this section, we will only be concerned with separable C^* -algebras and representations on separable Hilbert spaces. First, we will give the following well-known lemma. For completeness, we include the proof.

LEMMA 2. *Given $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For any two families of n pairwise orthogonal projections $\{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$ and $\{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\}$ in n -dimensional unital abelian C^* -subalgebras \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $\|P_i - Q_i\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{n+1}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), there is a unitary $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $\|U - I\| < \varepsilon$ such that $UP_iU^* = Q_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.*

Proof. Define $X = \sum_{i=1}^n Q_i P_i$. Let $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{n+1}$. It is clear that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n P_i = \sum_{i=1}^n Q_i = I.$$

Since $\|P_i - Q_i\| < \delta$ and $P_i - Q_i$ is self-adjoint for each i , we have that $Q_i - P_i + \delta \geq 0$. It follows that $Q_i \geq P_i - \delta$ and

$$\begin{aligned} X^*X &= \sum_{i=1}^n P_i Q_i P_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^n P_i (P_i - \delta) P_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n P_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \delta P_i = (1 - \delta)I > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, X is invertible and $\|X^*X\| \geq 1 - \delta$. Assume that $X = U|X|$ is the polar decomposition of X where $|X| = (X^*X)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and U is a partial isometry. Since X is invertible, U is a unitary. So it is not hard to see that

$$\||X|^{-1} - I\| \leq \left(\frac{1}{1 - \delta}\right)^{1/2} - 1.$$

Meanwhile, we have $\|X^*X\| \leq 1$ from the construction of X and the fact that $\{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$ and $\{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\}$ are two families of n pairwise orthogonal projections, respectively. Therefore, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|U - I\| &\leq \|U - X\| + \|X - I\| \\ &\leq \|X\| \||X|^{-1} - I\| + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (Q_i - P_i) P_i \right\| \\ &\leq \left(\left(\frac{1}{1 - \delta}\right)^{1/2} - 1 \right) + n\delta < (n + 1)\delta = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since $X = \sum_{i=1}^n Q_i P_i$, it is easy to see $Q_i X = X P_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, then $P_i |X| = |X| P_i$ as well. So

$$UP_i = X|X|^{-1}P_i = X P_i |X|^{-1} = Q_i X |X|^{-1} = Q_i U.$$

Therefore, $UP_iU^* = Q_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ as desired. □

The following lemma is a useful result concerning the representations of separable C^* -algebras. First, we need to recall that the rank of an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, denoted by $\text{rank}(T)$, is the dimension of the closure of the range of T .

LEMMA 3 (Theorem II.5.8, [7]). *Let \mathcal{A} be a separable unital C^* -algebra and $\pi_i : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_i)$ be unital $*$ -representations for $i = 1, 2$. Then there exists a sequence of unitaries $U_m : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ such that $\|\pi_2(a) - U_m \pi_1(a) U_m^*\| \rightarrow 0 (m \rightarrow \infty)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ if and only if $\text{rank}(\pi_1(a)) = \text{rank}(\pi_2(a))$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.*

DEFINITION 2. Suppose \mathcal{H} is a separable Hilbert space and $F \subseteq \mathcal{H}$. For given $\varepsilon > 0$, we say that

$$\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq_\varepsilon F$$

for $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ if there are $y_1, \dots, y_n \in F$ such that

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|x_i - y_i\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

The following lemma is a technical result.

LEMMA 4. *Let $\mathcal{A} \supseteq \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ be unital inclusions of separable C^* -algebras and \mathcal{D} be a unital finite-dimensional Abelian C^* -algebra. Suppose $\rho_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ are representations of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} with $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}|_{\mathcal{D}} = \rho_{\mathcal{B}}|_{\mathcal{D}}$ on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , respectively. If there are two finite-dimensional subspaces F, G of \mathcal{H} satisfying F is $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$ invariant and G is $\rho_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{B})$ invariant as well as $\dim F = \dim G$, then there are a finite-dimensional subspace $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ of \mathcal{H} and representations $\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{B}}$ of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} on $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ such that the restriction of $\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{A}}$ on subspace F equals the restriction of $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}$ on F , the restriction of $\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{B}}$ on subspace G equals the restriction of $\rho_{\mathcal{B}}$ on G , that is,*

$$\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{A}}|_F = \rho_{\mathcal{A}}|_F, \quad \tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{B}}|_G = \rho_{\mathcal{B}}|_G$$

and the restrictions of $\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{B}}$ on \mathcal{D} agree, that is, $\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{A}}|_{\mathcal{D}} = \tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{B}}|_{\mathcal{D}}$.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{D} = C^*(p_1, \dots, p_t)$ where p_1, \dots, p_t are orthogonal projections with $\sum_{i=1}^t p_i = I$. Let $E = F + G$. Note that E is $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{D}) (= \rho_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{D}))$ invariant. Let $d = \dim(E)$, $\tilde{P}_i = \rho_{\mathcal{A}}(p_i)|_E$ and $r_i = \text{rank}(\tilde{P}_i)$. Let E' be any finite dimensional subspace of \mathcal{H} that is orthogonal to E and has dimension $d' = \dim(E'_k)$ so that $d + d' = l \cdot \dim F = l \cdot \dim G$ and $\frac{\text{rank}(\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(p_i)|_F)}{\dim(F)}(d + d') = \text{rank}(\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(p_i)|_F) \cdot l \geq r_i$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we can find projections $\tilde{Q}_1, \dots, \tilde{Q}_t \in \mathcal{B}(E'_k)$ such that $\tilde{Q}_1 + \dots + \tilde{Q}_t = I \in \mathcal{B}(E')$, and $r_i + r'_i = \text{rank}(\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(p_i)|_F) \cdot l$ where $r'_i = \text{rank}(\tilde{Q}_i)$. Assume that $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = E + E'$. Since

$$\dim(\tilde{\mathcal{H}} \ominus F) = (l - 1) \cdot \dim F$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}((\tilde{P}_i + \tilde{Q}_i)|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}} \ominus F}) &= r_i + r'_i - \text{rank}(\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(p_i)|_F) \\ &= \text{rank}(\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(p_i)|_F)(l - 1). \end{aligned}$$

We can construct a representation $\rho'_A : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\tilde{\mathcal{H}} \ominus F)$ with $\rho'_A(p_i) = (\tilde{P}_i + \tilde{Q}_i)|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}} \ominus F}$ such that ρ'_A is unitarily equivalent to the direct sum of $l - 1$ copies of the restriction of $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}$ on F , that is, $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}|_F$. Putting $\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{A}}(x) = \rho_{\mathcal{A}}(x)|_F + \rho'_A(x) \in$

$\mathcal{B}(\tilde{\mathcal{H}})$. Then $\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{A}}(p_i) = \tilde{P}_i + \tilde{Q}_i$. Similarly, we can construct a representation $\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{B}}$ by the same way such that $\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{B}}(p_i) = \tilde{P}_i + \tilde{Q}_i$. This implies that there are *-representations $\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{B}}$ satisfying

$$\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{A}}|_F = \rho_{\mathcal{A}}|_F, \quad \tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{B}}|_G = \rho_{\mathcal{B}}|_G$$

and $\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{A}}|_{\mathcal{D}} = \tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{B}}|_{\mathcal{D}}$. □

We need one more technical result for showing our main result. Recall that a faithful representation $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is called essential if $\pi(\mathcal{A})$ contains no nonzero finite rank operators.

LEMMA 5. *Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be unital separable C*-algebras in $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ and \mathcal{D} be a common unital C*-subalgebra of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} in $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ which is finite-dimensional and Abelian. Suppose $\Phi : \mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a faithful essential representation on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Then there are sequences $\{\rho_m^{\mathcal{A}}\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\rho_m^{\mathcal{B}}\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ of representations of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} on \mathcal{H} such that $\rho_m^{\mathcal{B}}|_{\mathcal{D}} = \rho_m^{\mathcal{A}}|_{\mathcal{D}}$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho_m^{\mathcal{A}}(a) - \Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(a)\| &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathcal{A} \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty, \\ \|\rho_m^{\mathcal{B}}(b) - \Phi_{\mathcal{B}}(b)\| &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for all } b \in \mathcal{B} \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we can find chains of finite-dimensional subspaces $F_1^m \subseteq F_2^m \subseteq \dots$ and $G_1^m \subseteq G_2^m \subseteq \dots$ of \mathcal{H} with $\dim F_k^m = \dim G_k^m$ such that each F_k^m is $\rho_m^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$ invariant, each G_k^m is $\rho_m^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{B})$ invariant and $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k^m, \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} G_k^m$ are both dense in \mathcal{H} .

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{D} = C^*(p_1, \dots, p_t)$ where p_1, \dots, p_t are orthogonal projections with $\sum_{i=1}^t p_i = I$. There are natural *-homomorphisms $\pi_n^{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\pi_n^{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the direct sums of $\{\pi_n^{\mathcal{A}}\}$ and $\{\pi_n^{\mathcal{B}}\}$ are faithful, respectively. We may assume that each $\pi_k^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\pi_k^{\mathcal{B}}$ appear infinitely often in the lists $\{\pi_1^{\mathcal{A}}, \pi_2^{\mathcal{A}}, \dots\}$ and $\{\pi_1^{\mathcal{B}}, \pi_2^{\mathcal{B}}, \dots\}$, respectively so that we have an increasing sequence $N_0 = 0 < N_1 < N_2 < \dots$ such that $\pi_k^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\pi_k^{\mathcal{B}}$ appear at N_k position in $\{\pi_1^{\mathcal{A}}, \pi_2^{\mathcal{A}}, \dots\}$ and $\{\pi_1^{\mathcal{B}}, \pi_2^{\mathcal{B}}, \dots\}$, respectively. It is clear that direct sums of them are faithful essential representations, respectively. Then there are representations $\pi_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\pi_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with a projection P_{N_k} for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that P_{N_k} reduces $\pi_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\pi_{\mathcal{B}}$, the restrictions of $\pi_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\pi_{\mathcal{B}}$ to $(P_{N_k} - P_{N_{k-1}})(\mathcal{H})$ are unitarily equivalent to $\pi_k^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\pi_k^{\mathcal{B}}$ respectively, and $P_{N_k} \rightarrow I$ in SOT as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\pi_{\mathcal{A}}, \pi_{\mathcal{B}}, \Phi$ are all essential representations, we have

$$\text{rank } \pi_{\mathcal{A}}(a) = \text{rank } \Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(a) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{rank } \pi_{\mathcal{B}}(b) = \text{rank } \Phi_{\mathcal{B}}(b)$$

for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$, where $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\Phi_{\mathcal{B}}$ are the restriction of Φ on \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , respectively. Hence, we can find sequences $\{U_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{W_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$

of unitaries in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ by Lemma 3 such that, for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|U_m \pi_{\mathcal{A}}(a) U_m^* - \Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(a)\| &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \\ \|W_m \pi_{\mathcal{B}}(b) W_m^* - \Phi_{\mathcal{B}}(b)\| &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

By the fact that $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(p_i) = \Phi_{\mathcal{B}}(p_i)$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$, it follows that

$$\|U_m \pi_{\mathcal{A}}(p_i) U_m^* - W_m \pi_{\mathcal{B}}(p_i) W_m^*\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

From Lemma 2, there is $M_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $m \geq M_0$, there is a unitary V_m and ε_m satisfying $\|V_m - I\| < \varepsilon_m$, $\varepsilon_m \rightarrow 0$ ($m \rightarrow \infty$) and

$$V_m^* W_m \pi_{\mathcal{B}}(p_i) W_m^* V_m = U_m \pi_{\mathcal{A}}(p_i) U_m^*$$

for each $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$. Without loss of generality we can assume that, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a V_m and ε_m such that $\|V_m - I\| < \varepsilon_m$ and

$$V_m^* W_m \pi_{\mathcal{B}}(p_i) W_m^* V_m = U_m \pi_{\mathcal{A}}(p_i) U_m^*.$$

Meanwhile, we still have

$$\|V_m^* W_m \pi_{\mathcal{B}}(b) W_m^* V_m - \Phi_{\mathcal{B}}(b)\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let $\rho_m^{\mathcal{A}}(a) = U_m \pi_{\mathcal{A}}(a) U_m^*$ and $\rho_m^{\mathcal{B}}(b) = V_m^* W_m \pi_{\mathcal{B}}(b) W_m^* V_m$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. It is clear that $\rho_m^{\mathcal{B}}|_{\mathcal{D}} = \rho_m^{\mathcal{A}}|_{\mathcal{D}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho_m^{\mathcal{A}}(a) - \Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(a)\| &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \\ \|\rho_m^{\mathcal{B}}(b) - \Phi_{\mathcal{B}}(b)\| &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Putting $F_k^m = U_m P_{N_k} U_m^*(\mathcal{H})$ and $G_k^m = V_m^* W_m P_{N_k} W_m^* V_m(\mathcal{H})$. Note that $\dim F_k^m = \dim G_k^m$. We also have $F_1^m \subseteq F_2^m \subseteq \dots$ and $G_1^m \subseteq G_2^m \subseteq \dots$ are chains of finite dimensional subspaces of \mathcal{H} , and each F_k^m is $\rho_m^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$ invariant, each G_k^m is $\rho_m^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{B})$ invariant. Since $P_{N_k} \rightarrow I$ in SOT as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k^m$ and $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} G_k^m$ are both dense in \mathcal{H} . This completes the proof. \square

From Lemmas 4 and 5, we are able to obtain a proposition below which is a key for giving our main result.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be unital separable C^* -algebras in $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ and \mathcal{D} be a common unital C^* -subalgebra of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} in $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ which is finite-dimensional and abelian. Then $\mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{B}$ is RFD.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{D} = C^*(p_1, \dots, p_t)$ where p_1, \dots, p_t are orthogonal projections with $\sum_{i=1}^t p_i = I$. Let $\Phi : \mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a faithful essential representation on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Then by Lemma 5, there are sequences $\{\rho_m^{\mathcal{A}}\}$ and $\{\rho_m^{\mathcal{B}}\}$ of representations of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} on \mathcal{H} such that $\rho_m^{\mathcal{B}}|_{\mathcal{D}} = \rho_m^{\mathcal{A}}|_{\mathcal{D}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho_m^{\mathcal{A}}(a) - \Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(a)\| &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \\ \|\rho_m^{\mathcal{B}}(b) - \Phi_{\mathcal{B}}(b)\| &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we can find chains of finite-dimensional subspaces $F_1^m \subseteq F_2^m \subseteq \dots$ and $G_1^m \subseteq G_2^m \subseteq \dots$ of \mathcal{H} with $\dim F_k^m = \dim G_k^m$ such that each F_k^m is $\rho_m^A(\mathcal{A})$ invariant, each G_k^m is $\rho_m^B(\mathcal{B})$ invariant, and $\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty F_k^m, \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty G_k^m$ are both dense in \mathcal{H} . Then, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there are sequences of representations $\{\tilde{\rho}_{m,k}^A\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and $\{\tilde{\rho}_{m,k}^B\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} on a finite-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{m,k}$ by Lemma 4, such that

$$\tilde{\rho}_{m,k}^A|_{F_k^m} = \rho_m^A|_{F_k^m}, \quad \tilde{\rho}_{m,k}^B|_{G_k^m} = \rho_m^B|_{G_k^m}$$

and $\tilde{\rho}_{m,k}^A|_{\mathcal{D}} = \tilde{\rho}_{m,k}^B|_{\mathcal{D}}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We first take representations $\tilde{\rho}_{1,1}^B, \tilde{\rho}_{1,1}^A$ of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} on \mathcal{H}_1^1 , respectively. Then $\tilde{\rho}_{1,1}^B(p_i) = \tilde{\rho}_{1,1}^A(p_i)$ and

$$\tilde{\rho}_{1,1}^A|_{F_1^1} = \rho_1^A|_{F_1^1}, \quad \tilde{\rho}_{1,1}^B|_{G_1^1} = \rho_1^B|_{G_1^1}.$$

Using the notation in Definition 2 and the fact that $\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty F_k^m, \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty G_k^m$ are both dense in \mathcal{H} for each m , we can find $F_{l_2}^2$ and $G_{l_2}^2$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \{\eta_1^1, \dots, \eta_{t_1}^1\} &\subseteq_1 G_{l_2}^2, \\ \{\xi_1^1, \dots, \xi_{t_1}^1\} &\subseteq_1 F_{l_2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\{\xi_1^1, \dots, \xi_{t_1}^1\}$ and $\{\eta_1^1, \dots, \eta_{t_1}^1\}$ are linear bases of F_1^1 and G_1^1 respectively. Moreover, we have representations $\tilde{\rho}_{2,l_2}^A, \tilde{\rho}_{2,l_2}^B$ of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} on $\mathcal{H}_{l_2}^2$ such that $\tilde{\rho}_{2,l_2}^B(p_i) = \tilde{\rho}_{2,l_2}^A(p_i)$ and

$$\tilde{\rho}_{2,l_2}^A|_{F_{l_2}^2} = \rho_2^A|_{F_{l_2}^2}, \quad \tilde{\rho}_{2,l_2}^B|_{G_{l_2}^2} = \rho_2^B|_{G_{l_2}^2}.$$

Sequentially, we can find $F_{l_3}^3$ and $G_{l_3}^3$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \{\xi_1^1, \dots, \xi_{t_1}^1, \xi_1^2, \dots, \xi_{t_m}^2\} &\subseteq_{\frac{1}{2}} F_{l_3}^3, \\ \{\eta_1^1, \dots, \eta_{t_1}^1, \eta_1^2, \dots, \eta_{t_m}^2\} &\subseteq_{\frac{1}{2}} G_{l_3}^3, \end{aligned}$$

where $\{\xi_1^2, \dots, \xi_{t_2}^2\}$ and $\{\eta_1^2, \dots, \eta_{t_2}^2\}$ are linear bases of $F_{l_2}^2$ and $G_{l_2}^2$, respectively. Meanwhile, representations $\tilde{\rho}_{3,l_3}^A, \tilde{\rho}_{3,l_3}^B$ of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are both on $\mathcal{H}_{l_3}^3$ with $\tilde{\rho}_{3,l_3}^B|_{\mathcal{D}} = \tilde{\rho}_{3,l_3}^A|_{\mathcal{D}}$ and

$$\tilde{\rho}_{3,l_3}^A|_{F_{l_3}^3} = \rho_3^A|_{F_{l_3}^3}, \quad \tilde{\rho}_{3,l_3}^B|_{G_{l_3}^3} = \rho_3^B|_{G_{l_3}^3}.$$

So from the above construction, we can find a sequence $\{\tilde{\rho}_{m,l_m}^B\}_{m=1}^\infty$ of representations and a sequence $\{\tilde{\rho}_{m,l_m}^A\}_{m=1}^\infty$ of representations satisfying $\tilde{\rho}_{m,l_m}^B(p_i) = \tilde{\rho}_{m,l_m}^A(p_i)$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We still have that $\bigcup_{m=1}^\infty F_{l_m}^m, \bigcup_{m=1}^\infty G_{l_m}^m$ are both dense in \mathcal{H} . Let $\tilde{\rho}_{m,l_m} : \mathcal{A} *_D \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{l_m}^m)$ be the *-representation such that $\tilde{\rho}_{m,l_m}|_{\mathcal{A}} = \tilde{\rho}_{m,l_m}^A$ and $\tilde{\rho}_{m,l_m}|_{\mathcal{B}} = \tilde{\rho}_{m,l_m}^B$. We want to show that, for a given $x \in \mathcal{A} *_D \mathcal{B}$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|\tilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}(x)\| \geq \|x\| - \varepsilon.$$

This will suffice to show that $\mathcal{A} *_D \mathcal{B}$ is RFD. Write $x = w_1 + \dots + w_M$ as the sum of finitely many words w_i in \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} . Assume $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ is a unit vector such that $\|\Phi(x)\xi\| \geq \|\xi\| - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. We will show that for every $i \in \{1, \dots, M\}$, there is $k(i)$ such that if $k \geq k(i)$, then

$$\|\tilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}(w_i)\xi - \Phi(w_i)\xi\| < \varepsilon/2M.$$

Taking $k \geq \max_{1 \leq i \leq M} k(i)$, this will imply $\|\tilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}(x)\xi - \Phi(x)\xi\| < \varepsilon/2$, which will yield what we want. To show it, write

$$w_i = a_l a_{l-1} \dots a_2 a_1$$

for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_1, \dots, a_l \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$. Let $\xi_0 = \xi$, $\xi_j = \Phi(a_j)\xi_{j-1}$ ($1 \leq j \leq l$) and $N = \max_{1 \leq j \leq l} \|a_j\|$. Choose k large enough to ensure that

$$\max(\text{dist}(\xi_{j-1}, F_{l_k}^k), \text{dist}(\xi_{j-1}, G_{l_k}^k)) \leq \varepsilon/(8lMN^{l-j})$$

and

$$\|\Phi(a_j) - \rho_k^{\mathcal{A}}(a_j)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{8lMN^{l-1}} \quad \text{if } a_j \in \mathcal{A}$$

or

$$\|\Phi(a_j) - \rho_k^{\mathcal{B}}(a_j)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{8lMN^{l-1}} \quad \text{if } a_j \in \mathcal{B}$$

for any $j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$. Let $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$. If $a_j \in \mathcal{A}$, let $\eta_k = P_{F_{l_k}^k}(\eta) \in F_{l_k}^k$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Phi(a_j)\eta - \tilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}(a_j)\eta\| \\ & \leq \|\Phi(a_j)\eta - \tilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}(a_j)\eta_k\| + \|\tilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}(a_j)\eta_k - \tilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}(a_j)\eta\| \\ & \leq \|\Phi(a_j)\eta - \Phi(a_j)\eta_k + \Phi(a_j)\eta_k - \tilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}(a_j)\eta_k\| \\ & \quad + \|\tilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}(a_j)\eta_k - \tilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}(a_j)\eta\| \\ & \leq 2\|a_j\| \text{dist}(\eta, F_{l_k}^k) + \|\Phi(a_j)\eta_k - \rho_k^{\mathcal{A}}(a_j)\eta_k\| \\ & \leq 2\|a_j\| \text{dist}(\eta, F_{l_k}^k) + \frac{\varepsilon}{4lMN^{l-1}}\|\eta_k\|. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $a_j \in \mathcal{B}$, then let $\eta_k = P_{G_{l_k}^k}(\eta) \in G_{l_k}^k$, then

$$\|\Phi(a_j)\eta - \tilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}(a_j)\eta\| \leq 2\|a_j\| \text{dist}(\eta, G_{l_k}^k) + \frac{\varepsilon}{4lMN^{l-1}}\|\eta_k\|.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Phi(w_i)\xi - \tilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}(w_i)\xi\| \\ & = \|\Phi(a_l a_{l-1} \dots a_2)\Phi(a_1)\xi_0 - \tilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}(a_l a_{l-1} \dots a_2)\tilde{\rho}_k(a_1)\xi_0\| \\ & \leq \|\Phi(a_l a_{l-1} \dots a_2)\Phi(a_1)\xi_0 - \tilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}(a_l a_{l-1} \dots a_2)\Phi(a_1)\xi_0 \\ & \quad + \tilde{\rho}_k(a_l a_{l-1} \dots a_2)\Phi(a_1)\xi_0 - \tilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}(a_l a_{l-1} \dots a_2)\tilde{\rho}_k(a_1)\xi_0\| \\ & \leq \|\Phi(a_l a_{l-1} \dots a_2)\xi_1 - \tilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}(a_l a_{l-1} \dots a_2)\xi_1\| \\ & \quad + \|\tilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}(a_l a_{l-1} \dots a_2)\|\|\Phi(a_1)\xi_0 - \tilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}(a_1)\xi_0\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \|\tilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}(a_l \cdots a_{j+1})\| \|\Phi(a_j)\xi_{j-1} - \tilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}(a_j)\xi_{j-1}\| \\
 &< \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} N^{l-j+1} \cdot 2N \\
 &\quad \times \left(\max(\text{dist}(\xi_{j-1}, F_{l_k}^k), \text{dist}(\xi_{j-1}, G_{l_k}^k)) + \frac{\varepsilon}{4LMN^l} N^{j-1} \|\xi_0\| \right) \\
 &= \frac{\varepsilon}{2M}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B}$ is RFD. □

The following lemma can be found in [4]. Combining previous lemmas and proposition as well as the lemma below, we will be ready to state and prove our main result.

LEMMA 6 (Lemma 2.2, [4]). *Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be unital C^* -algebras having \mathcal{D} embedded as a unital C^* -subalgebra of each of them. Let*

$$\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B}$$

be the full amalgamated free product of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} over \mathcal{D} . If there is a projection $p \in \mathcal{D}$ and there are partial isometries $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $v_i^ v_i \leq p$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n v_i v_i^* = 1 - p$, then*

$$p\mathcal{C}p \cong (p\mathcal{A}p) \underset{p\mathcal{D}p}{*} (p\mathcal{B}p).$$

THEOREM 2. *Let \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} be separable unital C^* -algebras and \mathcal{D} be a finite-dimensional C^* -algebra. Suppose $\psi_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and $\psi_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ are unital embeddings. Then $\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B}$ is RFD if and only if there are unital embeddings $q_1 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ and $q_2 : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ for a sequence $\{k_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of integers such that the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{\psi_{\mathcal{A}}} & \mathcal{A} \\
 \psi_{\mathcal{B}} \downarrow & & \downarrow q_1 \\
 \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{q_2} & \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C}).
 \end{array}$$

Proof. If $\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B}$ is RFD, then there is a unital embedding

$$\Phi : \mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$$

for a sequence $\{k_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of integers. Let q_1 and q_2 be the restrictions of Φ on \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} respectively. Then the above diagram is commutative. Con-

versely, we may assume that \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} are unital subalgebras of $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ for a sequence $\{k_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of integers and $\mathcal{A} \supseteq \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ are unital inclusions of C^* -algebras. Since \mathcal{D} is a finite-dimensional C^* -subalgebra, we can find a projection $p \in \mathcal{D}$ and partial isometries $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $v_i^* v_i \leq p$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n v_i v_i^* = 1 - p$. Therefore, for showing $\mathcal{A} *_D \mathcal{B}$ is RFD, it is sufficient to show that $PAP \underset{PDP}{*} PBP$ is RFD by Lemma 6 and Lemma 2.1 in [4]. Since PDP is a finite-dimensional abelian C^* -algebra. Then the desired result follows from Proposition 2. \square

COROLLARY 1. *Let $\mathcal{A} \supseteq \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ be unital C^* -inclusions of C^* -algebras in the C^* -algebra $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ and \mathcal{D} is a unital finite-dimensional C^* -subalgebra. Then $\mathcal{A} *_D \mathcal{B}$ is RFD.*

COROLLARY 2. *Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a separable unital RFD C^* -algebra and \mathcal{D} is a unital finite-dimensional C^* -subalgebra of \mathcal{A} . Then $\mathcal{A} *_D \mathcal{A}$ is RFD.*

COROLLARY 3. *For unital C^* -inclusions $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, if \mathcal{A} is a separable unital RFD algebra and \mathcal{D} is finite-dimensional, then $\mathcal{B} *_D \mathcal{C}$ is RFD.*

EXAMPLE 2. Let $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C}) \supseteq \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_l(\mathbb{C})$ be unital inclusions of unital C^* -algebras. If $\text{tr}_k|_{\mathcal{D}} = \text{tr}_l|_{\mathcal{D}}$ where tr_k and tr_l are tracial states on $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathcal{M}_l(\mathbb{C})$ respectively, then there exists an integer n and there are two unital embeddings $q_1 : \mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $q_2 : \mathcal{M}_l(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $q_1|_{\mathcal{D}} = q_2|_{\mathcal{D}}$. It implies that there is a commutative diagram which is same as the one in Theorem 2. Therefore, $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C}) *_D \mathcal{M}_l(\mathbb{C})$ is RFD. In fact, this result has been proved in [4].

REMARK 3. From the previous example and the fact that every MF algebra has a tracial state, it is not hard to see that $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C}) *_D \mathcal{M}_l(\mathbb{C})$ is RFD if and only if $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C}) *_D \mathcal{M}_l(\mathbb{C})$ is an MF algebra.

Acknowledgments. It is a pleasure to thank Don Hadwin, who is the first author's advisor, for many helpful discussions and suggestions during the preparation of this paper. The authors would also like to thank the referee for the helpful comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Armstrong, K. Dykema, R. Exel and H. Li, *On embeddings of full amalgamated free product C^* -algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (2004), 2019–2030. MR 2053974
- [2] B. Blackadar and E. Kirchberg, *Generalized inductive limits of finite dimensional C^* -algebras*, Math. Ann. **307** (1997), 343–380. MR 1437044
- [3] F. Boca, *A note on full free product C^* -algebras, lifting and quasidiagonality*, Operator Theory, Operator Algebras and Related Topics (Proc. of the 16th Op. Thy. Conference, Timisoara, 1996), Theta Foundation, Bucharest, 1997, pp. 51–63. MR 1728412

- [4] N. P. Brown and K. J. Dykema, *Popa algebras in free group factors*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **573** (2004), 157–180. MR 2084586
- [5] N. P. Brown, *On quasidiagonal C^* -algebras*, Operator algebras and applications, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 38, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2004, pp. 19–64. MR 2059800
- [6] M. D. Choi, *The full C^* -algebra of the free group on two generators*, Pacific J. Math. **87** (1980), no. 1, 41–48. MR 0590864
- [7] K. Davidson, *C^* -algebras by example*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.
- [8] R. Exel and T. Loring, *Finite-dimensional representations of free product C^* -algebras*, Internat. J. Math. **3** (1992), no. 4, 469–476. MR 1168356
- [9] D. Hadwin, Q. Li and J. Shen, *Topological free entropy dimensions in nuclear C^* -algebras and in full free products of unital C^* -algebras*, Canad. J. Math. **63** (2011), 551–590. MR 2828533
- [10] R. Kadison and J. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the operator algebras, Vols. 1 and 2*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1983, 1986.
- [11] G. K. Pedersen, *Pullback and pushout constructions in C^* -algebra theory*, J. Funct. Anal. **167** (1999), 243–344. MR 1716199

QIHUI LI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, EAST CHINA UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, MEILONG ROAD 130, 200237, SHANGHAI, CHINA

E-mail address: lqh991978@gmail.com

JUNHAO SHEN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE, NH 03824, USA

E-mail address: jog2@cisunix.unh.edu