

## THREE-STAR PERMUTATION GROUPS

PETER M. NEUMANN AND CHERYL E. PRAEGER

*To the memory of Reinhold and Marianne Baer*

ABSTRACT. A permutation group is a three-star group if it induces a non-trivial group on each 3-element subset of points. Our main results are that a primitive three-star group is generously transitive and that a finite primitive three-star group has rank at most 3, that is, a stabiliser has at most 3 orbits. We also describe the structure of an arbitrary (non-primitive) three-star group and give a collection of examples. In particular, we sketch a construction of infinite primitive three-star groups of arbitrarily high rank.

### 1. Introduction

A permutation group  $G$  acting on a set  $\Omega$  will be said to be a *three-star* group if it has the following property: for every 3-subset  $\Theta$  of  $\Omega$  the permutation group  $G^\Theta$  induced on  $\Theta$  by its setwise stabiliser  $G_{\{\Theta\}}$  is non-trivial. Praeger and Schneider [5] came across this condition in a study of overgroups of finite permutation groups that have a transitive minimal normal subgroup.

To exclude trivialities we assume throughout that  $|\Omega| \geq 3$ . In [4] a group  $G$  was defined to be *generously  $k$ -transitive* if  $G^\Theta = \text{Sym}(\Theta)$  for all  $(k+1)$ -subsets  $\Theta$  of  $\Omega$  and *almost generously  $k$ -transitive* if  $G^\Theta \geq \text{Alt}(\Theta)$  for all  $(k+1)$ -subsets  $\Theta$  of  $\Omega$ . In particular, an almost generously 2-transitive group is a three-star group. It was shown in [4] that an almost generously 2-transitive group is (as the terminology suggests) doubly transitive. So strong a conclusion cannot be expected with the weaker hypothesis treated here. Nevertheless, we find that the three-star condition is quite strong. Our main theorems are that a primitive three-star group is generously transitive and that a finite primitive three-star group has rank at most 3—that is to say, a stabiliser has at most 3 orbits in  $\Omega$ . The proofs of these facts are given in Section 2 below. In Section 3 we consider the structure of an arbitrary (non-primitive) three-star group and describe a range of examples. In particular, we sketch a construction of infinite primitive three-star groups of arbitrarily high rank.

---

Received August 20, 2002.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20B05, 20B15.

©2003 University of Illinois

## 2. Primitive three-star groups

In this section we focus on primitive three-star groups. This is, of course, a significant restriction. However, there is quite a strong sense in which the study of arbitrary three-star groups may be reduced to the study of primitive ones. We will return to this point in Section 3 below.

Some general theory of permutation groups is needed for the statement and proof of our results. Recall (see, for example, [2, §3.2]) that for a group  $G$  acting on a set  $\Omega$  the orbitals are the orbits of  $G$  in  $\Omega \times \Omega$ . When  $G$  is transitive these are in one-one correspondence with the suborbits, that is to say, the orbits of a stabiliser  $G_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in \Omega$ . An orbital  $\Gamma$  corresponds to the suborbit  $\Gamma(\alpha)$ , where  $\Gamma(\alpha) := \{\omega \in \Omega \mid (\alpha, \omega) \in \Gamma\}$ ; the so-called trivial orbital  $\{(\omega, \omega) \mid \omega \in \Omega\}$  corresponds to the trivial suborbit  $\{\alpha\}$ . The number of orbitals (or of suborbits) is known as the rank of  $G$ . Associated with an orbital  $\Gamma$  is its paired orbital  $\Gamma^*$  defined by  $\Gamma^* := \{(\omega_1, \omega_2) \mid (\omega_2, \omega_1) \in \Gamma\}$ . The orbital  $\Gamma$  is said to be self-paired if  $\Gamma = \Gamma^*$ . This is the case if and only if for any  $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \Gamma$  there is a permutation in  $G$  that transposes  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$ ; therefore  $G$  is generously transitive if and only if all orbitals are self-paired. For subsets  $\Gamma, \Delta$  of  $\Omega^2$  we define

$$\Gamma \circ \Delta := \{(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \Omega^2 \mid (\exists \omega \in \Omega) : (\omega_1, \omega) \in \Gamma \text{ and } (\omega, \omega_2) \in \Delta\}.$$

If  $\Gamma, \Delta$  are orbitals then  $\Gamma \circ \Delta$  will be a union of orbitals. Note that  $(\Gamma \circ \Delta)^* = \Delta^* \circ \Gamma^*$  and that  $\Gamma \circ (\Delta \circ \Phi) = (\Gamma \circ \Delta) \circ \Phi$ .

**THEOREM 2.1.** *With one exception a primitive three-star group is generously transitive. The exception is the alternating group  $\text{Alt}(3)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a primitive three-star group acting on the set  $\Omega$ , and suppose that  $G$  is not generously transitive. Let  $\Gamma$  be a non-self-paired orbital. We claim that  $\Gamma \circ \Gamma = \Gamma^*$ . Choose  $(\alpha, \gamma) \in \Gamma \circ \Gamma$ . By definition there exists  $\beta \in \Omega$  such that  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Gamma$  and  $(\beta, \gamma) \in \Gamma$ . Now  $\alpha \neq \gamma$  ( $\Gamma$  is not self-paired), and so  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  are distinct. Let  $\Theta := \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$  and  $T := G^\Theta$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is not self-paired,  $T$  contains neither of the transpositions  $(\alpha\beta), (\beta\gamma)$ . Nor does it contain  $(\alpha\gamma)$  since  $\alpha, \gamma$  lie in different orbits of the stabiliser  $G_\beta$ . By assumption, however,  $T \neq \{1\}$ , and therefore  $(\alpha\beta\gamma) \in T$ . It follows that  $(\gamma, \alpha) \in \Gamma$ , whence  $\Gamma \circ \Gamma = \Gamma^*$ . Then also  $\Gamma^* \circ \Gamma^* = \Gamma$ .

Now define  $\Delta := \Gamma \circ \Gamma^*$ . Then  $\Delta = \Gamma \circ \Gamma \circ \Gamma = \Gamma^* \circ \Gamma$ , and so  $\Delta \circ \Gamma = \Gamma \circ \Delta = \Gamma$ . As a binary relation  $\Delta$  is reflexive and symmetric. It is also transitive because  $\Delta \circ \Delta = \Delta \circ \Gamma \circ \Gamma^* = \Gamma \circ \Gamma^* = \Delta$ . Thus  $\Delta$  is a  $G$ -invariant equivalence relation on  $\Omega$ . Since  $G$  is primitive  $\Delta$  is either the universal relation  $U$  or the trivial relation  $E$  (equality). However,  $U \circ \Gamma = U \neq \Gamma$ , and so  $\Delta \neq U$ . Therefore  $\Delta = E$ . Let  $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma^*(\alpha)$ . Then  $\gamma' \in \Delta(\gamma)$ , whence  $\gamma = \gamma'$ . Thus  $\Gamma^*$  has subdegree 1. Similarly of course  $\Gamma$  has subdegree 1. It follows immediately that  $G = \text{Alt}(3)$ .

THEOREM 2.2. *A finite primitive three-star group has rank at most 3.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\Omega$  and  $G$  are finite and that  $G$  acts as a primitive three-star group on  $\Omega$ . Clearly we may assume that  $|\Omega| > 3$ , so that, by what has just been proved, all orbitals are self-paired. An edge  $(\alpha, \beta)$  of the complete graph with vertex-set  $\Omega$  will be said to be of colour  $\Gamma$  (where  $\Gamma$  is an orbital) if  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Gamma$ . Let  $\Gamma, \Delta$  be distinct orbitals and let  $\alpha \in \Omega$ . The three-star condition implies that no triangle in  $\Omega$  can have edges of three different colours, and so all edges between points in  $\Gamma(\alpha)$  and points in  $\Delta(\alpha)$  are coloured  $\Gamma$  or  $\Delta$ . Suppose that all such edges had the same colour, say  $\Gamma$ . If  $\beta \in \Gamma(\alpha)$  and  $\gamma \in \Delta(\beta)$  then  $\gamma \notin \Delta(\alpha)$  and so the third edge  $(\alpha, \gamma)$  of the triangle  $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$  must have colour  $\Gamma$ . Thus  $\Gamma(\alpha)$  would be a union of components of the graph  $(\Omega, \Delta)$ , and this is impossible since  $G$  is primitive. Therefore there are edges of both colours  $\Gamma$  and  $\Delta$  between  $\Gamma(\alpha)$  and  $\Delta(\alpha)$ . Thus for any ordered pair  $(\Gamma, \Delta)$  of colours there are triangles with edges coloured  $\Gamma, \Gamma, \Delta$ . In particular, every orbital graph has diameter 2, and for every  $\Gamma$  there are edges of every colour, except possibly  $\Gamma$  itself, within  $\Gamma(\alpha)$ .

We continue to focus on a point  $\alpha$  of  $\Omega$  and distinct orbitals  $\Gamma, \Delta$ . Let  $\Phi$  denote the merger of all the colours other than  $\Gamma$  and  $\Delta$ : that is,  $(\Omega, \Phi)$  is the graph whose edge-set consists of all edges of the complete graph with colours different from  $\Gamma$  and  $\Delta$ . Let  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma(\alpha)$  and suppose that the edge  $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  is coloured  $\Phi$ . For any  $\delta \in \Delta(\alpha)$  the edges  $(\gamma_1, \delta)$  and  $(\gamma_2, \delta)$  have colour  $\Gamma$  or  $\Delta$ . Since the triangle  $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \delta)$  cannot have three differently coloured edges, the colours of  $(\gamma_1, \delta)$  and  $(\gamma_2, \delta)$  must be the same. It follows that if  $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_c$  are the components of the  $\Phi$ -graph with vertex-set  $\Gamma(\alpha)$ , and if  $\delta \in \Delta(\alpha)$ , then all edges from vertices in  $\Gamma_i$  to  $\delta$  have the same colour. Interchanging the roles played by  $\Gamma$  and  $\Delta$ , we see that if  $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_d$  are the components of the  $\Phi$ -graph with vertex-set  $\Delta(\alpha)$  then all edges between a component  $\Gamma_i$  and a component  $\Delta_j$  have the same colour.

Suppose the  $\Phi$ -graph with vertex-set  $\Gamma(\alpha)$  were connected. Then all edges between points of  $\Gamma(\alpha)$  and a given point  $\delta \in \Delta(\alpha)$  would be the same colour. Since  $G_\alpha$  acts transitively on  $\Delta(\alpha)$  it would follow that all edges between points of  $\Gamma(\alpha)$  and points of  $\Delta(\alpha)$  would be the same colour. This is not the case (see above) and therefore the  $\Phi$ -graph with vertex-set  $\Gamma(\alpha)$  is not connected, that is,  $c > 1$ . Similarly, the  $\Phi$ -graph with vertex-set  $\Delta(\alpha)$  is not connected, that is,  $d > 1$ .

If there is a  $\Delta$ -coloured edge  $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  with  $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \Gamma_2$  then we shall say that  $\Delta$  *dominates*  $\Gamma$ . Suppose for the moment that this is the case. If  $\gamma'_1 \in \Gamma_1$  and  $(\gamma_1, \gamma'_1) \in \Phi$  then the edge  $(\gamma'_1, \gamma_2)$  must also be coloured  $\Delta$ . It follows that all edges from points of  $\Gamma_1$  to  $\gamma_2$  are coloured  $\Delta$ , and then that all edges between points of  $\Gamma_1$  and points of  $\Gamma_2$  are coloured  $\Delta$ . Thus if  $\Delta$  dominates  $\Gamma$  then the  $\Delta$ -components of  $\Gamma(\alpha)$  are proper unions of  $\Phi$ -components  $\Gamma_i$ ; if  $\Delta$  does not dominate  $\Gamma$  then of course the  $\Phi$ -components

$\Gamma_i$  are  $(\Phi \cup \Delta)$ -components in  $\Gamma(\alpha)$ . If  $\Delta$  dominates  $\Gamma$  then for every other orbital  $\Delta'$  the  $\Delta$ -components of  $\Gamma(\alpha)$  are proper unions of  $\Delta'$ -components, and therefore  $\Delta'$  cannot dominate  $\Gamma$  since the  $\Delta'$ -components of  $\Gamma(\alpha)$  are then not unions of  $\Delta$ -components. Clearly therefore, for an orbital  $\Gamma$ , at most one orbital  $\Delta$  can dominate  $\Gamma$ .

Since  $G_\alpha$  acts transitively on  $\Gamma(\alpha)$  all the  $\Phi$ -components  $\Gamma_i$  of  $\Gamma(\alpha)$  have the same size, say  $a$ . Similarly, all the  $\Phi$ -components  $\Delta_j$  of  $\Delta(\alpha)$  have the same size, say  $b$ . Suppose that  $a \leq b$ . Let  $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$  and consider the set  $\Delta(\gamma)$ . We know that  $\Delta(\gamma) \subseteq \Gamma(\alpha) \cup \Delta(\alpha)$ , and  $\Delta(\gamma) \cap \Delta(\alpha)$  is a union of some but not all of the  $\Phi$ -components  $\Delta_j$  of  $\Delta(\alpha)$ . Let  $n_\Delta := |\Delta(\alpha)|$ . Then  $|\Delta(\gamma) \cap \Delta(\alpha)| \leq n_\Delta - b$  and so  $|\Delta(\gamma) \cap \Gamma(\alpha)| \geq b$ . It follows that  $\Delta(\gamma) \cap \Gamma(\alpha)$  cannot be contained in the  $\Phi$ -component  $\Gamma_1$ , and so  $\Delta$  dominates  $\Gamma$ . Of course if  $b \leq a$  then we find that  $\Gamma$  dominates  $\Delta$ . Thus, of any two orbitals, one dominates the other.

Now let  $r$  be the rank of  $G$  and let  $k := r - 1$ . By what has just been proved there are at least  $\binom{k}{2}$  ordered pairs  $(\Gamma, \Delta)$  of non-trivial orbitals in which  $\Delta$  dominates  $\Gamma$ . On the other hand, for each  $\Gamma$  there is at most one orbital  $\Delta$  that dominates  $\Gamma$  and therefore there are at most  $k$  such pairs. Thus  $\binom{k}{2} \leq k$  and so  $k \leq 3$ .

Suppose that  $k = 3$ . Let  $\Gamma, \Delta, \Phi$  be the non-trivial orbitals and let  $a_\Gamma$  be the size of the  $\Phi$ -components in  $\Gamma(\alpha)$ ,  $a_\Delta$  the size of the  $\Gamma$ -components in  $\Delta(\alpha)$ , and  $a_\Phi$  the size of the  $\Delta$ -components in  $\Phi(\alpha)$ . Let  $n_\Phi$  be the valency of the graph  $(\Omega, \Phi)$ , so that  $n_\Phi = |\Phi(\alpha)|$ . Consider  $\Phi(\omega)$ , where  $\omega \in \Gamma(\alpha)$ . If  $\Gamma_1$  is the  $\Phi$ -component of  $\Gamma(\alpha)$  containing  $\omega$  then  $\Phi(\omega) = (\Phi(\omega) \cap \Gamma_1) \cup (\Phi(\omega) \cap \Phi(\alpha))$ . Now  $\Phi(\omega) \cap \Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma_1 \setminus \{\omega\}$  and so  $|\Phi(\omega) \cap \Gamma_1| \leq a_\Gamma - 1$ . Also,  $\Phi(\omega) \cap \Phi(\alpha)$  is a union of some but not all of the  $\Delta$ -components in  $\Phi(\alpha)$ , and so  $|\Phi(\omega) \cap \Phi(\alpha)| \leq n_\Phi - a_\Phi$ . Therefore  $n_\Phi \leq (a_\Gamma - 1) + (n_\Phi - a_\Phi)$  and so  $a_\Phi \leq a_\Gamma - 1$ . Similarly, considering  $\Gamma(\omega)$  for  $\omega \in \Delta(\alpha)$  we find that  $a_\Gamma \leq a_\Delta - 1$  and considering  $\Delta(\omega)$  for  $\omega \in \Phi(\alpha)$  we find that  $a_\Delta \leq a_\Phi - 1$ . These inequalities imply that  $a_\Phi \leq a_\Phi - 3$ , which is absurd. It follows that  $k \leq 2$  and so the rank of  $G$  is at most 3, as our theorem states.

### 3. Commentary

There is quite a strong sense in which the study of arbitrary three-star groups may be reduced to that of primitive three-star groups. First, we have the following:

**OBSERVATION 3.1.** *If  $G$  is an intransitive three-star group then it has exactly two orbits  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$ . Moreover,  $G$  acts as a three-star group on each of  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$ , and, as  $G$ -spaces,  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$  are strongly orthogonal in the sense that for  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$  the stabiliser  $G_{\omega_1}$  is generously transitive on  $\Omega_2$  and for  $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$  the stabiliser  $G_{\omega_2}$  is generously transitive on  $\Omega_1$ .*

*Proof.* If there were three or more orbits then there would be a triple consisting of points from different orbits, and its stabiliser would act trivially on it, contrary to assumption. Thus, given that  $G$  is intransitive, there are just two orbits  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$ . The fact that  $G$  acts as a three-star group on each of  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$  is clear. Consider any point  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$  and any pair  $\{\alpha, \beta\}$  of points from  $\Omega_2$ . Since the stabiliser of the triple  $\{\omega_1, \alpha, \beta\}$  is non-trivial  $G$  contains a permutation fixing  $\omega_1$  and interchanging  $\alpha, \beta$ . Therefore  $G_{\omega_1}$  is generously transitive on  $\Omega_2$ . And of course, similarly, for  $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$ ,  $G_{\omega_2}$  is generously transitive on  $\Omega_1$ .

**OBSERVATION 3.2.** *Suppose that  $G$  is a three-star group which is transitive but imprimitive on  $\Omega$ . Let  $\rho$  be a non-trivial proper  $G$ -congruence on  $\Omega$ , let  $\Gamma$  be a  $\rho$ -class in  $\Omega$ , let  $\Delta := \Omega/\rho$ , let  $C := G^\Gamma$ , the group induced on  $\Gamma$  by its setwise stabiliser in  $G$ , and let  $D := G^\Delta$ . Then  $C$  is a three-star group on  $\Gamma$  and  $D$  is a three-star group on  $\Delta$ . Moreover,  $C$  is generously transitive on  $\Gamma$ .*

*Conversely, if  $C$  is a generously transitive three-star group on the set  $\Gamma$ , and  $D$  is a three-star group on the set  $\Delta$ , then the wreath product  $C \text{ Wr } D$  is a three-star group in its natural imprimitive representation on  $\Gamma \times \Delta$ .*

Since the proof is routine we leave it to the interested reader. Note that here we should permit the possibility that  $|\Gamma| = 2$  and  $C = \text{Sym}(\Gamma)$  or that  $|\Delta| = 2$  and  $D = \text{Sym}(\Delta)$ .

We have not sought to compile a systematic catalogue of primitive three-star groups, but we do not think that would be a very difficult project. There are several interesting families of examples. As has already been observed, any almost generously 2-transitive group is a three-star group. Many of the finite 2-transitive groups are almost generously 2-transitive; the only ones that are not are those contained in affine groups  $\text{AGL}(d, q)$  for  $q \geq 5$  and the almost simple groups whose socle is a Suzuki group  $\text{Sz}(q)$ , where  $q = 2^{2m+1}$  and  $m \geq 1$ , or a Ree group  $\text{Ree}(q)$  where  $q = 3^{2m+1}$  and  $m \geq 1$ . It is not hard to see that the Suzuki and Ree groups are not three-star groups. Some of the affine groups that are not almost generously 2-transitive are three-star groups, however.

**EXAMPLE 3.3.** The affine groups  $\text{AGL}(d, 5)$  are three-star groups.

*Proof.* Let  $\Theta$  be a triple of points of the affine space  $\text{AG}(d, 5)$  and let  $G := \text{AGL}(d, 5)$ . If  $\Theta$  consists of non-collinear points then  $G^\Theta = \text{Sym}(\Theta)$  and so certainly  $G^\Theta \neq \{1\}$ . If  $\Theta$  is a collinear triple then, as is not hard to see, it is equivalent under affine transformations to the triple  $\{0, 1, 4\}$  or to the triple  $\{0, 2, 3\}$  in an affine line in  $\text{AG}(d, 5)$ . Both of these triples admit involutions, so  $G^\Theta \neq \{1\}$ .

There are several families of primitive three-star groups of rank 3.

EXAMPLE 3.4. Let  $G := \text{Sym}(m)$  where  $m \geq 3$ , and let  $\Omega := m^{\{2\}}$ , the set of pairs from  $\{1, \dots, m\}$ . In its natural action on  $\Omega$ ,  $G$  is a primitive three-star group of rank 3.

*Proof.* That  $G$  is primitive on  $\Omega$  is well known and easy to prove. Define

$$\Theta_1 := \{\{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}\},$$

$$\Theta_2 := \{\{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{1, 4\}\},$$

$$\Theta_3 := \{\{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, \{3, 4\}\},$$

$$\Theta_4 := \{\{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, \{4, 5\}\},$$

$$\Theta_5 := \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \{5, 6\}\}.$$

Any triple of unordered pairs is equivalent to one of these five, and for each of these five it is easy to see that  $G^\Theta \neq \{1\}$ .

EXAMPLE 3.5. Let  $H$  be a group acting generously 2-transitively on a set  $\Gamma$  of size  $\geq 3$ . If  $G := H \text{ Wr } \text{Sym}(2)$  and  $\Omega := \Gamma^2$ , then  $G$  is a primitive three-star group of rank 3.

*Proof.* As in the previous example, that  $G$  is primitive on  $\Omega$  is well known and easy to prove. Let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$  be distinct points of  $\Gamma$  and define

$$\Theta_1 := \{(\alpha_1, \alpha_1), (\alpha_2, \alpha_2), (\alpha_3, \alpha_3)\},$$

$$\Theta_2 := \{(\alpha_1, \alpha_1), (\alpha_2, \alpha_2), (\alpha_2, \alpha_3)\},$$

$$\Theta_3 := \{(\alpha_1, \alpha_1), (\alpha_1, \alpha_2), (\alpha_1, \alpha_3)\},$$

$$\Theta_4 := \{(\alpha_1, \alpha_1), (\alpha_1, \alpha_2), (\alpha_2, \alpha_1)\}.$$

Any triple of ordered pairs is equivalent to one of these four, and for each of these four it is easy to see that  $G^\Theta \neq \{1\}$ .

EXAMPLE 3.6. Let  $Q$  be a non-degenerate quadratic form on the vector space  $\Omega$  of dimension  $2m$  over the field  $\mathbb{F}_2$  and let  $G := \text{AO}(2m, 2)$ , the group generated by translations and orthogonal transformations of  $\Omega$  with respect to  $Q$ . Then  $G$  is a primitive three-star group of rank 3.

*Proof.* Triples  $\Theta$  are triangles in the affine space  $\Omega$  with side-lengths  $\{0, 0, 0\}$ ,  $\{0, 0, 1\}$ ,  $\{0, 1, 1\}$ , or  $\{1, 1, 1\}$ . In each case  $G^\Theta \neq \{1\}$ .

EXAMPLE 3.7. Let  $Q$  be a non-degenerate quadratic form on the vector space  $\Omega$  of dimension  $d$  over the field  $\mathbb{F}_3$  and let  $G := \text{AGO}(d, 3)$ , the group generated by translations and transformations of  $\Omega$  that preserve  $Q$  up to scalar multiplication. Then  $G$  is a primitive three-star group of rank 3.

*Proof.* Triples  $\Theta$  are of the following kinds. First, there are triples  $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$  forming a line of the affine space  $\Omega$ . For these  $G^\Theta = \text{Sym}(\Theta)$ . Secondly, there are triangles in the affine space  $\Omega$ . Triangles can have side-lengths  $a, b, c$ , each of which can be 0, 1 or 2 (in  $\mathbb{F}_3$ ). It is easy to see that if two side-lengths are the same then  $G^\Theta \neq \{1\}$ . If the side-lengths are all different then the triangle is equivalent to  $\{0, u, w\}$ , where  $Q(u) = 1, Q(w) = 2$  and  $Q(u - w) = 0$ . Now there is a linear transformation  $T \in \text{GO}(\Omega)$  for which  $Q(Tv) = 2Q(v)$  for all  $v \in \Omega$  and which interchanges  $u$  and  $w$ . Thus in all cases  $G^\Theta \neq \{1\}$ .

The situation is different for infinite permutation groups. Although Theorem 2.1 does not require finiteness of  $G$  or  $\Omega$ , so that an infinite primitive three-star group is generously transitive, Theorem 2.2 fails without the finiteness assumption.

**OBSERVATION 3.8.** *There are infinite primitive three-star groups of arbitrary rank.*

*Proof.* We confine ourselves to a sketch of the construction. It is based on the theory of C-relations and C-sets propounded in [1]. Let  $(\Omega, C)$  be the C-set whose chains are isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}, \leq)$  and whose branching number is  $s$  (the value of  $s$  is irrelevant, as it happens). The construction of such a C-set is described on page 43 of [1]—take  $Q_0$  there to be  $\mathbb{Z}$  with a least element adjoined. In slightly different terms,  $\Omega$  may be taken to be the set of doubly infinite sequences  $(q_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , where  $q_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, s - 1\}$ , and which are of finite support in the sense that there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $q_i = 0$  when  $|i| > n$ . Let  $W$  be the wreath power  $\text{Wr}(\text{Sym}(s))^{\mathbb{Z}}$  defined by Philip Hall in [3] as a subgroup of  $\text{Sym}(\Omega)$ . Let  $m \geq 1$ . The infinite cyclic group  $Z$  acts by translation through  $m$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$ , that is, with its generator acting as  $i \mapsto i + m$ . This extends in a natural way to an action of  $Z$  on  $\Omega$ , and then  $Z$ , as subgroup of  $\text{Sym}(\Omega)$ , normalises  $W$ . Let  $G := W.Z \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$ . It is not hard to see that the only  $W$ -invariant equivalence relations on  $\Omega$  are the relations  $\rho_r$  ( $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) defined by

$$(q_i) \equiv (q'_i) :\Leftrightarrow q_i = q'_i \text{ for all } i \geq r.$$

Since these are not  $Z$ -invariant  $G$  acts primitively on  $\Omega$ . Also, the stabiliser  $G_0$  of the 0-sequence is  $U.Z$ , where  $U := \text{Wr}(\text{Sym}(k - 1))^{\mathbb{Z}}$ . For any other sequence  $(q_i)$  define  $m((q_i)) := \max\{i \mid q_i \neq 0\}$ . It is not hard to calculate that non-zero sequences  $(q_i), (q'_i)$  are in the same  $G_0$ -orbit if and only if  $m((q_i)) \equiv m((q'_i)) \pmod{m}$ . Thus  $G$  has rank  $m + 1$ . To see that  $G$  is a three-star group consider three distinct elements  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  of  $\Omega$  and let  $\Theta := \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ . We may suppose that  $\alpha$  is the 0-sequence,  $\beta = (q_i)$  and  $\gamma = (q'_i)$ . It is not hard to calculate the following: if  $m((q_i)) < m((q'_i))$  then the setwise stabiliser in  $G$  of  $\Theta$  contains (and in fact is generated by) the transposition  $(\alpha \beta)$ ; if  $m((q_i)) > m((q'_i))$  then the setwise stabiliser in  $G$  of  $\Theta$  contains the

transposition  $(\alpha \gamma)$ ; if  $m((q_i)) = m((q'_i)) = j$  and  $q_j = q'_j$  then the setwise stabiliser in  $G$  of  $\Theta$  contains the transposition  $(\beta \gamma)$ ; if  $m((q_i)) = m((q'_i)) = j$  and  $q_j \neq q'_j$  then  $G^\Theta = \text{Sym}(\Theta)$ .

To produce a primitive three-star group with infinite rank  $\kappa$  one replaces  $(\mathbb{Z}, \leq)$  with a suitable linearly ordered set  $(Q, \leq)$ . All that is required is that  $(Q, \leq)$  should admit an infinite cyclic group  $Z$  of automorphisms whose orbits are co-initial and co-final in  $Q$  (that is, bounded neither below nor above in  $Q$ ) and that  $Z$  should have  $\kappa$  orbits in  $Q$ .

FINAL NOTE. The notion of three-star group has an obvious generalisation to that of  $k$ -star group for any  $k \geq 2$ . It is not hard to see that the infinite groups described in the proof of Observation 3.8 are  $k$ -star groups for every finite  $k$ . For  $k > 3$  we know little about finite primitive  $k$ -star groups but we believe them to be rather rare. As it happens, however, Example 3.4 is a four-star group and a five-star group.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. A. Adeleke and Peter M. Neumann, *Relations related to betweenness: their structure and automorphisms*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (1988), no. 623.
- [2] John D. Dixon and Brian Mortimer, *Permutation groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 163, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
- [3] P. Hall, *Wreath powers and characteristically simple groups*, Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. **58** (1962), 170–184; reprinted in: The collected works of Philip Hall (compiled by K. W. Gruenberg and J. E. Roseblade), The Clarendon Press, Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1988, pp. 611–625.
- [4] Peter M. Neumann, *Generosity and characters of multiply transitive permutation groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **31** (1975), 457–481.
- [5] Cheryl E. Praeger and Csaba Schneider, *Ordered triple designs and wreath products of groups*, Science and Statistics: A Festschrift for Terry Speed (Ed. Darlene R. Goldstein), Institute of Mathematical Statistics Lecture Notes – Monograph Series, Volume 40, 2003, pp. 103–113.

PETER M. NEUMANN, THE QUEEN'S COLLEGE, OXFORD OX1 4AW, UNITED KINGDOM  
*E-mail address:* peter.neumann@queens.ox.ac.uk

CHERYL E. PRAEGER, UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN AUSTRALIA, WA 6009, AUSTRALIA  
*E-mail address:* praeger@maths.uwa.edu.au