

UNIQUENESS THEOREMS FOR p -ADIC HOLOMORPHIC CURVES

MIN RU

1. Introduction

It is well known that two non-constant polynomials f and g over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero are identical if there exist two distinct values a and b such that $f(x) = a \Leftrightarrow g(x) = a$ and $f(x) = b \Leftrightarrow g(x) = b$. In 1926, R. Nevanlinna [Ne] extended this result to meromorphic functions by showing that two non-constant meromorphic functions of a complex variable which attain five distinct values at the same points must be identical.

It has been observed that p -adic entire functions behave in many ways more like polynomials than like entire functions of a complex variable. Confirming this observation, W.W. Adams and E.G. Straus [AS] proved the following result.

THEOREM A. *Let f and g be two non-constant p -adic entire functions so that for two distinct (finite) values a and b we have $f(x) = a \Leftrightarrow g(x) = a$ and $f(x) = b \Leftrightarrow g(x) = b$. Then $f \equiv g$.*

For p -adic meromorphic functions, Adams and Straus obtained the following result, which is an analog of Nevanlinna's result.

THEOREM B. *Let f and g be two non-constant p -adic meromorphic functions so that there exist four distinct values a_1, a_2, a_3 , and a_4 , such that $f(x) = a_i \Leftrightarrow g(x) = a_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Then $f \equiv g$.*

The aim of this paper is to extend Theorem B to p -adic holomorphic curves in projective spaces.

Received December 10, 1999; received in final form June 16, 2000.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11J99. Secondary 32H30.

The author is supported in part by NSF grant DMS-9800361 and by NSA grant MDA904-01-1-0051. The United State Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints notwithstanding any copyright notation herein.

©2001 University of Illinois

2. Uniqueness problems without counting multiplicity

Before we state our theorems, we recall some definitions and known results. Let p be a prime number, and let $|\cdot|_p$ be the standard p -adic valuation on \mathbb{Q} normalized so that $|p|_p = p^{-1}$. Let \mathbb{Q}_p be the completion of \mathbb{Q} with respect to this valuation, and let \mathbb{C}_p be the completion of the algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q}_p . As is well known, \mathbb{C}_p is algebraically closed. For simplicity, we denote the p -adic norm $|\cdot|_p$ on \mathbb{C}_p by $|\cdot|$. We note that the results of this paper also hold for a general complete, algebraically closed non-Archimedean field of characteristic zero.

It is known that an infinite sum converges in a non-Archimedean norm if and only if its general term approaches zero. Thus a function of the form

$$h(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad a_n \in \mathbb{C}_p$$

is well defined whenever

$$|a_n z^n| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Functions of this type are called p -adic analytic functions. If h is analytic on \mathbb{C}_p , then h is called a p -adic entire function. Let

$$h(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad a_n \in \mathbb{C}_p$$

be a p -adic analytic function on $|z| < R$. For $0 < r < R$, define $M_h(r) = \max_{|z|=r} |h(z)|$. We have the following lemma (see [AS]).

LEMMA 2.1. *The following statements hold:*

- (1) *We have $M_h(r) = \max_{n \geq 0} |a_n| r^n$.*
- (2) *The maximum on the right of (1) is attained for a unique value of n except for a discrete sequence of values $\{r_\nu\}$ in the open interval $(0, R)$.*
- (3) *If $r \notin \{r_\nu\}$ and $|z| = r < R$, then $|h(z)| = M_h(r)$.*
- (4) *If h is a non-constant p -adic entire function, then $M_h(r) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$.*
- (5) *We have $M_{h'}(r) \leq M_h(r)/r$ ($r > 0$).*
- (6) *We have $M_{fg}(r) = M_f(r)M_g(r)$ for any analytic functions f and g ,*

A p -adic holomorphic curve f is a map $f = [f_0 : \cdots : f_n] : \mathbb{C}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}_p)$, where f_0, \dots, f_n are p -adic entire functions without common zeros. The map $\mathbf{f} = (f_0, \dots, f_n) : \mathbb{C}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p^{n+1} - \{0\}$ is called a reduced representation of f . The p -adic holomorphic curve $f : \mathbb{C}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}_p)$ is said to be linearly non-degenerate if $f(\mathbb{C}_p)$ is not contained in any proper subspace of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}_p)$. Hyperplanes H_1, \dots, H_q in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}_p)$ are said to be in general position if any

$n + 1$ of them are linearly independent. The following theorem generalizes Theorem B.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_\lambda : \mathbb{C}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}_p)$ be linearly non-degenerate p -adic holomorphic curves. Denote by \mathbf{f}_i a reduced representation of f_i for $1 \leq i \leq \lambda$. Let H_1, \dots, H_q be hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}_p)$ located in general position, and assume that $f_1^{-1}(H_j) = \dots = f_\lambda^{-1}(H_j)$. Let $D_j = f_1^{-1}(H_j)$, $D = \cup_{j=1}^q D_j$, and assume that for $i \neq j$, $D_i \cap D_j = \emptyset$. Let $l \in \{2, 3, \dots, \lambda\}$ be the minimal index such that for any increasing sequence $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_l \leq \lambda$, we have $\mathbf{f}_{j_1}(z) \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{f}_{j_l}(z) = 0$ for every point $z \in D$, where \wedge is the usual wedge product, and suppose that $q \geq \frac{\lambda n}{\lambda - l + 1} + n + 1$. Then f_1, \dots, f_λ are algebraically dependent over \mathbb{C}_p , i.e., $\mathbf{f}_1(z) \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{f}_\lambda(z) \equiv 0$ on \mathbb{C}_p .*

In the case of $\lambda = 2$, Theorem 2.1 gives the following result:

THEOREM 2.2. *Let $f, g : \mathbb{C}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}_p)$ be two p -adic linearly non-degenerate holomorphic curves. Let H_1, \dots, H_{3n+1} be hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}_p)$ located in general position. Assume that $f^{-1}(H_j) = g^{-1}(H_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq 3n + 1$ and that $f^{-1}(H_i) \cap f^{-1}(H_j) = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$. If $f(z) = g(z)$ for every point $z \in \cup_{j=1}^q f^{-1}(H_j)$, then $f \equiv g$.*

We will first give a proof of Theorem 2.2, and then outline the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Let $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} : \mathbb{C}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p^{n+1} - \{0\}$ be the reduced representations of f and g , and write $\mathbf{f} = (f_0, \dots, f_n)$, $\mathbf{g} = (g_0, \dots, g_n)$. Let

$$H_j = \{w = [w_0 : \dots : w_n] \in \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}_p) : a_{j0}w_0 + \dots + a_{jn}w_n = 0\}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq q,$$

and set $L_j(X) = a_{j0}x_0 + \dots + a_{jn}x_n$, where $X = (x_0, \dots, x_n)$ and L_j is the corresponding linear form of H_j .

Without loss of generality, we can assume that there exists a sequence $z_k \in \mathbb{C}_p$ such that $r_k = |z_k| \rightarrow \infty$, $r_k \notin \{r_\nu\}$, where the set $\{r_\nu\}$ is the discrete set appearing in part (2) of Lemma 2.1, $L_j(\mathbf{f})(z_k) \neq 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq 3n + 1$, and

$$(2.1) \quad |f_0(z_k)| \geq \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} \{|f_i(z_k)|, |g_i(z_k)|\}.$$

Define

$$\Psi = \frac{W(f_0, \dots, f_n) \cdot (f_0g_1 - f_1g_0)^n}{\prod_{j=1}^{3n+1} L_j(\mathbf{f})},$$

where $W(f_0, \dots, f_n)$ is the Wronskian of f_0, \dots, f_n . Since f is linearly non-degenerate, we have $W(f_0, \dots, f_n) \neq 0$. We first show that Ψ is p -adic entire. In fact, since the sets $f^{-1}(H_i)$ are disjoint, each point $z \in \cup_{j=1}^{3n+1} f^{-1}(H_j)$ satisfies $z \in f^{-1}(H_{i_0})$ for some i_0 with $1 \leq i_0 \leq 3n + 1$, and $z \notin f^{-1}(H_j)$ for $j \neq i_0$. Hence $L_j(\mathbf{f})(z) \neq 0$ when $j \neq i_0$. Assume that $L_{i_0}(\mathbf{f})$ vanishes at z with vanishing order m . Then, since $W(f_0, \dots, f_n) = a_{i_0 0}^{-1} W(L_{i_0}(\mathbf{f}), f_1, \dots, f_n)$ (where

we assume, without of generality, that $a_{i_0 0} \neq 0$), $W(f_0, \dots, f_n)$ vanishes at z with order at least $m - n$. On the other hand, by assumption we have $f(z) = g(z)$, so $(\mathbf{f} \wedge \mathbf{g})(z) = 0$. Thus, $(f_0 g_1 - f_1 g_0)^n$ vanishes at z with order at least n . Hence, by the definition of Ψ , Ψ is continuous at z , so Ψ is p -adic entire.

Now, for each fixed z_k , by rearranging the indices we may assume that

$$|L_1(\mathbf{f})(z_k)| \leq |L_2(\mathbf{f})(z_k)| \leq \dots \leq |L_{3n+1}(\mathbf{f})(z_k)|.$$

Solving the system of linear equations

$$L_j(\mathbf{f})(z_k) = a_{j0}f_0(z_k) + \dots + a_{jn}f_n(z_k), \quad 1 \leq j \leq n + 1,$$

we obtain

$$|f_0(z_k)| \leq B|L_{n+1}(\mathbf{f})(z_k)| \leq \dots \leq B|L_{3n+1}(\mathbf{f})(z_k)|,$$

where $B > 0$ is a constant independent of z_k . Hence

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} |\Psi(z_k)| &= \frac{|W(f_0, \dots, f_n)(z_k)||f_0 g_1 - f_1 g_0(z_k)|^n}{|\prod_{j=1}^{3n+1} L_j(\mathbf{f})(z_k)|} \\ &\leq \frac{B^{2n}|W(f_0, \dots, f_n)(z_k)||f_0 g_1 - f_1 g_0(z_k)|^n}{|L_1(\mathbf{f})(z_k)| \cdots |L_{n+1}(\mathbf{f})(z_k)||f_0(z_k)|^{2n}}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1,

$$M_{\frac{(L_j(\mathbf{f}))'}{L_j(\mathbf{f})}}(r) \leq \frac{1}{r}.$$

Since for $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\frac{(L_j(\mathbf{f}))^{(i)}}{L_j(\mathbf{f})} = \frac{(L_j(\mathbf{f}))^{(i)}}{(L_j(\mathbf{f}))^{(i-1)}} \cdots \frac{(L_j(\mathbf{f}))'}{L_j(\mathbf{f})},$$

it follows that

$$M_{(L_j(\mathbf{f}))^{(i)}/L_j(\mathbf{f})}(r) \leq \frac{1}{r^i},$$

and hence

$$(2.3) \quad \left| \frac{(L_j(\mathbf{f}))^{(i)}}{L_j(\mathbf{f})}(z_k) \right| \leq \frac{1}{|z_k|^i}.$$

By the properties of the Wronskian and the assumption that the hyperplanes are in general position, we have

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{|W(f_0, \dots, f_n)(z_k)|}{|L_1(\mathbf{f})(z_k)| \cdots |L_{n+1}(\mathbf{f})(z_k)|} = \frac{C|W(L_1(\mathbf{f}), \dots, L_{n+1}(\mathbf{f}))(z_k)|}{|L_1(\mathbf{f})(z_k)| \cdots |L_{n+1}(\mathbf{f})(z_k)|},$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant. By the properties of the p -adic norm and (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{|W(L_1(\mathbf{f})(z_k), \dots, L_{n+1}(\mathbf{f})(z_k))|}{|L_1(\mathbf{f})(z_k)| \cdots |L_{n+1}(\mathbf{f})(z_k)|} \\
 (2.5) \quad & \leq \max_{i_1 + \dots + i_{n+1} = n} \left| \frac{(L_1(\mathbf{f}))^{(i_1)}(z_k)}{L_1(\mathbf{f})(z_k)} \cdots \frac{(L_{n+1}(\mathbf{f}))^{(i_{n+1})}(z_k)}{L_{n+1}(\mathbf{f})(z_k)} \right| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{|z_k|^n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (2.1) and the properties of the p -adic norm, we also have

$$(2.6) \quad |(f_0 g_1 - f_1 g_0)^n(z_k)| \leq |f_0(z_k)|^{2n}.$$

Combining (2.2), (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) yields

$$|\Psi(z_k)| \leq \frac{B^{2n} C}{|z_k|^n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $B > 0$ and $C > 0$ are two constants which depend only on the hyperplanes. This implies that $\Psi \equiv 0$. Hence

$$\frac{g_1}{g_0} \equiv \frac{f_1}{f_0}.$$

Similarly, we can prove that, for $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\frac{g_i}{g_0} \equiv \frac{f_i}{f_0}.$$

So $f \equiv g$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2. □

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $\mathbf{f}_\lambda = (f_{\lambda,0}, \dots, f_{\lambda,n})$ be the reduced representation of f_λ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that there exists a sequence $z_k \in \mathbb{C}_p$ such that $r_k = |z_k| \rightarrow \infty$, $L_j(\mathbf{f}_1)(z_k) \neq 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq 3n + 1$ and

$$|f_{1,0}(z_k)| \geq \max_{0 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq t \leq \lambda} \{|f_{t,i}(z_k)|\}.$$

Assume that f_1, \dots, f_λ are not algebraically dependent over \mathbb{C}_p , i.e., $\mathbf{f}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{f}_\lambda \neq 0$. Take a non-trivial component $h(z)$ of $\mathbf{f}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{f}_\lambda$ and set

$$\Phi = \frac{W(f_{1,0}, \dots, f_{1,n}) \cdot h(z)^{\frac{n}{\lambda-l+1}}}{\prod_{j=1}^q L_j(\mathbf{f}_1)},$$

where $q \geq \frac{n\lambda}{\lambda-l+1} + n + 1$. Let $\Psi = \Phi^{\lambda-l+1}$. We now show that Ψ is p -adic entire. In fact, since $D_i \cap D_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, each point $z \in D = \cup_{j=1}^q D_j$ satisfies $z \in f_1^{-1}(H_{i_0})$ for some i_0 with $1 \leq i_0 \leq q$, and $z \notin f_1^{-1}(H_j)$ for $j \neq i_0$. Thus, $L_j(\mathbf{f}_1)(z) \neq 0$ when $j \neq i_0$. Assume that $L_{i_0}(\mathbf{f}_1)$ vanishes at z with vanishing order m . Then $W(f_{1,0}, \dots, f_{1,n})$ vanishes at z with order at least $m - n$. On the other hand, it is easy to verify, using the assumptions

of Theorem 2.1, that for any $z \in D$, $|h(z)|^{\frac{n}{\lambda-t+1}}$ vanishes at z with vanishing order at least n . Therefore Ψ is continuous at z , and hence Ψ is p -adic entire. The rest of proof follows that of Theorem 2.2. \square

3. Uniqueness problems counting multiplicity

The results in Section 2 are concerned with uniqueness problems without counting multiplicity. In this section we consider the uniqueness problem counting multiplicity. In this case, the result is simple and elegant:

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $f, g : \mathbb{C}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}_p)$ be two p -adic holomorphic curves, at least one of which is linearly non-degenerate. Let H_1, \dots, H_{n+2} be hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}_p)$ located in general position such that $f(\mathbb{C}_p) \not\subset H_j$ and $g(\mathbb{C}_p) \not\subset H_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq n+2$. Denote by L_j the linear form associated with H_j , and assume that $L_j(f)/L_j(g)$, $1 \leq j \leq n+2$, is non-vanishing on \mathbb{C}_p (i.e., that $L_j(f)$ and $L_j(g)$ vanish at the same points with the same vanishing order). Then $f \equiv g$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that g is linearly non-degenerate. We recall the fact that any non-vanishing p -adic entire function must be constant (see [R1]). Consider the functions

$$h_j = \frac{L_j(f)}{L_j(g)}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n+2.$$

Each h_j is a non-vanishing p -adic entire function, so $h_j = c_j$, where c_j is constant. Without loss of generality, we may assume that the hyperplanes H_j are represented by

$$H_j = \{w = [w_0 : \dots : w_n] \in \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}_p) \mid w_{j-1} = 0\}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n+1$$

and

$$H_{n+2} = \{w = [w_0 : \dots : w_n] \in \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}_p) \mid w_0 + \dots + w_n = 0\}.$$

Thus we can write $c_{n+1}(g_0 + \dots + g_n) = f_0 + \dots + f_n$, and hence

$$(c_{n+1} - c_0)g_0 + \dots + (c_{n+1} - c_n)g_n = 0.$$

By the linear-nondegeneracy condition, this implies $c_0 = c_1 = \dots = c_{n+1}$. Hence $f \equiv g$. \square

REFERENCES

- [AS] W.W. Adams and E.G. Straus, *Non-Archimedean analytic functions taking the same values at the same points*, Illinois J. Math. **15** (1971), 418–424.
- [Bo] A. Boutabaa, *Théorie de Nevanlinna p -adique*, Manuscripta Math. **67** (1990), 251–269.
- [Ch1] W. Cherry, *Hyperbolic p -adic analytic spaces*, Ph.D. Thesis, Yale University, 1993.
- [Ch2] ———, *A survey of Nevanlinna theory over non-Archimedean fields*. Bull. Hong Kong Math. Soc. **1** (1994), 235–249.

- [CY] W. Cherry and Z. Ye, *Non-Archimedean Nevanlinna theory in several variables and the non-Archimedean Nevanlinna inverse problem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **349** (1997), 5043–5071.
- [Kh] H.H. Khoái, *On p -adic meromorphic functions*, Duke Math. J. **50** (1983), 695–711.
- [KQ] H.H. Khoái and M.V. Quang, *On p -adic Nevanlinna theory*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1351, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988, pp. 16–158.
- [KT] H.H. Khoái and M.V. Tu, *p -adic Nevanlinna-Cartan theorem* Internat. J. Math. **6** (1995), 719–731.
- [Ne] R. Nevanlinna, *Einige Eindeutigkeitsätze in der Theorie der meromorphen Funktionen*, Acta. Math. **48** (1926), 367–391.
- [Co1] C. Corrales-Rodríguez, *Nevanlinna theory in the p -adic plane*, Annales Polonici Mathematici **57** (1992), 135–147.
- [R1] Min Ru, *A note on p -adic Nevanlinna theory*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **129** (2001), 1263–1269.
- [R2] ———, *An uniqueness theorem with moving targets without counting multiplicity*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **129** (2001), 2701–2707.
- [V] W. Stoll, *On the propagation of dependences*, Pacific J. Math. **139** (1989), 311–337.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON, HOUSTON, TX 77204, USA
E-mail address: minru@math.uh.edu