

## THE EULER CLASS AS A COHOMOLOGY GENERATOR

GERARD WALSCHAP

ABSTRACT. We show that a generator of the cohomology group  $H^n(S^n)$  cannot be realized as the Euler class of a vector bundle over the  $n$ -sphere unless  $n$  equals 2, 4, or 8.

A basic question in algebraic topology is which cohomology classes can be realized as characteristic classes. It is known that if  $X$  is a CW-complex, then for any cohomology class  $\alpha \in H^k(X)$ , there exists a vector bundle over  $X$  whose Euler class is a multiple of  $\alpha$  [4]. What does not seem to be known, however, is whether  $\alpha$  itself can occur as an Euler class, even in the simplest possible non-trivial case when  $X$  is a sphere.

In this note, we give a geometric proof of the fact that a generator of  $H^n(S^n)$  cannot in general occur as the Euler class of a bundle over  $S^n$ . More precisely, when  $n \neq 2, 4, 8$ , then the Euler class of any vector bundle over  $S^n$  must be an even multiple of a generator of  $H^n(S^n)$ . This in turn implies that the Stiefel-Whitney class of any bundle over a sphere is trivial, provided  $n$  is not one of these exceptional values. Another consequence is that any rank  $4n$  bundle over  $S^{4n}$  with trivial Pontrjagin class is equivalent to a pullback  $f^*\tau$  of the tangent bundle  $\tau$ , for some map  $f : S^{4n} \rightarrow S^{4n}$ .

We also examine some extensions of these results to the non-spherical case.

### 1. The Euler class of a vector bundle over a sphere

We begin by recalling a geometric way of computing the Euler number of a rank  $n$  bundle  $\xi$  over  $S^n$ : Denote by  $p$  and  $q$  a pair of antipodal points, and by  $U_+$  and  $U_-$  their complements in  $S^n$ . Since  $U_+, U_-$  are contractible, there are trivializations

$$\phi_+ : U_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(U_+), \quad \phi_- : U_- \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(U_-).$$

Restricting to the equator, we have a map  $\phi_-^{-1} \circ \phi_+ : S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^n$  sending  $(p, u)$  to  $(p, g(p)u)$  with  $g : S^{n-1} \rightarrow SO(n)$ .  $g$  is called the *clutching map* of  $E$ , and its significance lies in that free homotopy classes of such maps classify vector bundles over  $S^n$  up to isomorphism type.

---

Received March 5, 2001; received in final form August 20, 2001.  
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 53C05, 57R20.

Now fix a vector  $u \in S^{n-1}$ , and define a map  $f : S^{n-1} \rightarrow S^{n-1}$  by  $f(x) = g(x)u$ ,  $x \in S^{n-1}$ . The following lemma follows from the arguments in [5], but as it is not explicitly argued, we provide a proof here for convenience of the reader:

LEMMA 1.1. *The degree of  $f$  equals, up to sign, the Euler number of  $\xi$ .*

*Proof.* Endow  $\xi$  with a Riemannian connection  $\nabla$ , and let  $\Sigma_p$  denote the unit sphere in the tangent space of  $S^n$  at  $p$ . Fix a unit vector  $u$  in the fiber  $E_p$  of  $\xi$  over  $p$ . If  $\gamma_x : [0, \pi] \rightarrow S^n$  denotes the half-great circle from  $p$  to  $q$  in direction  $x \in \Sigma_p$ , and  $P_{\gamma_x}$  is parallel translation along  $\gamma_x$ , we obtain a map from  $\Sigma_p$  to the unit sphere in the fiber of  $\xi$  over  $q$  by assigning to  $x \in \Sigma_p$  the vector  $P_{\gamma_x}u$ . Both domain and range are  $(n-1)$ -spheres, and by [2, Theorem 11.16] the degree of this map equals (up to sign) the Euler number of  $\xi$ .

On the other hand, we may use the connection to obtain trivializations of the oriented orthonormal frame bundle  $\text{Fr}(\xi)$  of  $\xi$ : Identify an oriented orthonormal frame  $b_p$  at  $p$  with a linear isometry  $b_p : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow E_p$ , so that any frame at  $p$  can be written as  $b_p \circ h$  for a unique  $h \in SO(n)$ . If  $\gamma^{pr}$  denotes the minimal geodesic from  $p$  to  $r \in U_+$ , then the map

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_+ : U_+ \times SO(n) &\rightarrow \text{Fr}(\xi) \\ (r, h) &\mapsto P_{\gamma^{pr}}(b_p \circ h) \end{aligned}$$

is a trivialization of  $\text{Fr}(\xi)|_{U_+}$ . Choosing another frame  $b_q$  at  $q$  yields a similar trivialization  $\phi_-$  of  $\text{Fr}(\xi)|_{U_-}$ .

Observe that if  $\phi_+(r, h) = \phi_-(r, \bar{h})$ , then

$$\bar{h} = (b_q^{-1} \circ P_{\gamma_x} \circ b_p) \circ h,$$

where  $x \in \Sigma_p$  is the unique vector for which the geodesic  $\gamma_x$  passes through  $r$ . Identifying  $\Sigma_p$  with the equator  $S^{n-1}$  via  $x \mapsto \gamma_x(\pi/2)$ , we see that the clutching map of  $\xi$  is given by

$$g(x) = b_q^{-1} \circ P_{\gamma_x} \circ b_p,$$

and the lemma follows.  $\square$

THEOREM 1.2. *If  $n \neq 2, 4, 8$ , then the Euler class of any rank  $k$  vector bundle over  $S^n$  is an even multiple of a generator of  $H^k(S^n)$ .*

*Proof.* We need of course only consider the case when  $k = n$ , and show that the degree of the map  $f$  from Lemma 1.1 is even. Now,  $f = \pi \circ g$ , where  $g$  is the clutching map of the bundle and  $\pi : SO(n) \rightarrow S^{n-1}$  denotes the principal fibration

$$SO(n-1) \rightarrow SO(n) \rightarrow S^{n-1}.$$

It therefore remains to show that for non-exceptional values of  $n$ ,  $\text{im } \pi_{\#} = 2\mathbb{Z} \subset \pi_{n-1}(S^{n-1}) = \mathbb{Z}$ .

To see this, notice that in the portion

$$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_{n-1}(SO(n-1)) \xrightarrow{\pi_{\#}} \pi_{n-1}(S^{n-1}) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_{n-2}(SO(n-1)) \rightarrow \cdots$$

of the long exact homotopy sequence of this fibration,  $\partial$  is not trivial: In fact, if  $h : S^{n-1} \rightarrow BSO(n-1)$  is a classifying map for the tangent bundle of the  $(n-1)$ -sphere, then  $h_{\#} = \partial$  under the isomorphism  $\pi_{n-1}(BSO(n-1)) \simeq \pi_{n-2}(SO(n-1))$ . Thus,  $\partial$  vanishes only when  $TS^{n-1}$  is trivial; i.e., when  $n = 2, 4$ , or  $8$ . Furthermore, for even  $n$ ,  $\pi_{n-2}(SO(n-1))$  is either  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ ; see [7]. Together with exactness, this implies that  $\text{im } \pi_{\#} = \ker \partial = 2\mathbb{Z}$ , as claimed.  $\square$

REMARK. Conversely, given an even multiple  $2ku$  of the standard generator  $u$  of  $H^n(S^n)$ , there exists a vector bundle over  $S^n$  whose Euler class equals  $2ku$ : Just consider  $f^*\tau$ , where  $\tau$  is the tangent bundle of  $S^n$  and  $f : S^n \rightarrow S^n$  is a map of degree  $k$ .

## 2. Some applications

Theorem 1.2 has several direct consequences. Among them is the following:

COROLLARY 2.1. *If  $n \neq 2, 4$ , or  $8$ , then the Stiefel-Whitney class  $w(\xi)$  of any vector bundle  $\xi$  over  $S^n$  is trivial.*

*Proof.* The top Stiefel-Whitney class is the reduction mod 2 of the Euler class, and thus vanishes by Theorem 1.2. This concludes the argument when the rank of the bundle is  $\leq n$ . If the bundle has rank  $n+k$ , then  $\xi^{n+k}$  splits as a Whitney sum  $\xi^n \oplus \epsilon^k$  of a rank  $k$  bundle  $\xi^n$  and a trivial bundle  $\epsilon^k$ . But then  $w(\xi^{n+k}) = w(\xi^n)$ , and the claim follows.  $\square$

Let  $\gamma^k$  denote the canonical bundle over  $BSO(k)$ . Recall that every oriented rank  $k$  bundle over  $S^n$  is equivalent to the pullback  $f^*\gamma^k$  for some  $f : S^n \rightarrow BSO(k)$ , and that two such bundles  $f^*\gamma^k, g^*\gamma^k$  are equivalent iff  $[f] = [g] \in \pi_n(BSO(k)) \simeq \pi_{n-1}(SO(k))$ . Furthermore, these bundles are determined up to finite ambiguity by their characteristic classes; i.e., the map  $c$  which assigns to  $[f] \in \pi_{n-1}(SO(k))$  the pair  $(l, m) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $l$  denotes the  $[n/4]$ -th Pontrjagin number and  $m$  the Euler number of  $f^*\gamma^k$ , is a homomorphism with finite kernel; see, for example, [1].

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let  $\xi$  denote an oriented rank  $4m$  bundle over  $S^{4m}$ . If the Pontrjagin class of  $\xi$  is zero, then  $\xi$  is the pullback  $f^*\tau$  of the tangent bundle  $\tau$  for some  $f : S^{4m} \rightarrow S^{4m}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\pi_{4m-1}(SO(4m)) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  (see [7]), the homomorphism  $c$  from above is one-to-one. Consider first the case when  $m > 2$ : According to the remark following Theorem 1.2, there exists a map  $f : S^{4m} \rightarrow S^{4m}$  such that  $f^*\tau$  has the same Euler class as  $\xi$ . But  $f^*\tau$  has trivial Pontrjagin class,

so the statement follows. Next, suppose  $m = 1$ . From the arguments on page 246 of [6], it is easy to see that  $c : \pi_3(SO(4)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  is given by  $c(k, l) = (-4k - 2l, l)$ . If the rank 4 bundle  $\xi$  has trivial first Pontrjagin class, then  $l = -2k$ . Since the tangent bundle  $\tau$  has  $(k, l) = (-1, 2)$ ,  $\xi$  is equivalent to  $f^*\tau$ , where  $f : S^4 \rightarrow S^4$  has degree  $-k$ . Finally, the case when  $m = 2$  is argued in exactly the same way; see, for example, [3] for an explicit description of  $c : \pi_7(SO(8)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ .  $\square$

The Hurewicz homomorphism allows us to also draw some conclusions in the non-spherical case:

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *Let  $M$  be simply connected and rationally  $(k + 1)/2$ -connected, where  $k \neq 2, 4$ , or  $8$ . If  $H^k(M)$  is not a torsion group, then there exists a cohomology class in  $H^k(M)$  that cannot be realized as the Euler class of any bundle over  $M$ .*

*Proof.* The Hurewicz homomorphism  $h : \pi_k(M) \rightarrow H_k(M)$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -epimorphism under the above hypotheses; i.e.,  $H_k(M)/h(\pi_k(M))$  is finite; see [4]. By the universal coefficient theorem,  $H_k(M)$  is not a torsion group, so there must exist some  $\sigma \in h(\pi_k(M))$  of infinite order. Invoking once again the universal coefficient theorem, there exists some  $\alpha \in H^k(M)$  such that  $\langle \alpha, \sigma \rangle = 1$ . If  $f : S^k \rightarrow M$  satisfies  $f_*[S^k] = \sigma$  (i.e.,  $h[f] = \sigma$ ), then  $1 = \langle \alpha, f_*[S^k] \rangle = \langle f^*\alpha, [S^k] \rangle$ , and  $f^*\alpha$  is a generator of  $H^k(S^k)$ ; since  $f^*\alpha$  cannot be realized as an Euler class, neither can  $\alpha$ .  $\square$

**EXAMPLE.** Consider the Stiefel manifold  $V_{2k,k}$  of  $k$ -frames in  $\mathbb{R}^{2k}$ , with  $k$  even,  $k \neq 2, 4$ , or  $8$ . It is well-known that  $V_{2k,k}$  is  $(k - 1)$ -connected, and that  $\pi_k(V_{2k,k}) = \mathbb{Z}$ ; see, for example, [8]. By Hurewicz and the universal coefficient theorem,  $H^k(V_{2k,k}) = \mathbb{Z}$ . A generator of the latter group cannot be realized as an Euler class.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] I. Belegardek, *Counting nonnegatively curved manifolds*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Maryland, 1998.
- [2] R. Bott and L. Tu, *Differential forms in algebraic topology*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.
- [3] M. Čadek and J. Vanžura, *On complex structures in 8-dimensional vector bundles*, Manuscripta Math. **95** (1998), 323–330.
- [4] L. Guijarro, T. Schick, and G. Walschap, *Bundles with spherical Euler class*, Pacific J. Math., to appear.
- [5] L. Guijarro and G. Walschap, *Twisting and nonnegatively curved metrics on vector bundles over the round sphere*, J. Diff. Geometry **52** (1999) 189–202.
- [6] J. Milnor and J. Stasheff, *Characteristic classes*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1974.
- [7] M. Kervaire, *Some nonstable homotopy groups of Lie groups*, Illinois J. Math. **4** (1960), 161–169.

- [8] G. Whitehead, *Elements of homotopy theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA, NORMAN, OK 73019, USA  
*E-mail address:* `gerard@math.ou.edu`