

## NULL SETS FOR THE CAPACITY ASSOCIATED TO RIESZ KERNELS

LAURA PRAT

ABSTRACT. We prove that the capacity associated to the signed vector-valued Riesz kernel  $\frac{x}{|x|^{1+\alpha}}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $0 < \alpha < n$ ,  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$ , vanishes on compact sets with finite  $\alpha$ -Hausdorff measure that satisfy an additional density condition.

### 1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to continue the study of the capacity  $\gamma_\alpha$  associated to the signed vector-valued Riesz kernel  $x/|x|^{1+\alpha}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , which was initiated in the articles [P], [MPV] and [Vo]. Given  $0 < \alpha < n$  and a compact set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , one sets

$$(1) \quad \gamma_\alpha(E) = \sup |\langle T, 1 \rangle|,$$

where the supremum is taken over all real distributions  $T$  supported on  $E$  such that  $T * \frac{x_i}{|x|^{1+\alpha}}$  is a function in  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\|T * \frac{x_i}{|x|^{1+\alpha}}\|_\infty \leq 1$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

When  $n = 2$  and  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\gamma_1$  is comparable to analytic capacity by the main result of [T1]. For each  $n \geq 2$  the capacity  $\gamma_{n-1}$  is called Lipschitz harmonic capacity and has been considered in [Par], [MP], [V], and more recently in [Vo], where it was shown to be semiadditive.

It is a remarkable fact that the behaviour of  $\gamma_\alpha$  depends on whether  $\alpha$  is an integer or not, as was discovered in [P]. For integer values of  $\alpha$  it was proved in [MP] that  $\gamma_\alpha$  and the  $\alpha$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure  $\mathcal{H}^\alpha$  vanish simultaneously for compact subsets of  $\alpha$ -dimensional smooth surfaces. It was shown in [P] that if  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $\mathcal{H}^\alpha(E) < \infty$  then, surprisingly,  $\gamma_\alpha(E) = 0$ . In the same article it was also shown that this result holds for any non-integer value of  $\alpha$  between 0 and  $n$  provided that the compact set  $E$  is assumed to be Ahlfors-David regular of dimension  $\alpha$ . Recall that a closed subset  $E$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$

---

Received December 4, 2003; received in final form April 13, 2004.  
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 42B20, 28A12.

is said to be Ahlfors-David regular of dimension  $\alpha$  if it has locally finite and positive  $\alpha$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure in a uniform way, i.e.,

$$C^{-1}r^\alpha \leq \mathcal{H}^\alpha(E \cap B(x, r)) \leq Cr^\alpha, \text{ for } x \in E, \ r \leq d(E),$$

where  $B(x, r)$  is the open ball centered at  $x$  of radius  $r$  and  $d(E)$  is the diameter of  $E$ . Notice that if  $E$  is a compact Ahlfors-David regular set of dimension  $\alpha$ , then  $\mathcal{H}^\alpha(E) < \infty$ .

The difficulty in extending the result just mentioned from the case  $\alpha < 1$  to the case of non-integer values  $\alpha > 1$  is due to the fact that the Riesz kernels enjoy a special positivity property for  $\alpha \leq 1$ , which fails for every  $\alpha$  in the range  $1 < \alpha < n$  (see [P]). This lack of positivity makes the treatment of the case  $1 < \alpha < n$  much more difficult (see [Vo]).

In this paper we take one more step towards the understanding of  $\gamma_\alpha$  for non-integer indexes  $\alpha > 1$ . Our main result replaces the Ahlfors-David regularity assumption by a much weaker density condition. It becomes then more and more plausible that one can get  $\gamma_\alpha(E) = 0$  from  $\mathcal{H}^\alpha(E) < \infty$  for all compact sets  $E$  and every non-integer  $\alpha$  between 0 and  $n$ .

**THEOREM 1 (Main Theorem).** *Let  $0 < \alpha < n$ ,  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$ , and let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a compact set with  $\mathcal{H}^\alpha(E) < \infty$ , such that for almost all  $x \in E$*

$$0 < \theta_*^\alpha(x, E) \leq \theta^{*\alpha}(x, E) < \infty.$$

*Then  $\gamma_\alpha(E) = 0$ .*

Recall that the quantities  $\theta_*^\alpha(x, E)$  and  $\theta^{*\alpha}(x, E)$  are the lower and upper densities of  $E$  at  $x$ , defined by

$$\theta_*^\alpha(x, E) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^\alpha(E \cap B(x, r))}{r^\alpha}$$

and

$$\theta^{*\alpha}(x, E) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^\alpha(E \cap B(x, r))}{r^\alpha}.$$

The proof of the Main Theorem uses an adaptation of a result of Pajot (see [Pa]) on coverings by Ahlfors-David regular sets. This will take us back to the Ahlfors-David regular case. To perform the reduction we also need to study a positive version of  $\gamma_\alpha$ , denoted by  $\gamma_{\alpha,+}$ . For  $0 < \alpha < n$ , the capacity  $\gamma_{\alpha,+}$  is defined in the same way as  $\gamma_\alpha$ , except that the supremum in (1) is taken only over positive measures instead of all distributions. We will show that for  $0 < \alpha < n$ ,  $\gamma_{\alpha,+}$  is countably semiadditive, and this will play a role in proving the Main Theorem.

We finally mention that the proof of the Main Theorem, as those of the main results in [P], rely on the basic fact that if  $E$  is an  $\alpha$ -dimensional Ahlfors-David regular compact set, with  $\alpha$  non-integer, then the  $\alpha$ -Riesz operator is unbounded on  $L^2(\mathcal{H}_E^\alpha)$  (see [Vi]). We do not know how to prove this result for general sets with finite  $\mathcal{H}^\alpha$  measure and non-integer  $\alpha > 1$ . Such a result

would imply that the conclusion of the Main Theorem holds without any density assumptions.

Throughout the paper, the letter  $C$  will stand for an absolute constant that may be different at different occurrences. The notation  $A \approx B$  means, as usual, that for some constant  $C$  one has  $C^{-1}B \leq A \leq CB$ .

The plan of the paper is the following. Section 2 contains some preliminary definitions and results that will be used throughout the paper. The semiadditivity of the capacity  $\gamma_{\alpha,+}$ , for  $0 < \alpha < n$ , is also proved in this section. In Section 3 we prove the Main Theorem.

## 2. Preliminaries

**2.1.  $L^2$ -boundedness of Calderón-Zygmund operators.** A function  $K(x, y)$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{(x, y) : x = y\}$  is called a Calderón-Zygmund kernel if the following holds:

- (1)  $|K(x, y)| \leq C|x - y|^{-\alpha}$  for some  $0 < \alpha < n$  ( $\alpha$  not necessarily an integer) and some positive constant  $C < \infty$ .
- (2) There exists  $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$  such that for some constant  $0 < C < \infty$

$$|K(x, y) - K(x_0, y)| + |K(y, x) - K(y, x_0)| \leq C \frac{|x - x_0|^\epsilon}{|x - y|^{\alpha+\epsilon}},$$

if  $|x - x_0| \leq |x - y|/2$ .

Let  $\mu$  be a Radon measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then the Calderón-Zygmund operator  $T$  associated to the kernel  $K$  and the measure  $\mu$  is formally defined as

$$Tf(x) = T(f\mu)(x) = \int K(x, y)f(y)d\mu(y).$$

This integral may not converge for many functions  $f$ , because for  $x = y$  the kernel  $K$  may have a singularity. For this reason, we introduce the truncated operators  $T_\epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ , by

$$T_\epsilon f(x) = T_\epsilon(f\mu)(x) = \int_{|x-y|>\epsilon} K(x, y)f(y)d\mu(y).$$

We say that the singular integral operator  $T$  is bounded in  $L^2(\mu)$  if the operators  $T_\epsilon$  are bounded in  $L^2(\mu)$  uniformly in  $\epsilon$ .

The maximal operator  $T^*$  is defined as

$$T^*f(x) = \sup_{\epsilon>0} |T_\epsilon f(x)|.$$

Let  $0 < \alpha < n$  and consider the Calderón-Zygmund operator  $R_\alpha$  associated to the antisymmetric vector-valued Riesz kernel  $x/|x|^{1+\alpha}$ .

For the proof of our Theorem a deep result of Nazarov, Treil and Volberg will be needed (see [NTV3]). This result was originally proved for the Cauchy transform; the modifications needed to use the result for the operators  $R_\alpha$  are

explained in [P]. In this way one obtains the following  $T(b)$ -Theorem for the  $\alpha$ -Riesz transform  $R_\alpha$ :

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mu(B(x, r))/r^\alpha < +\infty$  for  $\mu$  almost all  $x$ , and let  $b$  be an  $L^\infty(\mu)$  function such that  $|\int b d\mu| = \gamma_\alpha$ . Assume that  $R_\alpha^* b(x) < +\infty$  for  $\mu$  almost all  $x$ . Then there is a set  $F$  with  $\mu(F) \geq \gamma_\alpha/4$  such that the  $\alpha$ -Riesz transform  $R_\alpha$  is bounded in  $L^2(\mu|_F)$ .*

**2.2. The capacities  $\gamma_{\alpha,+}$  and  $\gamma_{\alpha,2}$ .** Recall that the capacity  $\gamma_{\alpha,+}$  of a compact set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a variant of  $\gamma_\alpha$ , defined by

$$\gamma_{\alpha,+}(E) = \sup \{ \mu(E) \},$$

where the supremum is taken over those positive Radon measures  $\mu$  supported on  $E$  and such that for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$  the  $i$ -th  $\alpha$ -Riesz potential  $\mu * \frac{x_i}{|x|^{1+\alpha}}$  is a function in  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\sup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|\mu * \frac{x_i}{|x|^{1+\alpha}}\|_\infty \leq 1$ . We clearly have  $\gamma_{\alpha,+}(E) \leq \gamma_\alpha(E)$ .

We define now an  $L^2$ -version of the capacity  $\gamma_{\alpha,+}$ . For a compact set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  set

$$\gamma_{\alpha,2}(E) = \sup \{ \mu(E) \},$$

where the supremum is taken over the positive Radon measures  $\mu$  supported on  $E$  with growth  $\mu(B(x, r)) \leq r^\alpha$  for  $x \in \text{spt}(\mu)$  and  $r > 0$ , and such that for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  the  $\alpha$ -Riesz transform  $R_\alpha^i$  is bounded on  $L^2(\mu)$  with  $L^2$ -norm smaller than 1.

We show now that these two capacities are comparable.

**LEMMA 3.** *For  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\gamma_{\alpha,+}(E) \approx \gamma_{\alpha,2}(E)$ .*

For the proof of Lemma 3, we need the following result (see Lemma 4.2 in [MP]) that tells us how to dualize a weak type  $(1, 1)$ -inequality for several linear operators. The result is a modification of Theorem 23 in [Ch] (see also [U]).

Let  $X$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space and denote by  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  the space of all finite signed Radon measures on  $X$  equipped with the total variation norm. For any  $T : \mathcal{M}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X)$  bounded and linear, denote by  $T^t : \mathcal{M}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X)$  its transpose, that is,

$$\int (T\nu_1) d\nu_2 = \int (T^t\nu_2) d\nu_1 \text{ for } \nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathcal{M}(X).$$

**LEMMA 4 ([MP]).** *Let  $\mu$  be a positive Radon measure on a locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$  and let  $T_i : \mathcal{M}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , be bounded linear operators. Suppose that every  $T_i^t$  is of weak type  $(1, 1)$  with respect to  $\mu$ , that is, there exists a constant  $A < \infty$  such that*

$$\mu(\{x : |T_i^t\nu(x)| > t\}) \leq At^{-1}\|\nu\|$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $t > 0$ , and  $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ . Then for  $\tau > 0$  and any Borel set  $E \subset X$  with  $0 < \mu(E) < \infty$  there exists  $h : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  in  $L^\infty(\mu)$  satisfying  $h(x) = 0$  for  $x \in X \setminus E$ ,

$$\int_E h d\mu \geq \mu(E)/2 \text{ and } \|T_i(hd\mu)\|_\infty \leq (n + \tau)A \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

*Proof of Lemma 3.* We have to prove that for some positive constants  $a$  and  $b$

$$(2) \quad a\gamma_{\alpha,+}(E) \leq \gamma_{\alpha,2}(E) \leq b\gamma_{\alpha,+}(E).$$

For the second inequality in (2), let  $\sigma$  be a positive measure supported on  $E$  such that  $\sigma(B(x, r)) \leq r^\alpha$  for  $x \in \text{spt}(\sigma)$  and  $r > 0$ ,  $R_\alpha^i$  is bounded on  $L^2(\sigma)$  with operator norm smaller than 1,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and  $\sigma(E) \geq \gamma_{\alpha,2}(E)/2$ .

From the  $L^2$ -boundedness of  $R_\alpha^i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we get that each  $R_\alpha^i$  is of weak type  $(1, 1)$  with respect to the measure  $\sigma$ . This follows from the standard Calderón-Zygmund theory if the measure is doubling, and by an argument given in [NTV2] in the general case.

We would like to dualize this weak type  $(1, 1)$  inequality applying Lemma 4. Unfortunately, Lemma 4 does not apply to the truncated operators  $(R_\alpha^i)_\epsilon$ , because they do not map  $\mathcal{M}(E)$  to  $\mathcal{C}(E)$ . This difficulty can be overcome by using the following regularized operators. For  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n$  define

$$R_{i,\epsilon}^\psi \nu(x) = \int \psi\left(\frac{x-y}{\epsilon}\right) \frac{x_i - y_i}{|x-y|^{1+\alpha}} d\nu(y)$$

for Radon measures  $\nu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and for  $f \in L^1(\sigma)$  define

$$R_{i,\epsilon}^\psi(f\sigma)(x) = \int \psi\left(\frac{x-y}{\epsilon}\right) \frac{x_i - y_i}{|x-y|^{1+\alpha}} f(y) d\sigma(y),$$

where  $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is some radial function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ ,  $\psi = 0$  on  $B(0, 1/2)$  and  $\psi = 1$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0, 1)$ .

Set  $R_{i,\epsilon} = (R_\alpha^i)_\epsilon$ . Notice that for  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we have

$$(3) \quad |R_{i,\epsilon}^\psi \nu(x) - R_{i,\epsilon} \nu(x)| \leq C\widetilde{M}_\sigma \nu(x),$$

where

$$\widetilde{M}_\sigma \nu(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{\nu(B(x, r))}{\sigma(B(x, 3r))}$$

is the *modified maximal operator* introduced in [NTV2, pp. 6–7]. Notice that if the measure  $\sigma$  is doubling, then  $\widetilde{M}_\sigma \nu \approx M_\sigma \nu$ , with constants depending only on those involved in the doubling condition. Here

$$M_\sigma \nu(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{\nu(B(x, r))}{\sigma(B(x, r))}$$

is the *centered Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator*.

By Lemma 3.1 in [NTV2] the operator  $\widetilde{M}_\sigma \nu$  satisfies a weak (1,1)-inequality with respect to  $\sigma$ ,

$$(4) \quad \sigma(\{x \in E : \widetilde{M}_\sigma \nu(x) > t\}) \leq Ct^{-1} \|\sigma\|, \text{ for } \nu \in \mathcal{M}(E).$$

It follows from (4) and (3) that if  $R_{i,\epsilon}$  satisfies a weak type (1, 1)-inequality, so does  $R_{i,\epsilon}^\psi$  and vice versa. The advantage of the operators  $R_{i,\epsilon}^\psi$  is that they do map  $\mathcal{M}(E)$  to  $\mathcal{C}(E)$ , so we may apply Lemma 4 to them instead of  $R_{i,\epsilon}$ . Observe that  $(R_{i,\epsilon}^\psi)^t = -R_{i,\epsilon}^\psi$ . Thus for any compact set  $K$  in  $E$  with  $0 < \sigma(K) < \infty$  we can find for each  $\epsilon > 0$  a function  $h_\epsilon$  supported on  $K$  and satisfying

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \leq h_\epsilon(x) \leq 1 \text{ for all } x, \\ \int_K h_\epsilon d\sigma \geq \sigma(K)/2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(6) \quad \|R_{i,\epsilon}^\psi(h_\epsilon \sigma)\|_{L^\infty(K)} \leq 2nA.$$

In view of (3), (5), (6) and the growth condition  $\sigma(B(x, r)) \leq C_0 r^\alpha$  for  $x \in \text{spt}(\sigma)$  and  $r > 0$ , we have  $\|R_{i,\epsilon}(h_\epsilon \sigma)\|_{L^\infty(K)} \leq C$ . But we also want  $R_{i,\epsilon}(h_\epsilon \sigma)$  to be bounded outside of  $K$ .

We claim now that for all  $\eta > \epsilon$  we have  $\|R_{i,\eta}(h_\epsilon \sigma)\|_{L^\infty(K)} \leq C$ . To see this, let first  $\epsilon \leq \eta \leq 2\epsilon$ . Then using (3), (5), (6) and the growth condition for  $\sigma$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_{i,\eta}(h_\epsilon \sigma)\|_{L^\infty(K)} &\leq \|R_{i,\eta}(h_\epsilon \sigma) - R_{i,\epsilon}(h_\epsilon \sigma)\|_{L^\infty(K)} \\ &\quad + \|R_{i,\epsilon}(h_\epsilon \sigma)\|_{L^\infty(K)} \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\eta > 2\epsilon$ , then  $R_{i,\eta} = (R_{i,\epsilon}^\psi)_\eta$ . Using (5) and (6), Cotlar's inequality (see Theorem 7.1 in [NTV2]) implies that the maximal operator  $(R_{i,\epsilon}^\psi)^*(h_\epsilon \sigma)$  is uniformly bounded on  $K$ . Hence for all  $\eta > 2\epsilon$ ,

$$\|R_{i,\eta}(h_\epsilon \sigma)\|_{L^\infty(K)} = \|(R_{i,\epsilon}^\psi)_\eta(h_\epsilon \sigma)\|_{L^\infty(K)} \leq \|(R_{i,\epsilon}^\psi)^*(h_\epsilon \sigma)\|_{L^\infty(K)} \leq C.$$

Thus the operators  $R_{i,\eta}(h_\epsilon \sigma)$  are uniformly bounded in  $\epsilon$  and  $\eta$ .

Let  $\{\epsilon_j\}_j$  be an arbitrary sequence tending monotonically to 0 and let  $h$  be a weak-star limit of some subsequence of  $\{h_{\epsilon_j}\}$  in  $L^\infty(K)$ ; by passing to some subsequence we may assume that  $h_{\epsilon_j} \rightarrow h$  in the weak-star topology. Then  $h$  is supported on  $K$ ,  $0 \leq h \leq 1$ ,  $\int h d\sigma \geq C\sigma(K)$  and  $\|R_{i,\eta}(h\sigma)\|_{L^\infty(K)} \leq C$  uniformly in  $\eta$ .

If we can prove that  $\|R_{i,\epsilon}(h\sigma)\|_{L^\infty(K^c)} \leq C$ , then we are done with the lower inequality in (2) because  $\mu = h\sigma$  is an admissible measure for  $\gamma_{\alpha,+}$  and so we have

$$\gamma_{\alpha,+}(E) \geq \int_E h d\sigma \geq C\sigma(E) \geq C\gamma_{\alpha,2}(E).$$

Consider any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus K$ , set  $d = \text{dist}(x, K)$  and choose  $y \in K$  so that  $d = |x - y|$ . Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and distinguish the following three cases:

(1) If  $\epsilon \geq 4d$ , then

$$|R_{i,\epsilon}(h\sigma)(x)| \leq |R_{i,\epsilon}(h\sigma)(x) - R_{i,\epsilon}(h\sigma)(y)| + \|R_{i,\epsilon}(h\sigma)\|_{L^\infty(K)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |R_{i,\epsilon}(h\sigma)(x) - R_{i,\epsilon}(h\sigma)(y)| \\ & \leq \left| \int_{\{w: |w-x|>\epsilon, |w-y|>\epsilon\}} h(w) \left( \frac{x_i - w_i}{|x-w|^{1+\alpha}} - \frac{y_i - w_i}{|y-w|^{1+\alpha}} \right) d\sigma(w) \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \int_{\{w: |w-y|\leq\epsilon, |w-x|>\epsilon\}} h(w) \frac{x_i - w_i}{|x-w|^{1+\alpha}} d\sigma(w) \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \int_{\{w: |w-x|\leq\epsilon, |w-y|>\epsilon\}} h(w) \frac{y_i - w_i}{|y-w|^{1+\alpha}} d\sigma(w) \right| \\ & = A + B + C. \end{aligned}$$

To deal with  $A$ , note that  $|y - w| > \epsilon \geq 4d = 4|x - y| \geq 2|x - y|$ . Hence using the standard estimates for the Calderón-Zygmund kernels,  $0 \leq h \leq 1$  and the  $\alpha$ -growth of  $\sigma$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} A & \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\{w: 2^j\epsilon \leq |y-w| \leq 2^{j+1}\epsilon\}} \frac{|x-y|}{|y-w|^{1+\alpha}} |h(w)| d\sigma(w) \\ & \leq Cd \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2^j\epsilon)^{1+\alpha}} \int_{\{|y-w| \leq 2^{j+1}\epsilon\}} |h(w)| d\sigma(w) \\ & \leq C \frac{d}{\epsilon} \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{r^\alpha} \int_{|y-w|<r} |h(w)| d\sigma(w) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j} \leq C, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality comes from the  $\alpha$ -growth of the measure  $\sigma$  and the boundedness of  $h$ .

For the term  $B$  we have

$$B \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon^\alpha} \int_{|w-y|\leq\epsilon} |h(w)| d\sigma(w) \leq C.$$

Term  $C$  is treated in the same way as  $B$ , but with the roles of  $x$  and  $y$  interchanged.

(2) If  $d/2 \leq \epsilon < 4d$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |R_{i,\epsilon}(h\sigma)(x)| & \leq |R_{i,4d}(h\sigma)(x)| + |R_{i,\epsilon}(h\sigma)(x) - R_{i,4d}(h\sigma)(x)| \\ & \leq C + C \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{r^\alpha} \int_{B(y,r)} |h(w)| d\sigma(w) \leq C, \end{aligned}$$

by using the previous case to bound  $|R_{i,4d}(h\sigma)(x)|$  and the  $\alpha$ -growth condition on  $\sigma$  and  $0 \leq h \leq 1$  to bound the difference  $|R_{i,\epsilon}(h\sigma)(x) - R_{i,4d}(h\sigma)(x)|$ .

(3) If  $\epsilon < d/2$ , then  $R_{i,\epsilon}(h\sigma)(x) = R_{i,d/2}(h\sigma)(x)$ , which leads us to the second case.

For the first inequality in (2), let  $\sigma$  be a positive measure supported on  $E$  such that  $\sigma(E) \geq \frac{\gamma_{\alpha,+}(E)}{2}$  and  $\|\sigma * \frac{x_i}{|x|^{1+\alpha}}\|_\infty \leq 1, 1 \leq i \leq n$ .

To see that  $\sigma$  is admissible for  $\gamma_{\alpha,2}$ , we check first that it satisfies the growth condition  $\sigma(B(x,r)) \leq Cr^\alpha$ . Take an infinitely differentiable function  $\varphi$ , supported on  $B(x,2r)$  such that  $\varphi = 1$  on  $B(x,r)$ , and  $\|\partial^s \varphi\|_\infty \leq C_s r^{-|s|}$ ,  $|s| \geq 0$ . Here  $s = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$ , with  $0 \leq s_i \in \mathbb{Z}, |s| = s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_n$  and  $\partial^s = (\partial/\partial x_i)^{s_1} \dots (\partial/\partial x_n)^{s_n}$ . Assume first that  $n$  is odd and of the form  $n = 2k + 1$ . Then, by Lemma 11 in [P],

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(B(x,r)) &\leq \int \varphi d\sigma = c_{n,\alpha} \int \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta^k \partial_i \varphi * \frac{1}{|x|^{n-\alpha}} * \frac{x_i}{|x|^{1+\alpha}} \right) (y) d\sigma(y) \\ &= -c_{n,\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n \int \left( \sigma * \frac{x_i}{|x|^{1+\alpha}} \right) (y) \left( \Delta^k \partial_i \varphi * \frac{1}{|x|^{n-\alpha}} \right) (y) dy \\ &\leq C \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{B(x,3r)} \left| \left( \Delta^k \partial_i \varphi * \frac{1}{|x|^{n-\alpha}} \right) (y) \right| dy \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x,3r)} \left| \left( \Delta^k \partial_i \varphi * \frac{1}{|x|^{n-\alpha}} \right) (y) \right| dy \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Arguing as in Lemma 12 in [P] we get that the last two integrals can be estimated by  $Cr^\alpha$ .

When  $n$  is even we use the corresponding representation formula in Lemma 11 of [P].

We are left now with the  $L^2$ -boundedness of the  $\alpha$ -Riesz transform  $R_\alpha^i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . By assumption  $\|\sigma * \frac{x_i}{|x|^{1+\alpha}}\|_\infty \leq 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . In particular, this implies that we can apply the  $T(1)$  Theorem (Theorem 2 with  $b \equiv 1$ ) and so we get the  $L^2$ -boundedness of  $R_\alpha^i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . This means that  $\sigma$  is admissible for  $\gamma_{\alpha,2}$ . Thus

$$\gamma_{\alpha,2}(E) \geq C\sigma(E) \geq C\gamma_{\alpha,+}(E),$$

which finishes the proof of the lemma. □

From this lemma we can deduce the semiadditivity of the capacity  $\gamma_{\alpha,+}$ . In fact,  $\gamma_{\alpha,+}$  is countably semiadditive.



COROLLARY 5. *Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be compact. Let  $E_i, i \geq 1$ , be Borel sets such that  $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty E_i$ . Then*

$$\gamma_{\alpha,+}(E) \leq C \sum_{i=1}^\infty \gamma_{\alpha,+}(E_i),$$

where  $C$  is some absolute constant.

*Proof.* Let  $\mu$  be an admissible measure for  $\gamma_{\alpha,2}(E)$ . Then using Lemma 3 and the fact that the measures  $\mu|_{E_i}$  are admissible for the capacity  $\gamma_{\alpha,2}(E_i)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{\alpha,+}(E) &\approx \gamma_{\alpha,2}(E) \approx \mu(E) = \mu\left(\bigcup_i E_i\right) \leq \sum_i \mu(E_i) \\ &\leq C \sum_i \gamma_{\alpha,2}(E_i) \approx \sum_i \gamma_{\alpha,+}(E_i). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

### 3. Proof of the Main Theorem

We need the following result, which is inspired by a theorem of H. Pajot (see Proposition 4.4 in [Pa]). Pajot’s result says that under a certain density condition every compact set of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with finite  $\mathcal{H}^\alpha$ -measure can be covered by a countable union of  $\alpha$ -dimensional Ahlfors-David regular sets. Pajot proved the result for sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of integer dimension  $\alpha$ , but with some minor changes in the proof the same result holds also for sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of non-integer dimension  $\alpha$  with  $0 < \alpha < n$ . That is, we have:

THEOREM 6. *Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a compact set with  $\mathcal{H}^\alpha(E) < \infty$  such that for almost all  $x \in E$*

$$0 < \theta_*^\alpha(x, E) \leq \theta^{*\alpha}(x, E) < \infty.$$

Then

$$E \subset \bigcup_{i=0}^\infty E_i,$$

where  $\mathcal{H}^\alpha(E_0) = 0$  and for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $E_i$  are compact Ahlfors-David regular sets of dimension  $\alpha$ .

*Proof of the Main Theorem.* Suppose  $\gamma_\alpha(E) > 0$ . Applying Lemma 8 in [P] we find a measure of the form  $\nu = b\mathcal{H}^\alpha$ , with  $b \in L^\infty(\mathcal{H}^\alpha, E)$  such that the signed  $\alpha$ -Riesz potential  $R_\alpha(\nu) = \nu * \frac{x}{|x|^{1+\alpha}}$  is in  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\int_E b \, d\mathcal{H}^\alpha = \gamma_\alpha(E)$ . We can apply now Theorem 2 to get a set  $F \subset E$  of positive  $\mathcal{H}^\alpha$ -measure such that the operator  $R_\alpha$  is bounded on  $L^2(\mathcal{H}^\alpha, F)$ . This implies

that  $\gamma_{\alpha,2}(E) > 0$ . By Lemma 3,  $\gamma_{\alpha,+}(E) > 0$ . From Theorem 6 one can deduce that

$$E \subset \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} E_i,$$

where  $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(E_0) = 0$  and for  $i \geq 1$  the sets  $E_i$  are  $\alpha$ -dimensional compact Ahlfors-David regular sets.

Since sets with zero  $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}$  measure have zero  $\gamma_{\alpha}$  capacity (see Lemma 12 in [P]), we have  $\gamma_{\alpha,+}(E_0) = 0$ .

The semiadditivity of the capacity  $\gamma_{\alpha,+}$ , stated in Corollary 5 implies then that

$$0 < \gamma_{\alpha,+}(E) \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{\alpha,+}(E_i).$$

Therefore, for some  $k \neq 0$ ,  $\gamma_{\alpha,+}(E_k) > 0$ . For this set  $E_k$  we then have

$$0 < \gamma_{\alpha,+}(E_k) \leq \gamma_{\alpha}(E_k).$$

Applying now Theorem 2 in [P] to the Ahlfors-David regular set  $E_k$ , we get that  $\alpha$  must be an integer.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** Thanks are due to my two advisors J. Mateu and J. Verdera for their excellent guidance during this work and for their encouragement and constant support. Further, I am grateful to X. Tolsa for interesting conversations and suggestions. The author was partially supported by grants DGI MTM2004-00519 (Spain) and 2001/SGR/00431 (Generalitat de Catalunya).

#### REFERENCES

- [Ch] M. Christ, *Lectures on singular integral operators*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. 77, Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC, 1990. MR 92f:42021
- [MPV] J. Mateu, L. Prat, and J. Verdera, *The capacity associated to signed Riesz kernels and Wolff potentials*, J. Reine Angew. Math., to appear.
- [MP] P. Mattila and P. V. Paramonov, *On geometric properties of harmonic Lip<sub>1</sub>-capacity*, Pacific J. Math. **171** (1995), 469–491. MR 97b:31005
- [NTV1] F. Nazarov, S. Treil, and A. Volberg, *Cauchy integral and Calderón-Zygmund operators on nonhomogeneous spaces*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (1997), 703–726. MR 99e:42028
- [NTV2] ———, *Weak type estimates and Cotlar inequalities for Calderón-Zygmund operators on nonhomogeneous spaces*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (1998), 463–487. MR 99f:42035
- [NTV3] ———, *How to prove Vitushkin's conjecture by pulling ourselves up by the hair*, preprint, Michigan State University.
- [Pa] H. Pajot, *Conditions quantitatives de rectifiabilité*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **125** (1997), 15–53. MR 98m:28014
- [Par] P. V. Paramonov, *Harmonic approximations in the  $C^1$ -norm*, Mat. Sb. **181** (1990), 1341–1365. MR 92j:31006

- [P] L. Prat, *Potential theory of signed Riesz kernels: capacity and Hausdorff measure*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2004), 937–981. MR 2 037 051
- [T1] X. Tolsa, *Painlevé’s problem and the semiadditivity of analytic capacity*, Acta Math. **190** (2003), 105–149. MR 1 982 794
- [U] N. X. Uy, *Removable sets of analytic functions satisfying a Lipschitz condition*, Ark. Mat. **17** (1979), 19–27. MR 81i:30076
- [V] J. Verdera,  *$C^m$  approximation by solutions of elliptic equations, and Calderón-Zygmund operators*, Duke Math. J. **55** (1987), 157–187. MR 88e:35011
- [Vi] M. Vihtilä, *The boundedness of Riesz  $s$ -transforms of measures in  $\mathbf{R}^n$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (1996), 3797–3804. MR 97b:44001
- [Vo] A. Volberg, *Calderón-Zygmund capacities and operators on nonhomogeneous spaces*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. 100, Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC, 2003. MR 2 019 058

DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTICA APLICADA I ANÀLISI, UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA,  
GRAN VIA DE LES CORTS CATALANES, 585, 08007 BARCELONA, SPAIN  
*E-mail address:* `laura@mat.ub.es`