

## MODULES OF G-DIMENSION ZERO OVER LOCAL RINGS OF DEPTH TWO

RYO TAKAHASHI

ABSTRACT. Let  $R$  be a commutative noetherian local ring. Denote by  $\text{mod } R$  the category of finitely generated  $R$ -modules, and by  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  the full subcategory of  $\text{mod } R$  consisting of all  $R$ -modules of G-dimension zero. Suppose that  $R$  is henselian and non-Gorenstein, and that there is a non-free  $R$ -module in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ . Then it is known that  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  is not contravariantly finite in  $\text{mod } R$  if  $R$  has depth at most one. In this paper, we prove that the same statement holds if  $R$  has depth two.

### 1. Introduction

Throughout the present paper, we assume that all rings are commutative noetherian rings and all modules are finitely generated modules.

Auslander [1] has introduced a homological invariant for modules, which is called Gorenstein dimension, or G-dimension for short. This invariant has a lot of properties similar to those of projective dimension. For example, it is well-known that the finiteness of projective dimension characterizes the regular property of the base ring: any module over a regular local ring has finite projective dimension, and a local ring whose residue class field has finite projective dimension is regular. The finiteness of G-dimension characterizes the Gorenstein property of the base ring.

Over a Gorenstein local ring, a module has G-dimension zero if and only if it is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay module. Hence it is natural to expect that modules of G-dimension zero over an arbitrary local ring behave similarly to maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules over a Gorenstein local ring.

A Cohen-Macaulay local ring is said to be of finite Cohen-Macaulay representation type if it has only finitely many non-isomorphic indecomposable maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules. Under a few assumptions, Gorenstein local rings of finite Cohen-Macaulay representation type have been classified completely, and it is known that all non-isomorphic indecomposable maximal

---

Received December 3, 2003; received in final form July 9, 2004.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 13D05. Secondary 16G60.

©2004 University of Illinois

Cohen-Macaulay modules over them can be described concretely; see [7] for the details.

Thus we are interested in non-Gorenstein local rings which have only finitely many non-isomorphic indecomposable modules of G-dimension zero, and particularly in determining all non-isomorphic indecomposable modules of G-dimension zero over such rings.

Now, we form the following conjecture:

**CONJECTURE 1.1.** Let  $R$  be a non-Gorenstein local ring. Suppose that there exists a non-free  $R$ -module of G-dimension zero. Then there exist infinitely many non-isomorphic indecomposable  $R$ -modules of G-dimension zero.

This conjecture is against our expectation that modules of G-dimension zero over an arbitrary local ring behave similarly to maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules over a Gorenstein local ring. Indeed, let  $S$  be a  $d$ -dimensional non-regular Gorenstein local ring of finite Cohen-Macaulay representation type. (Such a ring does exist; see [7].) Then the  $d$ th syzygy module of the residue class field of  $S$  is a non-free maximal Cohen-Macaulay  $S$ -module. Hence the above conjecture does not necessarily hold without the assumption that  $R$  is non-Gorenstein.

For a local ring  $R$ , we denote by  $\text{mod } R$  the category of finitely generated  $R$ -modules, and by  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  the full subcategory of  $\text{mod } R$  consisting of all  $R$ -modules of G-dimension zero. We conjecture that even the following statement that is stronger than Conjecture 1.1 is true. (It can be seen from the proof of [5, Theorem 2.9] that Conjecture 1.2 implies Conjecture 1.1.)

**CONJECTURE 1.2.** Let  $R$  be a non-Gorenstein local ring. Suppose that there exists a non-free  $R$ -module in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ . Then the category  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  is not contravariantly finite in  $\text{mod } R$ .

In [4] and [5], it is proved that Conjecture 1.2 is true if  $R$  is henselian and has depth at most one:

**THEOREM 1.3** ([4, Theorem 1.2], [5, Theorem 2.8]). *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a henselian non-Gorenstein local ring. Suppose that there exists a non-free  $R$ -module in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ . If the depth of  $R$  is zero (resp. one), then  $k$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{m}$ ) does not admit a  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -precover, and hence  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  is not contravariantly finite in  $\text{mod } R$ .*

The purpose of this paper is to prove that Conjecture 1.2 is true if  $R$  is henselian and has depth two:

**THEOREM 1.4.** *Let  $R$  be a henselian non-Gorenstein local ring of depth two. Suppose that there exists a non-free  $R$ -module in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ . Then the category  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  is not contravariantly finite in  $\text{mod } R$ .*

Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.4, take a non-split exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow M \rightarrow \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\mathfrak{m}$  is the unique maximal ideal of  $R$ . (Such an exact sequence exists because  $\text{Ext}_R^1(\mathfrak{m}, R) \neq 0$ .) Then it can be proved that the  $R$ -module  $M$  does not admit a  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -precover, and hence  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  is not contravariantly finite in  $\text{mod } R$ .

In Section 2, we will state some definitions and auxiliary results necessary to prove the theorem. The proof of the theorem is given in Section 3.

### 2. Background material

In this section, we provide some background material. Throughout this section, let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a commutative noetherian local ring. All  $R$ -modules in this section are assumed to be finitely generated.

First, we recall the definition of G-dimension. We denote by  $\text{mod } R$  the category of finitely generated  $R$ -modules. Put  $M^* = \text{Hom}_R(M, R)$  for an  $R$ -module  $M$ .

DEFINITION 2.1.

- (1) We denote by  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  the full subcategory of  $\text{mod } R$  consisting of all  $R$ -modules  $M$  satisfying the following three conditions.
  - (i) The natural homomorphism  $M \rightarrow M^{**}$  is an isomorphism.
  - (ii)  $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R) = 0$  for every  $i > 0$ .
  - (iii)  $\text{Ext}_R^i(M^*, R) = 0$  for every  $i > 0$ .
- (2) Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. If  $n$  is a non-negative integer such that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G_n \rightarrow G_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

of  $R$ -modules with  $G_i \in \mathcal{G}(R)$  for every  $i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , then we say that  $M$  has *G-dimension at most  $n$* , and write  $\text{G-dim}_R M \leq n$ . If such an integer  $n$  does not exist, then we say that  $M$  has *infinite G-dimension*, and write  $\text{G-dim}_R M = \infty$ .

Of course, if an  $R$ -module  $M$  has G-dimension at most  $n$ , but does not have G-dimension at most  $n - 1$ , then we say that  $M$  has G-dimension  $n$  and write  $\text{G-dim}_R M = n$ .

Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. We denote by  $\Omega^n M$  the  $n$ th syzygy module of  $M$ , and set  $\Omega M = \Omega^1 M$ . If  $F_1 \xrightarrow{\partial} F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  is the minimal free presentation of  $M$ , then we denote by  $\text{Tr } M$  the cokernel of the dual homomorphism  $\partial^* : F_0^* \rightarrow F_1^*$ . G-dimension is a homological invariant for modules sharing a lot of properties with projective dimension. We state here just those properties that will be used later.

PROPOSITION 2.2.

- (1) *The following conditions are equivalent.*
  - (i)  *$R$  is Gorenstein.*
  - (ii)  *$\text{G-dim}_R M < \infty$  for any  $R$ -module  $M$ .*
  - (iii)  *$\text{G-dim}_R k < \infty$ .*
- (2) *Let  $M, N$  be  $R$ -modules. Then  $\text{G-dim}_R(M \oplus N) = \sup\{\text{G-dim}_R M, \text{G-dim}_R N\}$ .*
- (3) *If an  $R$ -module  $M$  belongs to  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ , then so do  $M^*$ ,  $\Omega M$ ,  $\text{Tr } M$ , and any direct summand of  $M$ .*
- (4) *Let  $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules. If  $L$  and  $N$  belong to  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ , then so does  $M$ .*

The proof of this proposition and other properties of G-dimension are stated in detail in [2, Chapter 3,4] and [3, Chapter 1].

Now we introduce the notion of a cover of a module.

DEFINITION 2.3. Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a full subcategory of  $\text{mod } R$ .

- (1) Let  $\phi : X \rightarrow M$  be a homomorphism from  $X \in \mathcal{X}$  to  $M \in \text{mod } R$ .
  - (i) We call  $\phi$  an  $\mathcal{X}$ -precover of  $M$  if for any homomorphism  $\phi' : X' \rightarrow M$  with  $X' \in \mathcal{X}$  there exists a homomorphism  $f : X' \rightarrow X$  such that  $\phi' = \phi f$ .
  - (ii) Assume that  $\phi$  is an  $\mathcal{X}$ -precover of  $M$ . We call  $\phi$  an  $\mathcal{X}$ -cover of  $M$  if any endomorphism  $f$  of  $X$  with  $\phi = \phi f$  is an automorphism.
- (2) The category  $\mathcal{X}$  is said to be *contravariantly finite* if every  $M \in \text{mod } R$  has an  $\mathcal{X}$ -precover.

An  $\mathcal{X}$ -precover (resp. an  $\mathcal{X}$ -cover) is often called a right  $\mathcal{X}$ -approximation (resp. a minimal right  $\mathcal{X}$ -approximation).

PROPOSITION 2.4 ([5, Remark 2.6]). *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a full subcategory of  $\text{mod } R$  which is closed under direct summands, and let*

$$0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\psi} X \xrightarrow{\phi} M$$

*be an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules, where  $\phi$  is an  $\mathcal{X}$ -precover of  $M$ . Suppose that  $R$  is henselian. Then there exists a direct summand  $L$  of  $N$  satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i)  *$\psi(L)$  is a direct summand of  $X$ .*
- (ii) *Let  $N'$  (resp.  $X'$ ) be the complement of  $L$  (resp.  $\psi(L)$ ) in  $N$  (resp.  $X$ ), and let*

$$0 \rightarrow N' \xrightarrow{\psi'} X' \xrightarrow{\phi'} M$$

*be the induced exact sequence. Then  $\phi'$  is an  $\mathcal{X}$ -cover of  $M$ .*

For  $R$ -modules  $M, N$ , we define a homomorphism

$$\lambda_M(N) : M \otimes_R N \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M^*, N)$$

of  $R$ -modules by  $\lambda_M(N)(m \otimes n)(f) = f(m)n$  for  $m \in M$ ,  $n \in N$  and  $f \in M^*$ .

### 3. Proof of the theorem

Now, let us prove our theorem.

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a henselian non-Gorenstein local ring of depth two. Then, since  $\text{Ext}_R^1(\mathfrak{m}, R) \cong \text{Ext}_R^2(k, R) \neq 0$ , we have a non-split exact sequence

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow M \rightarrow \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow 0.$$

Dualizing this, we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}^* \rightarrow M^* \rightarrow R^* \xrightarrow{\eta} \text{Ext}_R^1(\mathfrak{m}, R).$$

Note that, by definition, the connecting homomorphism  $\eta$  sends  $\text{id}_R \in R^*$  to the element  $s \in \text{Ext}_R^1(\mathfrak{m}, R)$  corresponding to the exact sequence  $\sigma$ . Since  $\sigma$  does not split,  $s$  is a non-zero element of  $\text{Ext}_R^1(\mathfrak{m}, R)$ . Hence  $\eta$  is a non-zero map. Noting that  $\text{Ext}_R^1(\mathfrak{m}, R) \cong \text{Ext}_R^2(k, R)$ , we see that the image of  $\eta$  is annihilated by  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Also noting that  $\mathfrak{m}^* \cong R^* \cong R$ , we get an exact sequence

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow M^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow 0.$$

**CLAIM 1.** *The modules  $\text{Hom}_R(G, M)$  and  $\text{Hom}_R(G, M^*)$  belong to  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  for every non-free indecomposable module  $G \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ .*

*Proof.* Applying the functor  $\text{Hom}_R(G, -)$  to the exact sequence (1) gives an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G^* \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(G, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(G, \mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(G, R).$$

Since  $G$  is non-free and indecomposable, any homomorphism from  $G$  to  $R$  factors through  $\mathfrak{m}$ , and hence  $\text{Hom}_R(G, \mathfrak{m}) \cong G^*$ . Also, since  $G \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ , we have  $\text{Ext}_R^1(G, R) = 0$ . Thus Proposition 2.2.4 implies that  $\text{Hom}_R(G, M) \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ . The same argument for the exact sequence (2) shows that  $\text{Hom}_R(G, M^*) \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ .  $\square$

We shall prove that the module  $M$  cannot have a  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -precover. Suppose that  $M$  has a  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -precover. Then  $M$  has a  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -cover  $\pi : X \rightarrow M$  by Proposition 2.4. Since  $R \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ , any homomorphism from  $R$  to  $M$  factors through  $\pi$ . Hence  $\pi$  is a surjective homomorphism. Setting  $N = \text{Ker } \pi$ , we get an exact sequence

$$(3) \quad 0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\theta} X \xrightarrow{\pi} M \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\theta$  is the inclusion. We see from Proposition 2.2.3, 2.2.4, and Wakamatsu's Lemma [6, Lemma 2.1.1] that  $\text{Ext}_R^i(G, N) = 0$  for any  $G \in \mathcal{G}(R)$  and any  $i > 0$ . Dualizing the exact sequence (3), we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M^* \xrightarrow{\pi^*} X^* \xrightarrow{\theta^*} N^*.$$

Put  $C = \text{Im}(\theta^*)$  and let  $\mu : X^* \rightarrow C$  be the surjection induced by  $\theta^*$ .

CLAIM 2. *The homomorphism  $\mu$  is a  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -precover of  $C$ .*

*Proof.* Fix a non-free indecomposable module  $G \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ . Applying the functors  $G \otimes_R -$  and  $\text{Hom}_R(G^*, -)$  to the exact sequence (3) yields a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \\ G \otimes_R N & \xrightarrow{\lambda_G(N)} & \text{Hom}_R(G^*, N) \\ G \otimes_R \theta \downarrow & & \text{Hom}_R(G^*, \theta) \downarrow \\ G \otimes_R X & \xrightarrow{\lambda_G(X)} & \text{Hom}_R(G^*, X) \\ G \otimes_R \pi \downarrow & & \text{Hom}_R(G^*, \pi) \downarrow \\ G \otimes_R M & \xrightarrow{\lambda_G(M)} & \text{Hom}_R(G^*, M) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & & \text{Ext}_R^1(G^*, N) \end{array}$$

with exact columns. Noting that  $\text{Tr } G \in \mathcal{G}(R)$  by Proposition 2.2.3, we see from [2, Proposition (2.6)] that  $\text{Ker } \lambda_G(N) \cong \text{Ext}_R^1(\text{Tr } G, N) = 0$  and  $\text{Coker } \lambda_G(N) \cong \text{Ext}_R^2(\text{Tr } G, N) = 0$ . This means that  $\lambda_G(N)$  is an isomorphism. It follows from the commutativity of the above diagram that the homomorphism  $G \otimes_R \theta$  is injective. Also, we have  $\text{Ext}_R^1(G^*, N) = 0$  because  $G^* \in \mathcal{G}(R)$  by Proposition 2.2.3. Thus we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & G \otimes_R N & \xrightarrow{G \otimes_R \theta} & G \otimes_R X & \longrightarrow & G \otimes_R M & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \lambda_G(N) \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(G^*, N) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(G^*, X) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(G^*, M) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

with exact rows. Dualizing this diagram induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathrm{Hom}_R(G^*, X)^* & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_R(G^*, N)^* & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Ext}_R^1(\mathrm{Hom}_R(G^*, M), R) \\
 \downarrow & & (\lambda_G(N))^* \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \\
 (G \otimes_R X)^* & \xrightarrow{(G \otimes_R \theta)^*} & (G \otimes_R N)^* & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Ext}_R^1(G \otimes_R M, R)
 \end{array}$$

with exact rows. Since  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(G^*, M) \in \mathcal{G}(R)$  by Claim 1, we have  $\mathrm{Ext}_R^1(\mathrm{Hom}_R(G^*, M), R) = 0$ . From the above commutative diagram it is seen that  $(G \otimes_R \theta)^*$  is a surjective homomorphism. Note that there is a natural commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (G \otimes_R X)^* & \xrightarrow{(G \otimes_R \theta)^*} & (G \otimes_R N)^* \\
 \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\
 \mathrm{Hom}_R(G, X^*) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Hom}_R(G, \theta^*)} & \mathrm{Hom}_R(G, N^*)
 \end{array}$$

with isomorphic vertical maps. Therefore the homomorphism  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(G, \theta^*)$  is also surjective, and so is the homomorphism  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(G, \mu) : \mathrm{Hom}_R(G, X^*) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(G, C)$ . It is easy to see from this that  $\mu$  is a  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -precover of  $C$ .  $\square$

According to Claim 2 and Proposition 2.4, we have direct sum decompositions  $M^* = Y \oplus L$ ,  $X^* = \pi^*(Y) \oplus Z$ , and an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow Z \xrightarrow{\nu} C \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\nu$  is a  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -cover of  $C$ . Since  $Y$  is isomorphic to the direct summand  $\pi^*(Y)$  of  $X^*$ , Proposition 2.2.3 implies that  $Y \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ . Wakamatsu’s Lemma yields  $\mathrm{Ext}_R^1(G, L) = 0$  for any  $G \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ .

CLAIM 3. *The module  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(G, Y)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  for any  $G \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $G$  is non-free and indecomposable. The module  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(G, Y)$  is isomorphic to a direct summand of  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(G, M^*)$ . Since the module  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(G, M^*)$  is an object of  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  by Claim 1, so is the module  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(G, Y)$  by Proposition 2.2.3.  $\square$

Here, by the assumption of the theorem, we have a non-free indecomposable module  $W \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ . There is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega W \rightarrow F \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0$$

such that  $F$  is a free module. Applying the functor  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, Y)$  to this exact sequence, we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(W, Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(F, Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(\Omega W, Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_R^1(W, Y) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(W, Y)$ ,  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(F, Y)$ , and  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\Omega W, Y)$  belong to  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  by Claim 3, the  $R$ -module  $\mathrm{Ext}_R^1(W, Y)$  has G-dimension at most two, so in particular it has finite G-dimension.

On the other hand, there are isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Ext}_R^1(W, Y) &\cong \operatorname{Ext}_R^1(W, Y) \oplus \operatorname{Ext}_R^1(W, L) \\ &\cong \operatorname{Ext}_R^1(W, M^*) \\ &\cong \operatorname{Ext}_R^1(W, \mathfrak{m}), \end{aligned}$$

where the last isomorphism is induced by the exact sequence (2). Applying the functor  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(W, -)$  to the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow R \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0$$

and noting that  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(W, \mathfrak{m}) \cong W^*$  because  $W$  is a non-free indecomposable module, we obtain an isomorphism  $\operatorname{Ext}_R^1(W, \mathfrak{m}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(W, k)$ , and hence  $\operatorname{Ext}_R^1(W, Y)$  is a non-zero  $k$ -vector space. Therefore Proposition 2.2.1 and 2.2.2 say that  $R$  is Gorenstein, contrary to the assumption of our theorem. This contradiction proves that the  $R$ -module  $M$  does not have a  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -precover, which establishes our theorem.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Auslander, *Anneaux de Gorenstein, et torsion en algèbre commutative*, Séminaire d'Algèbre Commutative dirigé par Pierre Samuel, 1966/67, École Normale Supérieure de Jeunes Filles, Secrétariat mathématique, Paris, 1967. MR 37 #1435
- [2] M. Auslander and M. Bridger, *Stable module theory*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, No. 94, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1969. MR 42 #4580
- [3] L. W. Christensen, *Gorenstein dimensions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1747, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000. MR 2002e:13032
- [4] R. Takahashi, *On the category of modules of Gorenstein dimension zero*, Math. Z., to appear.
- [5] ———, *On the category of modules of Gorenstein dimension zero II*, J. Algebra **278** (2004), 402–140. MR 2068085
- [6] J. Xu, *Flat covers of modules*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1634, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996. MR 98b:16003
- [7] Y. Yoshino, *Cohen-Macaulay modules over Cohen-Macaulay rings*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 146, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990. MR 92b:13016

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY, OKAYAMA 700-8530, JAPAN

*E-mail address:* takahasi@math.okayama-u.ac.jp