

ON A CONJECTURE ON ALGEBRAS THAT ARE LOCALLY EMBEDDABLE INTO FINITE DIMENSIONAL ALGEBRAS

KIRA SAMOL AND ACHIM TRESCH

ABSTRACT. The notion of an algebra that is locally embeddable into finite dimensional algebras (LEF) and the notion of an LEF group was introduced by Gordon and Vershik in [1]. M. Ziman proved in [5] that the group algebra of a group G is an LEF algebra if and only if G is an LEF group. He conjectured that an algebra generated as a vector space by a multiplicative subgroup G of its invertible elements is an LEF algebra if and only if G is an LEF group. In this paper we give a characterization of the invertible elements of an LEF algebra and use it to construct a counterexample to this conjecture.

Fix an arbitrary field \mathbb{K} and consider all vector spaces and algebras as \mathbb{K} -vector spaces and \mathbb{K} -algebras, respectively. An algebra A is said to be locally embeddable into finite dimensional algebras (LEF) if for every finite subset M of A there exists a finite dimensional algebra B and a vector space monomorphism $\varphi : [M] \rightarrow B$ such that $\varphi(x)\varphi(y) = \varphi(xy)$ for all $x, y \in M$ with $xy \in M$. Here, $[M]$ denotes the vector subspace of A generated by M . In an analogous manner, a group G is said to be locally embeddable into finite groups (LEF) if for every finite subset M of G there exists a finite group H and an injective map $\varphi : M \rightarrow H$ such that $\varphi(x)\varphi(y) = \varphi(xy)$ for all $x, y \in M$ with $xy \in M$. These notions were first introduced by E.I. Gordon and A.M. Vershik in [1]. Gordon and Vershik raised the question whether the group algebra $A = \mathbb{K}[G]$ of a group G is LEF if and only if G is LEF. This question was answered positively by M. Ziman in [5]. He conjectured the following generalization:

(*) *Let an algebra A be generated as a vector space by a subgroup G of its group of (multiplicatively) invertible elements. Then A is LEF if and only if G is LEF.*

The condition that A be LEF is sufficient for G to be LEF by Corollary 1 of [5]. The converse, however, is not true as we shall show in this note. Without danger of confusion, the neutral element of any occurring multiplicative group

Received November 18, 2003; received in final form June 23, 2004.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16U60. Secondary 20E25.

©2004 University of Illinois

is denoted by 1. The group of invertible elements of an algebra A is denoted by A^{-1} . For LEF algebras A , we give a characterization of A^{-1} .

PROPOSITION. *In an LEF-algebra A the set of left invertible elements equals the set of right invertible elements and thus equals A^{-1} .*

Proof. Let $r, l \in A$ be such that $lr = 1$, and let $M = \{1, r, l, rl\}$. Then there exists a vector space monomorphism $\varphi : [M] \rightarrow B$, where B is a finite dimensional algebra, such that $\varphi(x)\varphi(y) = \varphi(xy)$ for all $x, y \in M$ with $xy \in M$. Without loss, we may assume that B is generated as an algebra by $\varphi(M)$. From the equations $\varphi(m)\varphi(1) = \varphi(m) = \varphi(1)\varphi(m)$ for $m \in M$ it follows that $\varphi(1) = 1$ in B . In a finite dimensional algebra, left invertible and right invertible elements are well known to be identical. In particular, $\varphi(l)\varphi(r) = \varphi(lr) = \varphi(1) = 1$ implies that $\varphi(l)$ and $\varphi(r)$ are inverse to each other. Hence $\varphi(rl) = \varphi(r)\varphi(l) = 1 = \varphi(1)$. By the injectivity of φ , we obtain $rl = 1$. □

The remainder of this paper is devoted to the construction of a counterexample to conjecture (*). Our aim is to construct a non-LEF algebra A and an LEF subgroup G of A^{-1} such that $A = [G]$. The idea is to find an algebra containing two elements r and l such that $lr = 1$ but $rl \neq 1$. Probably the most straightforward candidates for r and l are the right shift and the left shift operators on a sequence space. To be precise, let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ be the field of complex numbers. Let

$$L^1 = \left\{ v = (v_1, v_2, \dots) \mid v_j \in \mathbb{C}, \|v\|_1 = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |v_j| < \infty \right\}$$

be the Banach space of all absolutely convergent series in \mathbb{C} , with addition and scalar multiplication defined componentwise. The algebra

$$\mathcal{L} = \left\{ T \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(L^1) \mid \|T\|_{\text{Op}} = \sup\{\|Tv\|_1, v \in L^1, \|v\|_1 = 1\} < \infty \right\}$$

of all continuous endomorphisms of L^1 , together with the operator norm $\|\cdot\|_{\text{Op}}$, is a Banach algebra (see [4]). Define $r, l \in \mathcal{L}$ by

$$r(v_1, v_2, \dots) = (0, v_1, v_2, \dots) \quad \text{and} \quad l(v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots) = (v_2, v_3, \dots)$$

for $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots) \in L^1$ (note that $\|r\|_{\text{Op}} = \|l\|_{\text{Op}} = 1$). Clearly $lr = 1$ but $rl \neq 1$ since l is not injective.

The next step is to look for an LEF-group $G \subseteq \mathcal{L}^{-1}$ for which $[G]$ contains r and l . In \mathcal{L} , the elements $1 + \frac{1}{2}r$ and $1 + \frac{1}{2}l$ lie within the open 1-ball around $1 \in \mathcal{L}$, so they are invertible ([4, Theorem 10.7]). This is indeed the reason for choosing L^1 as the underlying sequence space for \mathcal{L} . For other sequence spaces we considered, the “obvious” choices $1 + r$ and $1 + l$ were not both invertible. A good guess for G is the group $G = \langle 1 + \frac{1}{2}r, 1 + \frac{1}{2}l \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{L}^{-1}$. Here, $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes the subgroup of a group generated by the elements enclosed in the

brackets. The algebra $[G]$ contains the elements $r = 2 \cdot (1 + \frac{1}{2}r) - 2 \cdot 1$ and $l = 2 \cdot (1 + \frac{1}{2}l) - 2 \cdot 1$. By the proposition, $[G]$ is not LEF. It merely remains to check that G is an LEF group. Unfortunately, we have been unable to do this. Instead, we extended the above construction as follows:

EXAMPLE. Let $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{C}(x)$ and $\mathbb{Y} = \mathbb{C}(y)$ be the fields of rational functions in the indeterminants x and y , respectively. Define $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{X} * \mathbb{Y}$ as the free product of the algebras \mathbb{X} and \mathbb{Y} . Consider the direct product $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{M}$, where \mathcal{L} has been defined above. In \mathcal{A} choose the invertible elements

$$x_1 = (1, x), \quad x_2 = (1 + \frac{1}{2}r, \frac{1}{2} + x), \quad y_1 = (1, y), \quad y_2 = (1 + \frac{1}{2}l, \frac{1}{2} + y) .$$

Let $X = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$, $Y = \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle$, and $G = \langle X, Y \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{-1}$. The groups X and Y are abelian. Let $\pi : \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be the canonical projection onto the second component of \mathcal{A} , let $X' = \pi(X)$, $Y' = \pi(Y)$. For an element $g \in X$ there are integers n_1, n_2 such that $g = x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2}$. Thus $\pi(g) = x^{n_1} (\frac{1}{2} + x)^{n_2}$ lies within \mathbb{C} iff $n_1 = n_2 = 0$ and $g = 1$. In other words, $\pi|_X : X \rightarrow X'$ is an isomorphism of free abelian groups, $X' \subseteq \mathbb{X}$ and $X' \cap \mathbb{C} = \{1\}$. Mutatis mutandis, the same reasoning applies to Y . By the construction of \mathcal{M} this implies that X' and Y' together generate their free product of groups, $\langle X', Y' \rangle = X' * Y'$. By the universal property of free products ([3, 6.2]), the group homomorphisms $\pi|_X^{-1} : X' \rightarrow X \subseteq G$ and $\pi|_Y^{-1} : Y' \rightarrow Y \subseteq G$ extend to a homomorphism $\varphi : X' * Y' \rightarrow G$ with the property $\varphi|_{X'} = \pi|_X^{-1}$ and $\varphi|_{Y'} = \pi|_Y^{-1}$. The composition $\varphi\pi : G \rightarrow G$ induces the identity mapping on X and Y . As G is generated by X and Y , $\varphi\pi$ is the identity on G . This implies that π is one-to-one. Clearly π is also onto $X' * Y'$, proving that G is isomorphic to $X' * Y'$. The groups X' and Y' are residually finite, because free abelian groups generally have this property (e.g., [3, Ex.4.2.15]). By a theorem of Grünberg ([2, Theorem 9.14]), the free product of residually finite groups is residually finite. It follows that $X' * Y'$ is residually finite. So G is residually finite, which by [1] means that it is LEF.

If we now let $A = [G]$, we have $G \subseteq A^{-1}$ and the algebra A contains the elements $\bar{r} = 2x_2 - 2x_1 = (2 + r, 1 + 2x) - (2, 2x) = (r, 1)$ and $\bar{l} = 2y_2 - 2y_1 = (l, 1)$. So $\bar{l}\bar{r} = 1$, but $\bar{r}\bar{l} \neq 1$. By the proposition, A is not LEF. This shows that conjecture (*) is not true.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. I. Gordon and A. M. Vershik, *Groups that are locally embeddable in the class of finite groups*, St. Petersburg Math. J. **9** (1998), 49–67. MR 98f:20025
- [2] D. J. S. Robinson, *Finiteness conditions and generalized soluble groups. Parts 1, 2*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972. MR 48 #11314
- [3] ———, *A course in the theory of groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 80, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996. MR 96f:20001
- [4] W. Rudin, *Functional analysis*, International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics, McGraw-Hill Inc., New York, 1991. MR 92k:46001

- [5] M. Ziman, *On finite approximations of groups and algebras*, Illinois J. Math. **46** (2002), 837–839. MR 2004a:20008

KIRA SAMOL, FACHBEREICH 17 MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT MAINZ, 55099 MAINZ, GERMANY

E-mail address: `kira.samol@gmx.net`

ACHIM TRESCH, FACHBEREICH 17 MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT MAINZ, 55099 MAINZ, GERMANY

E-mail address: `tresch@scai.fhg.de`