

# CONGRUENCE SUBGROUPS OF POSITIVE GENUS OF THE MODULAR GROUP

BY

M. I. KNOPP AND M. NEWMAN

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\Gamma$  be the modular group, consisting of all linear fractional transformations

$$\tau' = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}$$

where  $a, b, c, d$  are rational integers and  $ad - bc = 1$ . It is not difficult to construct a sequence of subgroups  $G_n$  of finite index in  $\Gamma$  such that  $(\Gamma:G_n) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , but such that the genus of  $G_n$  is 0. (See papers [1], [4] and [6].) In conversation with the authors H. Rademacher conjectured that such a construction was not possible using congruence subgroups of  $\Gamma$ , and in fact that the number of congruence subgroups of  $\Gamma$  having genus 0 is finite. Whether this conjecture is true or not we do not know. It is both plausible and difficult. In this note we make a contribution to this problem. In fact we prove that a free congruence subgroup of  $\Gamma$  of level prime to  $2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$  is necessarily of positive genus. We also prove inclusion theorems for certain subgroups of  $\Gamma$  which are of independent interest.

## 2. Preliminary results and definitions

We find it convenient to work with the representation of  $\Gamma$  as the multiplicative group of  $2 \times 2$  rational integral matrices of determinant 1 modulo its centrum  $\{\pm I\}$ , where  $I$  is the identity matrix. If  $n$  is a positive integer, then  $\Gamma(n)$  will denote the principal congruence subgroup of  $\Gamma$  of level  $n$ , which consists of all elements of  $\Gamma$  congruent modulo  $n$  to  $\pm I$ .  $\Gamma(n)$  is a normal subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . A subgroup of  $\Gamma$  is a congruence subgroup if it contains a group  $\Gamma(n)$ ; it is of level  $n$  if  $n$  is the least such integer. We set

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad W = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $\Gamma$  may be generated by  $S$  and  $W$ ;

$$\Gamma = \{S, W\}.$$

An element of  $\Gamma$  is *parabolic* if it is of trace  $\pm 2$ ; it is then conjugate over  $\Gamma$  to a power of  $S$ . If  $M \in \Gamma$  and commutes with a non-trivial power of  $S$  then  $M$  itself is a power of  $S$ .

---

Received March 28, 1964.

Let  $G$  be a subgroup of  $\Gamma$  of finite index  $\mu$ . By a *complete system of parabolic representatives*, abbreviated c.s.p.r., we understand a set of parabolic elements  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_t$  of  $G$  such that

- (1) every parabolic element of  $G$  is conjugate over  $G$  to some power of a  $P_i, 1 \leq i \leq t$ ;
- (2) no non-trivial power of  $P_i$  is conjugate over  $G$  to a power of  $P_j, 1 \leq i, j \leq t, i \neq j$ .

Then  $t$  is the number of *parabolic classes* of  $G$ .

(It is easy to see that for a subgroup of finite index in  $\Gamma, t$  is finite.)

It is an easy consequence of (1), (2) and of the properties of  $S$  that if  $M \in G$  and commutes with some non-trivial power of  $P_i$ , it is itself a power of  $P_i, 1 \leq i \leq t$ .

The group  $G$  is free if and only if it contains no elements of finite order (see 5]). In this case the genus  $g$  of  $G$  is given very simply by the formula

$$(3) \quad g = 1 + \mu/12 - t/2.$$

(This is a straightforward consequence of the "hyperbolic area formula", which in turn can be deduced from [3, p. 185, exercise 2].)

The congruence subgroup generated by  $S, \Gamma(n)$  will be denoted by  $\Gamma_n$  :

$$(4) \quad \Gamma_n = \{S, \Gamma(n)\} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} S^k \Gamma(n).$$

The congruence subgroup consisting of all elements  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  of  $\Gamma$  such that  $c \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$  will be denoted by  $\Gamma_0(n)$ , and the genus of  $\Gamma_0(n)$  by  $g_n$ . The genus  $g_n$  has been computed explicitly (see [2]). We note only that if  $p$  is a prime, then

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} g_p &= (p - 13)/12, & p &\equiv 1 \pmod{12} \\ &= (p - 5)/12, & p &\equiv 5 \pmod{12} \\ &= (p - 7)/12, & p &\equiv 7 \pmod{12} \\ &= (p + 1)/12, & p &\equiv 11 \pmod{12}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $g_p \geq (p - 13)/12$ .

We set

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(n) &= (\Gamma:\Gamma(n)) = 6, & n &= 2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}n^3 \prod_{p|n} (1 - 1/p^2), & n &> 2. \end{aligned}$$

Then (4) implies that

$$(\Gamma:\Gamma_n) = \mu(n)/n.$$

If  $G, H$  are subgroups of finite index in  $\Gamma$  such that  $G \supset H$ , and if the genera of  $G, H$  are  $g, h$  respectively then the genus formula for subgroups (see [3, p. 260]) implies that  $g \leq h$ . In particular this implies that  $g_d \leq g_n$ , whenever  $d|n$ .

### 3. An inclusion theorem

In this section we prove an inclusion theorem for subgroups of  $\Gamma$  containing  $\Gamma_n$ , which is of interest in itself:

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose that  $\Gamma \supset G \supset \Gamma_n$ . Then either  $G = \Gamma$  or  $G \subset \Gamma_0(d)$   $d \mid n, d > 1$ .*

We break the proof up into a sequence of lemmas.

**LEMMA 1.** *Suppose that  $\Gamma \supset G \supset \Gamma_n$ . Suppose further that  $G$  contains an element*

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $(c, n) = 1$ . Then  $G = \Gamma$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$S^x M = \begin{pmatrix} a + xc & b + xd \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $(c, n) = 1$ ,  $x$  may be chosen so that  $a + xc \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ . Put  $b_1 = b + xd$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} S^x M &\equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b_1 \\ c & 1 + b_1 c \end{pmatrix} \pmod{n}, \\ S^x M &\equiv W^c S^{b_1} \pmod{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $S^x M = W^c S^{b_1} M_1$ ,  $M_1 \in \Gamma(n)$ , and it follows that  $W^c \in G$ . Since  $(c, n) = 1$  and  $W^n \in G$ , this implies that  $W \in G$ . Hence  $G = \Gamma$ , since  $S, W \in G$  and are generators of  $\Gamma$ .

Lemma 1 implies

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $p$  be a prime,  $\Gamma \supset G \supset \Gamma_p$ . Then either  $G = \Gamma$  or  $G \subset \Gamma_0(p)$ .*

Lemma 2 is the case  $n$  prime of the lemma that follows:

**LEMMA 3.** *Suppose that  $n$  is square-free,  $\Gamma \supset G \supset \Gamma_n$ . Then either  $G = \Gamma$  or  $G \subset \Gamma_0(d)$ ,  $d \mid n, d > 1$ .*

*Proof.* The proof will be by induction on  $\Omega(n)$ , the number of primes dividing  $n$ . For  $\Omega(n) = 0$  the lemma is trivial, and for  $\Omega(n) = 1$  the lemma is true by Lemma 2. Assume the lemma proved for all square-free  $m$  such that  $\Omega(m) < k$ , and let  $n$  be square-free with  $\Omega(n) = k, k \geq 2$ . Let  $p$  be the smallest prime dividing  $n$ . Then  $n/p > 2$  and

$$G\Gamma(n/p) \supset \Gamma_{n/p}.$$

Since  $\Omega(n/p) = k - 1$ , the induction hypothesis implies that either

$$(6) \quad G\Gamma(n/p) \subset \Gamma_0(d), \quad d \mid n/p, d > 1$$

or

$$(7) \quad G\Gamma(n/p) = \Gamma.$$

Since (6) implies that  $G \subset \Gamma_0(d)$ , where  $d \mid n, d > 1$  we may assume that (7) holds. Then by one of the isomorphism theorems

$$(8) \quad \Gamma/\Gamma(n/p) \cong G/G \cap \Gamma(n/p).$$

Put  $\mu = (\Gamma:G)$ . Since

$$G \cap \Gamma(n/p) \supset \{S^{n/p}, \Gamma(n)\},$$

$(\Gamma:\{S^{n/p}, \Gamma(n)\}) = \mu(n)/p$ , and  $(p, n/p) = 1$ , it follows from (8) that

$$(9) \quad \mu(n/p) \mid \frac{\mu(n)/p}{\mu},$$

$$\mu \mid p^2 - 1.$$

Now let  $q$  be the exponent of  $W$  modulo  $G$ . Since  $W^n \in G, q \mid n$ . Furthermore the cosets  $G, WG, W^2G, \dots, W^{q-1}G$  are distinct. Thus  $q \leq \mu$ . Combined with (9), this implies that  $q \leq p^2 - 1$ . Since  $n$  is square-free and since  $p$  is the smallest prime dividing  $n, q$  is either 1 or a prime. If  $q = 1$  then  $G = \Gamma$ , since then  $W, S \in G$  and  $W, S$  generate  $\Gamma$ . Suppose then that  $q$  is prime. Either  $G \subset \Gamma_0(q)$ , or there is an element  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in G$  such that  $(c, q) = 1$ . Assume the latter. Then

$$W^{qx} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c + xqa & d + xqb \end{pmatrix},$$

and since  $(c, qa) = 1, x$  may be chosen so that  $(c + xqa, n) = 1$  (for example, by Dirichlet's theorem on primes in arithmetic progressions). By Lemma 1,  $G = \Gamma$ . Hence in all cases we have shown that either  $G = \Gamma$  or  $G \subset \Gamma_0(d), d \mid n, d > 1$  and the proof of the lemma is complete.

LEMMA 4. *Suppose that  $n$  is square-free, and suppose that  $m$  is an integer divisible only by primes dividing  $n$ . Then if*

$$\Gamma \supset G \supset \Gamma_{mn},$$

*either  $G = \Gamma$  or  $G \subset \Gamma_0(d), d \mid mn, d > 1$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $G \neq \Gamma$ . We have that

$$G\Gamma(n) \supset \Gamma_n.$$

By Lemma 3, either

$$(10) \quad G\Gamma(n) \subset \Gamma_0(d), \quad d \mid n, d > 1$$

or

$$(11) \quad G\Gamma(n) = \Gamma.$$

If (10) holds, then  $G \subset \Gamma_0(d)$ ,  $d \mid mn$ ,  $d > 1$  and the proof of the lemma is concluded. We need only show that (11) cannot hold. Since  $G \neq \Gamma$ , it follows from Lemma 1 that if

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in G,$$

then  $(c, mn) > 1$ ; and hence  $(c, n) > 1$  since every prime dividing  $m$  also divides  $n$ . Thus if  $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in G\Gamma(n)$  then  $(\gamma, n) > 1$  and so (11) can not hold. The proof of the lemma is concluded.

Combining the previous lemmas, we obtain the theorem.

#### 4. The parabolic class number formula

We are going to develop a formula involving parabolic class numbers for subgroups of the modular group. The formula actually holds for subgroups of finite index in an arbitrary  $H$ -group (see [3, p. 266] for the definition) but we content ourselves with the statement for the modular group. We will prove

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $G, H$  be subgroups of finite index in  $\Gamma$ ,  $H$  a normal subgroup of  $G$ ,  $(G:H) = \mu$ . Let  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_t$  be a c.s.p.r. for  $G$ , and suppose that  $P_i$  is of exponent  $m_i$  modulo  $H$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . Then the number  $\tau$  of parabolic classes of  $H$  is given by*

$$\tau = \mu \sum_{i=1}^t 1/m_i.$$

*Proof.* Let  $P$  be any parabolic element of  $H$ . Since  $P \in G$ ,  $P = AP_i^\alpha A^{-1}$  where  $A \in G$ ,  $\alpha$  is a non-zero integer, and  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . Since  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ ,  $P_i^\alpha \in H$ ; and so  $\alpha = \beta m_i$ . Hence  $P = AP_i^{\beta m_i} A^{-1}$ . Now  $AP_i^{\beta m_i} A^{-1}$  and  $AP_i^{m_i} A^{-1}$  belong to the same parabolic class, since  $AP_i^{m_i} A^{-1} \in H$ . Thus we need only determine for each  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , the number of expressions

$$Q = AP_i^{m_i} A^{-1}, \quad A \in G,$$

which are not conjugate over  $H$ . (Because of (2), two expressions  $Q$  corresponding to different subscripts  $i$  cannot be conjugate over  $G$  and so are certainly not conjugate over  $H$ .)

Suppose that

$$G = \sum_{k=1}^{\mu} HR_k$$

is a right coset decomposition of  $G$  modulo  $H$ . Then  $A$  may be written as  $BR_k$ , where  $B \in H$  and  $1 \leq k \leq \mu$ . Thus

$$Q = AP_i^{m_i} A^{-1} = BR_k P_i^{m_i} R_k^{-1} B^{-1},$$

and so  $Q$  is conjugate over  $H$  to

$$R_k P_i^{m_i} R_k^{-1}.$$

Furthermore the group  $G/H$  has the cyclic subgroup  $K_i = \{HP_i\}$  of order  $m_i$ . Hence we can write

$$HR_k = HS_j P_i^{n_i k}$$

where  $HS_j, 1 \leq j \leq \mu/m_i$  runs over the coset representatives of  $G/H$  modulo  $K_i$  and  $n_k$  is an integer. It follows that  $Q$  is conjugate over  $H$  to

$$(12) \quad S_j P_i^{m_i} S_j^{-1}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq \mu/m_i.$$

The expressions (12) for a fixed  $i$  are not conjugate over  $H$ . For suppose that

$$S_j P_i^{m_i} S_j^{-1} = T S_l P_i^{m_i} S_l^{-1} T^{-1},$$

$T \in H, 1 \leq j, l \leq \mu/m_i$ . Put  $M = S_j^{-1} T S_l$ . Then  $M$  commutes with  $P_i^{m_i}$  and so must be a power of  $P_i$ . Thus for some integer  $\gamma$

$$T S_l = S_j P_i^\gamma.$$

But this implies that  $j = l$ , since the  $HS_j$ 's are distinct modulo  $K_i$ . It follows that the number of parabolic classes in  $H$  arising from  $P_i$  is just  $\mu/m_i$ , and the theorem follows by summation.

Easy corollaries of Theorem 2 for normal subgroups of  $\Gamma$  follows:

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $G$  be a normal subgroup of  $\Gamma$  such that  $(\Gamma:G) = \mu$  and such that  $S$  is of exponent  $m$  modulo  $G$ . Then the number of parabolic classes  $t$  of  $G$  is given by  $t = \mu/m$ .*

**COROLLARY 2.** *The number of parabolic classes of  $\Gamma(n)$  is  $\mu(n)/n$ .*

### 5. The principal results

We assume now that  $G$  is a congruence subgroup of  $\Gamma$  of level  $n$ . We continue to denote the number of parabolic classes of  $G$  by  $t$ , and  $(\Gamma:G)$  by  $\mu$ . Let  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_t$  be a c.s.p.r. for  $G$  and assume that  $P_i$  is of exponent  $m_i$  modulo  $\Gamma(n), 1 \leq i \leq t$ . Then the results of Section 4 imply that

$$(13) \quad \frac{\mu(n)}{n} = \frac{\mu(n)}{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^t \frac{1}{m_i}, \quad \mu = n \sum_{i=1}^t \frac{1}{m_i}.$$

Since the  $n$ -th power of any parabolic element of  $\Gamma$  is in  $\Gamma(n)$ , each  $m_i$  is a divisor of  $n$ . For each divisor  $d$  of  $n$  let  $r(d)$  be the number of  $P_i$  for which  $m_i = d, 1 \leq i \leq t$ . Then

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{d|n} r(n/d) &= t, \\ \sum_{d|n} d r(n/d) &= \mu. \end{aligned}$$

Assume now that  $G$  is free. Then (3), (13) and (14) imply that the genus  $g$  of  $G$  is given by

$$(15) \quad g = (1/12) \sum_{d|n} (d - 6) r(n/d) + 1.$$

Assume further that  $(n, 2.3.5) = 1$ . Let  $q$  be the smallest prime dividing  $n$ . Suppose first that  $r(n) = 0$ . Then (15) implies that

$$g \geq 1 + (q - 6)/12 = (q + 6)/12.$$

Now suppose that  $r(n) > 0$ ; i.e. that some conjugate of  $S$ , say  $ASA^{-1}$ , belongs to  $G$ . The groups  $G$  and  $A^{-1}GA$  being conjugate subgroups of  $\Gamma$  are simultaneously free, of level  $n$ , and of the same genus. There is no loss of generality therefore in assuming that  $S \in G$ , so that  $G \supset \Gamma_n$ . Then Theorem 1 implies that  $G \subset \Gamma_0(d)$ ,  $d \mid n$ ,  $d > 1$ . Hence

$$g \geq g_d \geq \min_{p \mid n} g_p$$

and so by (5),

$$g \geq \min_{p \mid n} (p - 13)/12 = (q - 13)/12.$$

It follows that in either case

$$g \geq \min_{p \mid n} g_p \geq (q - 13)/12.$$

We have proved therefore

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $G$  be a free congruence subgroup of  $\Gamma$  of level  $n$ , where  $(n, 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5) = 1$ . Let  $q$  be the least prime dividing  $n$ . Then the genus  $g$  of  $G$  satisfies*

$$g \geq \min_{p \mid n} g_p \geq (q - 13)/12.$$

Theorem 3 and (5) readily imply the result mentioned in the introduction:

**THEOREM 4.** *A free congruence subgroup of  $\Gamma$  of level prime to  $2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$  is of positive genus.*

REFERENCES

1. R. FRICKE, *Ueber die Substitutionsgruppen, welche zu den aus dem Legendre'schen Integralmodul  $k^2(\omega)$  gezogen Wurzeln gehören*, Math. Ann., vol. 28 (1887), pp. 99-118.
2. E. HECKE, *Analytische Arithmetik der positiven quadratischen Formen*, Kungl. Danske Videnskabernes Selskab. Mathematisk-fysiske Meddelelser, vol. 17 (1940), p. 12.
3. J. LEHNER, *Discontinuous groups and automorphic functions*, Amer. Math. Soc. Mathematical Surveys, no. 8, 1964.
4. M. NEWMAN, *On a problem of G. Sansone*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4), vol. 65 (1964), pp. 27-34.
5. ———, *Free subgroups and normal subgroups of the modular group*, Illinois J. Math., vol. 8 (1964), pp. 262-265.
6. G. PICK, *Ueber gewisse ganzzahlige lineare Substitutionen, welche sich nicht durch algebraische Congruenzen erklären lassen*, Math. Ann., vol. 28 (1887), pp. 119-124.

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS  
 WASHINGTON, D.C.