

CLOSED l -IDEALS IN A CLASS OF LATTICE-ORDERED ALGEBRAS

BY
DONALD PLANK

Introduction

The Φ -algebras of Henriksen and Johnson come equipped with a natural topology called the uniform topology. In this topology, the closure of an l -ideal need not be an l -ideal; in particular, maximal l -ideals which are not closed may exist. Nevertheless, closed l -ideals are of some interest. We show here that, if I is an l -ideal in a uniformly closed Φ -algebra A , then A/I is a (necessarily uniformly closed) Φ -algebra iff I is closed. As a special case, a maximal l -ideal in a uniformly closed Φ -algebra is real iff it is closed.

A Φ -algebra A is called normal if every proper closed l -ideal in A is contained in a closed maximal l -ideal in A . Using normality, we are able to modify a result of Henriksen and Johnson which gives an l -algebra characterization of $C(X)$, for X Lindelöf. Our modification includes an earlier result of Brainerd.

1. Preliminaries

We begin by summarizing some of the definitions and results of [2] and [12]. A familiarity with the terminology and basic results of the Gillman and Jerison text [11] will be assumed. We adopt the convention that X will always denote a completely regular Hausdorff space.

An l -algebra is an algebra A over an ordered field K which, under a partial ordering \geq , is a lattice which satisfies

- (i) $a \geq b$ implies $a + c \geq b + c$,
- (ii) $a \geq 0$ and $b \geq 0$ implies $ab \geq 0$, and
- (iii) $\alpha \geq 0$ and $a \geq 0$ implies $\alpha a \geq 0$,

for $a, b, c \in A$ and $\alpha \in K$. An l -algebra A is called an f -algebra if it satisfies

- (iv) $a \wedge b = 0$ and $c \geq 0$ implies $ca \wedge b = ac \wedge b = 0$.

An l -algebra A is said to be *archimedean* if, for $a, b \in A$, $a = 0$ whenever $na \leq b$ for all integers n . A real archimedean f -algebra with an identity is known as a Φ -algebra; a Φ -algebra is necessarily commutative [2, Theorem 13]. Simple examples of Φ -algebras are the *trivial* Φ -algebra $\{0\}$ and the Φ -algebra \mathbf{R} of real numbers, each with the obvious operations. Another example is the Φ -algebra $C(X)$ of all continuous real-valued functions on X under the point-wise operations.

An algebra and lattice homomorphism $\psi : A \rightarrow B$ between the real l -algebras A and B is called an l -homomorphism; similarly we define l -monomorphism, l -epimorphism and l -isomorphism.

Received February 24, 1969.

Let A be a nontrivial real f -algebra with identity 1 . The mapping $r \rightarrow r \cdot 1$ is an l -monomorphism of the Φ -algebra \mathbf{R} into A ; thus we shall consider \mathbf{R} as a sub- Φ -algebra of A by identifying r with $r \cdot 1$. For $a, b \in A$, define

$$\rho(a, b) = \inf \{ r \in \mathbf{R} : |a - b| \wedge 1 \leq r \}$$

where $|c| = c \vee (-c)$ for each $c \in A$. Then ρ is easily seen to be a pseudometric on A . Define ρ in the obvious way on the trivial Φ -algebra.

If A is a real f -algebra with identity, then ρ is called the *uniform pseudometric* on A and the topology induced by ρ is called the *uniform topology*. If A is complete in the pseudometric ρ , then we say that A is *uniformly closed*. The Φ -algebras $\{0\}$, \mathbf{R} and $C(X)$ are uniformly closed.

If A and B are real f -algebras with identity and $\psi : A \rightarrow B$ is an l -homomorphism with $\psi(1) = 1$, then ψ is uniformly continuous with respect to the uniform pseudometrics on A and B .

If A is a Φ -algebra, then ρ is a metric. Even in the case of $A = C(X)$, the uniform topology need not make A into a topological algebra.

In the following, *all topological properties of a real f -algebra with identity will refer to the uniform topology*.

By an *ideal* in an l -algebra, we shall mean an algebra ideal. An ideal I in an l -algebra A is said to be an *l -ideal* if $a \in I$ whenever $a \in A$, $b \in I$ and $|a| \leq |b|$.

Let I be an l -ideal in the l -algebra A , and let $I : A \rightarrow A/I$ denote also the natural algebra epimorphism. By defining $I(a) \geq 0$ iff $a \wedge 0 \in I$, A/I becomes an l -algebra and I an l -epimorphism. If A is a real f -algebra with identity, then so is A/I ; furthermore, $I(1) = 1$, so that the l -epimorphism I is continuous.

Let A be a Φ -algebra. The collection of all maximal l -ideals in A is denoted by $\mathfrak{M}(A)$. An l -ideal I in A is called a *real l -ideal* if A/I is l -isomorphic to \mathbf{R} . The collection of all real l -ideals in A is denoted by $\mathfrak{R}(A)$; clearly $\mathfrak{R}(A) \subset \mathfrak{M}(A)$. For $a \in A$, let $\mathfrak{M}(a) = \{M \in \mathfrak{M}(A) : a \in M\}$. If we take the collection of all sets $\mathfrak{M}(a)$ as a base for the closed sets, then we obtain a compact Hausdorff topology on $\mathfrak{M}(A)$. In the sequel, *we shall consider $\mathfrak{M}(A)$ as a topological space with this topology*.

Let $\gamma\mathbf{R}$ denote the two-point compactification of \mathbf{R} . For a compact space X , let $D(X)$ denote the set of all continuous $f : X \rightarrow \gamma\mathbf{R}$ for which

$$\mathfrak{R}(f) = \{x \in X : f(x) \in \mathbf{R}\}$$

is dense. If, for $f, g \in D(X)$, there is some (necessarily unique) $h \in D(X)$ such that $h(x) = f(x) + g(x)$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{R}(f) \cap \mathfrak{R}(g)$, then we write $h = f + g$. Similarly, we define $fg, f \vee g, f \wedge g$ and rf for $r \in \mathbf{R}$. While $f + g$ and fg need not exist in general, $f \vee g, f \wedge g$ and rf always exist in $D(X)$. A subset of $D(X)$ which becomes a Φ -algebra under these operations will be called a *sub- Φ -algebra of $D(X)$* .

Let A be a Φ -algebra. It is shown in [12, Theorem 2.3] that A is l -isomorphic

to a sub- Φ -algebra A' of $D(\mathfrak{N}(A))$ via an isomorphism which carries each element of the copy of \mathbf{R} contained in A onto the corresponding constant function on $\mathfrak{N}(A)$. Furthermore, $\mathfrak{R}(A) = \bigcap \{ \mathfrak{R}(f) : f \in A' \}$ and, if F and K are disjoint nonempty closed subsets of $\mathfrak{N}(A)$, then there exists $f \in A'$ such that $f[F] = \{0\}$ and $f[K] = \{1\}$. We shall identify A and A' ; hence each $a \in A$ will be considered as a continuous function on $\mathfrak{N}(A)$ into $\gamma\mathbf{R}$. For $M \in \mathfrak{N}(A)$, $a \in M$ iff $(ab)(M) = 0$ for all $b \in A$ [12, Theorem 2.5]; thus, for $M \in \mathfrak{R}(A)$, $a \in M$ iff $a(M) = 0$. The Φ -algebra A is uniformly closed iff $C(\mathfrak{N}(A)) \subset A$ [12, 3.2].

We shall let \mathbf{N} denote the set $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ of positive integers considered both as a countable discrete space and as an index set.

2. Closed l -ideals

Let A denote a nontrivial Φ -algebra in this section. If I is an l -ideal in A , then A/I is a commutative f -algebra with identity, but may fail to be archimedean.

Let I be an l -ideal in A . Following [7], we call an element $I(a) \in A/I$ an *infinitesimal* if $|I(na)| \leq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. If A/I is archimedean, then clearly 0 is its only infinitesimal; the converse fails, as is shown in 2.4.

2.1. LEMMA. *Let $a \in A$, and let I be an l -ideal in A . Then a is in the closure of I iff $I(a)$ is an infinitesimal in A/I .*

Proof. We may assume that I is proper.

Suppose that a is in the closure of I . Then, for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, there exists $b_n \in I$ such that $|a - b_n| \leq 1/n$. Hence

$$|I(a)| \leq |I(a - b_n)| + |I(b_n)| = I(|a - b_n|) \leq 1/n,$$

for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

Now suppose that $I(a)$ is an infinitesimal in A/I . For $n \in \mathbf{N}$, define

$$b_n = (-1/n) \vee (a \wedge 1/n),$$

whence $|b_n| \leq 1/n$ and $I(b_n) = I(a)$. If we define $c_n = a - b_n$, then each $c_n \in I$, and $c_n \rightarrow a$ uniformly.

This gives a rather nice characterization of closed l -ideals.

2.2. THEOREM. *The following conditions are equivalent for an l -ideal I in A .*

- (a) I is closed.
- (b) A/I contains no infinitesimals except 0 .
- (c) A/I is Hausdorff.

Proof. The equivalence of (a) and (b) is immediate from 2.1. Condition (b) is easily seen to be equivalent to the T_1 -property for A/I ; since A/I is a pseudometric space, this in turn is equivalent to (c).

It is clear by 2.2 that any $M \in \mathcal{R}(A)$ is closed. More specifically, we can prove the following.

2.3. THEOREM. *Let $M \in \mathfrak{N}(A)$. Then $M \in \mathcal{R}(A)$ iff A/M is archimedean.*

Proof. For $M \in \mathfrak{N}(A)$, A/M is a totally ordered integral domain [12, 1.6 (ii)]. If A/M is archimedean, then it is l -isomorphic to a subring of \mathbf{R} [8, Chap. VIII, Theorem 1], so by [11, Theorem 0.22], $M \in \mathcal{R}(A)$.

If $M \in \mathfrak{N}(A)$ and M is closed, then it need not follow that $M \in \mathcal{R}(A)$, as the following example of Henriksen and Johnson shows.

2.4. *Example* [12, Example 3.6]. Let $\mathbf{R}^+ = \{y \in \mathbf{R} : y \geq 0\}$, and let A denote the Φ -algebra of all $f \in C(\mathbf{R}^+)$ for which there exists a point $x \in \mathbf{R}^+$ and a polynomial p such that $f(y) = p(y)$ for all $y \geq x$. Now let M denote the set of all $f \in A$ such that, for some $x \in \mathbf{R}^+$, $f(y) = 0$ for all $y \geq x$. Then $M \in \mathfrak{N}(A)$, and M is closed. But $M \notin \mathcal{R}(A)$, since M is not a maximal ring ideal.

This Φ -algebra, however, is not uniformly closed. There is no uniformly closed example. This will be a simple corollary of the next result, which seems to have some independent interest.

2.5. THEOREM. *Let A be uniformly closed, and let I be an l -ideal in A . Then A/I is a (necessarily uniformly closed) Φ -algebra iff I is closed.*

Proof. If A/I is a Φ -algebra, then I is closed, by 2.2.

Suppose that I is closed. Clearly A/I is a commutative real f -algebra with identity. We must show that A/I is (a) uniformly closed and (b) archimedean. We may assume that I is proper.

(a) Suppose that $(I(a_n))$ is a Cauchy sequence in A/I . By going to a subsequence, if necessary, we may assume that

$$|I(a_{n+1}) - I(a_n)| \leq 2^{-n} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, define $b_n = -2^{-n} \vee ((a_{n+1} - a_n) \wedge 2^{-n})$, whence

$$|b_n| \leq 2^{-n} \quad \text{and} \quad I(b_n) = I(a_{n+1} - a_n).$$

If we define $c_1 = a_1$ and $c_n = a_1 + b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_{n-1}$ for $n \geq 2$, then (c_n) is clearly a Cauchy sequence in A . So there is some $a \in A$ such that $c_n \rightarrow a$ uniformly. Then $I(c_n) \rightarrow I(a)$ uniformly in A/I , and $I(c_n) = I(a_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

(b) Suppose $nI(a) \leq I(b)$ for all integers n . Since A is uniformly closed, $1 + |b|$ has an inverse $c \in A$ [12, 3.3]. But then $nI(a)I(c) \leq I(bc) \leq 1$ for all integers n , whence $|I(a)I(c)| \leq 1/n$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Since I is closed, we must have $I(a)I(c) = 0$ by 2.2. Clearly then $I(a) = 0$.

2.6. COROLLARY. *If A is uniformly closed and $M \in \mathfrak{N}(A)$, then M is closed iff $M \in \mathcal{R}(A)$.*

Proof. By 2.5, M is closed iff A/M is archimedean. Now apply 2.3.

2.7. *Example.* Let \mathfrak{L} denote the uniformly closed Φ -algebra of Lebesgue measurable functions on \mathbf{R} . If $I = \{f \in \mathfrak{L} : \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f| d\mu = 0\}$, then I is a closed l -ideal in \mathfrak{L} , and $\mathfrak{L}_0 = \mathfrak{L}/I$ is a Φ -algebra, the algebra of *Lebesgue measurable functions modulo null functions*. Similarly, if we replace \mathfrak{L} by its subalgebra \mathfrak{B} of Baire functions on \mathbf{R} , then $\mathfrak{B}_0 = \mathfrak{B}/I$ is a Φ -algebra, the Φ -algebra of *Baire functions modulo null functions*. (See [12] for a discussion of \mathfrak{L}_0 and \mathfrak{B}_0 .)

3. Normal Φ -algebras

Let A denote a nontrivial Φ -algebra in this section. We present now a variation of a theorem of Henriksen and Johnson which characterizes $C(X)$, for X Lindelöf. The result hinges on the following observation.

3.1. **THEOREM.** *If every proper closed l -ideal in A is contained in some real l -ideal, then $\mathfrak{R}(A)$ is a dense Lindelöf subspace of $\mathfrak{M}(A)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathfrak{R}(A)$ is not dense in $\mathfrak{M}(A)$. Let U be a nonempty open subset of $\mathfrak{M}(A)$ whose closure is disjoint from the closure of $\mathfrak{R}(A)$. Define

$$I = \{a \in A : a[U] = \{0\}\}.$$

Since each $b \in A$ is real-valued on a dense subset of $\mathfrak{M}(A)$, I is an l -ideal; clearly I is closed. By [12, 2.3 (ii)], there is some $a \in A$ such that $a[U] = \{0\}$ and $a[\mathfrak{R}(A)] = \{1\}$. So $a \in I$ while $a \notin M$ for all $M \in \mathfrak{R}(A)$.

Suppose that every proper closed l -ideal in A is contained in some real l -ideal, and let \mathfrak{u} be an open cover of $\mathfrak{R}(A)$. Let I denote the set of all $a \in A$ for which there is a countable subfamily \mathfrak{u}_a of \mathfrak{u} such that $\bigcup \mathfrak{u}_a$ contains all $M \in \mathfrak{R}(A)$ with $a \notin M$.

I is an l -ideal. Clearly $0 \in I$, so that I is not empty. If $a, b \in I$, then $a - b \in I$ (take $\mathfrak{u}_{a-b} = \mathfrak{u}_a \cup \mathfrak{u}_b$). If $a \in I, b \in A$, then $ab \in I$ (take $\mathfrak{u}_{ab} = \mathfrak{u}_a$). If $a \in I, b \in A, |b| \leq |a|$, then $b \in I$ (take $\mathfrak{u}_b = \mathfrak{u}_a$).

I is closed in A . Suppose $a_n \in I$ for $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $a_n \rightarrow a \in A$ uniformly. Define $\mathfrak{v} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{u}_{a_n}$, a countable subfamily of \mathfrak{u} . To see that $a \in I$, it suffices to take $\mathfrak{u}_a = \mathfrak{v}$. To show this, suppose $a \notin M \in \mathfrak{R}(A)$. Then $M(|a|) = \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}, \varepsilon > 0$. Let (ε_n) be a decreasing sequence of positive real numbers such that $|a - a_n| \leq \varepsilon_n$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\lim \varepsilon_n = 0$. Now

$$\varepsilon_n \geq M(|a - a_n|) \geq \varepsilon - M(|a_n|)$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$, so that $M(|a_m|) > 0$ for some $m \in \mathbf{N}$. Hence $a_m \notin M$ and $M \in \bigcup \mathfrak{u}_{a_m} \subset \mathfrak{v}$.

Suppose that I is a proper l -ideal. Then, by hypothesis, there is some $M_0 \in \mathfrak{R}(A)$ such that $I \subset M_0$. Choose $U_0 \in \mathfrak{u}$ such that $M_0 \in U_0$, and choose $a \in A$ such that $M_0 \in \mathfrak{R}(A) \setminus \mathfrak{M}(a) \subset U_0$. Then letting $\mathfrak{u}_a = \{U_0\}$, we have $a \in I \subset M_0$, and this contradicts our choice of $a \notin M_0$.

Thus, I is not proper, so we must have $1 \in I$. So there is a countable

subfamily \mathfrak{u}_1 of \mathfrak{u} such that $\bigcup \mathfrak{u}_1$ contains all $M \in \mathfrak{R}(A)$ with $1 \notin M$. That is, $\mathfrak{R}(A) = \bigcup \mathfrak{u}_1$.

For $a \in A$, let $\langle a \rangle$ denote the smallest l -ideal in A containing a . If A is uniformly closed, then $\langle a \rangle = A$ iff a has an inverse $a^{-1} \in A$ [12, 3.3 and 3.4]. We say that A is *closed under inversion* if $\langle a \rangle = A$ whenever $a \in A$, a is not a zero-divisor of A , and $a \notin M$ for all $M \in \mathfrak{R}(A)$.

Following Michael [15, Definition B.1], we make the following definition.

3.2. DEFINITION. A Φ -algebra A will be called *normal* if every proper closed l -ideal in A is contained in a closed maximal l -ideal in A .

3.3. THEOREM. *Let A be uniformly closed.*

- (a) *If A is normal, then $\mathfrak{R}(A)$ is a dense Lindelöf subspace of $\mathfrak{N}(A)$.*
- (b) *If A is closed under inversion and $\mathfrak{R}(A)$ is a dense Lindelöf subspace of $\mathfrak{N}(A)$, then A is normal.*

Proof. (a) This follows immediately from 2.6 and 3.1.

(b) Let A be closed under inversion, and let $\mathfrak{R}(A)$ be a dense Lindelöf subspace of $\mathfrak{N}(A)$. Suppose that I is a closed l -ideal which is not contained in any $M \in \mathfrak{R}(A)$; we will show that I is not proper. Clearly then $\mathfrak{R}(A)$ is a union of open sets of the form

$$U(a) = \{M \in \mathfrak{R}(A) : a \notin M\}$$

where $a \in I$. Since $\mathfrak{R}(A)$ is Lindelöf, there is a sequence (a_n) in I such that $\mathfrak{R}(A) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U(a_n)$. For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, a_n and $a_n(1 + |a_n|)^{-1}$ are associates in A by [12, 3.3], so we may assume $|a_n| \leq 1$. Now let $a = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} |a_n|$; $a \in I$, since I is closed. But each $M \in \mathfrak{R}(A)$ is in some $U(a_n)$, whence

$$|M(a)| \geq 2^{-n} |M(a_n)| > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad a \notin M.$$

Since $\mathfrak{R}(A)$ is dense in $\mathfrak{N}(A)$, a is not a zero-divisor of A , and therefore $\langle a \rangle = A$; that is, $I = A$.

3.4. COROLLARY. *The following conditions are equivalent for a uniformly closed Φ -algebra A .*

- (a) *A is normal.*
- (b) *A/I is normal for each proper closed l -ideal I in A .*
- (c) *Every proper closed l -ideal in A is an intersection of closed maximal (i.e., real) l -ideals.*

Proof. (a) implies (b). Let A be normal, and let I be a proper closed l -ideal in A . Any l -ideal in A/I is of the form J/I where J is an l -ideal in A containing I ; furthermore, since $(A/I)/(J/I) \cong A/J$, J/I is closed iff J is closed, by 2.5. It follows that A/I is normal.

(b) implies (c). Let I be a proper closed l -ideal in A , and suppose that A/I is normal. By 3.3, $\mathfrak{R}(A/I)$ is dense in $\mathfrak{N}(A/I)$ which means that $\bigcap \mathfrak{R}(A/I) = (0)$. Since, for an l -ideal J/I in A/I , $J/I \in \mathfrak{R}(A/I)$ iff $J \in \mathfrak{R}(A)$, we have $I = \bigcap \{M \in \mathfrak{R}(A) : I \subset M\}$.

(c) implies (a). Obvious.

The converse of 3.3 (a) is false if we do not assume that A is closed under inversion; the following example illustrates this.

3.5. *Example.* Let X be any (non-normal) realcompact space with disjoint nonempty closed subsets X_0 and X_1 which are not completely separated and such that X_0 is Lindelöf. One such example would be the Sorgenfrey plane $X = \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ described in [14, 1 L and 4 I], where a basic neighborhood of the point $(a, b) \in X$ is of the form $[a, c) \times [b, d)$; define

$$X_0 = \{(x, 1 - x) : x \text{ rational}\} \quad \text{and} \quad X_1 = \{(x, 1 - x) : x \text{ irrational}\}.$$

Let $A = C(X) \upharpoonright X_0$, the uniformly closed Φ -algebra of restrictions $f \upharpoonright X_0$, where $f \in C(X)$. One easily checks that $\mathfrak{N}(A) = \text{cl}_{\beta X} X_0$ and $\mathfrak{R}(A) = \text{cl}_{\nu X} X_0 = X_0$, so that $\mathfrak{R}(A)$ is a dense Lindelöf subspace of $\mathfrak{N}(A)$. But A is not normal; for let

$$I = \{g \in A : g = f \upharpoonright X_0 \text{ for some } f \in C(X) \text{ with } X_1 \subset Z(f)\}.$$

Then I is a closed l -ideal in A ; I is proper, since X_0 and X_1 are not completely separated. For each $x \in X_0$, there exists $f \in C(X)$ such that $X_1 \subset Z(f)$ and $f(x) = 1$, so that I is contained in no real l -ideal.

In [12], Henriksen and Johnson give an l -algebra characterization of $C(X)$, for a Lindelöf X . We can now prove a modification of this result which has a slightly more algebraic flavor.

3.6. THEOREM. *A nontrivial Φ -algebra A is l -isomorphic to $C(X)$, for some Lindelöf space X , iff*

- (a) *A is uniformly closed,*
- (b) *A is closed under inversion, and*
- (c) *A is normal.*

Proof. In [12, Theorem 5.4], it is shown that A is l -isomorphic to $C(X)$, for some Lindelöf X , iff (a) and (b) hold together with the condition that $\mathfrak{R}(A)$ be a dense Lindelöf subspace of $\mathfrak{N}(A)$. The theorem now follows from 3.3.

An equivalent version of 3.6 is obtained if we replace “ l -ideal” by “ideal” when defining $\mathfrak{N}(A)$, $\mathfrak{R}(A)$ and “normal”. This is clear by the following result.

3.7. THEOREM. *If I is either a closed or a maximal ideal in the uniformly closed Φ -algebra A , then I is an l -ideal.*

Proof. Let A be uniformly closed, let I be either closed or maximal, and suppose that $a \in A$, $b \in I$ satisfy $|a| \leq |b|$.

Since $C(\mathfrak{N}(A)) \subset A$, $I^* = I \cap C(\mathfrak{N}(A))$ is an ideal in $C(\mathfrak{N}(A))$. If I is maximal, then I^* is prime, hence is an l -ideal by [11, Theorem 5.5]. If I is closed, then I^* is closed, and by [11, 4O.4] is therefore an l -ideal.

Let $c = (1 + |b|)^{-1} \in C(\mathfrak{N}(A))$; then $|ac| \leq |bc|$ and $bc \in I^*$. Thus, $ac \in I^*$, and therefore $a \in I$.

4. F -rings

An l -algebra A is said to be σ -complete if every countable subset of A which is bounded above in A has a supremum in A . A topological space is *basically disconnected* if the closure of every cozero-set is open. Nakano [16] and Stone [17] have proved that $C(X)$ is σ -complete iff X is basically disconnected.

A ring A is said to be *regular* if, for each $a \in A$, there exists $b \in A$ such that $aba = a$. We call a topological space a P -space if every cozero-set is closed. A theorem of Gillman and Henriksen says that $C(X)$ is regular iff X is a P -space [10, Theorem 5.3].

In [4], Brainerd defines an F -ring to be a σ -complete commutative l -algebra with a positive identity which is a weak order unit. By [2, Theorem 19], an F -ring is precisely a σ -complete Φ -algebra, and as such, is uniformly closed [6, Theorem 2.1].

In [3], [4] and [5], special attention is paid to regular F -rings. Since every P -space is basically disconnected, $C(X)$ is σ -complete whenever it is regular. More generally, any regular, uniformly closed Φ -algebra is an F -ring [6, Theorem 2.1]. In a regular F -ring, every ideal is an l -ideal [13, Corollary 1.10].

An ideal I in an F -ring A will be called σ -closed if, whenever a countable subset of I has a supremum in A , this supremum is in I .

4.1. THEOREM. *Let A be an F -ring.*

- (a) *Every σ -closed ideal in A is closed.*
- (b) *If A is regular, then every closed ideal in A is σ -closed.*

Proof. (a) Suppose that I is a σ -closed ideal in A , and (a_n) is a sequence in I which converges uniformly to $a \in A$. Then $b_m = \bigvee_{n=m}^{\infty} a_n$ exists in I , for $m \in \mathbf{N}$, and likewise we can define $c = \bigwedge_{m=1}^{\infty} b_m \in I$. We will show that $a = c$. Let $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}$ with $\varepsilon > 0$; then for some $n \in \mathbf{N}$, we have $a - \varepsilon \leq \bigwedge_{m=n}^{\infty} b_m \leq a + \varepsilon$. But (b_m) is a decreasing sequence, so that $c = \bigwedge_{m=1}^{\infty} b_m$, and $|c - a| \leq \varepsilon$. Since ε is arbitrary, $a = c \in I$.

(b) Let A be a regular F -ring, and let I be a closed ideal in A ; we may assume that I is proper. Suppose that (a_n) is a sequence in I with $a = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \in A$. Define $b = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n}(|a_n| \wedge 1) \in I$. Then

$$\emptyset \neq \mathfrak{M}(b) \subset \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{M}(a_n),$$

and $\mathfrak{M}(b)$ is open, since A is regular [9, Theorem 3.13]. If f is the characteristic function of $\mathfrak{M}(A) \setminus \mathfrak{M}(b)$, then $f \in C(\mathfrak{M}(A)) \subset A$. For each $c \in A$, $\mathfrak{R}(c)$ is dense, so that $(jc)(M) = 0$ for all $M \in \mathfrak{M}(b)$; that is, $f \in M$ for all $M \in \mathfrak{M}(b)$ [12, Theorem 2.5]. Since in a regular commutative ring with identity any proper ideal is an intersection of maximal ideals (see [1, p. 459]), $f \in I$. But $a_n \leq af \leq a$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$, and therefore $a = af \in I$.

Combining 2.6 and 4.1, we see that a maximal ideal in a regular F -ring is real iff it is σ -closed; this has been observed by Brainerd [5 p. 83]. Regularity is needed here (hence in 4.1 (b)), for let $A = C(\beta\mathbf{N})$. Then A is an F -ring

which is not regular. If, for $n \in \mathbf{N}$, we let a_n be the characteristic function of $\{n\}$, then for any $p \in \beta\mathbf{N} \setminus \mathbf{N}$, each a_n is in the real maximal ideal $M_p = \{f \in A : f(p) = 0\}$. But $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = 1 \notin M_p$.

In [5, Theorem 6], Brainerd gives an l -algebra characterization of $C(X)$, for X a Lindelöf P -space, using the equivalent of our normality with "closed" replaced by " σ -closed". Since, in a regular F -ring, closed ideals and σ -closed ideals are the same (4.1), the following corollary to 3.6 is merely a restatement of Brainerd's result.

4.2. THEOREM (Brainerd). *A nontrivial Φ -algebra A is l -isomorphic to $C(X)$, for some Lindelöf P -space X , iff*

- (a) A is σ -complete (i.e., A is an F -ring),
- (b) A is regular, and
- (c) A is normal.

Proof. Clearly (a) (b) and (c) are satisfied for $C(X)$, where X is a Lindelöf P -space.

Suppose that A is a Φ -algebra satisfying conditions (a), (b) and (c). By (a), A is uniformly closed. Since, in a regular commutative ring with identity, every non-zero-divisor is a unit, A is closed under inversion, by (b).

Thus, by 3.6, A is l -isomorphic to $C(X)$ for some Lindelöf space X . Since A is regular, X is a P -space.

REFERENCES

1. R. F. ARENS AND I. KAPLANSKY, *Topological representations of algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 63 (1948), pp. 457-481.
2. G. BIRKHOFF AND R. S. PIERCE, *Lattice-ordered rings*, An. Acad. Brasil Ci., vol. 28 (1956), pp. 41-69.
3. B. BRAINERD, *On a class of lattice-ordered rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 8 (1957), pp. 673-683.
4. ———, *On a class of lattice-ordered rings, II*, Indag. Math., vol. 19 (1957), p. 541-547.
5. ———, *F -rings of continuous functions*, Canad. J. Math., vol. 11 (1959), pp. 80-86.
6. ———, *On a class of Φ -algebras with zero dimensional structure spaces*, Arch. Math., vol. 12 (1961), pp. 290-297.
7. N. J. FINE, L. GILLMAN AND J. LAMBEK, *Rings of quotients of rings of functions*, McGill University Press, Montreal, 1965.
8. L. FUCHS, *Partially ordered algebraic systems*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1963.
9. L. GILLMAN, *Rings with Hausdorff structure space*, Fund. Math., vol. 45 (1957), pp. 1-16.
10. L. GILLMAN AND M. HENRIKSEN, *Concerning rings of continuous functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 77 (1954), pp. 340-362.
11. L. GILLMAN AND M. JERISON, *Rings of continuous functions*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1960.
12. M. HENRIKSEN AND D. G. JOHNSON, *On the structure of a class of archimedean lattice-ordered algebras*, Fund. Math., vol. 50 (1961), pp. 73-94.
13. D. G. JOHNSON, *On a representation theory for a class of archimedean lattice-ordered rings*, Proc. London Math. Soc., vol. 12 (1962), pp. 207-225.
14. J. L. KELLEY, *General topology*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1955.
15. E. MICHAEL, *Locally multiplicatively-convex topological algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., no. 11, 1952.

16. H. NAKANO, *Über das System aller stetigen Funktionen auf einem topologischen Raum*, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo, vol. 17 (1941), pp. 308-310.
17. M. H. STONE, *Boundedness properties in function-lattices*, Canad. J. Math., vol. 1 (1949), pp. 176-186.

CASE WESTERN RESERVE UNIVERSITY
CLEVELAND, OHIO
AMHERST COLLEGE
AMHERST, MASSACHUSETTS