

Globally Framed f -Manifolds

BY

SAMUEL I. GOLDBERG¹ AND KENTARO YANO²

1. Introduction

Let M be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional almost contact manifold with *fundamental affine collineation* ϕ , *fundamental vector field* E and *contact form* η . Consider a $2n$ -dimensional manifold P imbedded in M by $i : P \rightarrow M$. In a recent paper [4] the authors studied invariant and noninvariant hypersurfaces of M which arise when for each $p \in P$, the vector $E_{i(p)}$ does not belong to the tangent hyperplane of the hypersurface $i(P)$. These hypersurfaces admit almost complex structures, and when M is quasi-Sasakian (see [1] for the definition), e.g., when M is cosymplectic or a normal contact space, and $i(P)$ is noninvariant, then P carries a Kaehler structure. On the other hand, an invariant hypersurface of a cosymplectic manifold is totally geodesic and Kaehlerian.

We now study the case where the fundamental vector field is always tangent to the hypersurface. The structure induced on P turns out to be an f -structure (see [3], [6], [10]) which is neither almost complex nor almost contact. It gives rise to the notion of a quasi-symplectic manifold which has nice properties. This structure on P is determined by a $(1, 1)$ tensor field f and the metric induced on P by the metric of the quasi-Sasakian manifold.

Following [5], the f -manifold P is said to be normal if the almost complex structure tensor J of a certain subbundle $V(P)$ of the tangent bundle $T(P)$ of P is integrable and the connection γ of $V(P)$ in terms of which J is defined is flat. For example, a totally umbilical hypersurface of a cosymplectic manifold gives rise to a normal framed f -structure. Necessary and sufficient conditions are given for the integrability of a quasi-symplectic structure when the ambient space is either a cosymplectic or a normal contact manifold.

An almost complex structure may also be defined on P in terms of the structure tensors of the framed f -structure. Moreover, if the ambient space is a cosymplectic manifold and P is a totally geodesic hypersurface, P carries a Kaehlerian structure. By considering more general framed f -structures the same conclusions are obtained under weaker conditions. Other examples of Kaehler manifolds are obtained by considering complete and simply connected quasi-symplectic normal framed f -manifolds P . Indeed, if P is a totally geodesic hypersurface and the ambient space is cosymplectic, then P is a product with one factor Kaehlerian. The same conclusion prevails under more general conditions on the second fundamental form of the immersion.

Received February 10, 1969.

¹ Research partially supported by the National Science Foundation.

² G. A. Miller Visiting Professor at the University of Illinois.

The direct product $P_1 \times P_2$ of two framed f -structures P_1 and P_2 has a naturally induced almost complex structure other than the one arising from the underlying almost complex structures of P_1 and P_2 . If $i(P_1)$ and $i(P_2)$ are totally geodesic hypersurfaces and the ambient space is cosymplectic, then $P_1 \times P_2$ is Kaehlerian.

In §8, we study the topology of compact even-dimensional globally framed f -manifolds P whose structure tensors are parallel fields. Since P has an associated Kaehlerian structure, its topology may be studied by means of the theory of harmonic integrals. We choose, however, to introduce an analogous theory with the fundamental form F of the f -structure playing the role of the Kaehler 2-form. If the rank of f is maximal, F is the Kaehler 2-form. Hence, if only F is assumed to be parallel, this yields a generalization of Kaehler geometry.

2. Framed f -structures

It is assumed throughout that the vector field E is tangent to the hypersurface $i(P)$. As examples, we may consider R^{2n} imbedded in R^{2n+1} or the torus T^{2n} imbedded in T^{2n+1} . Since i is a regular map, there is a vector field E' on P such that

$$(2.1) \quad E = i_*E'$$

Hence

$$(2.2) \quad \phi(i_*E') = 0, \quad \eta(i_*E') = 1.$$

Putting

$$(2.3) \quad \eta' = i^*\eta,$$

we obtain

$$(2.4) \quad \eta'(E') = 1.$$

PROPOSITION 1. *There exist vector fields N on $i(P)$ and A on P such that $N_{i(p)} \notin i(P)_{i(p)}$ for all $p \in P$ and*

$$(2.5) \quad \phi N = -i_*A, \quad \eta(N) = 0.$$

Proof. It is well known that a metric G may be defined on M with the properties

$$(2.6) \quad G(\phi x, y) = -G(x, \phi y),$$

for all vector fields x, y on M , that is, ϕ is skew-symmetric with respect to G , and

$$(2.7) \quad \eta = G(E, \cdot).$$

Let N be the unit normal to $i(P)$ with respect to G . Then, since ϕN is orthogonal to N with respect to G , it is tangent to the hypersurface and conse-

quently can be expressed as

$$(2.8) \quad \phi N = -i_* A$$

for some vector field A on P . Moreover, N is orthogonal to the vector field E since $E_{i(p)} \in i(P)_{i(p)}$, $p \in P$, that is,

$$(2.9) \quad \eta(N) = 0.$$

PROPOSITION 2. *Let P be a $2n$ -dimensional manifold imbedded in the almost contact manifold M with imbedding i . Then, there exist tensor fields f, E', η', A and α on P satisfying the relations*

$$(2.10) \quad f^2 = -I + \eta' \otimes E' + \alpha \otimes A,$$

$$(2.11) \quad fE' = 0, \quad fA = 0,$$

$$(2.12) \quad \eta' \circ f = 0, \quad \alpha \circ f = 0,$$

$$(2.13) \quad \eta'(E') = 1, \quad \eta'(A) = 0,$$

$$(2.14) \quad \alpha(E') = 0, \quad \alpha(A) = 1,$$

where I is the identity transformation of P_p .

Proof. Put

$$(2.15) \quad \phi i_* X = i_* fX + \alpha(X)N.$$

Then, from (2.1), $0 = \phi i_* E' = i_* fE' + \alpha(E')N$. Since $\eta \circ \phi = 0$ and $\eta(N) = 0$, $\eta(i_* fX) = 0$. From (2.8), $0 = \eta(\phi N) = -\eta(i_* A)$. Applying ϕ to both sides of (2.15) yields (2.10) and the second half of (2.12) by virtue of (1.1), (2.1), (2.3) and (2.5). Applying ϕ to both sides of (2.5), we obtain the second halves of (2.11) and (2.14).

COROLLARY. *The hypersurface $i(P)$ is not invariant with respect to ϕ (see [4]).*

This is an immediate consequence of (2.14) and (2.15).

We shall occasionally refer to P rather than $i(P)$ as the hypersurface.

3. Normal framed f -structures

If the tensor field f of type $(1, 1)$ on P has the property

$$f^3 + f = 0$$

and f is of rank r everywhere, it is said to define an f -structure of rank r on P . As examples, we have the almost complex and almost contact structures, the former being of maximal rank and the latter having rank one less than the maximum.

THEOREM 3. *The structure on P given in Proposition 2 is an f -structure of rank $2n - 2$.*

Proof. By (2.10)–(2.14), $f^3 + f = 0$. Let X be a vector field on P satisfying $fX = 0$. Then, by (2.10), $f^2X = -X + \eta'(X)E' + \alpha(X)A$, so that

$$X = \eta'(X)E' + \alpha(X)A.$$

This structure on P is called a *framed f -structure* of rank $2n - 2$ or, simply, a *framed f -structure*. We shall occasionally refer to it as a *globally framed f -structure*. When the vector bundle $V(P)$ over P formed by the set of all tangent vectors $v = v^a E_a$, $a = 2n + 1, 2n + 2$, $E_{2n+1} = E'$, $E_{2n+2} = A$ is endowed with an affine connection γ , it admits a natural almost complex structure (see [5]). If it is integrable, the framed f -structure is said to be *normal*.

PROPOSITION 4 (Ishihara [5]). *In order that the framed f -structure be normal, it is necessary and sufficient that the tensor field S of type (1, 2) given by*

$$S(X, Y) = [f, f](X, Y) + \{(\nabla' \eta')(X, Y) - (\nabla' \eta')(Y, X)\}E' + \{(\nabla' \alpha)(X, Y) - (\nabla' \alpha)(Y, X)\}A$$

vanish and the connection γ of $V(P)$ have zero curvature, where

$$[f, f](X, Y) = [fX, fY] - f[fX, Y] - f[X, fY] + f^2[X, Y]$$

and ∇' denotes covariant differentiation with respect to γ .

By defining γ in such a way that E' and A are parallel (absolute parallelism), γ has zero curvature. By Proposition 4, a framed f -structure is then normal if $S = [f, f] + d\eta' \otimes E' + d\alpha \otimes A$ vanishes.

Putting $Y = E'$ in Proposition 4, we find after taking the interior product by E' that $L_{E'} \eta' = 0$ if the structure is normal. Similarly, $L_{E'} \alpha = 0, L_A \eta' = 0$ and $L_A \alpha = 0$. These relations together with (2.12) imply that $L_{E'} f = 0$. Moreover, $L_A f$ vanishes. These formulae are required in the proof of Theorem 19.

4. Quasi-symplectic framed f -structures

Let $M(\phi, \eta, G)$ be an almost contact manifold. We give to the framed f -structure P the metric g induced by G , that is, $g = i^*G$. Then, by (2.6) and (2.15), since the vector field N is normal to $i(P)$, f is skew-symmetric with respect to the metric g . We put

$$F(X, Y) = g(fX, Y)$$

and call F the *fundamental 2-form* of the framed f -structure.

Assume now that $M(\phi, \eta, G)$ is quasi-Sasakian (e.g., assume that it is either cosymplectic or a normal contact manifold). Let Φ be the fundamental 2-form of M , that is, $\Phi(x, y) = G(\phi x, y)$. Then, since $F = i^*\Phi, dF = di^*\Phi = i^*d\Phi = 0$. In this case, P is called a *quasi-symplectic framed f -manifold of rank $2n - 2$* . (Observe that a framed f -structure cannot be symplectic (of

rank $2n$.) If $M(\phi, \eta, G)$ is a contact manifold, F is an exact 2-form. For, Φ is an exact 2-form, that is, $\Phi = d\eta$, so $F = i^*\Phi = i^*d\eta = di^*\eta = d\eta'$.

Note that on a quasi-symplectic manifold

$$\iota(E')F^{n-1} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \iota(A)F^{n-1} = 0$$

(where $\iota(X)$ denotes the interior product by X), so

$$\iota(E')(\eta' \wedge F^{n-1}) = F^{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \iota(A)(\alpha \wedge F^{n-1}) = F^{n-1},$$

from which $\eta' \wedge F^{n-1} \neq 0$ and $\alpha \wedge F^{n-1} \neq 0$. If the ambient space is a contact manifold, $F = d\eta'$, and in this case, $\eta' \wedge (d\eta')^{n-1} \neq 0$. Moreover, $(d\eta')^n = 0$, for, $\iota(E')(\eta' \wedge F^n) = F^n = 0$.

From (2.7) and (2.8), we find $\eta' = g(E', \cdot)$, $\alpha = g(A, \cdot)$. Thus, E' and A are orthonormal vectors.

If $M(\phi, \eta, G)$ is a cosymplectic manifold, that is, if η is closed, then $\nabla\phi = 0$, $\nabla\eta = 0$, where ∇ denotes covariant differentiation with respect to the Riemannian connection of M (see [1]). Denote by D the induced connection on P . Then, the equations of Gauss and Weingarten are

$$\nabla_{i_*x} i_*Y = i_*D_x Y + h(X, Y)N \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_{i_*x} N = -i_*HX,$$

respectively, where

$$h(X, Y) = g(HX, Y),$$

the tensor h being symmetric. The tensor fields h and H are the second fundamental tensors of P (with respect to N) of types $(0, 2)$ and $(1, 1)$, respectively.

Covariant differentiation of both sides of (2.1), (2.3), (2.5) and (2.15) along the hypersurface yields after again taking account of (2.5), (2.9) and (2.15):

PROPOSITION 5. *Let $P(f, E', A, g)$ be a quasi-symplectic globally framed hypersurface of a cosymplectic manifold. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} (D_x f)Y &= \alpha(Y)HX - h(X, Y)A, \\ D_x E' &= 0, \quad D_x A = fHX, \\ (4.1) \quad D_x \eta' &= 0, \quad (D_x \alpha)(Y) = -h(X, fY), \\ h(X, E') &= 0, \quad h(X, A) = \alpha(HX), \\ \eta'(HX) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The last relation follows from (2.9) by virtue of Weingarten's equation.

For any vector field X , it is easily checked that $D_x f$ is a skew-symmetric linear transformation with respect to g and $(D_x F)(Y, Z) = g((D_x f)Y, Z)$. This is also true when the ambient space is a Sasakian manifold.

From the equations (4.1), we obtain

PROPOSITION 6. *Let M be a cosymplectic manifold. If the quasi-symplectic framed f -structure on P is totally umbilical, then it is totally geodesic and normal.*

Proof. Since P is totally umbilical, $h = \lambda g$ and $H = \lambda I$, where I is the identity transformation of P_p . Hence, $\lambda = 0$, that is, P is totally geodesic. One then observes that

$$[f, f](X, Y) = (D_{fX} f)Y - (D_{fY} f)X - f(D_X f)Y + f(D_Y f)X.$$

Moreover, the curvature of the connection γ of $V(P)$ is zero. For,

$$t(D_X E') = 0, \quad t(D_X A) = 0,$$

where $t = f^2 + I$ is the projection operator on $V(P)$, (see §6), that is, both E' and A are parallel with respect to the connection induced on $V(P)$ by the connection of the ambient space. The result is now a consequence of Proposition 4.

From (4.1), it is seen that the vector field A on P is a Killing field if and only if $h(X, fY) = -h(Y, fX)$, that is, if and only if, H commutes with f (see Theorem 9).

From (4.1),

$$\begin{aligned} (D_X F)(Y, Z) &= \alpha(Y)g(HX, Z) - h(X, Y)g(A, Z) \\ &= \alpha(Y)h(X, Z) - \alpha(Z)h(X, Y). \end{aligned}$$

The 2-form F has vanishing covariant derivative, if and only if, $h = \mu\alpha \otimes \alpha$.

If P is totally geodesic, then f is a parallel tensor field. Conversely, if f is a parallel field, DF vanishes. Thus, $\alpha(HX) = h(X, A) = \mu\alpha(X)$. This implies that $H = \mu I + lf + \nu \otimes E'$ for some function l and 1-form ν . On the other hand, since $h(X, fY) = \mu\alpha(X)\alpha(fY) = 0$, we obtain $D\alpha = 0$ and $DA = 0$. To see the latter, note that

$$g(D_X A, Y) = g(fHX, Y) = -g(HX, fY) = -h(X, fY) = 0.$$

Hence, fHX vanishes, from which $\mu fX + lf^2X$ also vanishes. Thus,

$$\mu^2 f^2 = -l\mu f^3 = l\mu f = -l^2 f^2,$$

so that if $n \geq 2$, $\mu = l = 0$, that is, P is totally geodesic.

PROPOSITION 7. *Let M be a cosymplectic manifold whose dimension is at least 5. Then, if the linear transformation field f of the framed f -structure on P is parallel, P is a totally geodesic hypersurface, and conversely.*

COROLLARY. *Let M be a cosymplectic manifold whose dimension is at least 5. Then, if f is a parallel field, so are E' and A . Moreover, E' and A are infinitesimal automorphisms of the quasi-symplectic structure on P .*

Let $M(\phi, \eta, G)$ be a Sasakian manifold, that is, M is a contact manifold and the almost contact structure of M is normal. Then, since

$$\nabla E = \phi, \quad (\nabla_x \phi)y = -G(x, y)E + \eta(y)x,$$

the following relations are obtained in a manner entirely analogous to those of (4.1).

$$\begin{aligned}
 (D_x f)Y &= -g(X, Y)E' + \eta'(Y)X + \alpha(Y)HX - h(X, Y)A, \\
 DE' &= f, \quad D_x A = fHX, \\
 (4.2) \quad D\eta' &= F, \quad (D_x \alpha)(Y) = -h(X, fY), \\
 h(X, E') &= \alpha(X), \quad h(X, A) = \alpha(HX), \\
 \eta'(HX) &= \alpha(X).
 \end{aligned}$$

These equations then yield

PROPOSITION 8. *Let $M(\phi, \eta, G)$ be a normal contact manifold and $P(f, E', A, g)$ a quasi-symplectic framed f -structure. Then, the connection of the vector bundle $V(P)$ over P is locally flat.*

Proof. By (4.2) and (2.12),

$$t(D_x E') = (\eta' \otimes E' + \alpha \otimes A)fX = 0$$

and

$$t(D_x A) = (\eta' \otimes E' + \alpha \otimes A)fHX = 0,$$

that is, both E' and A are parallel with respect to the connection induced on $V(P)$ by the connection of the ambient space.

(Observe that there are no totally umbilical framed f -hypersurfaces of a normal contact manifold. Moreover, the covariant derivative of F is different from zero.)

From (4.2), it follows that A is a Killing vector field, if and only if H commutes with f . Moreover, the vector field E' is a Killing field (see Theorem 10).

5. Quasi-symplectic normal globally framed f -structures

In this section, we seek necessary and sufficient conditions for the normality of the structure induced on P when the ambient space M is either a cosymplectic or a normal contact manifold. To this end, we apply Proposition 4; hence, since the connection of the vector bundle $V(P)$ is locally flat in the cases considered, we seek only necessary and sufficient conditions for the vanishing of the tensor field S .

We compute $\tilde{S}(i_*X, i_*Y)$, where \tilde{S} is the tensor field of type (1, 2) on $M(\phi, E, \eta)$ whose vanishing means that M is normal:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{S}(x, y) &= [\phi, \phi](x, y) + d\eta(x, y)E \\
 &= (\nabla_{\phi x}\phi)y - (\nabla_{\phi y}\phi)x - \phi\{(\nabla_x\phi)y - (\nabla_y\phi)x\} \\
 &\quad + \{(\nabla_x\eta)(y) - (\nabla_y\eta)(x)\}E,
 \end{aligned}$$

where x and y are vector fields on M . Thus, putting $x = i_*X$ and $y = i_*Y$, then applying (2.5), (2.15) and the Gauss and Weingarten equations judi-

ciously, we obtain after a rather long computation

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}(i_*X, i_*Y) &= i_*\{[f, f](X, Y) + d\eta'(X, Y)E' + d\alpha(X, Y)A \\ &\quad - \alpha(Y)(Hf - fH)X + \alpha(X)(Hf - fH)Y\} \\ &\quad + \{(D_{fX}\alpha)(Y) - (D_{fY}\alpha)(X) \\ &\quad - \alpha(D_Y(fY)) + \alpha(D_Y(fX)) \\ &\quad + \alpha(HX)\alpha(Y) - \alpha(HY)\alpha(X)\}N \\ &\quad + (\nabla_N\phi)(\alpha(X)i_*Y - \alpha(Y)i_*X). \end{aligned}$$

Case 1. $M(\phi, \eta, G)$ is cosymplectic. Then, since ϕ has vanishing co-variant derivative with respect to the Riemannian connection,

$$\begin{aligned} ([f, f] + d\eta' \otimes E' + d\alpha \otimes A)(X, Y) - \alpha(Y)(Hf - fH)X \\ + \alpha(X)(Hf - fH)Y = 0. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 9. *Let M be a cosymplectic manifold. Then, a necessary and sufficient condition that the framed f -structure P be normal is that $Hf - fH = \alpha \otimes v$, where v is the vector field $-D_A A$. If the structure on P is normal and the integral curves of the vector field A are geodesics, then H commutes with f . Conversely, if the structure on P is normal and H commutes with f , then the integral curves of A are geodesics.*

Case 2. $M(\phi, \eta, G)$ is Sasakian. Then,

$$(\nabla_N\phi)(\alpha(X)i_*Y - \alpha(Y)i_*X) = \{\alpha(X)\eta'(Y) - \alpha(Y)\eta'(X)\}N.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} ([f, f] + d\eta' \otimes E' + d\alpha \otimes A)(X, Y) - \alpha(Y)(Hf - fH)X \\ + \alpha(X)(Hf - fH)Y = 0. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 10. *Let M be a Sasakian manifold. Then, a necessary and sufficient condition that the framed f -structure P be normal is that $Hf - fH = \alpha \otimes v$, where v is the vector field $-D_A A$. If the structure on P is normal and the integral curves of the vector field A are geodesics, then H commutes with f . Conversely, if the structure on P is normal and H commutes with f , then the integral curves of A are geodesics.*

Theorems 9 and 10 may also be obtained by computing S directly from the relations (4.1) and (4.2).

In both cases, the f -structures are normal if A is a Killing field. For, then H commutes with f and $-v = D_A A = fHA = HfA = 0$.

6. Examples of Kaehler manifolds

In this section, we show that an almost complex structure may be defined on the globally framed hypersurface P . Moreover, if the ambient space is

cosymplectic and P is totally geodesic, it is Kaehlerian. We also show that the direct product of two globally framed totally geodesic hypersurfaces of a cosymplectic manifold is Kaehlerian. Other examples are provided by the following theorem.

THEOREM 11. *Let M be a cosymplectic manifold and let P be a hypersurface of M with the induced quasi-symplectic globally framed f -structure. If P is complete and simply connected, and if it is totally geodesic, it is a product with one factor Kaehlerian.*

Proof. By (4.1), $Df = 0$, so DF also vanishes. Thus,

$$P'_p = \{X \in P_p \mid F(X, P_p) = 0\}$$

defines a parallel distribution. Therefore, the orthogonal complement P''_p (with respect to g) also gives a parallel distribution. Note that E'_p and A_p do not belong to P''_p . By the de Rham decomposition theorem $P = P' \times P''$ where $F = 0$ on P' and F has maximal rank on P'' . By Proposition 6, P is normal, so by Proposition 4 and (4.1), $[f, f] = 0$. The almost complex structure on P'' obtained by restricting f to P'' is therefore integrable. Since F is closed, P'' is symplectic; in fact, since F has vanishing covariant derivative, P'' is a Kaehler manifold.

A generalization of Theorem 11 is obtained by generalizing Proposition 6.

PROPOSITION 12. *A sufficient condition that a hypersurface P of a cosymplectic manifold M have a quasi-symplectic normal globally framed f -structure is that its second fundamental form h be proportional to $\alpha \otimes \alpha$, that is $h = \mu\alpha \otimes \alpha$ where $\mu = h(A, A)$.*

The generalization of Proposition 6 is obtained by replacing $h = \lambda g$ by $h = \lambda g + \mu\alpha \otimes \alpha$.

It is now shown that a hypersurface of an almost contact manifold with the induced framed metric f -structure carries an almost hermitian structure. This is accomplished by 'twisting' the frames consisting of the vector fields E' and A . In fact, the following stronger statement is established.

THEOREM 13. *A hypersurface P of a cosymplectic manifold with the induced globally framed f -structure is Kaehlerian if its second fundamental form is proportional to $\alpha \otimes \alpha$.*

Proof. Put $\tilde{f} = f + \eta' \otimes A - \alpha \otimes E'$. Then, from (2.10)–(2.14), $\text{rank } \tilde{f} = 2n$. Moreover, \tilde{f} defines an almost complex structure on P . Putting $\tilde{F}(X, Y) = g(\tilde{f}X, Y)$, we obtain

$$\tilde{F} = F + 2\eta' \wedge \alpha.$$

Thus, the hypersurface P has an almost hermitian structure (\tilde{f}, g) . Since the ambient space is a cosymplectic manifold, F and η' are closed forms. In addition by (4.1), since $h = \mu\alpha \otimes \alpha$, α is also closed, so $P(\tilde{f}, g)$ is almost

Kaehlerian. That it is a Kaehler manifold is a consequence of the fact that $[\tilde{f}, \tilde{f}]$ is zero. For, f, η' and α are covariant constant with respect to the Riemannian connection of P .

COROLLARY 1. *Let P be a hypersurface of a cosymplectic manifold with the induced globally framed f -structure. Then, if f has vanishing covariant derivative with respect to the Riemannian connection of the induced metric, P is Kaehlerian.*

Proof. By (4.1), $\alpha(Y)HX = h(X, Y)A$. Hence, $\alpha(Y)\alpha(HX) = h(X, Y)$, from which $h(X, fY) = 0$ by (2.12). Thus, $D\alpha = 0$.

COROLLARY 2. *A totally geodesic hypersurface P of a cosymplectic manifold with the induced globally framed f -structure is Kaehlerian.*

Observe that

$$(f - \eta' \otimes A + \alpha \otimes E', g)$$

is also a Kaehler structure on P as are

$$(-f + \eta' \otimes A - \alpha \otimes E', g) \quad \text{and} \quad (-f - \eta' \otimes A + \alpha \otimes E', g).$$

Moreover, the vector fields E and A generate one-parameter groups of automorphisms of the various Kaehler structures.

Let P be a manifold (not necessarily a hypersurface) with an f -structure of rank r . If we put $s = -f^2$ and $t = f^2 + I$, where I is the identity transformation field, $s + t = I, s^2 = s, t^2 = t, f^2s = -s$ and $ft = 0$. Thus, the operators s and t acting in the tangent space at each point of P are complementary projection operators defining two distributions S and T in P corresponding to s and t , respectively. The distribution S is r -dimensional and $\dim T = m - r, m = \dim P$. The set of all tangent vectors belonging to the distribution T has a bundle structure, denoted by $V(P)$, which is a subbundle of the tangent bundle of P of dimension $2m - r$.

If there are $m - r$ vector fields E_a spanning the distribution T at each point of P , and $m - r$ linear differential forms η^a satisfying

$$(6.1) \quad \eta^a(E_b) = \delta_b^a,$$

$$(6.2) \quad f^2 = -I + \eta^a \otimes E_a,$$

where a and b range over the set $\{1, \dots, m - r\}$, P is said to have a *framed f -structure* and P is then called a *framed f -manifold* or is said to be *globally framed*. From (6.1) and (6.2) one may easily obtain

$$(6.3) \quad fE_a = 0, \quad \eta^a \circ f = 0.$$

(If the rank is maximal, that is, if $r = m$ when $m = 2n$ and $r = m - 1$ when $m = 2n - 1$, then $f^2 = -I$ in the former case, and $f^2 = -I + \eta^1 \otimes E_1$ when P is odd dimensional.)

For a globally framed f -manifold P , if we put

$$(6.4) \quad \check{f} = f + \eta^{2i} \otimes E_{2i-1} - \eta^{2i-1} \otimes E_{2i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, [(m - r)/2]$$

an almost complex structure tensor \check{f} is defined if $\dim P = 2n$, and an almost contact structure $(\check{f}, E_{2n-r-1}, \eta^{2n-r-1})$ if $\dim P = 2n - 1$.

The framed f -manifold $P(f, E_a, \eta^a)$, $a = 1, \dots, m - r$, is called a *framed metric f -manifold* if a Riemannian metric g on M is distinguished such that (i) $\eta^a = g(E_a, \cdot)$, $a = 1, \dots, m - r$ and (ii) f is skew-symmetric with respect to g . It can be shown that a framed f -manifold carries a metric with these properties. We put $F(X, Y) = g(fX, Y)$ and call it the *fundamental 2-form* of the framed f -manifold.

A framed metric f -manifold $P(f, \eta^a, g)$ is said to be *covariant constant* if the covariant derivatives (with respect to the Riemannian connection) of its structure tensors are zero.

An examination of the proof of Theorem 11 yields the following generalization.

THEOREM 14. *Let P be a complete covariant constant even dimensional globally framed f -manifold. Then, if P is simply connected there is a Kaehlerian submanifold whose dimension is rank f .*

Note that the vector fields E_a , $a = 1, \dots, \dim P - r$, are orthogonal to the Kaehlerian factor.

Let P be a framed metric f -manifold of dimension $m = 2n$. Then, an almost complex structure $\check{f} = f + \eta^{2i} \otimes E_{2i-1} - \eta^{2i-1} \otimes E_{2i}$ is defined on P in terms of which the metric g is hermitian. Setting $\check{F}(X, Y) = g(\check{f}X, Y)$, we obtain

$$(6.5) \quad \check{F} = F + 2 \sum_i \eta^{2i} \wedge \eta^{2i-1}.$$

If the fundamental 2-form F and the η^a are closed forms, the almost hermitian structure on P is almost Kaehlerian. It is Kaehlerian if \check{f} has vanishing covariant derivative with respect to g , that is, if the structure tensors f and E_a are covariant constant with respect to the metric g . (In this case, the vector fields E_a , $a = 1, \dots, 2n - r$, are infinitesimal automorphisms of the Kaehlerian structure.)

THEOREM 15. *A covariant constant even dimensional globally framed f -manifold carries a Kaehlerian structure.*

In the odd dimensional case the framed metric f -structure $P(f, \eta^a, g)$ gives rise to the almost contact metric structure $P(\check{f}, \eta^{2n-r-1}, g)$. For,

$$g(\check{f}X, \check{f}Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta^{2n-r-1}(X) \eta^{2n-r-1}(Y).$$

Set $\phi = \check{f}, E = E_{2n-r-1}, \eta = \eta^{2n-r-1}$ and $\Phi(X, Y) = g(\phi X, Y)$. Then

$$\Phi = F + 2 \sum_i \eta^{2i} \wedge \eta^{2i-1}.$$

If the fundamental 2-form Φ and the 1-form η are closed, the almost contact

structure on P is almost cosymplectic [4]. It is cosymplectic, if and only if, the almost contact structure is normal. Clearly, P cannot be a contact manifold.

THEOREM 16. *A covariant constant odd dimensional globally framed f -manifold carries a cosymplectic structure.*

Proof. Since f and the η^a have vanishing covariant derivatives with respect to the Riemannian connection of g , so does ϕ . Hence, the torsion

$$(D_{\phi x} \phi)Y - (D_{\phi y} \phi)X + \phi(D_y \phi)X - \phi(D_x \phi)Y + \{(D_x \eta)(Y) - (D_y \eta)(X)\}E$$

vanishes, where D denotes covariant differentiation with respect to the Riemannian connection of g . Thus, $P(\phi, E, \eta)$ is normal.

Besides the twisted structures of Theorem 13, there are the almost complex manifolds provided by taking direct products.

THEOREM 17. *The direct product of the framed metric f -structures $P_i(f_i, \eta_i, \alpha_i, g_i), i = 1, 2$, has a naturally induced almost complex structure J . If the f -structures are normal, then J is integrable, and conversely. If the P_i are totally geodesic hypersurfaces and the ambient space $M(\phi, \eta, G)$ is a cosymplectic manifold, then $P_1 \times P_2$ is Kaehlerian.*

Proof. For $X_i \in P_{i p_i}, i = 1, 2$, we put

$$J_{(p_1, p_2)}(X_1, X_2) = (f_1 X_1 - \eta'_1(X_2)E'_1 - \alpha_2(X_2)A_1, f_2 X_2 + \eta'_1(X_1)E'_2 + \alpha_1(X_1)A_2).$$

Then, it is easily checked that $J^2 = -I$ where $I(X_1, X_2) = (X_1, X_2)$. If the f -structures on P_i are normal, then the almost complex structure on $P_1 \times P_2$ is integrable (see [8]). The converse is obtained by employing the relations

$$[J, J](X_1 \times 0, Y_1 \times 0) = 0, \quad [J, J](0 \times X_2, 0 \times Y_2) = 0,$$

$$[J, J](0 \times X_2, Y_1 \times 0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad [J, J](X_1 \times 0, 0 \times Y_2) = 0$$

in the expression for $[J, J](X_1 \times X_2, Y_1 \times Y_2)$ where $X \times Y = (X, Y)$. Define a metric on $P_1 \times P_2$ by $g_1 + g_2$, where $g_j = i_j^*G$ is the metric induced on P_j by the almost contact metric G of the cosymplectic manifold $M(\phi, \eta, G)$. The 2-form Ω on $P_1 \times P_2$ given by

$$\Omega = (F_1, 0) + (0, F_2) + (\eta'_1, 0) \wedge (0, \eta'_2) + (\alpha_1, 0) \wedge (0, \alpha_2)$$

where $F_j = i_j^* \Phi, j = 1, 2$ are the fundamental forms of P_1 and P_2 , respectively, is the Kaehler form of $P_1 \times P_2$. For, since the fundamental form Φ of M is closed, F_1 and F_2 are closed. Moreover, by (4.1), the η_i and α_i are closed, the latter following since the P_i are totally geodesic submanifolds.

Finally,

$$g(J(X_1 \times X_2), Y_1 \times Y_2) = \Omega(X_1 \times X_2, Y_1 \times Y_2).$$

COROLLARY 1. *Let P be a totally geodesic hypersurface of a cosymplectic manifold with the induced globally framed f -structure. Then, the direct product of P with itself is Kaehlerian.*

If the linear transformation fields f_1 and f_2 are covariant constant, then by Proposition 7, P_1 and P_2 are totally geodesic hypersurfaces. Thus, we obtain

COROLLARY 2. *Let P_1 and P_2 be hypersurfaces of a cosymplectic manifold with the induced globally framed f -structures $(f_i, \eta'_i, \alpha_i, g_i)$. Then, if the $(1, 1)$ tensor fields f_i are covariant constant with respect to their Riemannian connections, $P_1 \times P_2$ is a Kaehler manifold.*

Theorem 17 may be improved by considering f -structures of rank $2n - 2$. Indeed, if M and N are spaces endowed with normal quasi-symplectic framed metric f -structures, then $M \times N$ possesses a Kaehlerian structure if the structure tensors are covariant constant.

7. Integrability of globally framed f -structures

We have seen that a totally geodesic hypersurface of a cosymplectic manifold with the induced globally framed f -structure is covariant constant. Replacing the condition that the hypersurface P be totally geodesic ($HX = 0$ for all X) by the condition that the vector field A is parallel ($fHX = 0$ for all X), we prove

THEOREM 18. *Let $P(f, E, A, g)$ be a globally framed f -manifold and suppose that E' and A are parallel vector fields. Then, the f -structure on P is normal if and only if there exists a symmetric affine connection with respect to which f is parallel and the connection γ of $V(P)$ is flat.*

Proof. Suppose there is a symmetric affine connection D' such that $D'f = 0$. Then, the tensor field $S = [f, f] + d\eta' \otimes E' + d\alpha \otimes A$ vanishes. Conversely, assume that S vanishes. In terms of the Riemannian connection D we define a new connection D' by $D'_x Y = D_x Y + T(X, Y)$ where

$$T(X, Y) = -\frac{1}{4}f\{D_x(fY) + D_y(fX) - f(D_x Y + D_y X)\} - \frac{1}{4}\{D_{fY}(fX) - fD_{fY}X + fD_x(fY) + D_x Y\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} 4(D'_x f)Y &= 4[(D_x f)Y + T(X, fY) - fT(X, Y)] \\ &= 2[\eta'(Y)fD_x E' + \alpha(Y)fD_x A] \\ &\quad - 3[\eta'((D_x f)Y)E' + \alpha((D_x f)Y)A] \\ &\quad + \eta'(Y)(D_{E'} f)X + \alpha(Y)(D_A f)X \\ &\quad - \eta'((D_Y f)X)E' - \alpha((D_Y f)X)A. \end{aligned}$$

From equations (4.1) and (2.12), we get

$$\eta'((D_x f)Y) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha((D_x f)Y) = 0.$$

Moreover, $D_{E'}f$ and $D_A f$ vanish. For,

$$D_{E'}(fX) = D_{fX}E' + [E', fX] = f[E', X]$$

(see §3). Hence,

$$(D_{E'}f)X = -fD_{E'}X + f[E', X] = f(D_{E'}X - D_x E' - D_{E'}X) = 0$$

since $DE' = 0$. Similarly, $D_A f$ is zero. We conclude then that $D'_x f = 0$.

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} D'_x Y - D'_y X - [X, Y] &= D_x Y - D_y X - [X, Y] + T(X, Y) - T(Y, X) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\{[fX, fY] - f[fX, Y] - f[X, fY] + f^2[X, Y]\} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

since η' and α are closed.

A similar result for hermitian manifolds was obtained by Walker [9].

8. Topology of globally framed f -spaces

Let $P(f, \eta^a, g)$, $a = 1, \dots, \dim P - r$, be a covariant constant even dimensional globally framed f -manifold, henceforth called a K -manifold. As we have already seen $P(\tilde{f}, g)$ is a Kaehler manifold. If P is compact its topology can therefore be studied from several points of view. In the first instance, as a compact Kaehler manifold and secondly, by introducing a theory on $P(f, \eta^a, g)$ analogous to Weil's generalization of Hodge theory on algebraic varieties. Whereas \tilde{F} is the Kaehler 2-form, F plays that role in the latter theory. A parallel study may be carried out for odd dimensional spaces but this is omitted here. If $r = \dim P$, the manifold is Kaehlerian and the ensuing theory is well-known.

Added in proof. The proper generalization along these lines has been given by one of the authors in a paper to appear in the Journal of Differential Geometry, dedication volume in honour of S. S. Chern and D. C. Spencer.

In the sequel, we assume that P is connected. If in addition P is compact, then since the induced structure is Kaehlerian, we have

THEOREM 19. *The p -th betti number of a compact K -manifold is even if p is odd and the even dimensional betti numbers are different from zero. Moreover, with respect to the induced complex structure the η^{2i-1} , $i = 1, \dots, n - r/2$, are holomorphic differentials, so the first betti number exceeds $\dim P - r$.*

Remark. The operator C on p -forms defined by

$$C_f \alpha(X_1, \dots, X_p) = \alpha(fX_1, \dots, fX_p)$$

annihilates the harmonic differentials η^a , $a = 1, \dots, 2n - r$. Moreover, if P is compact, it can be shown that C maps harmonic forms into harmonic forms.

Define dual operators L and Λ on P by $L = \epsilon(F)$ and $\Lambda = \iota(F)$ where ϵ and ι are respectively the exterior and interior product operators. A p -form ($p \geq 2$) is called *effective* if it is annihilated by Λ . For $p = 0$ or 1 every form is said to be effective. Since $\iota(F) = (-1)^p * \epsilon(F) *$ on p -forms where $*$ is the Hodge star isomorphism, $\Lambda = (-1)^p * L *$.

An orthonormal basis of P_p of the form $\{X_A, X_{A^*}, E_a\}$, $A = 1, \dots, r/2$, $X_{A^*} = fX_A$; $a = 1, \dots, 2n - r$, $\dim P = 2n$, will be called an *f-basis*. Such a basis always exists. To see this, let $P_p = \{X \in P_p \mid g(X, E_a) = 0, a = 1, \dots, 2n - r\}$. Equations (6.1)–(6.3) and $\eta^a = g(E_a, \cdot)$ show that $f|_{P'_p}$ is an almost complex structure on P'_p and $g|_{P'_p}$ is an hermitian metric. If an orthonormal basis of P'_p of the form $\{X_A, f|_{P'_p} X_A\}$, $A = 1, \dots, r/2$, is then chosen, an *f-basis* of P_p is obtained.

In terms of an *f-basis* $\{X_A, X_{A^*}, E_a\}$ with dual basis $\{\omega_A, \omega_{A^*}, \eta^a\}$,

$$L = \sum_{A=1}^{r/2} \epsilon(\omega_A) \epsilon(\omega_{A^*}), \quad \Lambda = \sum_{A=1}^{r/2} \iota(X_{A^*}) \iota(X_A).$$

Since $\iota(X_A)$ is an anti-derivation, $\Lambda F = r/2$.

A p -form α on P is said to have *tridegree* (λ, μ, ν) if it is expressible as a sum of decomposable forms

$$\omega_{A_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{A_\lambda} \wedge \omega_{B_1^*} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{B_\mu^*} \wedge \eta^{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \eta^{a_\nu}.$$

If

$$\alpha = \omega_{A_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{A_\lambda} \wedge \omega_{B_1^*} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{B_\mu^*} \wedge \eta^{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \eta^{a_\nu},$$

we shall denote by α_h the ‘horizontal’ part

$$\omega_{A_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{A_\lambda} \wedge \omega_{B_1^*} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{B_\mu^*}$$

and by α_v the ‘vertical’ part

$$\eta^{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \eta^{a_\nu}.$$

Thus, $\alpha = \alpha_h \wedge \alpha_v$. Clearly,

$$(8.1) \quad \Lambda(\alpha_h \wedge \alpha_v) = \Lambda\alpha_h \wedge \alpha_v.$$

LEMMA 1. *On a framed metric f-manifold, L and Λ satisfy*

$$\Lambda L\alpha - L\Lambda\alpha = (r/2 + \nu - p)\alpha$$

for any p -form α of tridegree (λ, μ, ν) .

Proof. By linearity it suffices to consider the decomposable forms α_h and $\alpha_h \wedge \alpha_v$. The result then follows from formula (8.1) and the corresponding relation for almost hermitian spaces.

We shall require the following operators:

$$\begin{aligned} d' &= \sum_A \epsilon(\omega_A) D_{X_A}, & d'' &= \sum_A \epsilon(\omega_{A^*}) D_{X_{A^*}}, & d^0 &= \sum_a \epsilon(\eta^a) D_{E_a}, \\ \delta' &= - \sum_A \iota(X_A) D_{X_{A^*}}, & \delta'' &= - \sum_A \iota(X_{A^*}) D_{X_A}, & \delta^0 &= - \sum_a \iota(E_a) D_{E_a}, \end{aligned}$$

$A = 1, \dots, r/2; a = 1, \dots, 2n - r$. Then,

$$d = d' + d'' + d^0 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta = \delta' + \delta'' + \delta^0.$$

LEMMA 2. *On a K -manifold*

$$\begin{aligned} \delta' L - L \delta' &= -d'', & \delta'' L - L \delta'' &= d', \\ \delta^0 L &= L \delta^0, & \delta L - L \delta &= d' - d''. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since L commutes with D , $\epsilon(\eta^a)$ and $\iota(E_a)$, the proof is a computation similar to the corresponding one for Kaehler manifolds. It is important to note the role played by $DF = 0$.

LEMMA 3. *On a K -manifold*

$$\begin{aligned} d' d' &= 0, & d' d'' + d'' d' &= 0, \\ d'' d'' &= 0, & d^0 d' + d' d^0 &= 0, \\ d^0 d^0 &= 0, & d^0 d'' + d'' d^0 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $dd = 0$, the result follows by comparing tridegrees.

LEMMA 4. *On a K -manifold*

$$\begin{aligned} dL &= Ld, & \Lambda \delta &= \delta \Lambda, \\ d' L &= Ld', & d'' L &= Ld'', & d^0 L &= Ld^0, \\ \Lambda \delta' &= \delta' \Lambda, & \Lambda \delta'' &= \delta'' \Lambda, & \Lambda \delta^0 &= \delta^0 \Lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Several interesting consequences may be derived from Lemmas 2 and 4. To begin with, we have

LEMMA 5. *On a K -manifold*

$$d' \delta'' + \delta'' d' = 0, \quad d'' \delta' + \delta' d'' = 0.$$

Proof. Immediate from Lemma 2 and the dual of Lemma 3.

LEMMA 6. *On a K -manifold*

$$d' \delta' + \delta' d' = d'' \delta'' + \delta'' d''.$$

Proof. From Lemma 2, the expression $\delta'' L \delta' - \delta' L \delta'' + L \delta' \delta'' - \delta'' \delta' L$ is equal to $d'' \delta'' + \delta'' d''$ from the first relation and to $d' \delta' + \delta' d'$ from the second.

Let \wedge_k^p denote the linear space of horizontal p -forms.

LEMMA 7. *On a K -manifold*

$$\Delta |_{\wedge_k^p} = 2(d' \delta' + \delta' d') |_{\wedge_k^p} = 2(d'' \delta'' + \delta'' d'') |_{\wedge_k^p}.$$

LEMMA 8. *On a K-manifold*

$$\Delta L = L\Delta, \quad \Delta\Lambda = \Lambda\Delta.$$

Hence, L and Λ send harmonic forms into harmonic forms.

Proof. Apply Lemmas 2-4. That $\Delta\Lambda = \Lambda\Delta$ is a consequence of the fact that $*$ commutes with Δ .

LEMMA 9. *On a K-manifold the forms $F^p = F \wedge \cdots \wedge F$ (p times) are harmonic of degree $2p$ for every integer $p \leq r/2$.*

LEMMA 10. *On a framed metric f-manifold*

$$(\Delta L^k - L^k \Delta)\alpha = k(r/2 + \nu - p - k + 1)L^{k-1}\alpha$$

for any p -form α of tridegree (λ, μ, ν) , $p \leq r/2 + \nu - 2k + 2$.

Proof. By recursion on the integer k using Lemma 1.

THEOREM 20. *On a framed metric f-manifold a p -form α of tridegree (λ, μ, ν) , $p \leq r/2 + \nu + 1$, may be uniquely expressed as a sum*

$$(8.2) \quad \alpha = \sum_{k=0}^s L^k \psi_{p-2k},$$

where the ψ_{p-2k} are effective forms of degree $p - 2k$ and $s = [p/2]$.

Proof. The theorem is trivial for $p = 0, 1$. Proceeding inductively, assume its validity for $p \leq r/2 + \nu - 2$. Then, to any p -form β is associated a unique p -form α such that

$$(8.3) \quad \Delta L\alpha = \beta, \quad p \leq r/2 + \nu - 1.$$

For,

$$\beta = \sum_{k=0}^s L^k \theta_{p-2k}$$

where the θ_{p-2k} are effective. By (8.2) and Lemma 10

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta L\alpha &= \sum_{k=0}^s \Delta L^{k+1} \psi_{p-2k} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^s (k+1)(r/2 + \nu - p + k)L^k \psi_{p-2k}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $p \leq r/2 + \nu - 1$, $r/2 - p + \nu + k \neq 0$, so, in order that (8.3) hold, it is sufficient to take

$$\psi_{p-2k} = \frac{\theta_{p-2k}}{(k+1)(r/2 + \nu - p + k)}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, s.$$

By uniqueness, this is also necessary. The rest of the proof is omitted.

Denote by $\wedge^{\lambda, \mu, \nu}$ the linear space of forms of tridegree (λ, μ, ν) .

COROLLARY 1. *On a framed metric f-manifold, ΔL is an automorphism of $\wedge^{\lambda, \mu, \nu}$ for $p \leq r/2 + \nu - 1$ and of \wedge^p for $p \leq r/2 - 1$.*

COROLLARY 2. *On a framed metric f-manifold, L is an isomorphism of $\wedge^{\lambda, \mu, \nu}$ into $\wedge^{\lambda+1, \mu+1, \nu}$ for $p \leq r/2 + \nu - 1$ and of \wedge^p into \wedge^{p+2} for $p \leq r/2 - 1$.*

Since Δ commutes with L and Λ on a K -manifold, we obtain

COROLLARY 3. *On a K -manifold, a harmonic p -form α of tridegree (λ, μ, ν) , $p \leq r/2 + \nu + 1$, may be uniquely expressed as a sum*

$$\alpha = \sum_{k=0}^s L^k \psi_{p-2k},$$

where the ψ_{p-2k} are effective harmonic forms of degree $p - 2k$ and $s = [p/2]$.

COROLLARY 4. *The betti numbers b_p of a compact K -manifold satisfy the monotonicity condition $b_p \leq b_{p+2}$, $p \leq r/2 - 1$. Moreover, $b_q \neq 0$ for all q .*

Proof. The first part follows from Lemma 8 and Corollary 2, whereas the second half is a consequence of Lemma 9 and the fact that the η^a , $a = 1, \dots, 2n - r$ are harmonic forms.

The difference $b_p - b_{p-2}$ may be measured in terms of the dimension e_p of the space of effective harmonic forms of degree p , $p \leq r/2 + 1$. For, by Corollary 3

$$\wedge_H^p = \wedge_{H^e}^p \oplus L \wedge_{H^e}^{p-2} \oplus \dots \oplus L^s \wedge_{H^e}^{p-2s}, \quad s = [p/2],$$

where \wedge_H^p and $\wedge_{H^e}^p$ denote the linear spaces of harmonic and effective harmonic p -forms, respectively. Hence, $\wedge_H^{p+2} = \wedge_{H^e}^{p+2} \oplus L \wedge_H^p$. By Lemma 8 and Corollary 2, $\dim L \wedge_H^p = \dim \wedge_H^p$ from which $b_{p+2} = e_{p+2} + b_p$, $p \leq r/2 - 1$.

THEOREM 21. *On a compact K -manifold*

$$e_p = b_p - b_{p-2}, \quad p \leq r/2 + 1.$$

Since the $\eta^a \wedge \eta^b$, $a \neq b$ are effective harmonic forms of degree 2, we obtain

COROLLARY 1. *On a compact K -manifold*

$$b_2 \geq 1 + (2n - r)(2n - r - 1)/2.$$

Let \tilde{e}_p denote the dimension of the space of effective harmonic p -forms on the Kaehler manifold $P(\tilde{f}, g)$. If a and b are of opposite parity, the harmonic 2-forms $\eta^a \wedge \eta^b$, $a \neq b$, are effective with respect to the operator Λ , but not in the Kaehler metric. To see this, let \tilde{L} and $\tilde{\Lambda}$ be the operators of Hodge-Weil on $P(\tilde{f}, g)$. Then, by (6.5), since $\tilde{\Lambda} = (-1)^p \tilde{L}^* \tilde{\Lambda}$ on p -forms,

$$\tilde{\Lambda} = \Lambda - 2 \sum \iota(E^{2i-1}) \iota(E^{2i}),$$

from which $\tilde{\Lambda}(\eta^a \wedge \eta^b) = -2 \sum (\delta_{2i-1}^b \delta_{2i}^a - \delta_{2i}^b \delta_{2i-1}^a)$. However, we do have the following result.

COROLLARY 2. *On a compact K -manifold*

$$\tilde{e}_p = e_p, \quad p \leq r/2 + 1.$$

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. D. E. BLAIR, *The theory of quasi-Sasakian structures*, J. Differential Geom., vol. 1 (1967), pp. 331-345.

2. D. E. BLAIR AND S. I. GOLDBERG, *Topology of almost contact manifolds*, J. Differential Geom., vol. 1 (1967), pp. 347-354.
3. D. E. BLAIR AND G. D. LUDDEN, *Hypersurfaces in almost contact manifolds*, Tohoku Math. J., vol. 22 (1970), pp. 362-370.
4. S. I. GOLDBERG AND K. YANO, *Noninvariant hypersurfaces of almost contact manifolds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, vol. 22 (1970), pp. 25-34.
5. S. ISHIHARA, *Normal structure f satisfying $f^3 + f = 0$* , Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep., vol. 18 (1966), pp. 36-47.
6. S. ISHIHARA AND K. YANO, *On integrability of a structure f satisfying $f^3 + f = 0$* , Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2), vol. 15 (1964), pp. 217-222.
7. A. MORIMOTO, *On normal almost contact structures*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, vol. 15 (1963), pp. 420-436.
8. H. NAKAGAWA, *On framed f -manifolds*, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep., vol. 18 (1966), pp. 293-306.
9. A. G. WALKER, *Connexions for parallel distributions in the large*, I, II, Quart. J. Math., vol. 6 (1955), pp. 301-308; vol. 9 (1958), pp. 221-231.
10. K. YANO, *On a structure defined by a tensor field f of type (1,1) satisfying $f^3 + f = 0$* , Tensor, vol. 14 (1963), pp. 99-109.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
URBANA, ILLINOIS