

ON ISOCLINIC CLOSURE: CORRECTION TO "ELLIPTIC SPACES IN GRASSMANN MANIFOLDS"¹

BY

DANIEL B. SHAPIRO AND JOSEPH A. WOLF

Let F be a field R (real), C (complex) or K (quaternion), F^k the positive definite left unitary vector space of dimension k over F , and $G_{n,k}(F)$ the Grassmann manifold of n -dimensional F -subspaces of F^k with its usual structure as a Riemannian symmetric space. If W is a subspace of F^k then π_W denotes the orthogonal projection $F^k \rightarrow W$. Subspaces B, B' of the same dimension in F^k are *isoclinic* if $\pi_B: B' \rightarrow B$ is an F -unitary similarity. For example, the connected totally geodesic submanifolds B in $G_{n,k}(F)$ such that any two distinct elements of B have zero intersection as subspaces of F^k , have the property that the elements of B are pairwise isoclinic [2, Theorems 2 and 4], [3, Theorem 2]. In [2] and [3] one finds a complete classification of all such submanifolds B .

After writing out that classification, Wolf considered an arbitrary subset A of $G_{n,k}(F)$ whose elements are pairwise isoclinic, and in [3, Section 7] he claimed to define an operation of "isoclinic closure" enlarging A to a totally geodesic submanifold $A_* = B \subset G_{n,k}(F)$ of the type described above. That isoclinic closure operation depended in an essential manner on the following property:

(*) If $X, B, B' \in G_{n,k}(F)$ are pairwise isoclinic with $B \neq B'$ then $Z = \pi_{B \oplus B'}(X)$ either is 0 or is n -dimensional and isoclinic to X .

If $k = 2n$ then (*) follows from the Hurwitz equations; see [2, Theorem 1]. Professor Y.-C. Wong [4; Chapter III, Section 11] and Daniel Shapiro (unpublished) gave examples showing that (*) fails for $(n, k) = (2, 6)$, and thus fails whenever n is even and $k \geq 3n$.

Here is a counterexample to (*) for $k = 2n + 1$, thus for all (n, k) with $k > 2n$. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n; f_1, \dots, f_n; u\}$ be an orthonormal basis of F^{2n+1} and define the linear spans

$$B = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}_F \quad \text{and} \quad B' = \{e_1 + f_1, \dots, e_n + f_n\}_F,$$

and

$$X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}_F \quad \text{where} \quad x_1 = e_1 + f_1 + 2\sqrt{2}u$$

and

$$x_j = e_j - 3f_j \quad \text{for} \quad 2 \leq j \leq n.$$

Received August 22, 1974.

¹ Illinois J. Math., vol. 7 (1963), pp. 447-462.

Then B and B' are clearly isoclinic, and the \mathbf{F} -hermitian inner products of the x_i are

$$x_1 \cdot x_1 = 1 + 1 + 8 = 10 = 1 + 9 = x_j \cdot x_j \quad \text{for } 2 \leq j \leq n$$

and

$$x_i \cdot x_j = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq j.$$

Since $e_j - 3f_j = -(e_j + f_j) + 2(e_j - f_j)$, the orthogonal projection $\pi_B: X \rightarrow B$ sends x_1 to e_1 and x_j to e_j for $2 \leq j \leq n$, and the orthogonal projection $\pi_{B'}: X \rightarrow B'$ sends x_1 to $e_1 + f_1$ and x_j to $-(e_j + f_j)$ for $2 \leq j \leq n$. These are similarities, so X , B and B' are pairwise isoclinic, while $\pi_{B \oplus B'}: X \rightarrow B \oplus B'$ sends x_1 to $e_1 + f_1$ and x_j to $e_j - 3f_j$ for $2 \leq j \leq n$, which is not a similarity.

While we no longer have the isoclinic closure operation, we can still define *isoclinically closed set* as a subset $A \subset G_{n,k}(\mathbf{F})$ whose elements are pairwise isoclinic and satisfy the following:

If $B, B' \in A$ with $B \neq B'$, then A contains the isoclinic sphere on $B \oplus B'$ constructed in [2, Chapter I] from $\{X \in A: X \subset B \oplus B'\}$.

This agrees with the definition of isoclinically closed set in [3, Section 7] when the latter happens to make sense. Except for that definition we must throw out everything in [3, Section 7]. The notions of reducibility and support in [3, Section 8] remain valid, and [3, Lemma 10] is true for isoclinically closed sets:

Let B be an isoclinically closed set of pairwise isoclinic n -dimensional subspaces of \mathbf{F}^k . Then $B = B^1 \cup \dots \cup B^m$ where the B^i are isoclinically closed and irreducible, and $\text{supp } B^i \perp \text{supp } B^j$ for $i \neq j$. In the topology induced from $G_{n,k}(\mathbf{F})$, the B^i are the topological components of B .

Thus the main result of [3, Chapter II], which is Theorem 4 in Section 9 there, is correct as stated and proved.

REFERENCES

1. D. B. SHAPIRO, *Similarities and isoclinic planes*, to appear,
2. J. A. WOLF, *Geodesic spheres in Grassmann manifolds*, Illinois J. Math., vol. 7 (1963), pp. 425-446.
3. ———, *Elliptic spaces in Grassmann manifolds*, Illinois J. Math., vol. 7 (1963), pp. 447-462.
4. Y.-C. WONG, *Geometry of n -planes in Euclidean and pseudo-Euclidean spaces and differential geometry of Grassmann manifolds and Cartan domains*,

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA