

## EQUIVARIANT BUNDLES OVER A SINGLE ORBIT TYPE

BY

RICHARD LASHOF

In this paper we analyze equivariant bundles over a space with a single orbit type. In particular, we reduce the classification of such bundles to a non-equivariant homotopy lifting problem (Corollary 1.12). We have used these ideas to analyze equivariant bundles with abelian structure group [5] and equivariant bundles over semi-free spaces [2]. In a future paper we will analyze bundles over general spaces by reassembling the results given here and replace the equivariant obstruction theory of [3] by another type of lifting problem. In the case that the structure group of the bundle is also a compact Lie group our results are closely related to those of Conner and Floyd [1].

Let  $p : E \rightarrow X$  be a principal  $G$ - $A$  bundle,  $G$  compact Lie group,  $X$  completely regular. We also assume  $p$  is  $G$  locally trivial. (For the definition of  $G$  locally trivial and the general theory of equivariant bundles we refer the reader to [2].) Let  $H$  be a closed subgroup of  $G$  and let  $x \in X^H$ . If  $z \in p^{-1}(x)$ , then  $hz = z\varrho(h)$  for some homomorphism  $\varrho : H \rightarrow A$  and all  $h \in H$ . For any other point  $z' = za$  over  $x$ ,  $hz' = z'\varrho'(h)$  where  $\varrho'(h) = a^{-1}\varrho(h)a$ . Thus the  $A$  equivalence class of  $\varrho$  is well determined by  $x$ . We will say that  $x$  or more properly the fibre over  $x$  belongs to  $(\varrho)$ . Let

$$X^{(\varrho)} = \{x \in X^H \mid x \text{ belongs to } (\varrho)\}.$$

Let  $R_H$  be the set of  $A$  equivalence classes of homomorphisms of  $H$  to  $A$ .

LEMMA 1.1.  $X^{(\varrho)}$  is open in  $X^H$  and  $X^H = \bigsqcup X^{(\varrho)}$ ,  $(\varrho) \in R_H$ .

*Proof.* If  $x \in X$ , then by  $G$  local triviality there is a  $G_x$  invariant neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  and a homomorphism  $\lambda : G_x \rightarrow A$  such that  $p^{-1}(U)$  is  $G_x$  equivalent to  $U \times A$ ,  $G_x$  acting on  $A$  via  $\lambda$ . If  $x \in X^{(\varrho)}$  then  $H \subset G_x$  and  $(\lambda|_H) = (\varrho)$ . It follows that if  $x' \in X^H \cap U$ ,  $x'$  belongs to  $(\varrho)$ . Thus  $X^{(\varrho)}$  is open in  $X^H$ . The second statement follows from this and the above discussion.

Let  $E^\varrho = \{z \in E \mid hz = z\varrho(h), h \in H\}$ .

LEMMA 1.2.  $E^\varrho$  is an  $A^\varrho$  bundle over  $X^{(\varrho)}$ , where

$$A^\varrho = \{a \in A \mid a\varrho(h) = \varrho(h)a, h \in H\}.$$

Further,  $p^{-1}(X^{(\varrho)}) \cong E^{(\varrho)} X_{A^\varrho} A$ , as an  $A$ -bundle.

---

Received July 8, 1980; received in revised form June 25, 1983

*Proof.* If  $z \in E^\rho$ , then  $p(z) \in X^{(\rho)}$ . Further,  $za \in E^\rho$  if and only if  $a \in A^\rho$ . If  $x \in X^{(\rho)}$ , then by  $G$  local triviality,  $x$  has a  $G_x$  invariant neighborhood  $U$  such that  $p^{-1}(U)$  is  $G_x$ - $A$  equivalent to  $U \times A$ , where  $G_x$  acts on  $A$  through a homomorphism  $\lambda: G_x \rightarrow A$  such that  $(\lambda|H) = (\rho)$ . In fact  $\lambda$  is unique only up to its  $A$  equivalence class and we can choose  $\lambda$  so that  $\lambda|H = \rho$ . Then

$$p^{-1}(U) \cap E^\rho = U^H \times A^\rho.$$

Thus  $E^\rho$  is a locally trivial  $A^\rho$  bundle over  $X^{(\rho)}$  and

$$p^{-1}(X^{(\rho)}) = E^\rho \times_{A^\rho} A$$

as an  $A$  bundle.

LEMMA 1.3. *Let  $\phi: E \rightarrow E'$  be a  $G$ - $A$  bundle map of the principal  $G$ - $A$  bundle  $p: E \rightarrow X$  into the principal bundle  $p': E' \rightarrow X'$  over the  $G$  map  $f: X \rightarrow X'$ . Then  $\phi^{-1}(E'^\rho) \cap p^{-1}(X^H) = E^\rho$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly,  $\phi(E^\rho) \subset E'^\rho$ . Now  $E$  may be identified with

$$f^*E' = \{(x, z') \in X \times E' \mid f(x) = p'(z')\}$$

and  $\phi$  corresponds to the projection  $(x, z') \rightarrow z'$ . But if  $x \in X^H$  and  $z' \in E'^\rho$ , then  $h(x, z') = (x, z')\rho(h)$  and  $(x, z') \in E^\rho$ . So

$$\phi^{-1}(E'^\rho) \cap p^{-1}(X^H) = E^\rho.$$

Let

$$\Lambda^\rho = \{(n, a) \in N(H) \times A \mid \rho(nhn^{-1}) = a\rho(h)a^{-1}, \text{ all } h \in H\}.$$

Then  $\Lambda^\rho$  is a closed subgroup of  $N(H) \times A$ .

LEMMA 1.4. *Let  $p: E \rightarrow X$  and  $E^\rho$  be as above. Then*

$$\Lambda^\rho = \{(n, a) \in N(H) \times A \mid nE^\rho a^{-1} \subset E^\rho\}.$$

*Proof.* If  $z \in E^\rho$  and  $nza^{-1} \in E^\rho$ , then  $hnza^{-1} = nza^{-1}\rho(h)$ .

$$\text{But } hnza^{-1} = n(n^{-1}hn)za^{-1} = nz\rho(n^{-1}hn)a^{-1}.$$

Hence  $\rho(n^{-1}hn) = a^{-1}\rho(h)a$  and  $(n, a) \in \Lambda^\rho$ .

Conversely, if  $(n, a) \in \Lambda^\rho$  and  $z \in E^\rho$ , then

$$hnza^{-1} = n(n^{-1}hn)za^{-1} = nz\rho(n^{-1}hn)a^{-1} = nza^{-1}\rho(h).$$

Hence  $nza^{-1} \in E^\rho$ .

Let  $N_\rho(H) = \{n \in N(H) \mid (n, a) \in \Lambda^\rho \text{ for some } a \in A\}$ .

LEMMA 1.5.  $N_\rho(H) = \{n \in N(H) \mid nX^{(\rho)} \subset X^{(\rho)}\}$ .

*Proof.* If  $nX^{(\rho)} \cap X^{(\rho)}$ , then for  $z \in E^\rho$ ,  $nz = z'a$ ,  $z' \in E^\rho$ ,  $a \in A$ . Thus

$$nza^{-1} \in E^\rho$$

and as in the first part of the proof of (1.4),  $(n, a) \in \Lambda^\rho$ . Hence  $n \in N_\rho(H)$ .

Conversely, if  $n \in N_\rho(H)$ ,  $nE^\rho a^{-1} \subset E^\rho$  for some  $a \in A$  by (1.4); and by (1.2),  $nX^{(\rho)} \subset X^{(\rho)}$ .

Let  $H^\rho$  be the image of  $H$  under the embedding

$$(i, \varrho) : H \rightarrow N(H) \times A, \quad (i, \varrho)(h) = (h, \varrho(h)).$$

Then  $H^\rho$  is contained in  $\Lambda^\rho$  and is a closed normal subgroup of  $\Lambda^\rho$ . Let  $\Gamma_\varrho = \Lambda^\rho/H^\rho$ . We can identify  $A^\rho$  with  $1 \times A^\rho \subset \Lambda^\rho$ . Since  $H^\rho \subset A^\rho = 1$ , we can further identify  $A^\rho$  with the image of  $1 \times A^\rho$  in  $\Gamma^\rho$ . (Since  $H^\rho$  is a compact Lie group,  $\Lambda_\varrho \rightarrow \Gamma_\varrho$  is a locally trivial bundle and  $1 \times A_\varrho$  maps homeomorphically onto its image in  $\Gamma^\rho$ .)  $A^\rho$  is a normal subgroup of  $\Gamma^\rho$ , since  $(n, a) \subset \Lambda^\rho$  requires that  $a \in N(\varrho(H))$  and the centralizer  $A^\rho$  is normal in the normalizer  $N(\varrho(H))$  of  $\varrho(H)$ .

Now consider the  $N_\rho(H)$  trivial  $N_\rho(H)$ - $A$  bundle  $E = N_\rho(H) \times_H A$  over the orbit  $\overline{N_\rho(H)} = N_\rho(H)/H$ , where  $H$  acts on  $A$  via  $\varrho$ . Then  $\Gamma^\rho$  may be identified with  $E^\rho$  under the map  $[n, a] \rightarrow [n, a^{-1}]$ , which extends to the  $N_\rho(H)$  equivalence

$$(N_\rho(H) \times A)/H^\rho \rightarrow N_\rho(H) \times_H A.$$

Further the homeomorphism  $\Gamma^\rho/A^\rho \cong E^\rho/A^\rho \cong E/A \cong N_\rho(H)/H$  is induced by the homomorphism  $[n, a] \rightarrow [n]$  of  $\Gamma^\rho$  onto  $N_\rho(H)/H$  by passage to the quotient. Thus  $\Gamma^\rho/A^\rho$  is isomorphic to  $N_\rho(H)/H$  as a topological group.

Note that  $E^\rho$  above can be considered a principal  $\Gamma^\rho$  bundle over a point (under the right action  $z \rightarrow n^{-1}za$ ). This generalizes:

**PROPOSITION 1.6.** *Let  $p: E \rightarrow X$  be a principal  $G$ - $A$  bundle. The action  $z(n, a) = n^{-1}za$  of  $\Lambda^\rho$  on  $E^\rho$  induces a right action of  $\Gamma^\rho$  on  $E^\rho$ , extending the  $A^\rho$  action. If  $X$  has a single orbit type  $(H)$ ,  $E^\rho$  is a principal  $\Gamma^\rho$  bundle over  $\overline{X^\rho} = X^{(\rho)}/\overline{N_\rho(H)}$ ,*

*Proof.* By the definition of  $E^\rho$ , the above action of  $\Lambda^\rho$  restricted to  $H^\rho$  is trivial and induces a  $\Gamma^\rho$  action. Now  $p^{-1}(X^{(\rho)})$  is an  $N_\rho(H)$  locally trivial bundle. To show  $E$  is a locally trivial  $\Gamma^\rho$  bundle when  $X$  has a single orbit type  $(H)$  it is sufficient to consider for  $x \in X^{(\rho)}$  a slice  $V$  in  $X^{(\rho)}$  such that

$$p^{-1}(N_\rho(H)V) \cong N_\rho(H) \times_H (V \times A),$$

$H$  acting on  $A$  via  $\varrho$ . Then by (1.3),

$$p^{-1}(N_\rho(H)V)^\rho = \pi^{-1}(N_\rho(H) \times_H A)^\rho,$$

where  $\pi : N_\rho(H) \times_H (V \times A) \rightarrow N_\rho(H) \times_H A$  is the projection. Since  $\pi$  induces a  $\Gamma^\rho$  map of  $p^{-1}(N_\rho(H)V)^\rho$  onto  $(N_\rho(H) \times_H A)^\rho \cong \Gamma^\rho$ ,  $p^{-1}(N_\rho(H)V)^\rho$  is a trivial  $\Gamma^\rho$  bundle over  $V$ ; and  $E^\rho$  is a locally trivial  $\Gamma^\rho$  bundle over  $\overline{X^\rho}$ . (Note that

$$E^\rho/\Gamma^\rho = (E^\rho/A^\rho)/(\Gamma^\rho/A^\rho) = X^\rho/\overline{N}_\rho(H),$$

$\overline{N}_\rho(H)$  acting on the right of  $X^\rho$  by  $x\overline{n} = \overline{n}^{-1}x$  and hence  $E^\rho/\Gamma^\rho = \overline{X}^\rho$ .)

Let  $R_{(H)}$  denote the family of  $G$ - $A$  equivalence classes of homomorphisms  $\varrho : H \rightarrow A$ ; i.e.,  $\varrho : H \rightarrow A$  is equivalent to  $\varrho' : H' \rightarrow A$ ,  $H' = gHg^{-1}$ , if

$$\varrho'(ghg^{-1}) = a\varrho(h)a^{-1} \quad \text{for some } a \in A \text{ and all } h \in H.$$

Note that this is the same as the  $N(H)$ - $A$  equivalence classes. From (1.5) we have:

LEMMA 1.7. *Let  $p : E \rightarrow X$  be a  $G$ - $A$  bundle. Then*

$$X^H = \coprod_{(\varrho) \in R_{(H)}} N(H) \times_{N_\rho(H)} X^{(\rho)} = \coprod_{(\varrho) \in R_{(H)}} \overline{N}(H) \times_{N_\rho(H)} X^{(\rho)}.$$

If  $X$  has a single orbit type  $(H)$ , then

$$(a) \quad X = \coprod G/H \times_{N_\rho(H)} X^{(\rho)}, (\varrho) \in R_{(H)},$$

$$(b) \quad \overline{X} = X/G = X^H/\overline{N}(H) = X^{(\rho)}/\overline{N}_\rho(H), (\varrho) \in R_{(H)}.$$

From (1.2) and (1.6) we have:

LEMMA 1.8. *Let  $p : E \rightarrow X$  be a  $G$ - $A$  bundle. Then*

$$p^{-1}(X^H) = \coprod N(H) \times_{N_\rho(H)} E^\rho \times_{A_\rho} A, \quad (\varrho) \in R_{(H)}$$

If  $X$  has a single orbit type  $(H)$ , then

$$E = \coprod_{(\varrho) \in R_{(H)}} G \times_{N_\rho(H)} E^\rho \times_{A_\rho} A.$$

The  $\Gamma^\rho$  structure of  $E^\rho$  determines the  $N_\rho(H)$ - $A$  structure of  $E^\rho \times_{A_\rho} A$  by the formula  $n[z, a] = [zn^{-1}, a_0^{-1}a, a]$ ,  $z \in E^\rho$ ,  $a \in A$ ,  $a_0 \in A$  such that  $[n, a_0] \in \Gamma^\rho$ , and hence determines the  $G$ - $A$  structure of  $E$ .

DEFINITION. Let  $X$  be a  $G$ -space with a single orbit type  $(H)$ , and assume  $G = N_\rho(H)$  for some homomorphism  $\varrho : H \rightarrow A$ . Let  $\overline{G} = G/H$  and  $\overline{X} = X/G = X/\overline{G}$ . A principal  $\Gamma^\rho$  bundle  $p : E \rightarrow \overline{X}$  extends  $X$  if there is a  $\overline{G}$  equivalence  $\psi : E/A^\rho \rightarrow X$  over  $\overline{X}$  (after switching the right  $\overline{G} = \Gamma^\rho/A^\rho$  action on  $E/A^\rho$  to a left  $\overline{G}$  action). Two  $\Gamma^\rho$  extensions of  $X$ ,  $(E, p, \psi)$  and  $(E', p', \psi')$  are equivalent if there exists a  $\Gamma^\rho$  bundle equivalence  $\phi : E' \rightarrow E$  such that  $\psi(\phi/A^\rho)$  is  $\overline{G}$  isotopic to  $\psi'$  over  $\overline{X}$ , where  $\phi/A^\rho : E'/A^\rho \rightarrow E/A^\rho$  is the  $\overline{G}$  equivalence induced by  $\phi$ .

Now let  $X$  be a paracompact  $G$ -space with the single orbit type  $(H)$  and  $G = N_\rho(H)$ :

THEOREM 1.9. *With  $X$  as above, the following are in bijective correspondence:*

- (a) Equivalence classes of  $G$ - $A$  bundles over  $X$  with all fibres in  $(\rho)$ .
- (b) Equivalence classes of  $\Gamma^\rho$  bundles over  $\overline{X}$  extending  $X$ .
- (c) Homotopy classes of lifts to  $B\Gamma^\rho$  of a fixed classifying map

$$\overline{f}: \overline{X} \rightarrow B\overline{G}$$

for the  $\overline{G}$  bundle  $X$ . ( $B\Gamma^\rho$  is considered here as a bundle

$$\overline{\partial}: B\Gamma^\rho \rightarrow B\overline{G} = B(\Gamma^\rho/A^\rho)$$

with fibre  $BA^\rho$ .)

- (d) Equivariant homotopy classes of  $\overline{G}$  maps of  $X$  into  $B^\rho$ , where

$$B^\rho = E\Gamma^\rho/A^\rho$$

as a  $\overline{G} = \Gamma^\rho/A^\rho$  space.

*Proof.* We construct surjective maps (a)  $\rightarrow$  (b)  $\rightarrow$  (c)  $\rightarrow$  (d)  $\rightarrow$  (a) and show the composition is the identity.

(a)  $\rightarrow$  (b). If  $p: E \rightarrow X$  is a  $G$ - $A$  bundle with all  $p^{-1}(x)$  in  $(\rho)$ , then  $X = X^{(\rho)}$  and  $E^\rho$  is a  $\Gamma^\rho$  bundle over  $\overline{X}$  by (1.6). Let  $\psi: E_\rho/A_\rho \rightarrow E/A = X$  be the map induced by inclusion; then  $\psi$  is a  $\overline{G}$  equivalence by (1.2) and (1.6). Thus

$$(E_\rho, q(p|E_\rho), \psi), \quad q: X \rightarrow \overline{X} \text{ the quotient map,}$$

is a  $\Gamma^\rho$  extension of  $X$  defined by  $p$ .

If  $p': E' \rightarrow X$  is  $G$ - $A$  equivalent to  $p$ , say  $\phi: E' \rightarrow E$ , then  $\phi|E'^\rho: E'^\rho \rightarrow E^\rho$  is a  $\Gamma^\rho$  bundle equivalence over  $\overline{X}$  and  $(\phi|E'^\rho)/A^\rho: E'^\rho/A^\rho \rightarrow E^\rho/A^\rho$  is a  $\overline{G}$  equivalence such that  $\psi(\phi|E'^\rho)/A^\rho = \psi'$ . Thus  $p' \rightarrow (E^\rho, q(p|E^\rho), \psi)$  is well defined on equivalence classes.

Now if  $(E_\rho, p_\rho, \psi_\rho)$  is a  $\Gamma^\rho$  extension of  $X$ ,  $E = E_\rho \times_{A^\rho} A$  is a  $G$ - $A$  bundle over  $X$ , defining the  $G$  action by the formula in (1.8) and identifying  $E/A = E_\rho/A^\rho$  with  $X$  via  $\psi_\rho$ . But then  $E_\rho = E^\rho$  and  $p_\rho = q(p|E_\rho)$  and by definition  $E_\rho/A^\rho \rightarrow E/A = X$  is  $\psi_\rho$ . Thus the map is surjective.

(b)  $\rightarrow$  (c). Pick a fixed  $\overline{G}$  map  $\theta: X \rightarrow E\overline{G}$  covering  $\overline{f}$ . If  $f: \overline{X} \rightarrow B\Gamma^\rho$  covers  $\overline{f}$ , there is a uniquely defined  $\overline{G}$  map  $\theta_f: X \rightarrow E\Gamma^\rho/A^\rho$  over  $f$  such that  $\partial\theta_f = \theta$ , where  $\partial: E\Gamma^\rho/A^\rho \rightarrow E\overline{G}$  is a fixed  $\overline{G}$  map over  $\overline{\partial}$ . Note that if  $\theta': X \rightarrow E\overline{G}$  is any  $\overline{G}$  map, then  $\theta'$  is  $\overline{G}$  homotopic to  $\theta$  by the universality of  $E\overline{G}$ , and this homotopy covers a homotopy  $\overline{f}_i, \overline{f}_1 = \overline{f}$ . If  $\theta_i$  is any  $\overline{G}$  homotopy of  $\theta'$  over  $\overline{f}_i$ , then  $\theta$  is  $\overline{G}$  homotopic over  $\overline{f}_1$  to  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta = \theta_1\lambda, \lambda: X \rightarrow X$  a  $\overline{G}$  equivalence  $\overline{G}$  isotopic to the identity over  $\overline{X}$ .

Now given a  $\Gamma^\rho$  extension  $(E, p, \psi)$  of  $X$ , let  $f_\rho: \overline{X} \rightarrow B\Gamma^\rho$  be a classifying map for  $E$ . Since  $\partial f_\rho$  is covered by the  $\overline{G}$  map

$$\theta' = \partial(\hat{f}_\rho/A^\rho)\psi^{-1},$$

where  $\hat{f}_\rho: E \rightarrow E\Gamma^\rho$  is a  $\Gamma^\rho$  bundle map over  $f_\rho$ , the  $\overline{G}$  homotopy of  $\theta'$  to  $\theta$  covers a homotopy  $\overline{f}_i$  of  $\partial\hat{f}_\rho$  to  $\partial f_\rho$ . Let  $f_i: \overline{X} \rightarrow B\Gamma^\rho$  be a homotopy of  $f_\rho$  covering  $\overline{f}_i$  and  $\hat{f}_i$  a  $\Gamma^\rho$  homotopy of  $\hat{f}_\rho$  over  $f_i$ . Then

$$\partial(\hat{f}_i/A^\rho)\psi^{-1} : X \rightarrow \overline{EG}$$

covers  $\overline{f}_i$  and  $\theta = \partial(f_i/A^\rho)\psi^{-1}\lambda$ ,  $\lambda : X \rightarrow X$  as above. Setting  $f = f_i$ , we have

$$(*) \quad \hat{f}/A^\rho\psi^{-1}\lambda = \theta_f.$$

Thus, we can assign to  $(E, p, \psi)$  a classifying map  $f : \overline{X} \rightarrow B\Gamma^\rho$  covering  $\overline{f}$  and satisfying (\*) for some  $\Gamma^\rho$  bundle map  $\hat{f} : E \rightarrow E\Gamma^\rho$  covering  $f$ .

Let  $(E', p', \psi')$  be equivalent to  $(E, p, \psi)$  and suppose we have chosen  $f'$  covering  $\overline{f}$  and  $\hat{f}'$  covering  $f'$  such that  $\hat{f}'/A^\rho\psi'^{-1}\lambda' = \theta_{f'}$ . Let  $\phi : E' \rightarrow E$  be the  $\Gamma^\rho$  equivalence such that  $\psi(\phi/A^\rho)\psi'^{-1}$  is  $\overline{G}$  isotopic to the identity over  $\overline{X}$ . Now  $f'$  is  $\Gamma^\rho$  homotopic to  $\hat{f}\phi$  by say  $\hat{f}_i$ , and  $\theta_{f'}$  is  $\overline{G}$  isotopic to

$$(\hat{f}/A^\rho)(\phi/A^\rho)\psi'^{-1}\lambda' = \hat{f}/A^\rho\psi^{-1}\psi(\phi/A^\rho)\psi'^{-1}\lambda'$$

which is  $\overline{G}$  isotopic to  $\hat{f}/A^\rho\psi^{-1}\lambda'$  and hence to  $\hat{f}/A^\rho\psi^{-1}\lambda = \theta_f$ . The isotopy of  $\theta_{f'}$  to  $\theta_f$  covers a  $\overline{G}$  isotopy  $\theta_i$  of  $\theta' = \partial\theta_{f'}$  to  $\theta = \partial\theta_f$  and an isotopy  $f_i$  of  $f'$  to  $f$ , which in turn covers an isotopy  $\hat{f}_i$  of  $\hat{f}'$  to itself. Since  $\overline{EG}$  is universal,  $\theta_i$  is  $\overline{G}$  homotopic to the constant map rel endpoints, and  $\overline{f}_i$  must be homotopic to the constant map rel endpoints. But then  $f_i$  is homotopic rel endpoints to a homotopy of  $f'$  to  $f$  over  $\overline{f}$ .

Thus the assignment of a classifying map  $f$  covering  $\overline{f}$  and satisfying (\*) to  $(E, p, \psi)$  gives a well defined homotopy class of lifts of  $\overline{f}$  to each equivalence class of  $\Gamma^\rho$  extensions of  $X$ .

Now if  $f : \overline{X} \rightarrow B\Gamma^\rho$  is any lift of  $\overline{f}$ ,  $f^*(E\Gamma^\rho)$  is a  $\Gamma^\rho$  bundle over  $\overline{X}$ , and since

$$(f^*E\Gamma^\rho)/A^\rho/A^\rho E\Gamma^\rho/A^\rho \rightarrow \overline{EG}$$

covers  $\partial f = \overline{f}$  (where  $\hat{f} : f^*E\Gamma^\rho \rightarrow E^\rho$  is the cononical projection) there is a well defined  $\overline{G}$  equivalence

$$\psi : f^*E\Gamma^\rho/A^\rho \rightarrow X$$

over  $\overline{X}$  such that  $\partial(\hat{f}/A^\rho)\psi^{-1} = \theta$ . But this means that we may assign the lift  $f$  to  $(f^*E\Gamma^\rho, p, \psi)$ , and this shows (b)  $\rightarrow$  (c) is surjective.

(c)  $\rightarrow$  (d). By the remarks at the beginning of the previous step of the proof, the assignment  $f \rightarrow \theta_f$  sends a homotopy class of lifts of  $\overline{f}$  to an equivariant homotopy class of maps of  $X$  to  $E\Gamma^\rho/A^\rho$ .

Now given any equivariant map  $\psi : X \rightarrow E\Gamma^\rho/A^\rho$ ,  $\partial\psi : X \rightarrow \overline{EG}$  is  $\overline{G}$  isotopic to  $\theta$ . Thus if  $\psi$  covers  $\overline{\psi} : \overline{X} \rightarrow B\Gamma^\rho$ ,  $\partial\overline{\psi}$  is homotopic to  $\overline{f}$  by a homotopy  $\overline{f}_i$ . If  $\overline{\psi}_i$  covers  $\overline{f}_i$  and  $\psi_i$  is a  $\overline{G}$  homotopy of  $\psi$  covering  $\overline{\psi}_i$ , then  $\partial\psi_i$  is  $\overline{G}$  isotopic to  $\theta$  over  $\overline{f}$  and  $\theta = \partial\psi_i\lambda$ ,  $\lambda : X \rightarrow X$  a  $\overline{G}$  equivalence  $\overline{G}$  isotopic to the identity. Let  $f = \overline{\psi}_i$ . Then  $\theta_f = \psi_i\lambda$  which is  $\overline{G}$  isotopic to  $\psi$ . Thus (c)  $\rightarrow$  (d) is surjective.

(d)  $\rightarrow$  (a).  $E\Gamma^\rho \times_{A^\rho} A$  is a  $G$ - $A$  bundle over  $(E\Gamma^\rho)/A^\rho$ , using the formula of (1.8). (Note that the  $\Gamma^\rho$  local triviality of  $E\Gamma^\rho$  implies the  $G$ - $A$  local triviality of  $E\Gamma^\rho \times_{A^\rho} A$ , since

$$(U \times \Gamma^\rho) \times_{A^\rho} A = U \times (G \times_H A) = G \times_H (U \times A),$$

U any trivializing open set in  $B\Gamma^\rho$ , by the argument preceding (1.6).) Hence equivariant homotopy classes of  $G$  maps of  $X$  into  $(E\Gamma^\rho)/A^\rho$  pull back  $G$ - $A$  equivalence classes of  $G$ - $A$  bundles over  $X$ . But since  $H$  acts trivially on  $X$ ,  $G$  maps are the same as  $\overline{G}$  maps.

Finally to prove (d)  $\rightarrow$  (a) is surjective and that all the maps are bijective, it is sufficient to prove that (a)  $\rightarrow$  (b)  $\rightarrow$  (c)  $\rightarrow$  (d)  $\rightarrow$  (a) is the identity: Let  $f : \overline{X} \rightarrow B\Gamma^\rho$  cover  $\overline{f}$ . There is a uniquely defined  $\psi : (f^*E\Gamma^\rho)/A^\rho \rightarrow X$  such that

$$\theta\psi = \partial\pi/A^\rho \text{ or } \pi/A^{\rho\pi^{-1}} = \theta_f$$

where  $\pi : f^*E\Gamma^\rho \rightarrow E\Gamma^\rho$  is the projection. Then

$$[\pi, 1] : f^*E\Gamma^\rho \times_{A^\rho} A \rightarrow E\Gamma^\rho \times_{A^\rho} A \quad \text{and} \quad \psi q : f^*E\Gamma^\rho \times_{A^\rho} A \rightarrow X$$

satisfy  $\theta_f \psi q = \pi/A^\rho q = q[\pi, 1]$  and hence define a  $G$ - $A$  equivalence  $f^*E\Gamma^\rho \times_{A^\rho} A \rightarrow \theta_{f_*}(E\Gamma^\rho \times_{A^\rho} A)$  with  $G$  action given by (1.8),  $q$  the quotient under  $A$ .

Now let  $p: E \rightarrow X$  be a  $G$ - $A$  bundle of type  $(\rho)$ . Then  $E$  is  $G$ - $A$  equivalent to  $E^\rho \times_{A^\rho} A$  with  $G$  action defined by (1.8). As in (b)  $\rightarrow$  (c), let

$$f : \overline{X} \rightarrow B\Gamma^\rho$$

cover  $\overline{f}$  and be covered by a  $\Gamma^\rho$  bundle map  $\hat{f} : E^\rho \rightarrow E\Gamma^\rho$  such that  $\hat{f}/A^\rho \lambda = \phi_f$ , where  $E^\rho/A^\rho = E/A$  is identified with  $X$  via  $p$ .  $E^\rho$  is equivalent to  $f^*E\Gamma^\rho$  by an equivalence  $\phi : E^\rho \rightarrow f^*E\Gamma^\rho$  such that  $\hat{f} = \pi\psi$ . Then

$$\pi/A^\rho \phi/A^\rho \lambda = \hat{f}/A^\rho \lambda = \theta_f.$$

Hence with  $\psi = \lambda^{-1}(\phi/A^\rho)^{-1}$  we see from the paragraph above that

$$\theta q : f^*E\Gamma^\rho \times_{A^\rho} A \rightarrow X$$

is equivalent to

$$\theta_{f_*}(E\Gamma^\rho \times_{A^\rho} A) \rightarrow X.$$

But  $(E, p)$  is  $G$ - $A$  equivalent to  $(E^\rho \times_{A^\rho} A, q)$  and  $[\phi, 1]$  is a  $G$ - $A$  bundle map of this last to  $(f^*E\Gamma^\rho \times_{A^\rho} A, q)$  over  $\lambda^{-1}$ . Since  $\lambda$  is  $\overline{G}$  homotopic to the identity, it follows from the equivariant covering homotopy property that  $E$  is  $G$ - $A$  equivalent to  $f^*E\Gamma^\rho \times_{A^\rho} A$  and hence to  $\theta_{f_*}(E\Gamma^\rho \times_{A^\rho} A)$ . So the cycle of maps is the identity, proving the theorem.

**COROLLARY 1.10.** *For any closed subgroup  $H \cap G$ , the universal  $G$ - $A$  bundle for spaces of orbit type  $(H)$  is*

$$E(H) = \coprod_{(\rho) \in R(H)} G \times_{N_\rho(H)} E\Gamma^\rho \times_{A^\rho} A,$$

$$B(H) = \coprod_{(\rho) \in R(H)} G \times_{N_\rho(H)} B^\rho = \coprod_{(\rho) \in R(H)} \overline{G} \times_{N_\rho(H)} B^\rho,$$

with projection induced by the quotient map  $E\Gamma^\rho \rightarrow B^\rho = E\Gamma^\rho/A^\rho$ . If  $X$  is a

*G-space of orbit type (H) only, equivalence classes of G-A bundles over X are in bijective correspondence with  $[X, B(H)]_G$ .*

**COROLLARY 1.11.** *Let  $\theta : B(H) \rightarrow BA$  classify  $E(H)$  as an A bundle. If X is a G-space of orbit type (H) only, an A bundle over X admits a G-A structure if and only if its classifying map  $f : X \rightarrow BA$  factors up to homotopy through an equivariant map  $\phi : X \rightarrow B(H)$ ; i.e.,  $f \sim \theta\phi$ .*

The inclusion of  $\overline{N}_\rho(H)$  in  $\overline{N}(H)$  allows us to consider  $B\overline{N}_\rho(H)$  and hence  $B\Gamma^\rho$  as bundles over  $B\overline{N}(H)$ .  $B\Gamma^\rho$  has fibre  $BA^\rho \times_{N_\rho(H)} \overline{N}(H)$ . (Since  $\overline{N}(H)/\overline{N}_\rho(H)$  is finite, this is just a finite number of copies of  $BA^\rho$  when we forget the action.)

**COROLLARY 1.12.** *Let X be a G space of orbit type (H) only, and let*

$$\overline{f} : \overline{X} \rightarrow B\overline{N}(H)$$

*be a classifying map for X as an  $\overline{N}(H)$  bundle over  $\overline{X}$ . The equivalence classes of G-A bundles over X are in bijective correspondence with homotopy classes of lifts of  $\overline{f}$  to  $\coprod_{(q) \in R_{(H)}} B\Gamma^\rho$ .*

*Examples. 1. X a free G-space, H = (1),  $\rho$  trivial. Then  $\Lambda^\rho = G \times A$ ,  $H^\rho$  trivial,  $\Gamma^\rho = \Lambda^\rho = G \times A$ ,  $N_\rho(H) = G$ ,  $A^\rho = A$ . Thus*

$$B^\rho = E(G \times A)/A = EG \times (EA/A) = EG \times BA$$

and G-A bundles over a free G-space X are classified by equivariant homotopy classes of maps of  $X \rightarrow EG \times BA$ . But  $[\overline{X}, EG \times BA]_G = [\overline{X}, BA]$ . So G-A bundles over X are in bijective correspondence with A bundles over  $\overline{X} = X/G$  (as is well known).

2. X a trivial G-space, H = G,  $\rho : G \rightarrow A$  any homomorphism. Then  $\Lambda^\rho$  is isomorphic to  $G \times A^\rho$  by  $\phi : G \times A^\rho \rightarrow \Lambda^\rho$ ,  $\phi(g, a) = (g, \rho(g)a)$  and  $\Gamma^\rho = A^\rho$ . Of course,  $N^\rho(H) = G$  and  $\overline{G} = \Gamma^\rho/A^\rho$  is trivial. Thus  $B\rho = EA^\rho/A^\rho = BA^\rho$  with trivial action. So G-A bundles over a connected trivial G-space X are classified by homotopy classes of maps of X into  $BA^\rho$ , some  $\rho : G \rightarrow A$ .

3. G abelian, X has orbit type (H) only. Suppose  $\rho : H \rightarrow A$  extends to a homomorphism  $\hat{\rho} : G \rightarrow A$  with  $A^\rho = \hat{A}^\rho$ . (This is always true if A is the unitary group  $U(n)$ .) Then  $N(H) = G$ ,  $\Lambda^\rho = G \times A^\rho$ ,  $N_\rho(H) = G$ . Further  $\Gamma^\rho = G \times A^\rho/H^\rho$  is isomorphic to  $G/H \times A^\rho$ . In fact, let  $\hat{\phi} : G \times A^\rho \rightarrow G \times A^\rho$  be the isomorphism

$$\hat{\phi}(g, a) = (g, \rho(g)^{-1}a).$$

Then  $\hat{\phi}(h, \rho(h)) = (h, 1)$ . So  $\hat{\phi}$  induces

$$\hat{\phi} : \Gamma^\rho = G \times A^\rho/H^\rho \cong G/H \times A^\rho.$$

Thus

$$B^o = E(G/H) \times EA^o/A^o = E(G/H) \times BA^o$$

and

$$[X, E(G/H) \times BA^o]_G = [\overline{X}, BA^o].$$

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. P. CONNER and E. FLOYD, *Maps of odd period*, Ann. of Math., vol. 84 (1966), pp. 132-156.
2. R. LASHOF, *Lifting semi-free actions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 80 (1980), pp. 167-171.
3. ———, *Obstructions to equivariance and lifting actions in bundles*, preprint, Univ. of Virginia, 1977.
4. ———, *Equivariant bundles*, Illinois J. Math., vol. 26 (1982), pp. 257-271.
5. R. LASHOF, P. MAY and G. SEGAL, *Equivariant bundles with abelian structure group*, Proc. Northwestern Univ. Topology Conference, to appear.

UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO  
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS