

## TAME KUMMER EXTENSIONS AND STICKELBERGER CONDITIONS

BY  
 L. N. CHILDS<sup>1</sup>

In this paper we show that rings of integers of tame Kummer extensions of algebraic number fields  $K$  with Galois group  $G$ , cyclic of odd prime power order, need not represent classes in the class group  $\text{Cl}(O_K G)$  which are images under the action of Stickelberger elements.

More explicitly, let  $l$  be an odd prime,  $G$  a cyclic group of order  $l^n$ ,  $\Delta = \text{Aut}(G)$ . Let

$$\theta = \frac{1}{l^n} \sum_{\delta \in \Delta} t_n(\delta) \delta^{-1},$$

where  $\delta$  in  $\Delta$  acts on  $\sigma$  in  $G$  by  $\delta(\sigma) = \sigma^{t_n(\delta)}$ ,  $0 < t_n(\delta) < l^n$ ,  $(t_n(\delta), l) = 1$ .

Let  $J = \mathbf{Z}\Delta \cap \mathbf{Z}\Delta\theta$ , the Stickelberger ideal [9, page 27].

Let  $R$  be the ring of integers of an algebraic number field  $K$  containing  $\mathcal{Q}(\zeta)$ ,  $\zeta$  a primitive  $l^n$ -th root of unity. Let  $\text{Cl}(RG)$  denote the group of isomorphism classes of rank one projective  $RG$ -modules. Then there is an action of  $\Delta$  on  $\text{Cl}(RG)$  induced by the action of  $\Delta$  on  $G$ . Let  $\overline{RG}$  be the maximal order of  $RG$ ,

$$\overline{RG} = \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} R e_\chi \quad (\hat{G} = \text{Hom}(G, \mathbf{C})) \quad \text{where} \quad e_\chi = \frac{1}{l^n} \sum_{\sigma \in G} \chi(\sigma^{-1}) \sigma.$$

The action of  $\Delta$  on  $G$  induces an action of  $\Delta$  on  $\overline{RG}$  by  $\delta(e_\chi) = e_{\chi\delta^{-1}}$ , so that  $\delta(\sum a_\chi e_\chi) = \sum a_{\chi\delta} e_\chi$ . Then we have an induced action of  $\Delta$  on  $\text{Cl}(\overline{RG}) = \sum_\chi \text{Cl}(R) e_\chi$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote either  $RG$  or  $\overline{RG}$ . We are interested in knowing whether rings of integers of tame extensions  $L$  of  $K$  with group  $G$  yield elements in  $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{A})^J$ , where  $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{A})^J$  is generated by the elements  $A^{\zeta}$  for  $A \in \text{Cl}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\zeta$  in  $J$ . For  $n = 1$ , L. McCulloh [10] has shown this is so.

In this paper we show that for  $n = 2$  there exists a Kummer extension  $L$  of degree  $l^2$  over a number field  $K$  so that the class of  $S = O_L$  is not in  $\text{Cl}(RG)^J$ . This example shows that McCulloh's description of classes of rings of integers of tame extensions in terms of actions on the class group by Stickelberger elements does not have a straightforward extension from the prime order case to the prime power order case.

---

Received May 12, 1982.

<sup>1</sup> Partially supported by the National Science Foundation

Our example also shows that if one defines a product on the set of rings of integers of tame extensions by  $O_{L_1} \cdot O_{L_2} =$  ring of integers of the Harrison product  $L_1 \cdot L_2$  then the map from rings of integers to the class group is not a homomorphism. This is in contrast to the unramified case [6], and further complicates the problem of characterizing the classes of rings of integers of tame extensions.

The approach we take is as follows: given a ring of integers  $S$  of a tame extension  $L$  of  $K$  with group  $G$ , if the class of  $S$  is in  $\text{Cl}(RG)^f$ , then the class of  $\bar{S} = S \otimes_{RG} \overline{RG}$  is in  $\text{Cl}(\overline{RG})^f = (\sum_{\chi \in G} \text{Cl}(R)e_\chi)^f$ . By choosing  $K, L$  appropriately, we show that this latter situation cannot hold.

*Notation.* For an integer  $a$ ,  $t_r(a)$  denotes the remainder upon dividing  $a$  by  $r$ ; hence  $0 \leq t_r(a) < r$ .

### 1. Description of the class group

Throughout,  $G$  is a cyclic group of order  $l^n$ ,  $l$  an odd prime. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote either  $RG$  or  $\overline{RG}$ . Then  $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{A})$  may be described as a group of idele classes,

$$(1.1) \quad \text{Cl}(\mathcal{A}) \cong J(KG)/(KG)^*U(\mathcal{A})$$

(cf. [4]); the map is as follows: Let  $M$  be a rank one projective  $\mathcal{A}$ -module. Then  $M_{(l)}$ , the semilocalization of  $M$  "at  $(l)$ ", is free, so

$$M_{(l)} = \mathcal{A}_{(l)}v$$

for some basis element  $v$ . Also, for any prime  $p$  prime to  $(l)$ ,  $M_p = R_p G u_p$  (note—away from  $(l)$ ,  $\overline{RG} = RG$ ). So  $u_p = \alpha_p v$  for some  $\alpha_p$  in  $KG$ . For  $p \mid (l)$ , set  $\alpha_p = 1$ . View  $\alpha_p$  in  $K_p G$ , the completion of  $KG$  at  $p$ ; then the vector of  $\alpha_p$ 's,  $(\alpha_p)$  defines an idele in  $J(KG)$ . The isomorphism of (1.1) is then defined by sending the class of  $M$  to the class of  $(\alpha_p)$ .

In general, if  $\mathcal{A} = RG$  or  $\overline{RG}$ ,  $R$  is semilocal, and  $M$  is a rank one projective  $\mathcal{A}$ -module, then  $M$  is free,  $M = \mathcal{A}v$ . If  $\mathcal{A} = RG$ , then the basis element  $v$  generates a normal basis  $\{\sigma(v) \mid \sigma \in G\}$ . If  $\mathcal{A} = \overline{RG}$ , then  $v$  generates an  $R$ -basis  $\{w_\chi \mid \chi \in G\}$  of  $M$  where  $w_\chi = e_\chi v$ . Following [5, Section 2], we call a set  $\{w_\chi\}$  of non-zero elements of the rank one projective  $\overline{RG}$ -module  $M$  a *Kummer basis* if for all  $\chi, \psi$  in  $\hat{G}$ ,  $e_\psi w_\chi = \delta_{\psi, \chi} w_\chi$  and  $\{w_\chi\}$  is an  $R$ -basis of  $M$ . If  $\{w_\chi\}$  is a Kummer basis of  $M$ , then  $v = \sum w_\chi$  is an  $\overline{RG}$ -basis of  $M$ .

Note that if  $\{w_\chi\}$  is a Kummer basis of  $M$ , then, since  $\sigma e_\chi = \chi(\sigma)e_\chi$ , an easy computation shows that

$$Rw_\chi = e_\chi \overline{M} = M^\chi \quad \text{where } M^\chi = \{a \in M \mid \sigma(a) = \chi(\sigma)a \text{ for all } \sigma \text{ in } G\}.$$

When  $\mathcal{A} = \overline{RG}$ ,  $\text{Cl}(\overline{RG}) \cong \sum_\chi \text{Cl}(R)e_\chi$ ; given local basis elements  $v, u_p$  for  $M$  as above, the local basis elements corresponding to the component  $\text{Cl}(R)e_\chi$  are the Kummer basis elements  $e_\chi v = w_\chi$  and  $e_\chi u_p$ . That is, for each  $p$  and  $\chi$ ,  $e_\chi u_p = \alpha_{p,\chi} w_\chi$  for some  $\alpha_{p,\chi} \in K^*$ ; the idele  $(\alpha_{p,\chi})_p$  of  $J(K)$  yields, as in (1.1), a class in  $J(K)/K^*U(R) \cong \text{Cl}(R)$  which is the component of the class of  $M$  corresponding to  $e_\chi$  in  $\text{Cl}(\overline{RG})$ . We shall exploit this use of Kummer bases below.

**2. Stickelberger conditions**

In [2] we showed that if  $M$  is a  $Z\Delta$ -module, written additively, then  $a$  is in  $M^J$  iff there exists  $b$  in  $M$  so that  $\alpha a = \alpha \theta b$  for all  $\alpha$  in  $A$ , the  $Z$ -submodule of  $Z\Delta$  generated by  $l^n$  and  $\{\delta - t(\delta) \mid \delta \in \Delta\}$ .

In particular, if  $a$  is in  $M^J$ , then there is some  $b$  in  $M$  so that

$$l^n a = l^n \theta b = \sum_{\delta \in \Delta} t(\delta) \delta^{-1}(b).$$

Let  $\hat{G} = \langle \chi_1 \rangle$  and if  $\chi = \chi_1^k$ , denote the idempotent  $e_\chi$  of  $\overline{RG}$  by  $e_k$ .

Now consider  $M = \text{Cl}(\overline{RG}) = \sum_{k=0}^{l^n-1} \text{Cl}(R)e_k$ . If  $a = \sum_k a_k e_k$  is in  $\text{Cl}(\overline{RG})^J$ , then there exists  $b$  in  $\text{Cl}(RG)^J$ , then there exists  $b$  in  $\text{Cl}(RG)$  such that  $l^n a = l^n \theta b$ , that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{l^n-1} l^n a_k e_k &= l^n \theta \sum_{k=0}^{l^n-1} b_k e_k \\ &= \sum_{\delta \in \Delta} t(\delta) \delta^{-1} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{l^n-1} b_k e_k \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\delta^{-1}(\chi_1^k) = \chi_1^k \delta = \chi_1^{kt(\delta)} = \chi_1^{t_n(kt(\delta))}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{l^n-1} l^n a_k e_k &= \sum_{k=0}^{l^n-1} \sum_{\delta \in \Delta} t(\delta) b_k e_{t_n(kt(\delta))} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{l^n-1} \sum_{\delta \in \Delta} t(\delta) b_{t_n(kt(\delta-1))} e_k. \end{aligned}$$

Equating coefficients of  $e_k$  for each  $k$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq l^n - 1$ , we have

$$(2.2) \quad l^n a_k = \sum_{\delta \in \Delta} t(\delta) b_{t_n(kt(\delta-1))}$$

where, recall,  $t_n(m)$  is the remainder upon dividing  $m$  by  $l^n$ .

**3. Tame extensions**

Let  $L$  be a tame Galois extension of  $K$  with group  $G$ , cyclic of order  $l^n$ . Let  $R, S$  be the rings of integers of  $K, L$ , respectively. Then  $S_{(l)}$  is unramified over  $R_{(l)}$ , so there exists  $v$  in  $S$  so that  $S_{(l)} = R_{(l)}Gv$  with  $\sum_{\sigma} \sigma(v) = 1$ . For  $\chi \in \hat{G}$ , let

$$z_\chi = \sum \chi(\sigma)^{-1} \sigma^l(v) = l^n e_\chi v.$$

Then  $\sigma(z_\chi) = \chi(\sigma)z_\chi$  and so, if  $\chi(\sigma) = \zeta$ ,  $z_\chi^n = 1 + (1 - \zeta)r$  for some  $r$  in  $R$ ; hence  $z_\chi^n$  is a unit in  $R_{(l)}$ . Let  $z_{\chi_1} = z$ .

Let  $S^\chi = \{s \in S \mid \sigma(s) = \chi(\sigma)s \text{ for all } \sigma \text{ in } G\}$  for  $\chi$  in  $\hat{G}$ , and let  $\tilde{S} = \sum_{\chi \in G} S^\chi$ , the Kummer order of  $S$  [5]. Since  $S_{(l)} = R_{(l)}Gv$ , an easy computation shows

that  $S_{(l)}^{\chi_1} = R_{(l)}z$ ; since  $z^{l^n}$  is a unit in  $R_{(l)}$ , if  $\chi = \chi_1^k$ ,  $R_{(l)}z_\chi = R_{(l)}z^k$ . Hence  $\bar{S}_{(l)} = \sum_k R_{(l)}z^k$ .

Let  $\bar{S} = S \otimes_{RG} \overline{RG}$ .

(3.1) LEMMA.  $\bar{S}_{(l)} \cong \bar{S}_{(l)} = S_{(l)} \otimes_{RG} \overline{RG}$ .

*Proof.* Replace  $R$  by  $R_{(l)}$ , and drop the localization subscript  $(l)$  in this proof.

Now  $\overline{RG} = \sum_\chi Re_\chi$ , and the map  $RG \rightarrow \overline{RG}$  sends  $\alpha$  to  $\sum_\chi \alpha e_\chi = \sum_\chi \chi(\alpha)e_\chi$ . So  $\bar{S} = \sum e_\chi S$ . Let  $\phi: \bar{S} \rightarrow \bar{S}$  be multiplication by  $l^n = g$ , the order of  $G$ . Then

$$\phi\left(\sum_\chi e_\chi s_\chi\right) = \sum_\chi \left(\sum_\sigma \chi(\sigma)\sigma^{-1}(s_\chi)\right);$$

and

$$\sum_\sigma \chi(\sigma)\sigma^{-1}(s_\chi) \in S^\chi \text{ for each } \chi.$$

Clearly  $\phi$  is 1-1. To show  $\phi$  is onto, let  $b = \sum_\chi Re_\chi \alpha$ ; then  $\bar{S} = \sum_\chi Re_\chi \alpha$ . If  $b \in S^\chi$ ,  $b = \sum_\tau a_\tau \tau(\alpha)$ , then

$$\sigma(b) = \sum a_{\sigma^{-1}\tau} \tau(\alpha) = \sum_\chi \chi(\sigma)a_\tau \tau(\alpha).$$

Hence  $a_{\sigma^{-1}\tau} = \chi(\sigma)a_\tau$  for all  $\sigma, \tau$ , so

$$b = a_1 \sum_\tau \chi(\tau^{-1})\tau(\alpha) = a_1 g e_\chi \alpha.$$

Then  $b = \phi(a_1 e_\chi \alpha)$  in  $\phi S$ .

It follows easily that  $\{z^k/l^n \mid k = 0, 1, \dots, l^n - 1\}$  is a Kummer basis for  $\bar{S}_{(l)}$ .

### 4. The strategy

Let  $K$  be a number field containing  $Q(\zeta)$ ,  $\zeta$  a primitive  $l^n$  root of unity, and let  $R = O_K$ .

(4.1) PROPOSITION. *If  $d$  in  $R$  such that  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{(1 - \zeta)^e}$  where  $e$  is sufficiently large, and  $L = K[z]$ ,  $z^{l^n} = d$ , then  $L$  is unramified at all primes  $p$  of  $R$  dividing  $(l)$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $d$  is an  $l^n$ -th power in  $K_p$ , the completion of  $K$  at  $p$ , for then  $p$  will split completely in  $L$ . But for  $e$  sufficiently large, the exponential and logarithm functions may be defined, and an  $l^n$ -th root of  $d$  may be obtained as  $\exp((\log d)/l^n)$ : see [8], Chapter V, 3.6, page 151.

Now restrict to  $n = 2$ , and assume  $K$  contains a primitive  $l^2$  root of unity.

Let  $(d) = \mathcal{P}_1^{q_1} \mathcal{P}_2^{q_2}, \dots, \mathcal{P}_r^{q_r}$ . Suppose  $(q_i, l) = 1$ . Let  $L = K[z]$ ,  $z^{l^2} = d$ . Then  $\{z^i/l^2\}$  is a Kummer basis for  $\bar{S}_Q$  at all primes  $Q \neq \mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_r$ , and in particular at  $(l)$ .

Pick a prime  $\mathcal{P}_i = \mathcal{P}$  and drop the subscript  $i$ . Let  $\pi$  be a uniformizing parameter at  $\mathcal{P}$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{P}R_{\mathcal{P}} = \pi R_{\mathcal{P}}$ . Then  $z^{l^2} = \pi^q u_1$  for some unit  $u_1$  in  $R_{\mathcal{P}}$ . Let  $qh = 1 + l^2s$ , and let  $w = z^h/\pi^s$ . Then

$$w^{l^2} = \frac{(z^{l^2})^h}{\pi^{sl^2}} = \frac{\pi^{qh}}{\pi^{sl^2}} u_2 = \pi u_2,$$

$u_2$  a unit of  $R_{\mathcal{P}}$ .

Thus  $w$  is a root of the Eisenstein polynomial  $x^{l^2} - \pi u_2$ , so  $O_{L,\mathcal{P}} = S_{\mathcal{P}} = R_{\mathcal{P}}[w]$  and  $\{w^i \mid 0 \leq i < l^2\}$  is a Kummer basis for  $S_{\mathcal{P}}$  as an  $R_{\mathcal{P}}G$ -module (cf. [1]); moreover,

$$w^q = \frac{z^{1+l^2s}}{\pi^{sq}} = \frac{z\pi^{sq}}{\pi^{sq}} u = uz$$

for some unit  $u$  of  $R_{\mathcal{P}}$ . So for  $1 \leq s \leq l - 1$ ,

$$S_{\mathcal{P}}^{\chi_1^{ls}} = \{a \in S \mid \sigma(a) = \chi_1^{ls}(\sigma)a\} = S_{\mathcal{P}} \cap Kz^{ls} = R_{\mathcal{P}} w^{t_2(qls)},$$

where  $t_2(m) =$  remainder on dividing  $m$  by  $l^2$ . The  $ls$ -components  $(\alpha_{\mathcal{P},ls})$ ,  $s = 1, \dots, l - 1$ , of the idele associated to  $\bar{S}$  at  $\mathcal{P}$ , satisfy

$$w^{t_2(qls)} = \alpha_{\mathcal{P},ls}(z^{ls}/l^2)$$

and  $\alpha_{\mathcal{P},ls}$  is obtained as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} w^{t_2(qls)} &= w^{qls}(w^{t_2(qls)-qls}) \\ &= (uz)^{ls} w^{l^2[(t_2(qls)-qls)/l^2]}. \end{aligned}$$

So, recalling that  $l^2$  is a unit mod  $\mathcal{P}$ , there is a unit  $u$  of  $R_{\mathcal{P}}$  so that

$$\alpha_{\mathcal{P},ls} = u\pi^{[(t_2(qls)-qls)/l^2]} = u\pi^{[(t_1(qs)-qs)/l]}.$$

If we have an idele which has (up to local unit factors) local components  $\pi_i^{r_i}$  at  $\mathcal{P}_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , and 1 elsewhere, its image in  $\text{Cl}(R)$  under the isomorphism of (1.1) (with  $G = (1)$ ) is the class of the ideal  $\prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{P}_i^{r_i}$ . Hence, the image of  $\bar{S}$  in

$$M = \sum_{s=1}^{l-1} \text{Cl}(R)e_{ls}$$

(the part of  $\text{Cl}(\overline{RG})$  corresponding to  $e_{ls}$ ,  $s = 1, \dots, l - 1$ ) is

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum_{s=1}^{l-1} \mathcal{A}_s e_{ls} \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{A}_s = \prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{P}_i^{[(t_1(qis)-qis)/l]}.$$

Now  $M$  is a  $Z\Delta$ -direct summand of  $\text{Cl}(\overline{RG})$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  is in  $M^J$ , then there exists  $\mathcal{B} = \sum_{s=1}^{l-1} \mathcal{B}_s e_{1s}$  in  $M$  so that  $\mathcal{A}^{l^2} = \mathcal{B}^{l^2\theta}$ ; in particular, following (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_s^{l^2} &= \prod_{\delta \in \Delta} \mathcal{B}_{t_1(st_2(\delta^{-1}))}^{t_2(\delta)} \quad (s = 1, \dots, l-1) \\ &= \prod_{\delta \in \Delta} \mathcal{B}_{t_1(st_1(\delta^{-1}))}^{t_2(\delta)} \quad (s = 1, \dots, l-1). \end{aligned}$$

For  $s = 1$ ,

$$\mathcal{A}_1^{l^2} = \prod_{\delta \in \Delta} \mathcal{B}_{t_1(\delta^{-1})}^{t_2(\delta)};$$

For  $s = l-1$ ,

$$\mathcal{A}_{l-1}^{l^2} = \prod_{\delta \in \Delta} \mathcal{B}_{t_1((l-1)t_1(\delta^{-1}))}^{t_2(\delta)}.$$

But  $(l-1)t_1(\delta^{-1}) \equiv l^2 - t_2(\delta^{-1}) \pmod{l}$ , so

$$t_1((l-1)t_1(\delta^{-1})) = t_1(l^2 - t_2(\delta^{-1})),$$

and so

$$\mathcal{A}_{l-1}^{l^2} = \prod_{\delta \in \Delta} \mathcal{B}_{t_1(l^2 - t_2(\delta^{-1}))}^{t_2(\delta)} = \prod_{\delta \in \Delta} \mathcal{B}_{t_1(\delta^{-1})}^{l^2 - t_2(\delta)}$$

Multiplying, get

$$(4.2) \quad (\mathcal{A}_1 \mathcal{A}_{l-1})^{l^2} = \prod_{\delta \in \Delta} \mathcal{B}_{t_1(\delta^{-1})}^{l^2}$$

Since for each  $s$ ,  $1 \leq s \leq l-1$ , there are  $l$  elements of  $\Delta \cong (Z/l^2Z)^*$  with  $t_1(\delta^{-1}) = s$ , we get

$$(\mathcal{A}_1 \mathcal{A}_{l-1})^{l^2} = \left( \prod_{s=1}^{l-1} \mathcal{B}_s \right)^{l^3} = \mathcal{C}^{l^3}$$

for some ideal  $\mathcal{C}$ . Now

$$\mathcal{A}_1 = \prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{P}_i^{(t_1(q_i) - q_i)/l}$$

and

$$(4.3) \quad \mathcal{A}_{l-1} = \prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{P}_i^{(t_1(q_i(l-1)) - q_i(l-1))/l}.$$

So

$$\mathcal{A}_1 \mathcal{A}_{l-1} = \prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{P}_i^{1 - q_i}$$

Hence (4.2) becomes

$$(4.4) \quad \left( \prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{P}_i^{1 - q_i} \right)^{l^2} = \mathcal{C}^{l^3}.$$

(4.5) PROPOSITION. Let  $K \subset \mathbf{Q}(\zeta)$ ,  $\zeta$  a primitive  $l^2$ -root of unity. If there exists  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{(1 - \zeta)^m}$ ,  $m$  sufficiently large, such that

$$(d) = \mathcal{P}_1^{q_1}, \dots, \mathcal{P}_r^{q_r},$$

and  $(\prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{P}_i^{1-q_i})^{l^2}$  is not the class of an  $l^3$  power in  $\text{Cl}(O_K)$ , then there exists a tame extension  $L$  of  $K$ , namely  $L = K(d^{1/l^2})$ , with Galois group  $G$  cyclic of order  $l^2$  so that the class of  $O_L$  is not in  $\text{Cl}(O_K G)^J$ .

It suffices to choose  $m \geq l(2l - 1)$ .

### 5. An example

Let  $K$  be a number field containing a  $l^2$  root of unity  $\zeta$  such that  $\text{Cl}(O_K)$  has a cyclic direct summand of degree  $l^3$ . Such a field can be found by a result of Sonn [11].

Let  $e$  be as in (4.1) (for  $n = 2$ ) and  $(1 - \zeta)^e = \mathfrak{m}$ .

Let  $I_{\mathfrak{m}}$  be the subgroup of ideals of  $K$  prime to  $\mathfrak{m}$ ,  $S_{\mathfrak{m}}$  the subgroup of principal ideals  $(d)$ ,  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ . Then  $I_{\mathfrak{m}}/S_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is a finite group mapping surjectively onto  $\text{Cl}(O_K)$ .

By Dirichlet's theorem [7, p. V-3] every class in  $I_{\mathfrak{m}}/S_{\mathfrak{m}}$  contains infinitely many prime ideals of  $K$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a class in  $I_{\mathfrak{m}}/S_{\mathfrak{m}}$  whose image in  $\text{Cl}(O_K)$  generates the cyclic direct summand of degree  $l^3$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  has order  $k$  in  $I_{\mathfrak{m}}/S_{\mathfrak{m}}$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_{k-1}$  be primes in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Let  $(d) = \mathcal{P}_1^2 \mathcal{P}_2, \dots, \mathcal{P}_{k-1}$  with  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ .

Let  $L = K[z]$ ,  $z^{l^2} = d$ . Then, by (4.1),  $L$  is a tame extension of  $K$ .

So Proposition (4.4) yields the equation  $\mathcal{P}_1^{-l^2} = \mathcal{C}^{l^3}$ , which cannot be solved since  $\mathcal{P}_1$  generates a cyclic direct summand of  $\text{Cl}(O_K)$  of order  $l^3$ . Hence:

(5.1) THEOREM. There exists a number field  $K$  and a tame Galois extension  $L$  of  $K$  with Galois group  $G$  cyclic of order  $l^2$  for which the class in  $\text{Cl}(O_K G)$  of  $O_L$  is not in  $\text{Cl}(O_K G)^J$ .

(5.2) Remark. Let  $T(R, G)$  denote the set of  $R$ -algebras  $S$  which are integral closures of  $R$  in Galois extensions  $L$  of  $K$  with group  $G$ , which are tamely ramified. Let  $N(R, G)$  be the subset consisting of  $S$  such that  $L/K$  is unramified (at all finite primes).

There is a multiplication (Harrison product) on Galois extensions  $L/K$ , given by

$$L_1 \cdot L_2 = (L = \otimes_K L_2)^{DG} \quad \text{where} \quad DG = \{(\sigma, \sigma^{-1}) \in G \times G\}.$$

This induces a multiplication on  $T(R, G)$  by letting  $S_1 \cdot S_2$  be the integral closure of  $R$  in  $L_1 \cdot L_2$ . This multiplication on  $N(R, G)$  makes  $N(R, G)$  into an abelian group, and Garfunkel and Orzech [6] have shown that the map  $\tau: T(R, G) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(RG)$ ,  $\tau(S)$  the class of  $S$  in  $\text{Cl}(RG)$ , is a homomorphism when restricted to  $N(R, G)$ . But the example of (5.1) shows that  $\tau$  need not be

a homomorphism on  $T(R, G)$ . For if  $L$  is the quotient field of  $S$  and  $L$  is a Galois extension of  $K$  with group  $G$ , cyclic of order  $l^2$ , then  $L^2$  is the trivial Galois extension,  $L^2 \cong \text{Hom}(G, K)$ . Hence the  $l^2$ -fold product of  $S$  with itself in  $T(R, G)$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Hom}(G, R)$ , which is trivial in  $\text{Cl}(RG)$ . But taking the example of (5.1) for  $S$ , if the class of  $\overline{S}$ , raised to the  $l^2$  power, were trivial in  $\text{Cl}(RG)$ , then the class of  $(S \otimes_{RG} \overline{RG})$ , raised to the  $l^2$  power in  $\text{Cl}(\overline{RG}) = \sum \text{Cl}(R)e_k$ , would be trivial. But the image of  $(S \otimes_{RG} \overline{RG})$  in  $\text{Cl}(R)e_{l(l-1)}$  can be obtained from (4.3) with  $q_1 = 2$ :

$$\mathcal{A}_{l-1} = \mathcal{P}_1^{[l_1(2l-1) - 2(l-1)]/l} = \mathcal{P}_1^{-1}.$$

So if the  $l^2$  power of the class of  $S$  were trivial in  $\text{Cl}(RG)$ , then the  $l^2$  power of the class of  $\mathcal{P}_1^{-1}$  would be trivial in  $\text{Cl}(R)$ . But we chose  $\mathcal{P}_1$  in the example of (5.1) so that the class of  $\mathcal{P}_1^{-l^2}$  is non-trivial. Hence:

(5.3) If multiplication in  $T(R, G)$  is defined by letting  $S_1 \cdot S_2$  be the integral closure of  $R$  in  $L_1 \cdot L_2$ , the Harrison product of  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ , then the "take the class" map from  $T(R, G)$  to  $\text{Cl}(RG)$  need not be a homomorphism.

#### REFERENCES

1. B. J. BIRCH, "Cyclotomic fields and Kummer extensions" in *Algebraic number theory*, J. W. S. Cassels and A. Frohlich, eds, Thompson, Washington, D.C., 1967, pp. 85-93.
2. L. N. CHILDS, *A Stickelberger condition on cyclic Galois extensions*, Canadian J. Math., vol. 34 (1982), pp. 686-690.
3. ———, *Abelian Galois extensions of rings containing roots of unity*, Illinois J. Math., vol. 15 (1971), pp. 273-280.
4. A. FROHLICH, *Locally free modules over arithmetic orders*, J. Reine Angew. Math., vol. 274/275 (1975), pp. 112-129.
5. ———, *The module structure of Kummer extensions over Dedekind domains*, J. Reine Angew. Math., vol. 209 (1962), pp. 39-53.
6. G. GARFINKEL and M. ORZECH, *Galois extensions as modules over the group ring*, Canadian Math. J., vol. 22 (1970), pp. 242-248.
7. K. IWASAWA, "Class fields" in *Seminar on complex multiplication*, A. Borel, et al. ed., Springer Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 21, 1966, chapter V.
8. G. J. JANUSZ, *Algebraic number fields*, Academic Press, New York, 1973.
9. S. LANG, *Cyclotomic fields*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.
10. L. MCCULLOH, "A Stickelberger condition on Galois module structure for Kummer extension of prime degree" in *Algebraic number fields*, A. Frohlich, ed., Academic Press, London, 1977, pp. 561-588.
11. J. SONN, *Class groups and Brauer groups*, Israel J. Math., vol. 34 (1979), pp. 97-105.