## LIFTING HOMOMORPHISMS OF MODULES

## BY

## ROBERT M. GURALNICK

Throughout this note R will denote a commutative noetherian ring with an ideal P. Let  $\Lambda$  be a module finite R-algebra and M and N finitely generated A-modules. Obviously, if  $M \approx N$ , then  $M_k = M/P^kM \approx N_k$  for each  $k > 0$ . The reverse implication has been studied in the case  $R$  is a discrete valuation ring and  $P$  is the maximal ideal of  $R$ . Maranda [4] and Higman [3] considered the case where  $\Lambda$  is an order in a separable algebra and M and N are R-free and proved that there exists a positive integer k (depending only on  $\Lambda$ ) such that  $M_k \approx N_k$  implies  $M \approx N$ . Reiner [5] extended this result and proved

**THEOREM** (Reiner). Let R be a dvr with quotient field K. If  $\Lambda$  is an order in an arbitrary finite dimensional K-algebra and M and N are R-free  $\Lambda$ -modules, then  $M_k \simeq N_k$  for all  $k > 0$  implies  $M \simeq N$ .

In this article, we extend this result in several directions. We only assume R is a local noetherian ring, P is its maximal ideal,  $\Lambda$  is module finite over R, and  $M$  and  $N$  are finitely generated. Moreover, we show that it suffices to check if  $M_k \approx N_k$  for a sufficiently large k instead of all k. It is easy to see that the  $k$  can no longer be chosen to depend only on  $\Lambda$ ; in our result,  $k$  depends on  $M$  and  $N$ . The main ingredient in the proof is the following weak version of the Artin-Rees Lemma (see [1, p. 197]).

**LEMMA.** If L is a finitely generated R-module with a submodule  $L_0$ , then there exists a nonnegative integer e such that  $L_0 \cap P^{e+j}L \subset P^{j}L_0$  for all  $j > 0$ .

Our first result shows that homomorphisms from  $M_k$  to  $N_k$  can be lifted to homomorphisms from  $M$  to  $N$  provided  $k$  is large and we don't insist they agree completely on  $M_k$ .

**THEOREM A.** There exists a nonnegative integer  $e = e_p(M, N)$  such that if

$$
\sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(M_{f+e}, N_{f+e}) \quad \text{for } f > 0,
$$

then there exists  $\tau \in \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(M, N)$  with  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$  inducing the same maps from  $M_f$  to  $N_f$ .

Received November 23, 1982.

(C) 1985 by the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois Manufactured in the United States of America

*Proof.* Choose presentations  $\Lambda^{(n)}/A\Lambda^{(t)} = M$  and  $\Lambda^{(n)}/B\Lambda^{(t)} = N$  where A and  $B \in \Lambda_{n \times t}$ , the set of  $n \times t$  matrices over  $\Lambda$ . Define  $T: \Lambda_n \times \Lambda_t \to \Lambda_{n \times t}$ by  $T(U, V) = UA - BV$ . Here  $\Lambda_n$  is the ring of  $n \times n$  matrices over  $\Lambda$ . By the Artin-Rees Lemma, there exists a nonnegative integer e satisfying

$$
\operatorname{im} T \cap P^{e+f} \Lambda_{n \times t} \subset P^f \operatorname{im} T. \tag{*}
$$

Now if  $\sigma \in \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(M_{t+\epsilon}, N_{t+\epsilon})$ , choose  $U_0 \in \Lambda_n$  inducing  $\sigma$  on

$$
M_{f+e} = \Lambda^{(n)}/(A\Lambda^{(t)} + P^{f+e}\Lambda^{(n)}).
$$

Since  $U_0 A \Lambda^{(t)} B \Lambda^{(t)} + P^{f+e} \Lambda^{(n)}$ , we can find  $V_0$  with  $U_0 A \equiv BV_0 \pmod{P^{f+e}}$ Thus

$$
T(U_0, V_0) \in P^{e+f} \Lambda_{n \times t}
$$

and so by (\*),  $T(U_0, V_0) = T(U_1, V_1)$  for some  $(U_1, V_1) \in P^f(\Lambda_n \times \Lambda_i)$ . Set  $U' = U_0 - U_1$  and  $V' = V_0 - V_1$ . Then  $T(U', V') = 0$ , and so U' induces a A-homomorphism  $\tau$  of M into N. Since  $U = U' \mod P^f$ ,  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$  induce the same maps from  $M_f$  to  $N_f$  as desired. same maps from  $M_f$  to  $N_f$  as desired.

For the next two corollaries, assume  $R$  is local and  $P$  is its maximal ideal. An easy consequence of the theorem is our promised generalization of Reiner's theorem.

COROLLARY 1. Let  $l = \max\{e_p(M, N), e_p(N, M)\}$ . If  $M_{l+1} \simeq N_{l+1}$ , then  $M \approx N$ .

*Proof.* Choose inverse isomorphisms  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  between  $M_{l+1}$  and  $N_{l+1}$ . By the theorem, we can find  $\beta_1 \in \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(M, N)$  and  $\beta_2 \in \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(N, M)$  such that  $\alpha_1 = \beta_1$  in Hom<sub>A</sub>( $M_1$ ,  $N_1$ ) and  $\alpha_2 = \beta_2$  in Hom<sub>A</sub>( $N_1$ ,  $M_1$ ). Hence  $\beta_1 \beta_2$ induces an automorphism of  $M_1$  and thus  $\beta_1\beta_2$  is a surjective endomorphism by Nakayama's Lemma. As M is noetherian, this implies  $\beta_1 \beta_2 \in \text{Aut}_{\Lambda}(M)$ . Similarly  $\beta_2 \beta_1 \in \text{Aut}_{\Lambda}(N)$ . Hence  $\beta_1$  is an isomorphism from M to N as desired.

Write  $N/M$  if N is isomorphic to a summand of M. Let l be defined as above.

COROLLARY 2. If 
$$
N_{l+1}|M_{l+1}
$$
, then  $N|M$ .  
\n*Proof.* Since  $N_{l+1}|M_{l+1}$ , there exist  
\n $\alpha_1 \in \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(N_{l+1}, M_{l+1})$  and  $\alpha_2 \in \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(M_{l+1}, N_{l+1})$ 

so that  $\alpha_2 \alpha_1 \in \text{Aut}_{\Lambda}(N_{l+1})$ . By the theorem, we can find

$$
\beta_1 \in \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(N, M) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_2 \in H_{\Lambda}(M, N)
$$

so that  $\beta_2 \beta_1$  induces the same automorphism as  $\alpha_2 \alpha_1$  on  $N_1$ . Hence  $\beta_2 \beta_1 \in$ Aut<sub> $\Lambda$ </sub>(N) and so  $M \simeq N \oplus \ker \beta_2$  as desired.

Note that Corollary 2 does not imply that if  $M_{l+1}$  is decomposable, then M is. Indeed, this is easily seen to be false. A version of this is true if  $R$  is complete. We first need <sup>a</sup> preliminary lemma.

**LEMMA.** There exists a nonnegative integer  $g = g_p(M, N)$  such that if

$$
\sigma\in \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(M,N) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(M)\subset P^{f+g}N,
$$

then  $\sigma \in P^f$ Hom<sub>A</sub> $(M, N)$ .

*Proof.* Choose generators  $m_1, \ldots, m_n$  for M. Define an R-linear map

$$
\theta\colon\mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda}(M,N)\to N^{(n)}
$$

by  $\theta(\sigma) = (\sigma(m_1), \ldots, \sigma(m_n)).$ 

Again, by the Artin-Rees Lemma, there exists a nonnegative integer g such that

$$
\operatorname{im} \theta \cap P^{f+g}N^{(n)} \subset P^f \operatorname{im} \theta
$$

for all  $f > 0$ . Thus if  $\sigma(M) \subset P^{f+g}N$ ,  $\theta(\sigma) = \theta(\tau)$  for some  $\tau \in$  $P<sup>f</sup>$  Hom<sub> $\Lambda$ </sub> $(M, N)$ . Hence  $\sigma(m_i) = \tau(m_i)$  and so  $\sigma = \tau \in P<sup>f</sup>$  Hom $\Lambda$  $(M, N)$ .

**THEOREM B.** Let  $R$  be a noetherian local ring with maximal ideal  $P$  which is complete with respect to the P-adic topology. Let  $\Lambda$  be a module finite R-algebra and M a finitely generated  $\Lambda$ -module. Set  $v = v(M) = e_p(M, M) + g_p(M, M)$ . If  $M_{\nu+1}$  is decomposable, then so is M.

*Proof.* Let  $E = \text{End}_{\Lambda}(M)$ . If  $M_{\nu+1}$  is decomposable, there exists a nontrivial idempotent  $\alpha \in \text{End}_{\Lambda}(M_{\nu+1})$ . By Theorem A, we can choose  $\beta \in \text{End}_{\Lambda}(M)$ such that  $\beta$  and  $\alpha$  agree on  $M_{\nu+1-e}$ . Thus

$$
(\beta - \beta^2)(M) \subset P^{\nu+1-e}M = P^{g+1}M.
$$

Hence by the lemma,  $\beta - \beta^2 \in PE$ . Note since  $\beta$  and  $\alpha$  induce the same endomorphism of  $M_1$ ,  $\beta$  is neither the zero map nor an isomorphism on  $M_1$ . Hence neither  $\beta$  nor  $1 - \beta \in PE$ . This shows  $E/PE$  has a nontrivial idempotent. Since  $E$  is a module finite R-algebra and  $R$  is complete, this implies  $E$ has a nontrivial idempotent  $\delta$ . Hence  $M = \delta(M) \oplus \ker \delta$  is decomposable.

Special cases of Theorem B have been obtained by Maranda, Heller, and Reiner. For references, see [2, Section 76] and [5].

In general, it seems fairly difficult to determine the integers  $e<sub>p</sub>(M, N)$  and  $g_p(M, N)$ . However, if R is a discrete valuation ring with prime  $P = (\pi)$ ,  $\Lambda$  is R-torsion-free (for e) and M and N are R-torsion-free (for g), then one can obtain upper bounds by computing the Smith normal form for the maps T and  $\theta$  constructed above. For example, if T has invariants  $\pi^{a_1}, \ldots, \pi^{a_r}, 0, \ldots, 0$ , then  $e_p(M, N) \leq \max\{a_i\}.$ 

## **REFERENCES**

1. N. BOURBAKI, Commutatioe algebra, Hermann, Paris, 1972.

- 2. C.W. CURTIS and I. REINER, Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras, Wiley, New York, 1962.
- 3. D.G. HIGMAN, On representations of orders ooer Dedekind domains, Canadian J. Math., vol. <sup>12</sup> (1960), pp. 107-125.
- 4. J.M. MARANDA, On p-adic integral representations of finite groups, Canadian J. Math., vol. <sup>5</sup> (1953), pp. 344-355.
- 5. I. REINER, Lifting isomorphisms of modules, Canadian J. Math., vol. 31 (1979), pp. 808-811.

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA