

## AN INVARIANT MEAN VALUE PROPERTY IN THE POLYDISC

JAESUNG LEE

### I. Introduction

In [AFR], the authors showed that:

If  $f$  is a bounded function on an  $n$ -dimensional unit ball  $B_n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  satisfying

$$\int_{B_n} f \circ \psi \, dm = f(\psi(0)) \quad \text{for every } \psi \in \text{Aut}(B_n) \quad (1)$$

(where  $m$  is the normalized Lebesgue measure) then  $f$  is  $M$ -harmonic.

And if  $f \in L^1(B_n, m)$  satisfies (1), then  $f$  is  $M$ -harmonic if and only if  $n \leq 11$ .

In this paper, we answer the question of whether the similar phenomenon happens in the  $n$ -dimensional polydisc  $D^n$ .

Following Definition 2.1.1 from [Ru1], we say that  $f \in C^2(D^n)$  is  $n$ -harmonic if

$$\Delta_1 f = \Delta_2 f = \cdots = \Delta_n f = 0.$$

We can see that if  $f \in C^2(D^n)$  is  $n$ -harmonic then  $f$  satisfies the invariant volume mean value property, i.e.,

$$\int_D \cdots \int_D f \circ \psi \, dm \cdots dm = f(\psi(0, \dots, 0)), \quad \forall \psi \in \text{Aut}(D^n) \quad (2)$$

since  $f \circ \psi$  is  $n$ -harmonic and thus satisfies the ordinary volume mean value property.

This paper is about the converse of the above statement, asking if  $f \in L^p(D^n)$  satisfies (2), is  $f$  is  $n$ -harmonic?

Furstenberg [Fur] has already given a positive answer in the space which includes the unit ball and the polydisc of all dimension when  $p = \infty$ , using the methods of symmetric spaces. But his proof is not very widely known to analysts. Even in recent years, many papers with related results such as [AC], [Eng], [AFR] were written without noticing or mentioning the results of Furstenberg.

In this paper, we get the proof in the case when  $p = \infty$  by using the results of [AFR], giving a completely independent analytic proof of Furstenberg's result in the case of the polydisc (Theorem 3.1).

---

Received April 23, 1997.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 31B05; Secondary 30C05, 47B38.

Research partially supported by TGRC-KOSEF.

When  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , we show that (2) does not imply that  $f$  is  $n$ -harmonic even when  $n = 2$  (Theorem 2.1). Indeed, when  $1 \leq p < \infty$  we show there are uncountably many joint eigenfunctions of invariant Laplacians in  $L^p(D^2, m \times m)$  which satisfy the invariant volume mean value property.

For  $n \geq 2$ , we introduce the linear operator defined by

$$(Bf)(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \int_D \cdots \int_D f(\varphi_{z_1}(x_1), \dots, \varphi_{z_n}(x_n)) dm(x_1) \cdots dm(x_n)$$

for  $f \in L^1(D^n, m \times \cdots \times m)$ , where  $\varphi_a \in \text{Aut}(D)$  is defined by

$$\varphi_a(z) = \frac{a - z}{1 - \bar{a}z}.$$

Then from the structure of the automorphisms of the polydisc (p. 167 of [Ru1]) and the rotation invariance of  $m$ , it follows that  $f \in L^1(D^n)$  satisfies (2) if and only if  $Bf = f$ .

**II.  $Bf = f$  for  $f \in L^p(D^2, m \times m)$  when  $1 \leq p < \infty$**

In [AFR], the authors show that functions in  $L^1(B_n)$  which satisfy the invariant mean value property are  $M$ -harmonic iff  $n \leq 11$ . But in the bidisc  $D \times D$ , the analogue is not true. The next theorem states this.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , there exists  $f \in L^p(D^2, m \times m)$  such that  $Bf = f$  and  $f$  is not 2-harmonic.*

Before proving this, we need some preliminaries. Throughout this paper we use the following notations as definitions. These notations agree with those in [AFR], [Ru2].

*Definition 2.2.* We define  $\tilde{\Delta}_1, \tilde{\Delta}_2$  as the invariant Laplacians with respect to the first and second variable respectively; i.e.,

$$(\tilde{\Delta}_1 f)(z, w) = (1 - |z|^2)^2 (\Delta_1 f)(z, w) \text{ for } f \in C^2(D^2).$$

For  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{C}$ , we let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{C}$  be such that  $\lambda = -4\alpha(1 - \alpha), \mu = -4\beta(1 - \beta)$  and define

$$X_{\lambda, \mu} = \{f \in C^2(D^2) \mid \tilde{\Delta}_1 f = \lambda f \text{ and } \tilde{\Delta}_2 f = \mu f\}.$$

We also define  $g_\alpha$ , the radial function on  $D$ , by

$$g_\alpha(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \frac{1 - r^2}{|1 - re^{i\theta}|^2} \right)^\alpha d\theta$$

and define

$$\sum_p = \left\{ \alpha \in \mathbf{C} \mid -\frac{1}{p} < \operatorname{Re} \alpha < 1 + \frac{1}{p} \right\}, \text{ for } 1 \leq p < \infty$$

$$\sum_\infty = \{ \alpha \in \mathbf{C} \mid 0 \leq \operatorname{Re} \alpha \leq 1 \}.$$

LEMMA 2.3. For  $g_\alpha$  as defined above, we get

$$\int_D g_\alpha \, dm = \frac{\pi \alpha (1 - \alpha)}{\sin(\pi \alpha)}.$$

*Proof.* We use the formula

$$(1 - z)^{-\alpha} = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{\Gamma(k + \alpha)}{k! \Gamma(\alpha)} z^k$$

and polar coordinates to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_D g_\alpha \, dm &= \int_0^1 2r(1 - r^2)^\alpha \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{|1 - re^{i\theta}|^{2\alpha}} \, d\theta \, dr \\ &= \int_0^1 2r(1 - r^2)^\alpha \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (1 - re^{i\theta})^{-\alpha} (1 - re^{-i\theta})^{-\alpha} \, d\theta \, dr \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{\Gamma^2(k + \alpha)}{(k!)^2 \Gamma^2(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1 - r^2)^\alpha r^{2k} 2r \, dr \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{\Gamma^2(k + \alpha)}{(k!)^2 \Gamma^2(\alpha)} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)k!}{\Gamma(k + \alpha + 1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha + 1} F(\alpha, \alpha; \alpha + 2; 1) \quad (F \text{ is the hypergeometric function}) \\ &= \alpha \Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(2 - \alpha) \\ &\quad \text{(by the formula)} \\ F(a, b, c, z) &= \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c - b)} \int_0^1 t^{b-1} (1 - t)^{c-b-1} (1 - zt)^{-a} \, dt \\ &= \alpha(1 - \alpha) \Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(1 - \alpha) \\ &= \alpha(1 - \alpha) \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi \alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

LEMMA 2.4. For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,

$$L^p(D^2, m \times m) \cap X_{\lambda, \mu} \neq \{0\} \quad \text{iff} \quad \alpha \in \sum_p \text{ and } \beta \in \sum_p.$$

*Proof.* Let  $f \in X_{\lambda, \mu}$ . Then the radialization

$$(Rf)(z, w) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(ze^{i\theta}, we^{i\xi}) d\theta d\xi$$

belongs to  $X_{\lambda, \mu}$  and by 4.2.3 of [Ru 2],  $Rf$  is a constant multiple of  $g_\alpha(z)g_\beta(w)$ . Hence we conclude that

$$X_{\lambda, \mu} \cap L^p \neq \{0\} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad g_\alpha \in L^p \quad \text{and} \quad g_\beta \in L^p.$$

By 1.4.10 of [Ru2], if  $\text{Re } \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}$  then

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{|1 - ze^{i\theta}|^{2\alpha}} d\theta$$

is bounded in  $D$  while

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{|1 - ze^{i\theta}|} d\theta \approx \log \frac{1}{1 - |z|^2}.$$

Since  $g_\alpha = g_{1-\alpha}$ , it follows that  $g_\alpha \in L^p(D)$  if and only if  $\alpha \in \Sigma_\infty$  and the proof is complete.  $\square$

LEMMA 2.5. For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , the equation

$$\frac{\pi\alpha(1-\alpha)}{\sin(\pi\alpha)} \cdot \frac{\pi\beta(1-\beta)}{\sin(\pi\beta)} = 1$$

has infinitely many pairs of solutions  $(\alpha, \beta)$  in  $\Sigma_p \times \Sigma_p$ .

*Proof.* Define  $h$  on  $\Sigma_p$  by

$$h(z) = \frac{\pi z(1-z)}{\sin(\pi z)} \quad \text{for } z \in \Sigma_p. \tag{1}$$

Then it is easy to check that

- (i)  $h$  is holomorphic in  $\Sigma_p$  and
- (ii)  $h(1) = 1$ .

Thus by the open mapping theorem for a holomorphic function, we can choose an open ball  $B(1, \epsilon)$  with  $B(1, \epsilon) \subset \Sigma_p$ , and  $h(B(1, \epsilon)) \subset \Sigma_p$ . And since  $h(B(1, \epsilon))$  is an open neighborhood of the point  $z = 1$ , it contains an arc of the unit circle around  $z = 1$ , namely

$$L_\delta = \{e^{i\theta} \mid -\delta < \theta < \delta\}$$

which consists of uncountably many pairs of  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \sum_p \times \sum_p$  satisfying  $h(\alpha) = 1/h(\beta)$ . In other words,

$$\frac{\pi\alpha(1-\alpha)}{\sin(\pi\alpha)} \cdot \frac{\pi\beta(1-\beta)}{\sin(\pi\beta)} = 1.$$

This ends the proof.  $\square$

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 2.1.

2.6. *Proof of Theorem 2.1* If  $f \in X_{\lambda,\mu}$ , then by 4.2.4 of [Ru2] we have

$$\int_T f(\varphi_z(r\eta), \varphi_w(t\xi)) d\sigma(\eta) = g_\alpha(r) f(z, \varphi_w(t\xi))$$

where  $T$  is the unit circle. Thus by repeating the previous step we get

$$\iint_{T^2} f(\varphi_z(r\eta), \varphi_w(t\xi)) d\sigma(\xi) d\sigma(\eta) = g_\alpha(r) g_\beta(t) f(z, w). \tag{1}$$

Using polar coordinates, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \int_{D^2} f(\varphi_z(x), \varphi_w(y)) dm(x) dm(y) \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 2r \ 2t \int \int_{T^2} f(\varphi_z(r\eta), \varphi_w(t\xi)) d\sigma(\eta) d\sigma(\xi) dr dt \\ &= \int_0^1 2r g_\alpha(r) dr \int_0^1 2t g_\beta(t) dt \cdot f(z, w) \quad \text{by (1)} \\ &= \int_D g_\alpha dm \int_D g_\beta dm \cdot f(z, w). \end{aligned}$$

In other words, for  $f \in X_{\lambda,\mu} \cap L^p(D^2, m \times m)$  we have

$$(Bf)(z, w) = \int_D g_\alpha dm \int_D g_\beta dm \cdot f(z, w). \tag{2}$$

Hence by Lemma 2.3, we get

$$(Bf)(z, w) = h(\alpha)h(\beta) f(z, w) \tag{3}$$

where

$$h(\alpha) = \frac{\pi\alpha(1-\alpha)}{\sin(\pi\alpha)}.$$

But by Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5 there are infinitely many  $(\lambda, \mu)$ 's satisfying  $h(\alpha)h(\beta) = 1$  while every  $f \in X_{\lambda,\mu} \cap L^p$  satisfies  $Bf = f$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

*Remark 2.7.* The analogue of Theorem 2.1 for  $f \in L^\infty(D^2)$  is not true. The reason is that there is no bounded joint eigenfunction of  $\tilde{\Delta}_1$  and  $\tilde{\Delta}_2$  which satisfies  $Bf = f$  (other than the 2-harmonic one.). By Lemma 2.4, it is enough to show that

$$(h(\alpha) h(\beta) =) \frac{\pi\alpha(1-\alpha)}{\sin(\pi\alpha)} \cdot \frac{\pi\beta(1-\beta)}{\sin(\pi\beta)} = 1$$

has no solution  $(\alpha, \beta)$  in  $\sum_\infty \times \sum_\infty$ , except if both  $\alpha, \beta$  are either 0 or 1.

To prove that assertion: For  $0 \leq \text{Re } z \leq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sin(\pi z)}{\pi z(1-z)} &= \frac{1}{1-z} \frac{\sin(\pi z)}{\pi z} = (h(z))^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{1-z} \prod_{n=1}^\infty \left(1 + \frac{z}{n}\right) \left(1 - \frac{z}{n}\right) \\ &= \prod_{n=1}^\infty \left(1 + \frac{z(1-z)}{n(n+1)}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Thus when  $z = x$  is real,  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ , then

$$\frac{\sin(\pi x)}{\pi x(1-x)} > 1 \quad \text{except when } x = 0 \text{ or } x = 1.$$

When  $z = x + iy$ , from (1) we have

$$\frac{\sin(\pi z)}{\pi z(1-z)} = \prod_{n=1}^\infty \left(1 + \frac{x(1-x) + y^2}{n(n+1)} + i \frac{y(1-2x)}{n(n+1)}\right).$$

Thus for fixed  $x$ ,

$$\left| \frac{1}{h}(x + iy) \right| \nearrow \infty \quad \text{as } |y| \rightarrow \infty \tag{2}$$

Thus from (1) and (2) we get  $|h(z)| < 1$  on  $\sum_\infty$ , except when  $z = 0$  or  $z = 1$ . This ends the proof.  $\square$

### III. $Bf = f$ for $f \in L^\infty(D^n)$

**THEOREM 3.1.** *If  $f \in L^\infty(D^n)$  satisfies  $Bf = f$  then  $f$  is  $n$ -harmonic.*

We will give a proof using the result of [AFR], which states *if  $u \in L^\infty(D)$  satisfies  $Bu = u$ , then  $u$  is harmonic*, together with the main theorem of [KT].

To prove the above result, we need the following.

*Definition 3.2.* For  $u \in L^1(D, m)$ ,  $z \in D$  we define

$$(Tu)(z) = \int_D u(\varphi_z(x)) dm(x) \tag{1}$$

by replacing  $x$  by  $\varphi_z(x)$ , we obtain

$$(Tu)(z) = \int_D u(x)K_1(z, x) dm(x) \tag{2}$$

where

$$K_1(z, x) = \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^2}{|1 - \bar{z}x|^4}. \tag{3}$$

Here we can see that

$$\int_D K_1(z, x) dm(x) = 1, \forall z \in D. \tag{4}$$

Now let  $T^n$  be the iteration of  $T$ ,  $n$  times; then by induction we can write

$$(T^n u)(z) = \int_D u(x)K_n(z, x) dm(x) \tag{5}$$

where

$$\int_D K_n(z, x) dm(x) = 1, \forall z \in D, n \geq 1. \tag{6}$$

Let  $\mu$  be a measure on  $D$  defined by

$$d\mu(z) = (1 - |z|^2)^{-2} dm(z).$$

Then by 2.2.6 of [Ru2],

$$\int_D u d\mu = \int_D u \circ \psi d\mu$$

for  $u \in L^1(D, \mu)$  and  $\psi \in \text{Aut}(D)$ . The advantage of using the invariant measure  $\mu$  is that even though  $\mu$  is not a finite measure on  $D$ , the space  $L^\infty(D, \mu)$  is the same as  $L^\infty(D, m)$  (i.e.,  $\mu$  is a measure equivalent to  $m$  on  $D$ ). Thus we consider  $L^\infty(D, m)$  as the dual space of  $L^1(D, d\mu)$  on which the operator  $T$  has a nice behavior. (see Lemma 3.3)

Finally, we denote the space  $L^p_R(D^n)$  as the subspace of  $L^p(D^n)$  which consists of all radial functions, i.e.,

$$L^p_R(D^n) = \{f \in L^p(D^n) \mid f(z_1, \dots, z_n) = f(|z_1|, \dots, |z_n|), \\ \text{for any } (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in D^n\}.$$

LEMMA 3.3. Let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$  (if  $p = 1$  then  $q = \infty$  and vice versa).

(a)  $T$  is a bounded operator on  $L^p(D, \mu)$  with  $\|T\|_p \leq 1$ .

(b) For  $u \in L^p(D, \mu)$ ,  $v \in L^q(D, \mu)$  we have

$$\int_D Tu \cdot v \, d\mu = \int_D u \cdot Tv \, d\mu.$$

*Proof.* (a) Let  $u \in L^1(D, \mu)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tu\|_1 &= \int_D |Tu(z)| \, d\mu(z) \\ &\leq \int_D \int_D |u(x)| K_1(z, x) \, dm(x) \, d\mu(z) \\ &= \int_D |u(x)| \int_D K_1(x, z) \, dm(z) \, d\mu(x) \quad \text{by Fubini} \\ &= \|u\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

(since  $\int_D K_1(x, z) \, dm(z) \equiv 1$ .) Hence

$$\|T\|_1 \leq 1. \tag{1}$$

Let  $v \in L^\infty(D)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tv\|_\infty &= \sup_{z \in D} \left| \int_D v(x) K_1(z, x) \, dm(x) \right| \\ &\leq \|v\|_\infty \sup_{z \in D} \left| \int_D K_1(z, x) \, dm(x) \right| = \|v\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|T\|_\infty \leq 1. \tag{2}$$

By (1), (2) and the Riesz-Thorin Interpolation Theorem we get (a).

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_D T|u||v| \, d\mu &\leq \|T|u|\|_p \|v\|_q \\ &\leq \|u\|_p \|v\|_q < \infty \quad \text{by (a)} \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can use Fubini's Theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_D u(z)(Tv)(z) \, d\mu(z) &= \int_D u(z) \int_D v(x) K_1(z, x) \, dm(x) \, d\mu(z) \\ &= \int_D v(x) \int_D u(z) K_1(x, z) \, dm(z) \, d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_D v(x)(Tu)(x) \, d\mu(x) \end{aligned}$$

This proves (b).  $\square$



*Note.* In (a), actually  $\|T\|_1 = \|T\|_\infty = 1$  since

$$\int_D u \, d\mu = \int_D Tu \, d\mu$$

when  $0 \leq u \in L^1(D, \mu)$  and  $Tu = u$  when  $u$  is bounded and harmonic.

**LEMMA 3.4.** *Let  $f \in L^1(D^n, m \times \cdots \times m)$ . Then for any  $\psi \in \text{Aut}(D^n)$  we have*

$$B(f \circ \psi) = (Bf) \circ \psi.$$

*Proof.* It is enough to prove the lemma when

$$\psi(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (\psi_1(z_1), \dots, \psi_n(z_n))$$

for some  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n \in \text{Aut}(D)$ .

For  $z_1, \dots, z_n \in D$  there exist  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n \in [0, 2\pi)$  such that

$$\varphi_{\psi_k(z_k)} \circ \psi_k \circ \varphi_{z_k} = e^{i\theta_k}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

since these automorphisms take 0 to 0. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} B(f \circ \psi)(z_1, \dots, z_n) &= \int_D \cdots \int_D (f \circ \psi)(\varphi_{z_1}(x_1), \dots, \varphi_{z_n}(x_n)) \, dm(x_1) \cdots dm(x_n) \\ &= \int_D \cdots \int_D f(\varphi_{\psi_1(z_1)}(e^{i\theta_1}x_1), \dots, \varphi_{\psi_n(z_n)}(e^{i\theta_n}x_n)) \, dm(x_1) \cdots dm(x_n) \\ &= \int_D \cdots \int_D f(\varphi_{\psi_1(z_1)}(x_1), \dots, \varphi_{\psi_n(z_n)}(x_n)) \, dm(x_1) \cdots dm(x_n) \\ &= [(Bf) \circ \psi](z_1, \dots, z_n) \end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof.  $\square$

**LEMMA 3.5.** *Let  $u \in L^1_R(D, \mu)$ . Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_D |T^n u(z)| \, d\mu(z) = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \int_D u \, d\mu = 0.$$

*Proof.* The “only if” part is obvious from the fact that

$$\int_D T^n u \, d\mu = \int_D u \, d\mu \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1.$$

On the other hand, under the convolution

$$(u * v)(z) = \int_D u(\varphi_z(x))v(x) \, d\mu(x), \quad u, v \in L^1_R(\mu),$$

$L^1_R(\mu)$  is a commutative Banach algebra with the maximal ideal space

$$\Sigma_\infty = \{0 \leq \text{Re } \alpha \leq 1\},$$

whose Gelfand transform is defined by

$$\hat{u}(\alpha) = \int_D u(z)g_\alpha(z) d\mu(z) \quad \text{for } u \in L^1_R(\mu) \text{ and } \alpha \in \Sigma_\infty.$$

Since

$$Tu = u * q \quad \text{where } q(z) = (1 - |z|^2)^2 \in L^1_R(\mu)$$

the spectrum of  $T$  on  $L^1_R(\mu)$  is

$$\hat{q}(\Sigma_\infty) = \left\{ \int_p g_\alpha dm \mid \alpha \in \Sigma_\infty \right\} = h(\Sigma_\infty)$$

by Lemma 2.3, where

$$h(z) = \frac{\pi z(1 - z)}{\sin(\pi z)}.$$

Thus in view of Remark 2.7, we get

$$|h(\Sigma_\infty)| < 1 \quad \text{on } \Sigma_\infty \setminus \{0, 1\}$$

while  $h(0) = h(1) = 1$ . Hence we showed that  $T$  is a linear contraction on  $L^1_R(\mu)$  whose spectrum intersects the unit circle only at one point  $z = 1$ . Now we apply Theorem 1 of [KT] to the operator  $T$  on  $L^1_R(\mu)$  to get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^n(I - T)\| = 0 \quad \text{on } L^1_R(\mu)$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_D |T^n u| d\mu = 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in (I - T)L^1_R(\mu) \tag{1}$$

Now let  $X$  be the subspace of  $L^1_R(\mu)$  defined by

$$X = \left\{ u \in L^1_R(\mu) \mid \int_D u d\mu = 0 \right\}.$$

Then obviously

$$(I - T)L^1_R \subset X.$$

Hence from (1), the proof is complete when we show that  $(I - T)L^1_R$  is dense in  $X$ . Now let  $w \in L^\infty(D)$  satisfy

$$\int_D (v - Tv) \cdot w d\mu = 0 \quad \text{for every } v \in L^1_R(D, \mu).$$

Then by 3.3 (b) we get

$$\int_D v \cdot (w - Tw) d\mu = 0, \quad \forall v \in L^1_R(D, \mu).$$

Thus  $w = Tw$  and, by [AFR],  $w$  is radial harmonic, hence a constant. Therefore we get

$$\int_D u \cdot w d\mu = 0, \quad \text{for every } u \in X.$$

By the Hahn-Banach Theorem, this implies that  $(I - T)L^1_R$  is dense in  $X$ . This proves the lemma.  $\square$

3.6. *Proof of Theorem 3.1* The proof is divided by two parts; the radial case and the general case.

Step (1). The radial case.

We will prove the radial case of Theorem 3.1 using induction on  $n$  where  $n$  is the dimension of the polydisc.

When  $n = 1$ , if  $u \in L^\infty_R(D)$  satisfies  $Tu = u$ , then by [AFR],  $u$  is a constant.

Now assume  $Bf = f$  for  $f \in L^\infty_R(D^n)$  implies that  $f$  is constant. Choose  $g \in L^\infty_R(D^{n+1})$  such that  $Bg = g$ . Fix  $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n) \in D^n$  and for  $m \geq 1$  define  $(g_w)_m \in L^\infty_R(D)$  by

$$(g_w)_m(z) = \int_D \cdots \int_D g(z, y_1, \dots, y_n) K_m(w_1, y_1) \cdots K_m(w_n, y_n) dm(y_1) \cdots dm(y_n) \tag{1}$$

( $K_m$  is defined in 3.2 (5).) Then for any  $m \geq 1$ ,  $\|(g_w)_m\|_\infty \leq \|g\|_\infty$ .

$$\begin{aligned} [T^m(g_w)_m](z) &= \int_D \cdots \int_D g(z, y_1, \dots, y_n) K_m(w_1, y_1) \\ &\quad \cdots K_m(w_n, y_n) dm(y_1) \cdots dm(y_n) \\ &= (B^m g)(z, w_1, \dots, w_n). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Now pick  $u \in L^1_R(D, \mu)$  satisfying

$$\int_D u d\mu = 0.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_D u(z)g(z, w) d\mu(z) &= \int_D u(z)(B^m g)(z, w) d\mu(z) \quad (w \text{ is fixed}) \\ &= \int_D u \cdot (T^m(g_w)_m) d\mu \quad \text{by (2)} \\ &= \int_D T^m u \cdot (g_w)_m d\mu \quad \text{by 3.3 (b)} \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\left| \int_D u(z)g(z, w) d\mu(z) \right| \leq \|G\|_\infty \left| \int_D T^m u d\mu \right| \quad \text{for any } m \geq 1.$$

But by Lemma 3.5,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_D T^m u d\mu \right| = 0.$$

Hence

$$\int_D u(z)g(z, w) d\mu(z) = 0$$

for every  $u \in L^1_R(D, \mu)$  with

$$\int_D u d\mu = 0.$$

This means for every fixed  $w \in D^n$ ,  $g(z, w)$  is a constant. Hence there exists  $f \in L^\infty_R(D^n)$  such that  $g(z, w) = f(w)$  and  $Bg = g$  implies that  $Bf = f$ . Now, by the assumption,  $f$  is a constant. Therefore  $g$  is a constant.

Step (2). The general case.

Let  $f \in L^\infty(D^n)$  satisfy  $Bf = f$ . Consider  $Rf$ , the radialization of  $f$ , defined by

$$(Rf)(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} f(z_1 e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, z_n e^{i\theta_n}) d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_n.$$

Since both  $R$  and  $B$  are contraction on  $L^\infty(D^n)$  we can use Fubini to get

$$B(Rf) = R(Bf) = Rf.$$

Thus by step (1),  $Rf$  is a constant. This means

$$f(0, \dots, 0) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} f(x_1 e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, x_n e^{i\theta_n}) d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_n \quad (3)$$

Now pick  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in D^n$  and let  $\psi \in \text{Aut}(D^n)$  be defined by

$$\psi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (\varphi_{z_1}(x_1), \dots, \varphi_{z_n}(x_n)).$$

Then since  $B(f \circ \psi) = (Bf) \circ \psi = f \circ \psi$  (Lemma 3.4), (3) remains true when we replace  $f$  by  $f \circ \psi$ ; i.e.,

$$f(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} f(\varphi_{z_1}(x e^{i\theta_1}), \dots, \varphi_{z_n}(x e^{i\theta_n})) d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_n \quad (4)$$

for any  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in D$ . Now put  $x_2 = \dots = x_n = 0$  in (4). Then since  $Bf = f$  implies that  $f \in C^\infty(D^n)$ , by 4.2.4 of [Ru2] we get  $\Delta_1 f = 0$ . Similarly we can see that

$$\Delta_2 f = \dots = \Delta_n f = 0.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

Now we have some corollaries.

**COROLLARY 3.7.** *If  $f \in L^1(D^n, m \times \dots \times m)$  satisfies  $Bf = f$  and  $R(f \circ \varphi) \in L^\infty(D^n)$  for every  $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(D^n)$ , then  $f$  is  $n$ -harmonic.*

*Proof.* Let  $f \in L^1(D^n, m \times \dots \times m)$  satisfy the above conditions. Then since  $R, B$  are contractions on  $L^\infty(D^n)$ , for every  $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(D^n)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} B(R(f \circ \varphi)) &= R(B(f \circ \varphi)) \\ &= R(Bf \circ \varphi) \quad \text{by 3.4} \\ &= R(f \circ \varphi) \end{aligned}$$

Thus by Theorem 3.1,  $R(f \circ \varphi)$  is a constant. This implies (4) in step (2) of 3.6. Hence by the method of 3.6 we get the result.

**Corollary 3.8.** *For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , if  $u \in L^p(D, \mu)$  satisfies  $Tu = u$  then  $u \equiv 0$ . Similarly if  $f \in L^p(D^n, \mu \times \dots \times \mu)$  satisfies  $Bf = f$  then  $f \equiv 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since the only harmonic function on  $D$  which belongs  $L^p(D, \mu)$  is the constant 0, by Theorem 3.1 it is enough to show that  $u$  is bounded. When  $u \in L^p(D, \mu)$  since  $1 < p < \infty$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(z) = (Tu)(z) &= \int_D u(x) \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^2}{|1 - \bar{z}x|^4} dm(x) \\ &= (1 - |z|^2)^2 \int_D u(x) \frac{(1 - |x|^2)^2}{|1 - \bar{z}x|^4} d\mu(x) \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |u(z)| &\leq (1 - |z|^2)^2 \|u\|_p \left( \int_D \frac{(1 - |x|^2)^{2q-2}}{|1 - \bar{z}x|^{4q}} dm(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \quad \left( \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 \right) \\ &\leq (1 - |z|^2)^2 \|u\|_p c (1 - |z|^2)^{-2} \quad \text{for some } c > 0 \quad \text{(by 1.4.10 of [Ru2])} \\ &= c \|u\|_p. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

When  $u \in L^1(D, d\mu)$

$$\begin{aligned} |u(z)| &= |Tu(z)| \leq \sup_{z \in D} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^2(1 - |x|^2)^2}{|1 - z\bar{x}|^4} \int_D |u(x)| d\mu(x) \\ &= \|u\|_1 \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

From (1) and (2), we complete the proof for  $u$ .

In the same way we can show that such  $f$  is bounded and Theorem 3.1 forces  $f$  to be  $n$ -harmonic. And constant zero is the only  $n$ -harmonic function which belongs to  $L^p(D^n, \mu \times \cdots \times \mu)$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [AC] S. Axler and Z. Cuckovic, *Commuting Toeplitz operator with harmonic symbols*, Integral Equations and Operator Theory **14** (1991), 1–12.
- [AFR] P. Ahern, M. Flores and W. Rudin, *An invariant volume mean value property*, J. Funct. Anal. **111** (1993), 380–397.
- [Eng] M. Englis, *Functions invariant under the Berezian transform*, Preprint, 1992.
- [Fur] H. Furstenberg, “Boundaries of Riemannian symmetric spaces” in *Symmetric spaces* (W. M. Boothby and G. L. Weiss, Editors), Marcel Dekker, New York, 1972, pp. 359–377.
- [KT] Y. Katznelson and L. Tzafriri, *On power bounded operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **68** (1986), 313–328.
- [Ru1] W. Rudin, *Function theory in polydiscs*, Benjamin, New York, 1969.
- [Ru2] ———, *Function theory in the unit Ball of  $\mathbb{C}^n$* , Springer-Verlag, 1980.

Topology and Geometry Research Center, Kyungpook National University, Taegu  
702-701, Korea  
jalee@math.wisc.edu