

# STRUCTURE OF FOLIATIONS ON 2-MANIFOLDS

HABIB MARZOUGUI

## Introduction

In this paper, we intend to study qualitative properties of foliations with finitely many singularities on closed 2-manifolds. Considering such a foliation as a regular foliation on the punctured 2-manifold obtained from a closed 2-manifold by removing the singular points, we will give an analogy of a structure's theorem (in Salhi [10], Theorem 1) on codimension one regular foliations on closed manifolds. Singular foliations on 2-manifolds have been investigated by many authors from a geometric point of view (for example, see [2], [5], [6]). We are interested more precisely in the following questions:

1. Describe the foliation near a leaf.
2. Establish a structure's theorem.

We mention that the results given here are known for foliations with singularities saddles and/or thorns.

In Section 1, we give some preliminaries (definitions and notations of the general theory of singular foliations on 2-manifolds, and some topological results which will be needed later.). In Section 2, we give a description of foliations near a leaf, especially near an exceptional leaf, by establishing analogues of Sacksteder's Theorem [9] for singular foliations on 2-manifold (Theorems 2.1 and 2.2). Some consequences as in [10], [11] are given.

## 1. Preliminary

### (A) Basic definitions.

This section is devoted to the basic facts of the general theory of singular foliations on 2-manifolds. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $C^\infty$  singular foliation with a finite number of singularities on a compact orientable 2-manifold  $S$  of genus  $g$ . We let  $\text{sing } \mathcal{F}$  be the set of singularities of  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}/U$  the restriction of  $\mathcal{F}$  to an invariant open set  $U$  of  $S$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^*$  the restriction of  $\mathcal{F}$  to  $S^* = S - \text{sing } \mathcal{F}$ , and let  $U_1$  be the complement in  $S^*$  of the union of closed leaves of  $\mathcal{F}^*$ . By [3], Theorem p. 386,  $U_1$  is an open invariant set of  $S$ .

---

Received April 7, 1997.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 57R30; Secondary 58F18.

© 1998 by the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois  
Manufactured in the United States of America

A leaf  $L$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$  is said to be proper if  $\bar{L} - L$  is closed in  $S$ , locally dense if  $\bar{L}$  has non-empty interior, and exceptional if  $L$  is non proper and nowhere dense.  $L$  is said to be totally proper if  $\bar{L}$  consists of singularities and proper leaves. A subset  $M$  of  $S$  is called invariant (or  $\mathfrak{F}$ -saturated) if it is a union of leaves and singularities.  $M$  is called a minimal set of  $\mathfrak{F}$  if it is a closed non-empty and invariant set which is minimal (in the sense of inclusion) for these properties. We call the class (resp. higher structure) of a leaf  $L$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$  the union  $\text{cl}(L)$  (resp.  $\text{SS}(L)$ ) of leaves  $G$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$  such that  $\bar{G} = \bar{L}$  (resp.  $L \subset \bar{G}$  with  $\bar{G} \neq \bar{L}$ ) (cf. [11]). If  $L$  is proper,  $\text{cl}(L) = L$ . A quasiminimal set  $K$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$  is the closure of a non-proper leaf. It is showed in [7] that if  $\mathfrak{F}$  is orientable, the closure of any non-proper leaf is a quasiminimal set of  $\mathfrak{F}$  and every totally proper leaf of  $\mathfrak{F}$  is closed in  $S^*$  or closed in  $U_1$ .

Let  $L$  be a non-closed leaf of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . A point  $x \in L$  divides  $L$  into two half-leaves  $L^{(-)}$  and  $L^{(+)}$ . Denote the limit set of the half-leaf  $L^{(\cdot)}$  (resp. of the leaf  $L$ ) by  $\lim L^{(\cdot)}$  (resp.  $\lim L$ ). The set  $\lim L^{(\cdot)}$  is closed, invariant and non-empty. For a non-closed leaf,  $\lim L = \overline{\bar{L} - L}$ . We have  $\lim L = \bar{L} - L$  if  $L$  is proper and non-closed, and  $\lim L = \bar{L}$  otherwise.

In the case where  $\mathfrak{F}$  is orientable,  $\mathfrak{F}$  can be defined by a flow  $\phi: \mathbb{R} \times S \rightarrow S$ . For every leaf  $L$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$  and  $x \in L$ , the half-leaf  $L^{(+)}$  (resp.  $L^{(-)}$ ) is denoted by  $L_x^+ = \{\phi(t, x)/t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$  (resp.  $L_x^- = \{\phi(t, x)/t \in \mathbb{R}_-\}$ ) and called the positive (resp. negative) half-leaf of origin  $x$ . The set  $\lim L_x^+$  (resp.  $\lim L_x^-$ ) is denoted by  $\Omega_L = \{y \in S : \exists (t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow +\infty, y = \lim \phi(t_n, x)\}$  (resp.  $A_L = \{y \in S : \exists (t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow -\infty, y = \lim \phi(t_n, x)\}$ ) and called the  $\omega$ -limit (resp.  $\alpha$ -limit) set of  $L$ . The limit set  $\lim L$  of  $L$  is  $\Omega_L \cup A_L$ .

### (B) Some results.

The following theorem is a consequence of the theorem obtained in [7] classifying the limit sets.

**THEOREM 0.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be an orientable singular foliation with finite singularities on a compact orientable 2-manifold  $S$ . For every leaf  $L$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$ , each of its limit sets  $\Omega_L$  (resp.  $A_L$ ) is one of the following type:*

- (i) a singular point
- (ii) a compact leaf
- (iii) a union of singularities and non-compact leaves which are closed in  $S^*$
- (iv) a quasiminimal set.

Below, we give some topological results which we need in the sequel.

**PROPOSITION 0.2** [8]. *Let  $M$  be a non-compact connected orientable 2-manifold of finite genus  $k$ . Then its end point compactification  $\hat{M}$  is a compact connected orientable 2-manifold of finite genus  $k$  where the space  $\text{Bt}(M) = \hat{M} - M$  of ends of  $M$  is a totally disconnected compact set.*

PROPOSITION 0.3 [12, Lemma 4.3, p. 259]. *Let  $S$  be a compact connected orientable 2-manifold of genus  $g$ , and let  $X$  be a compact subset of  $S$  having finitely many connected components. If  $W$  is a connected component of  $S - X$  then  $W$  is a connected 2-manifold with genus  $\leq g$  and finitely many ends.*

**2. Foliation near a leaf**

In all the proofs below, the foliation  $\mathfrak{J}$  is assumed to be orientable. If  $\mathfrak{J}$  is non orientable, these proofs are then straightforward by passing to a double branched covering of  $\mathfrak{J}$ .

THEOREM 2.1. *Let  $G$  be a proper non-closed leaf of  $\mathfrak{J}$  and let  $O$  be a leaf such that  $O \subset \text{lim } G^{(\cdot)}$ . Then there exists an open connected invariant set  $W$  in  $S$ , containing  $G$  such that for every leaf  $\gamma$  of  $\mathfrak{J}/W$ ,  $\gamma$  is proper and  $O \subset \text{lim } \gamma$ .*

The following result is analogous to Sacksteder’s Theorem [9].

THEOREM 2.2. *Let  $\mathfrak{J}$  be a singular foliation with a finite number of singularities on a compact orientable 2-manifold  $S$ . Let  $L$  be an exceptional leaf of  $\mathfrak{J}$ . Then:*

- (i) *The union  $V = \text{SS}(L) \cup \text{cl}(L)$  is open and connected in  $S$ .*
- (ii) *For every leaf  $G$  of  $\mathfrak{J}/V$ ,  $\text{lim } G \subset \bar{L} \cup \text{Fr}(V)$  with  $\text{lim } G^{(+)} = \bar{L}$  or  $\text{lim } G^{(-)} = \bar{L}$  ( $\text{Fr}(V)$  denotes the frontier of  $V$ .)*

**(A) Proofs of Theorem 2.1 and 2.2.**

Let  $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_p$  be the quasiminimal sets of  $\mathfrak{J}$  (we know [7] that  $p \leq g$ , where  $g$  is the genus of  $S$ ) and let  $L$  be an exceptional leaf of  $\mathfrak{J}$ . We let  $K_p = \bar{L}$  and let  $U$  be the connected component of  $U_1 - (K_1 \cup K_2 \dots \cup K_{p-1})$  containing  $L$ .

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an infinite sequence of leaves of  $\mathfrak{J}/U$ . Then the sequence  $(\Omega_{G_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  (resp.  $(A_{G_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ) has one of the following properties:*

- (i)  *$(\Omega_{G_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  (resp.  $(A_{G_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ) is a union of singularities and closed leaves of  $\mathfrak{J}^*$ , and there exists a singular point  $s_o \in \Omega_{G_n}$  (resp.  $A_{G_n}$ ) for infinitely many integers  $n$ .*
- (ii) *There exists a compact leaf  $\gamma$  such that  $\Omega_{G_n} = \gamma$  (resp.  $A_{G_n} = \gamma$ ) for infinitely many integers  $n$ .*
- (iii) *There exists a quasiminimal set  $K_r$  ( $r \in [1, p]$ ) such that  $\Omega_{G_n} = K_r$  (resp.  $A_{G_n} = K_r$ ) for infinitely many integers  $n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an infinite sequence of leaves of  $\mathfrak{J}/U$ . If we have neither (i) nor (iii), then for every  $s \in \text{sing } \mathfrak{J}$  (resp. every quasiminimal set  $K_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ ), there is a finite number of integers  $n$  such that  $s \in \Omega_{G_n}$  (resp.  $\Omega_{G_n} = K_i$ ). The set  $\text{sing } \mathfrak{J}$  is finite so for  $n$  large enough,  $\Omega_{G_n}$  is reduced to a compact leaf  $\gamma_n$  (Theorem 0.1). Now let us show that for infinitely many integers  $n$ , all  $\gamma_n$  coincide with the same leaf: To the contrary, if the  $\gamma_n$  are pairwise distinct for infinitely many integers

$n$ , then [4, Appendix] there exist three integers  $p, q$  and  $r$  such that every pair of leaves  $\gamma_p, \gamma_q$  and  $\gamma_r$  bound an annulus. One supposes for example that  $\gamma_q$  is in the interior of the annulus  $(\gamma_p, \gamma_r)$ . It follows that the leaves  $G_p, G_q$  and  $G_r$  are not contained in the same connected component  $U$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

LEMMA 2.2. *Let  $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an infinite sequence of leaves of  $\mathfrak{J}/U$ . Then there exists an infinite subsequence  $(G_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  of  $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $(\cup_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*} \Omega_{G_{n_k}})$  (resp.  $(\cup_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*} A_{G_{n_k}})$ ) is connected.*

*Proof.* Each of properties (i), (ii) and (iii) of Lemma 2.1 implies the existence of an infinite subsequence  $(G_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  of  $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $(\cap_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*} \Omega_{G_{n_k}})$  (resp.  $(\cap_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*} A_{G_{n_k}})$ ) is non-empty. Since for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $\Omega_{G_{n_k}}$  (resp.  $A_{G_{n_k}}$ ) is connected, it follows that  $(\cup_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*} \Omega_{G_{n_k}})$  (resp.  $(\cup_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*} A_{G_{n_k}})$ ) is connected.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.1. *If  $L$  is an exceptional leaf of  $\mathfrak{J}$  and  $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of leaves which converges to a leaf  $L$ , then for  $n$  large enough, we have  $L \subset \overline{G_n}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be the connected component of  $U_1 - (K_1 \cup K_2 \dots K_{p-1})$  containing  $L$  and let  $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an infinite sequence of leaves of  $\mathfrak{J}$  which converge to  $L$ . For  $n$  large enough, we have  $G_n \subset U$ . If the proposition is not true then for infinitely many indices  $n$ ,  $G_n$  is a closed leaf in  $U$ . We may assume, passing to a subsequence if necessary, that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $G_n$  is a closed leaf of  $\mathfrak{J}/U$  and  $(\Omega_{G_n})$  (resp.  $(A_{G_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ) has one of the properties (i), (ii), (iii) of Lemma 2.1. In the case (iii), since  $G_n$  is closed in  $U$ ,  $\Omega_{G_n}$  (resp.  $A_{G_n}$ )  $\neq K_p$ . Therefore we have

$$L \subset S - \overline{\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\Omega_{G_n} \cup A_{G_n})},$$

because otherwise we would have  $L \subset U \cap \overline{\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\Omega_{G_n} \cup A_{G_n})} \subset K_1 \cup K_2 \dots \cup K_{p-1}$ , which is impossible. Now by Lemma 2.2,  $\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_{G_n}$  (resp.  $\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_{G_n}$ ) is connected. Then the set  $\overline{\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\Omega_{G_n} \cup A_{G_n})}$  is a compact subset of  $S$  having at most two connected components. Denote by  $W$  the connected component of  $S - \overline{\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\Omega_{G_n} \cup A_{G_n})}$  containing  $L$ . Then  $W$  is a connected orientable 2-manifold with finite genus and its space of ends  $\text{Bt}(W)$  is finite (Proposition 0.3). Since for  $n$  large enough,  $G_n$  is closed in  $W$ , the leaf  $L$  will be closed in  $W$  [3, Theorem p. 386], which is impossible because  $L$  is non-proper.  $\square$

*Completing the Proof of Theorem 2.1.* We will prove precisely:

THEOREM 2.1'. *Let  $G$  be a proper non-compact leaf of  $\mathfrak{J}$  and let  $O$  be a leaf such that  $O \subset \Omega_G$  (resp.  $A_G$ ). Then there exists an open connected invariant set  $W$  in  $S$  containing  $G$  such that for every leaf  $\gamma$  of  $\mathfrak{J}/W$ ,  $\gamma$  is proper and  $O \subset \Omega_\gamma$  (resp.  $O \subset A_\gamma$ ).*

It suffices to show the theorem for  $\Omega_G$ , the proof being similar for  $A_G$ . Under the hypotheses of the theorem, let  $U$  be the connected component of  $U_1 - (K_1 \cup K_2 \dots \cup K_p)$  containing  $G$ . Suppose the theorem is false; then there exists an infinite sequence of proper leaves  $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\mathfrak{J}/U$  which converges to  $G$  and, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $O \not\subset \Omega_{G_n}$ . One can assume, passing to a subsequence if necessary, that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(\Omega_{G_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  (resp.  $(A_{G_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ) has one of the properties (i), (ii), (iii) of Lemma 2.1. Since

$$G \subset S - \overline{(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_{G_n})}$$

(because otherwise we would have  $G \subset U \cap \overline{(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_{G_n})} \subset K_1 \cup K_2 \dots \cup K_p$ , which is impossible), let  $W$  be the connected component of  $S - \overline{(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_{G_n})}$  containing  $G$ . Since  $\overline{(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_{G_n})}$  is connected (Lemma 2.2),  $\overline{(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_{G_n})}$  is a compact connected subset of  $S$ . Therefore  $W$  is an open connected orientable 2-manifold with finite genus and finitely many ends (Proposition 0.3). The endpoint compactification  $\hat{W}$  of  $W$  is a compact connected 2-manifold and the foliation  $\hat{\mathfrak{J}}$  of  $\hat{W}$  extends the foliation  $\mathfrak{J}/W$  where each point of  $\text{Bt}(W)$  is a singular point of  $\hat{\mathfrak{J}}$ . Since  $G$  is proper, let  $x \in G$  and let  $T$  be an open transverse arc such that  $T \subset U$  and  $T \cap G = \{x\}$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , choose a point  $x_n \in G_n \cap T$  with  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converging to  $x$ . If we denote by  $\hat{\Omega}_{G_n}$  the  $\omega$ -limit set of  $G_n$  in  $\hat{W}$ , then  $\hat{\Omega}_{G_n} = \{s_n\}$  where  $s_n \in \text{sing } \hat{\mathfrak{J}}$ . Since  $\text{sing } \hat{\mathfrak{J}}$  is finite, one can suppose, passing to a subsequence if necessary, that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\hat{\Omega}_{G_n} = \{p\}$  where  $p \in \text{sing } \hat{\mathfrak{J}}$ . Denote by  $[x_1, x_n]$  the transverse segment contained in  $T$  and let

$$\theta_n = G_{x_n}^+ \cup G_{x_1}^+ \cup \{p\} \cup [x_1, x_n] \quad \text{for } n \geq 2$$

where  $G_{x_n}^+ = \{\phi(t, x_n)/t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ . Now we will use now an argument which is originally due to Thurston: Each  $\theta_n$  induces a class  $[\theta_n]$  in  $H_1(\hat{W}; Z)$ . Since the subgroup  $H$  of  $H_1(\hat{W}; Z)$  generated by the  $([\theta_n])_{n \geq 2}$  is of finite type, let  $k$  the integer such that  $[\theta_2], [\theta_3], \dots, [\theta_k]$  generate  $H$ . Since  $O \not\subset \Omega_{G_n}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $G_x^+$  will be cut by a closed transversal curve which is disjoint from  $\theta_2, \theta_3, \dots, \theta_k$  (for example, see [1], page 18). Hence, the closed transversal curve  $\tau$  has a zero intersection number with each generator  $H$ ; this contradicts the fact that  $G_x^+$  is adherent to the union of  $\theta_n, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .  $\square$

*Completing the proof of Theorem 2.2.*

*Assertion (i).* Let  $L$  be an exceptional leaf of  $\mathfrak{J}$ . It follows from Theorem 2.1 that  $\text{SS}(L)$  is open in  $S$ . Now, if  $V$  is not open there exists an infinite sequence of leaves  $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  not contained in  $V$  which converge to  $L$ . This is impossible by Proposition 2.1. The connectedness of the open  $V$  is clear.

*Assertion (ii).* Let  $G$  be a leaf of  $\mathfrak{J}/V$ . If  $G$  is non-proper, then  $\lim G = \Omega_G \cup A_G = \bar{G}$  and  $\Omega_G = \bar{G}$  or  $A_G = \bar{G}$ . Since  $\bar{G}$  is a quasiminimal set and  $L \subset \bar{G}$ , we obtain  $\bar{G} = \bar{L}$ . The assertion is then verified. If  $G$  is proper then  $L \subset \lim G =$

$\bar{G} - G = \Omega_G \cup A_G$ , and  $\Omega_G = \bar{L}$  or  $A_G = \bar{L}$  (Theorem 0.1). One supposes for example that  $\Omega_G = \bar{L}$ . We have  $\lim G = \bar{L} \cup A_G$ . It follows that if  $A_G$  meets  $V$  then  $A_G = \bar{L}$  and  $\lim G = \bar{L}$ . Otherwise,  $\lim G \subset \bar{L} \cup \text{Fr}(V)$ .

*Remark 2.1.* If  $L$  is a locally dense leaf, the set  $V = \text{SS}(L) \cup \text{cl}(L) = \text{cl}(L)$  is the connected component of  $U_1$  containing  $L$ . Every leaf of  $\mathfrak{J}/V$  is dense in  $V$ .

*Remark 2.2.* If  $L$  is an exceptional leaf of  $\mathfrak{J}$  and  $G$  is a proper leaf such that  $\lim G^{(\cdot)} = \bar{L}$ , then there exists an open connected invariant set  $W$  in  $S$ , containing  $G$ , such that for every leaf  $\gamma$  of  $\mathfrak{J}/W$ ,  $\gamma$  is proper and  $\lim \gamma^{(+)} = \bar{L}$  or  $\lim \gamma^{(-)} = \bar{L}$ . In the case where  $\mathfrak{J}$  is orientable, we have precisely, by Theorem 0.1: If  $\bar{L} = \Omega_G$  (resp.  $A_G$ ) for every leaf  $\gamma$  of  $\mathfrak{J}/W$ ,  $\gamma$  is proper and  $\bar{L} = \Omega_\gamma$  (resp.  $A_\gamma$ ).

### (B) Corollaries.

**COROLLARY 2.1.** *The higher structure  $\text{SS}(L)$  of every leaf  $L$  of  $\mathfrak{J}$  is open in  $S$ .*

*Proof.* We remark first that if  $L$  is locally dense,  $\text{SS}(L)$  is empty. We suppose then  $L$  is either exceptional or proper. Let  $G$  be a leaf contained in  $\text{SS}(L)$ . Then  $G$  is non-compact. If  $L$  is exceptional,  $G$  is proper (Theorem 0.1), and we have  $\bar{L} = \Omega_G$  or  $\bar{L} = A_G$ . The corollary is deduced from Remark 2.2. If  $L$  is proper, the corollary is deduced from Theorem 2.2 if  $G$  is exceptional, from Remark 2.2 if  $G$  is proper, and from Remark 2.1 if  $G$  is locally dense.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *If  $W$  is an open invariant non empty set contained in  $U_1$ , then the union of closed leaves of  $\mathfrak{J}/W$  is closed in  $W$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose the proposition is not true. Then there exists an infinite sequence of closed leaves  $(L_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\mathfrak{J}/W$  which converges to a non-closed leaf  $L$  of  $\mathfrak{J}/W$ . By [7, Corollary 3.2], there exists a minimal set  $E$  of  $\mathfrak{J}/W$  contained in  $\bar{L}$ . The set  $E$  is either a closed leaf of  $\mathfrak{J}/W$  or equal to  $\bar{G} \cap W$  where  $G$  is a non-proper leaf of  $\mathfrak{J}/W$ . Consider the first case. Since  $W \subset U_1$ ,  $E$  is a proper and non-closed leaf in  $S^*$  contained in  $\bar{L}$ ; this is impossible by Theorem 0.1. In the second case, if  $G$  is locally dense, the leaf  $L$  and the leaves  $L_n$  are also locally dense for  $n$  large enough; this contradicts the fact that  $L_n$  is closed in  $W$ . If  $G$  exceptional, for  $n$  large enough we have  $G \subset \bar{L}_n$  (Proposition 2.1); this is impossible because  $G \subset W$  and  $L_n$  is closed in  $W$ .  $\square$

It follows from Corollary 2.2 and Theorem 0.1 that if we take  $W = U_1$ , then the union  $\text{TP}(\mathfrak{J})$  of totally proper leaves of  $\mathfrak{J}$  is a closed set in  $S^*$ .

**COROLLARY 2.3. (STRUCTURE'S THEOREM).** *Let  $\mathfrak{J}$  be a singular foliation with a finite number  $h$  of singularities on a compact orientable 2-manifold  $S$  of genus  $g$ .*

Then:

(1)  $\mathfrak{J}$  has a finite number  $n$  of quasiminimal sets  $\overline{L}_1 = K_1, \overline{L}_2 = K_2, \dots, \overline{L}_n = K_n$  of  $\mathfrak{J}$ , where  $n \leq g$  if  $\mathfrak{J}$  is orientable, and  $n \leq [2g - 1 + \frac{h}{2}]$  if  $\mathfrak{J}$  is non orientable, and  $L_1, L_2, \dots, L_n$  are non-proper leaves of  $\mathfrak{J}$ .

(2) The subsets  $V_i = \text{SS}(L_i) \cup \text{cl}(L_i)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) are open and connected in  $S$  and their union  $R$  has at most  $n$  connected components, each of which is a union of some  $V_i$ .

(3) The complementary  $\text{TP}(\mathfrak{J})$  in  $S$  of the union  $R$  is a compact invariant subset consisting of the union of singularities, closed leaves of  $\mathfrak{J}^*$ , and closed leaves of  $\mathfrak{J}^*/U_1$ .

*Proof.* Assertion 1 is known [7]. Let us prove assertion 2. If  $C$  is a connected component of  $R$ , then  $C$  will contains at least a non-proper class  $\text{cl}(L)$ , where  $L$  is a non-proper leaf. Since there exist  $n$  such classes ( $n \leq g$ ) [7, Theorem 4.1], then  $C$  has at most  $n$  connected components. Denote by  $\text{cl}(L_1), \text{cl}(L_2), \dots, \text{cl}(L_p)$  the non-proper classes contained in  $C$ . We have  $C = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \dots \cup V_p$ . Assertion 3 follows from Theorem 0.1 because a totally proper leaf  $L$  of  $\mathfrak{J}$  is closed in  $S^*$  or closed in  $U_1$ .  $\square$

*Remark 2.3.* The structure's theorem above is close to the structure's theorem for  $C^\circ$ -regular foliations of codimension one on compact manifold given in [10], Theorem 1.

*Remark 2.4.* We can apply the results above to transverse invariant measures for orientable foliations. By the same methods as in [6] we obtain (for arbitrary singularities) the results given there for foliations with saddles.

*Acknowledgement.* The author is deeply grateful to the referee for his comments on this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. Godbillon, *Dynamical system on surfaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [2] C. Gutiérrez, *Foliations on surfaces having exceptional leaves*, Lect. Notes in Math., no. 1331, Springer-Verlag, 1988, pp. 73–85.
- [3] A. Haefliger, *Variétés feuilletées*, Ann. Sci. Norm. Sup. Pisa **16** (1962), 367–397.
- [4] C. L. Hagopian, *Homogeneous continua in 2-manifolds*, Topology Appl. **19** (1985), 157–163.
- [5] G. Levitt, *La décomposition dynamique et la différentiabilité des feuilletages des surfaces*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **37** (1987), 85–116.
- [6] ———, *Feuilletages des surfaces*, Ann. Inst. Fourier. Grenoble **32** (1982), 179–217.
- [7] H. Marzougui, *Structure des feuilles sur les surfaces ouvertes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris (Sér.1) **323** (1996), 185–188.
- [8] I. Richard, *On the classification of non-compact surfaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **106** (1963), 259–269.
- [9] R. Sacksteder, *Foliations and pseudogroups*, Amer. J. Math. **87** (1965), 79–102.

- [10] E. Salhi, *Sur un théorème de structure des feuilletages de codimension 1*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris (Sér. I) **300** (1984), 635–638.
- [11] ———, *Niveau des feuilles*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris (Sér. I) **301** (1985), 219–222.
- [12] A. J. Schwartz and E. S. Thomas, *The depth of the center of 2-manifolds*, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.*, no. 14, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, R.I. 1970, pp. 253–264.

Département de Mathématiques, Faculté des Sciences de Bizerte, 7021, Zarzouna.  
Tunisie