

PERIODICITY IN THE COHOMOLOGY OF UNIVERSAL G-SPACES

BY
STEFAN WANER

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this note is to generalize the classical results on periodicity in $H^*(G)$ in the presence of a free G -action on a sphere, and to reinterpret them in terms of global results about equivariant singular cohomology.

Our generalizations proceed in two directions. First, one has a notion of $H^*(G; T)$, where T is a Mackey functor (in the sense of tom Dieck in [2]), generalizing the case T a $\mathbf{Z}G$ -module. We show here that classical periodicity continues to hold in this more general setting.

Next, one has the notion of a universal G -space $E\mathcal{F}$, associated with a family \mathcal{F} of subgroups of G . Here, we exhibit periodicity in $H_G^*(E\mathcal{F}; T)$ (for arbitrary G and particular families \mathcal{F}), where $*$ is $RO(G)$ -grading. (The theory of $RO(G)$ -graded equivariant singular cohomology has been announced by Lewis, May, and McClure in [4]. The complete theory will appear in [5], including one of the author's independent formulations, a summary of which appears in §1 below). This periodicity is seen to arise from a "Bott" class $1_V \in H_G^V(\text{point})$ for appropriate representations V , in the sense that $\cup 1_V$ is an isomorphism in a range. Further, we see that this class lies at the source of the classical periodicity results, which emerge as special cases.

Finally, we use the periodicity to extend the computation of $H_G^n(E\mathcal{F}; T)$ carried out in [7] and [8] to that of $H_G^{nV+m}(E\mathcal{F}; T)$ for $m, n \geq 0$ and \mathcal{F} a family of subgroups determined by V . These latter groups (which are also modules over the Burnside ring of G) turn out to be purely algebraic invariants of G and V . (Throughout, G will be a finite group.)

1. Equivariant $RO(G)$ -graded singular cohomology

We recall here in brief some of the theory of equivariant $RO(G)$ -graded singular cohomology, developed by Lewis, May, McClure and the author in [5].

Received October 17, 1983.

© 1986 by the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois
Manufactured in the United States of America

Let \mathcal{U} be the orthogonal G -module $(\mathbf{R}G)^\infty$, $\mathbf{R}G$ being the real group algebra endowed with its natural inner product. We shall write $V < \mathcal{U}$ to signify that V is a finite-dimensional G -invariant submodule of \mathcal{U} .

If $V < \mathcal{U}$, then a G -CW(V) complex is a G -space X with a given decomposition $X = \text{colim } X^n$ such that:

(i) X^0 is a disjoint union of G -orbits, $X^0 = \coprod_\gamma G/H_\gamma$ where V is a trivial H_γ -module for each γ ;

(ii) X^n is obtained from X^{n-1} by attaching “cells” of the form $G \times_H D(V - m)$, where H is such that V has a trivial m -dimensional summand as an H -module, and where $n = \dim V - m$ (here, $D(W)$ denotes the unit disc in $W < \mathcal{U}$).

In [5], one sees that any G -CW complex (in the sense of Bredon-Illman) has the G -homotopy type of a G -CW(V) complex for every $V < \mathcal{U}$. (One considers $X \times D(V) \sim X$ for a G -CW complex X , where $D(V)$ is seen to have a G -CW(V) structure with cells of dimension $\leq \dim V$). Moreover, cellular approximation and an appropriate version of the Whitehead theorem hold in this context.

G -CW(V) decompositions give rise to cellular chains, which one may use to define $H_G^{V+n}(X)$ for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, as follows.

Denote by $[X, Y]_G$ the set of G -equivariant homotopy classes of based G -maps $X \rightarrow Y$. If $V < \mathcal{U}$, denote by S^V its one-point compactification, (G acting trivially at the basepoint ∞) and by $\Sigma^V X$ the smash product $X \wedge S^V$ for a based G -space X . Let \mathcal{O} be the category whose objects are the G -spaces G/H for $H \subset G$ and whose morphisms are given by

$$\mathcal{O}(G/H, G/K) = \text{colim}_{V < \mathcal{U}} [\Sigma^V G/H_+, \Sigma^V G/K_+]_G,$$

where the subscript $+$ denotes addition of a disjoint basepoint.

A contravariant (resp. covariant) coefficient system (or “Mackey functor”) is then a contravariant (resp. covariant) additive functor $T: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}b$, the category of abelian groups. A map of such systems is then a natural transformation of functors.

If X is G -CW(V), then one has a differentially graded contravariant system given by

$$\bar{C}_{V+n}(X)(G/H) = \text{colim}_{W \perp V} [\Sigma^{V+W} G/H_+, \Sigma^{W-n} X^{v+n}/X^{v+n-1}]_G$$

where $v = \dim V$ and W is large enough to contain a trivial n -dimensional summand.

If \bar{T} and \bar{S} are contravariant and \underline{T} is covariant, one has abelian groups $\text{Hom}_\mathcal{O}(\bar{T}, \bar{S})$ and $\bar{T} \otimes_\mathcal{O} \underline{T}$, given respectively by the group of natural transformations, and by $\sum_{H \subset G} \bar{T}(G/H) \otimes \underline{T}(G/H) \sim$ where, for $f: G/H \rightarrow G/K$ in \mathcal{O} , one identifies $f_* \bar{T} \otimes \underline{T}'$ with $\bar{T} \otimes f_* \underline{T}'$.

One then defines $H_G^{V+*}(X; \bar{S})$ and $H_{V+*}^G(X; \underline{T})$ respectively by passage to homology of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\bar{C}_{V+*}(X), \bar{S})$ and $C_{V+*}(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \underline{T}$.

Observe that (stable) equivariant self s -duality of the spaces G/H_+ implies that $\mathcal{O} \cong \mathcal{O}^{\text{opp}}$, so that every covariant system may be regarded as contravariant, and vice-versa. One has canonical coefficient systems \underline{A} and \bar{A} , analogous to \mathbf{Z} -coefficients in the nonequivariant case, given by

$$\underline{A}(G/H) = \text{colim}[S^V, \Sigma^V G/H_+]_G$$

and

$$\bar{A}(G/H) = \text{colim}[\Sigma^V G/H_+, S^V]_G,$$

each isomorphic with the Burnside ring, $A(H)$ of H (Segal, Petrie, tom Dieck).

Following is a list of basic properties of $RO(G)$ -graded singular cohomology. (There is also an evident dual list for homology.)

- (1) "Dimension Axiom". $H_G^0(G/H; \bar{T}) \cong \bar{T}(G/H)$ for each $H \subset G$; $H_G^n(G/H; \bar{T}) = 0$ if $n \neq 0$;
- (2) $H_G^{V+n}(G/H; \bar{T}) = H_G^{-(V+n)}(G/H; \bar{T}) = 0$ if $n > 0$;
- (3) $H_G^\gamma(G \times_K X; \bar{T}) \cong H_K^\gamma(X; \bar{T}|_K)$ if $K \subset G$, where $\bar{T}|_K$ is \bar{T} , regarded naturally as a coefficient system for K -orbits;
- (4) "Suspension Isomorphism".

$$\bar{H}_G^\gamma(X; \bar{T}) \cong \bar{H}_G^{\gamma+V}(\Sigma^V X; \bar{T}),$$

where the reduced cohomology of a based G -space X is given by the natural construction $H_G((X, *); \bar{T})$ for pairs;

- (5) $H_G^*(X; \bar{T})$ has a natural module structure over $A(G)$.

Further, one has for Burnside coefficients \bar{A} , and suitable "ring systems" in general, a cup product $\cup: H_G^\gamma(X) \otimes H_G^\gamma(X) \rightarrow H_G^{\gamma+\gamma}(X)$ which is unital, associative and commutative up to certain units in the Burnside ring of G . Further, $H_G^*(X; \bar{T})$ is an $H_G^*(\text{point}; \bar{A})$ -module for any X and \bar{T} .

All of the above properties and more will be developed in detail in [5]. For the purposes of this paper, suffice to say that the theory can be manipulated just as ordinary cohomology ought to be.

The relationship with Bredon cohomology [1] is as follows. Let \mathcal{G} denote the category whose objects are those of \mathcal{O} and whose morphisms are the G -maps $G/H \rightarrow G/K$. A contravariant system $\bar{T}: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}b$ is automatically a Bredon contravariant system $\bar{T}|: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}b$ (in the sense of [2]) via the inclusion $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$. If a Bredon system \bar{T} , extends to a contravariant (Mackey) system \bar{T}' , then Bredon cohomology (with \bar{T} -coefficients) agrees with $H_G^n(X; \bar{T}')$ for $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ up to natural isomorphism.

2. The V-dimensional Bott class

By (2) of §1, $H_G^V(\text{point}) = 0$ if V has a trivial summand of dimension ≥ 1 . When $V^G = 0$, there is an element $1_V \in H_G^V(\text{point}; \bar{A})$ given by $1_V = \iota^*(1_0)$, where 1_0 is the fundamental class in $H_G^0(\text{point}; \bar{A}) \cong \bar{H}_G^V(S^V; \bar{A}) \cong A(G)$, and $\iota: S^0 \rightarrow S^V$ is inclusion.

The inclusion $S(V) \rightarrow D(V)$ of the unit sphere in V gives rise to a long exact sequence

$$(2.1) \quad H_G^{\gamma-V}(\text{point}) \cong \bar{H}_G^\gamma(S^V) \xrightarrow{\mu} H_G^\gamma(\text{point}) \rightarrow H_G^\gamma(S(V))$$

$\uparrow \hspace{10em} \uparrow$
degree - 1

(with \bar{A} -coefficients suppressed), where μ coincides with $\cup 1_V$.

Consider the case $\gamma = nV + m$, where, for interest, V should have no trivial summand.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $n > 1$ and $m \geq 0$. Then $\cup 1_V: H_G^{(n-1)V+m}(\text{point}) \rightarrow H_G^{nV+m}(\text{point})$ is an isomorphism. (By (2) of §1, the case $m > 0$ is, of course, immediate).*

Proof. By the sequence (2.1), one need only show that

$$H_G^{nV}(S(V)) = H_G^{nV-1}(S(V)) = 0.$$

$S(V)$, however, is made up of G -cells of the form $G \times_H D(V - i)$ with $1 \leq i \leq \dim V^H$. If $n \geq 1$, $H_G^{nV}(S(V))$ is computed by giving $S(V) \times D((n - 1)V)$ the product G -CW(nV) structure, so that $C_{nV}(S(V)) = 0$, the top dimensional cells being in dimension $nV - 1$. Similarly, $H_G^{(n+1)V-1}(S(V)) = 0$. Indeed, if X denotes the $(v - 2)$ -skeleton of $S(V)$, then $H_G^{(n+1)V-1}(X) = 0$ by the argument above, and the inclusion of X in $S(V)$ gives a long exact sequence

$$\bigoplus_{K_i} \bar{H}_{K_i}^{(n+1)V-1}(S^{V-i}) \rightarrow H_G^{(n+1)V-1}(S(V)) \rightarrow H_G^{(n+1)V-1}(X) = 0$$

|||

$$\bigoplus_{K_i} H_{K_i}^{nV}(\text{point})$$

where $K_i \subset G$ are proper subgroups such that V has a trivial summand as a K_i -module. Hence $H_{K_i}^{nV}(\text{point}) = 0$ if $n \geq 1$. \square

For the case $n = 1$, one has the sequence

$$\begin{array}{c} \dots \rightarrow H_G^{V-1}(S(V)) \xrightarrow{\xi} H_G^0(\text{point}) \rightarrow H_G^V(\text{point}) \rightarrow H_G^V(S(V)) = 0. \\ \parallel \\ A(G) \end{array}$$

To compute ξ , one has, by equivariant Poincare Duality [9],

$$H_G^{V-1}(S(V)) \cong H_0^G(S(V))$$

(where for the latter, one regards \bar{A} as a covariant system via the canonical equivalence $\mathcal{O} \cong \mathcal{O}^{\text{opp}}$). When V has no one-dimensional fixed-set, it is easy to compute $H_0^G(S(V))$.

Let $\mathcal{F}(V)$ be the family of subgroups given by $H \in \mathcal{F}(V)$ iff $V^H \neq 0$. Then

$$S(V^\infty) = \text{colim } S(V^n)$$

is a universal G -space of the form $E\mathcal{F}(V)$. Such a space has the property that $E\mathcal{F}(V)^K$ is empty if $K \notin \mathcal{F}(V)$ and is contractible if $K \in \mathcal{F}(V)$. In [8] it is shown that $H_G^P(E\mathcal{F}(V); T) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(V))}^P(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}, T)$, where the ext groups are given as follows. $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})$ is the category of Bredon coefficient systems (see [1]) $T: \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}b$, where $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F})$ has objects G/H with $H \in \mathcal{F}$ and morphisms the equivariant maps. $\bar{\mathbf{Z}}$ is the constant coefficient system, $\bar{\mathbf{Z}}(G/H) = \mathbf{Z}$; $\bar{\mathbf{Z}}(f) = 1$, and the ext groups are constructed in the category $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})$. In particular, $H_G^0(E\mathcal{F}(V); \bar{T}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})}(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}, \bar{T})$. Dually, one has

$$H_0^G(E\mathcal{F}(V); \underline{T}) \cong \bar{\mathbf{Z}} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(V))} \underline{T},$$

whence $H_0^G(S(V^\infty); \underline{A}) \cong \bar{\mathbf{Z}} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(V))} \underline{A}$, where one regards \underline{A} as a Bredon coefficient system.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let V be such that V^H does not have dimension 1 for $H \subset G$. Then*

$$H_0^G(S(V)) \cong H_0^G(S(V^\infty)).$$

Proof. Under the hypothesis, and by uniqueness of universal G -spaces, one can obtain a G -homotope X of $S(V^\infty)$ by attaching G -cells of the form $G/H \times D^i$ with $i \geq 2$ to $S(V)$, giving the result by the associated exact sequences in Bredon-Illman homology. \square

By naturality of Poincare duality, one obtains

$$\xi: H_G^{V-1}(S(V)) \cong \bar{\mathbf{Z}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}(V))} \underline{A} \rightarrow A(G) \cong H_G^0(\text{point})$$

coinciding with $\xi(n \otimes a) \cong nf_*(a)$ for $n \in \bar{\mathbf{Z}}(G/H)$, $a \in \underline{A}(G/H)$ and $f: G/H \rightarrow G/G$ the only possible map. One then has $H_G^V(\text{point}) \cong A(G)/\text{Im } \xi$. For general V , this remains true, except that ξ must be computed explicitly from the 0- and 1- dimensional geometry of V . In either case, the unit $1 \in H_G^0(\text{point})$ goes to the Bott class $1_V \in H_G^V(\text{point})$ under the quotient $A(G) \rightarrow A(G)/\text{Im } \xi$.

LEMMA 2.4. *If $m \geq 0$ and $n > m$, then*

$$\cup 1_V: H_G^{m-(n-1)V}(\text{point}) \rightarrow H_G^{m-nV}(\text{point})$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. By the sequence (2.1) with $\gamma = m - nV$, it suffices to show that

$$H_G^{m-nV}(S(V)) = H_G^{m-1-nV}(S(V)) = 0.$$

One has contributions to $\bar{H}_G^{m-nV}(S(V))$ of the form

$$\bar{H}_G^{m-nV}(G_+ \wedge_K S^{V-i}) \cong \bar{H}_K^{m-nV}(S^{V-i}) \cong \bar{H}_K^{m+i}(S^{(n+1)V}) = 0,$$

since $m + i < n + \dim V^K \leq (n + 1) \dim V^K$, ($\dim V^K$ being ≥ 1 for a G -CW(V) decomposition of $S(V)$). Similarly, $H_G^{m-1-nV}(S(V)) = 0$. \square

Note that in particular the lemma implies that

$$H_G^{-V}(\text{point}) \cong \dots \cong H_G^{-nV}(\text{point}) \cong \dots \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

One may compute $H_G^{-V}(\text{point})$ explicitly for nice V just as we computed $H_G^V(\text{point})$. This is done in [5].

When G is \mathbf{Z}_p with p prime, Stong has computed $H_G^{nV+m}(\text{point})$ for all n and m . The data $H_G^{nV-m}(\text{point})$ for $m, n \geq 0$ and general G are not known, although the author has machinery for grinding these out in general, as well as explicit formulations of $H_G^{n(V-v)}(\text{point})$ in [5].

3. Periodicity in $H_G^*(E\mathcal{F})$

We are now ready to prove the main result. Let $V < \mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(V)$, the family determined by V as above.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $\gamma = nV + m$ with $n, m \geq 0$. Then*

$$\cup 1_V: H_G^\gamma(E\mathcal{F}; \bar{T}) \rightarrow H_G^{\gamma+V}(E\mathcal{F}; \bar{T})$$

is an isomorphism for each \bar{T} if $n > 0$ or $m > 0$ and an epimorphism if $n = 0$ and $m = 0$.

Proof. Let $N > m + nv + 1$, and consider the commutative diagram, obtained from (2.1):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \rightarrow & \bar{H}_G^{nV+m}(S^{NV}) & \rightarrow & \bar{H}_G^{nV+m}(S^0) & \rightarrow & H_G^{nV+m}(S(NV)) \\ & & \downarrow e & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow g \\ \dots & \rightarrow & \bar{H}_G^{(n+1)V+m}(S^{NV}) & \rightarrow & \bar{H}_G^{(n+1)V+m}(S^0) & \rightarrow & H_G^{(n+1)V+m}(S(NV)) \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & \rightarrow & \bar{H}_G^{nV+m+1}(S^{NV}) & \rightarrow 0 \\ & & & & & \downarrow h & \\ & & & & & \rightarrow & \bar{H}_G^{(n+1)V+m+1}(S^{NV}) \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Here, the vertical maps are all multiplication by $\cup 1_V$ and coefficients are in \bar{T} . Lemma 2.4 implies that e and h are isomorphisms by our choice of N , and Lemma 2.2 implies that f is an isomorphism if $n > 0$ or $m > 0$ and an epimorphism if n and m are 0. The five lemma now implies that the same is true for g .

Finally, one has the inclusion $\iota: S(NV) \rightarrow E\mathcal{F}$. One sees that

$$\iota^H: S(NV)^H \rightarrow E\mathcal{F}^H$$

is an $(nv + m + 1)$ -equivalence for each $H \subset G$, so that E may be obtained from $S(NV)$ by attaching G -cells of the form $G/H \times D^r$ with $r > nv + m$. For such cells, $\bar{H}_G^{nV+m}(G/H_+ \wedge S^r) \cong H_H^{nV+m-r}(\text{point}) = 0$, since $nv + m - r < 0$, and we are done. \square

Remarks 3.2. (i) This general periodicity is the source of periodicity in $H^*(G)$ under special circumstances, as we shall see in §4.

(ii) There is no class 1_{-V} such that $\cup 1_{-V}$ is inverse to 1_V . Indeed, if \bar{T} is the coefficient system arising from a G -module M , (as will be explained below), then $H_G^{-V}(\text{point}; T) = 0$. This also shows that one cannot expect a periodic class in $H_G^{-V}(\text{point})$.

Consider the following diagram in the case $m = n = 0$, with coefficients in \bar{T} :

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \bar{H}_G^0(S^{NV}) & \rightarrow & \bar{H}_G^0(S^0) & \rightarrow & H_G^0(S(NV)) & \rightarrow & \bar{H}_G^{-1}(S^{NV}) & \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow e & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow h & \\ H_G^{V-1}(S(NV)) & \rightarrow & \bar{H}_G^V(S^{NV}) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \bar{H}_G^V(S^0) & \rightarrow & H_G^V(S(NV)) & \rightarrow & \bar{H}_G^{V+1}(S^{NV}) & \rightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Since f and g are epic, one has a short exact sequence

$$(3.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \ker(\eta e) \rightarrow \ker f \rightarrow \ker g \rightarrow 0$$

$$\quad \quad \quad \parallel$$

$$\quad \quad \quad \ker f \cap H_G^{-NV}(\text{point}).$$

If V has no one-dimensional fixed-sets, one has

$$\ker f = \text{Im}(\xi: \bar{\mathbf{Z}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F})} \underline{T} \rightarrow \underline{T}(G/G)),$$

and

$$H_G^{-NV}(\text{point}) = \ker(\mu: \underline{T}(G/G) = \bar{T}(G/G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F})}(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}, \bar{T}))$$

by the results in [5] (or by arguments dual to those preceding Lemma 2.3).

Thus

$$\ker g \cong \ker f / \ker f \cap H_G^{-NV}(\text{point})$$

$$\cong \text{Im } \xi / (\text{Im } \xi \cap \ker \mu).$$

It follows that

$$\ker(\cup 1_V): H_G^0(E\mathcal{F}; \bar{T}) \rightarrow H_G^V(E\mathcal{F}; \bar{T}) \cong \text{Im } \xi / (\text{Im } \xi \cap \ker \mu).$$

One now obtains

$$H_G^V(E\mathcal{F}; \bar{T}) \cong H_G^0(E\mathcal{F}; \bar{T}) / \text{Im}(\mu\xi)$$

$$\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F})}(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}, T) / \text{Im}(\mu\xi),$$

with $\cup 1_V$ coinciding with the natural quotient. We therefore conclude, by the above, Theorem 3.1 and [7] (or its generalization in [8]).

THEOREM 3.4. *Let V have no one-dimensional fixed-sets, and let m and $n \geq 0$. Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(V)$. Then*

$$H_G^{nV+m}(E\mathcal{F}; \bar{T}) \cong \begin{cases} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F})}(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}, T) & \text{if } n = m = 0, \\ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F})}(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}, T) / \text{Im}(\mu\xi) & \text{if } m = 0, n \geq 1, \\ \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F})}^m(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}, T) & \text{if } m \neq 0. \end{cases} \quad \square$$

As an example, we compute $H_{\mathbf{Z}_2}^{n\rho+m}(E\mathbf{Z}_2; \bar{A})$, where ρ is the non-trivial one-dimensional \mathbf{Z}_2 -module, and $\mathcal{F} = \{e\}$, so that $E\mathcal{F} = E\mathbf{Z}_2$. Since $A(e) =$

\mathbf{Z} , one has

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F})}(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}, \bar{A}) \cong \mathbf{Z},$$

and $\xi: \bar{\mathbf{Z}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F})} \underline{A} \cong \mathbf{Z} \otimes \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow A(\mathbf{Z}_2)$ coinciding with $1 \otimes 1 \rightarrow [\mathbf{Z}_2]$, the class of the free \mathbf{Z}_2 -set, \mathbf{Z}_2 . Finally,

$$\mu: A(\mathbf{Z}_2) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F})}(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}, \bar{A}) = \text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z}) \cong \mathbf{Z}$$

takes $[\mathbf{Z}_2]$ to 2, whence $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F})}(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}, \bar{A})/\text{Im}(\mu\xi) \cong \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$. $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F})}^m(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}, \bar{A})$ will be computed in §4. One therefore has

$$H_G^{n\rho}(E\mathbf{Z}_2; \bar{A}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z} & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} & \text{if } n > 0 \end{cases},$$

with $A(\mathbf{Z}_2)$ acting everywhere via the forgetful map $A(\mathbf{Z}_2) \rightarrow A(e) \cong \mathbf{Z}$.

4. Free actions

Now, suppose that G acts freely on $S(V)$, so that $\mathcal{F} = \{e\}$ and $E\mathcal{F} = EG$. We relate $H_G^{nV+m}(E\mathcal{F})$ to $H_G^{nv+m}(E\mathcal{F})$ and deduce classical periodicity results in a more general setting (in that we allow arbitrary Mackey functor coefficients).

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let X be a free G -CW complex, and let $V < \mathcal{U}$ be any G -module such that the action on V by each $g \in G$ is orientation-preserving. Then there exists a natural isomorphism*

$$\phi: H_G^{nV+m}(X; \bar{T}) \rightarrow H_G^{nv+m}(X, \bar{T})$$

for $n \geq 0$ and any m . (Recall that $v = \dim V$.)

Proof. $H_G^{nV+m}(X; \bar{T})$ may be computed cellularly via a G -CW(V) decomposition of X . Since X is free, the given G -CW decomposition is automatically a G -CW(V) decomposition, so that $\bar{C}_{nV+m}(X) \cong \bar{C}_{nv+m}(X)$ for each m (as contravariant systems). Further, in both cases, X^{nv+m}/X^{nv+m-1} is a wedge of G -spaces of the form $G_+ \wedge S^{nV+m} \cong G_+ \wedge S^{nv+m}$. To compute the boundary homomorphisms for $\bar{C}_{nV+\ast}(X)$, one orients each summand of $G_+ \wedge S^{nV+m} \cong \bigvee_{g \in G} S^{nV+m}$ by first suspending by a large enough trivial G -module to make S^{nV+m} G -invariant, orienting the identity summand arbitrarily, and then using translation by elements of G to orient the remaining cells. By the hypothesis on V , this coincides with the orientation of cells in $\bar{C}_{nv+\ast}(X)$, whence the resulting chain complexes are isomorphic. (Naturality follows easily by cellular approximation.)

COROLLARY 4.2. *Let G act freely on $S(V)$ through orientation-preserving maps. Then $\cup 1_V$ induces isomorphisms $H_G^i(EG; \bar{T}) \rightarrow H_G^{i+v}(EG; \bar{T})$ for any $i > 0$, and an epimorphism if $i = 0$.*

Remark 4.3. The hypothesis of Proposition 4.1 explains the failure of period 1 periodicity in $H^*(\mathbf{Z}_2)$, and the presence of a period of 2 (by choosing $V = \rho \oplus \rho$ where ρ is the one-dimensional irreducible \mathbf{Z}_2 -vector space). One still retains, however, *equivariant* period 1 periodicity of the form

$$H_G^i(EG; \bar{T}) \cong H_G^{i+\rho}(EG; \bar{T}),$$

where ρ has dimension 1.

One may now compute $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})}^m(\hat{\mathbf{Z}}, \bar{A})$ for $G = \mathbf{Z}_2$ and $V = \rho$, as promised in §3. By the above, $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})}^{2m}(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}, \bar{A}) \cong H_G^{2m}(E\mathbf{Z}_2; \bar{A}) \cong H_G^{2\rho}(E\mathbf{Z}_2; \bar{A}) = \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$, and it remains to compute $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})}^{2m+1}(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}, A)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})}^1(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}, A) &\cong H_G^1(E\mathbf{Z}_2; \bar{A}) \cong H_G^{2m+1}(E\mathbf{Z}_2; \bar{A}) \cong H_G^{2m+1}(E\mathbf{Z}_2; \bar{A}) \\ &\cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})}^{2m+1}(\mathbf{Z}, A), \end{aligned}$$

it therefore suffices to compute the first Ext group. By (2.1),

$$\text{Ext}^1 \cong H_G^1(S(NV)) \cong H_G^{2-NV}(\text{point}) = 0,$$

by Stong’s calculation in [5].

5. Relationship with Classical Results

In order to specialize Corollary 4.2 to classical results about $H^*(G; A)$ for a $\mathbf{Z}G$ -module A , we recall some material from [8].

Let $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{F})$ denote the category whose objects are the spaces G/H with $H \in \mathcal{F}$, and whose morphisms $G/H \rightarrow G/K$ are the $\mathbf{Z}G$ -module homomorphisms $\mathbf{Z}G/H \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}G/K$, where $\mathbf{Z}G/J$ denotes the free \mathbf{Z} -module on G/J . A Hecke functor (based on \mathcal{F}) is then an additive functor

$$T: \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}b.$$

If A is a $\mathbf{Z}G$ -module, then the assignment $\bar{A}: G/H \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}G}(\mathbf{Z}G/H, A)$ gives a contravariant Hecke functor, while $G/H \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}G}(A, \mathbf{Z}G/H)$ gives a covariant one.

If $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F})$ is the full subcategory of \mathcal{O} with objects G/H for $H \in \mathcal{F}$, then one has a forgetful functor $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{F})$ by [8]. This turns every Hecke functor into a Mackey functor.

One now has, by results in [8].

$$H^i(G; A) \cong H_G^i(EG; \bar{A}),$$

so that all the classical periodicity results follow from §4, and continue to hold in the more general form of 4.2.

REFERENCES

1. G.E. BREDON, *Equivariant cohomology theories*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 34, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1967.
2. T. TOM DIECK, *Transformation groups and representation theory*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 766, Springer-Verlag, 1979.
3. G. LEWIS, *Green functors*, mimeographed notes, Syracuse University, Syracuse, New York, 1983.
4. G. LEWIS, J.P. MAY, AND J. MCCLURE, *Ordinary equivariant RO(G)-graded cohomology*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 4 (1981), pp. 208–212.
5. G. LEWIS, J.P. MAY, J. MCCLURE AND S. WANER, *Ordinary equivariant RO(G)-graded cohomology*, in preparation. University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois.
6. R.E. STONG, *The cohomology of a point*, Preprint, University of Virginia, 1981.
7. S. WANER, *A generalization on the cohomology of groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 85 (1982), pp. 469–474.
8. _____, *Mackey functors and G-cohomology*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 90 (1984), pp. 641–648.
9. _____, *Oriented G-mainfolds*, Preprint, Hofstra University, 1981.

HOFSTRA UNIVERSITY
HEMPSTEAD, NEW YORK