

SUBNORMAL AND ASCENDANT SUBGROUPS WITH RANK RESTRICTIONS

BY
HOWARD SMITH

Let \mathfrak{F}_r^* denote the class of groups with finite abelian section rank (thus $H \in \mathfrak{F}_r^*$ if and only if every elementary abelian p -section of H is finite for all primes p). If H and K are subnormal \mathfrak{F}_r^* -subgroups of the group G , then the subgroup $J = \langle H, K \rangle$ is not necessarily subnormal in G (even if G belongs to \mathfrak{F}_r^*). This is shown in [4, §5], using a construction due to Zassenhaus and Hall. However, it is proved in the same paper that if $G \in \mathfrak{F}_r^*$ then J is ascendant in G . Here we make the following improvement on that result.

THEOREM. *Let H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n be subnormal \mathfrak{F}_r^* -subgroups of the group G , and let $J = \langle H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n \rangle$. Then*

- (a) *J belongs to \mathfrak{F}_r^* ,*
- (b) *J is ascendant in G .*

The number of subgroups H must be finite for either of these conclusions to hold, as may be seen by considering an infinite elementary abelian p -group in the case of (a), and a group of type $G = C_p \text{ wr } C_{p^\infty}$ in the case of (b). (In the latter, the self-normalizing "top group" is a union of cyclic p -groups, each of which is subnormal in G .) Further, if we weaken the hypothesis of the theorem by replacing "subnormal" by "ascendant", then the conclusion (a) does not follow.

Example. Let p be a fixed prime. For each $i = 1, 2, \dots$, let H_i be a group with presentation

$$\langle h_{i,i}, h_{i,2}, \dots : (h_{i,1})^p = 1, (h_{i,j+1})^p = h_{i,j} \ (j = 1, 2, \dots) \rangle.$$

Then each H_i is clearly a group of type C_{p^∞} and thus of rank 1. Let H denote the direct product of the H_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots$, and let x be the automorphism of H defined by

$$x: (h_{i,j}) \rightarrow (h_{i,j})(h_{i+1,j-1}); \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots$$

(where, for each i , $(h_{i+1,0})$ is interpreted as the identity element). Note that x

Received January 8, 1988.

is indeed an automorphism, its inverse being determined by the assignment

$$h_{i,j} \rightarrow h_{i,j} h_{i+1,j-1}^{-1} h_{i+2,j-2} \cdots h_{i+j-1,1}^{(-1)^{j-1}}.$$

Let G be the natural split extension of H by $\langle x \rangle$. Then it is easy to see that $H_1 \triangleleft^2 G$ and that, for each $i, j = 1, 2, \dots$, $h_{i,j}$ belongs to the j th term of the upper central series of G . So $\langle x \rangle$ is ascendant in G , but $G = \langle H_1, x \rangle$ has an abelian subgroup of infinite p -rank, namely H .

The above example shows that the class of groups with finite rank does not form an ascendant coalition class, thus answering a question left open in [1]. The same applies to the class of solvable groups with finite rank. The question as to whether (b) still holds with the weakened hypothesis referred to above is left unanswered here.

In proving the theorem, we require a residual property of certain groups in the class \mathfrak{F}_r^* . This is given in the following lemma, which is little more than an observation based on a well-established fact concerning radical \mathfrak{F}_r^* -groups. Note that radical groups in \mathfrak{F}_r^* are hyperabelian (see [2, Vol. 2, p. 128]).

LEMMA. *Let G be a hyperabelian group in the class \mathfrak{F}_r^* , let p be a prime and let D denote the maximal normal (periodic) p' -subgroup of G . Then G/D is of finite rank and solvable.*

Since every element x of G is either of infinite order or of order divisible by some prime p , there is always such a subgroup $D = D(x)$ which does not contain x . So we have the following immediate consequence.

COROLLARY. *A hyperabelian group in the class \mathfrak{F}_r^* is residually solvable-of-finite-rank.*

Proof of the lemma. We assume $D = 1$. Let T be the maximal normal periodic subgroup of G . Then G/T is solvable of finite rank [2, Lemma 9.34] so we may assume $G = T$ (which does not have any non-trivial normal p' -subgroups, since the maximal such would be characteristic in T and thus normal in G). Let H be the Hirsch-Plotkin radical of G . Then H is a Černikov p -group (by Corollary 1 to Theorem 6.36 of [2] and the fact that H has no normal p' -subgroups). Let $C = C_G(H)$. Then $C \leq H$ [2, Lemma 2.32] and G/C embeds in $\text{Aut } H$ and is periodic, and so is itself a (solvable) Černikov group [2, Theorem 3.29]. The result follows.

Proof of the theorem. First we observe that the class \mathfrak{F}_r^* is extension-closed (this is easily proved). In particular, the product of a normal \mathfrak{F}_r^* -subgroup and a subnormal one is again in \mathfrak{F}_r^* , and an easy induction shows that the product

of two permuting subnormal \mathfrak{F}_r^* -subgroups is in \mathfrak{F}_r^* . Then, with the hypotheses of the theorem satisfied, results from [3] (Theorem A and Corollary C1) establish that, for some integer λ , $J^{(\lambda)}$ is subnormal in G and belongs to \mathfrak{F}_r^* . To complete the proof of (a), suppose J is solvable and let D be the maximal normal p' -subgroup of J , where p is an arbitrary prime. It suffices to prove that J/D has finite rank, and we may suppose $D = 1$. Since J then has no subnormal p' -subgroups, neither has any of the subgroups H_i . By the lemma, each H_i has finite rank. By [1], J also has finite rank and (a) is proved.

Now let $G = K_0, K_1, \dots, K_m = J^{(\lambda)}$ denote the successive terms of the normal closure series of $J^{(\lambda)}$ in G . Then each K_i is normalized by J and, to establish (b), it suffices to prove that, for arbitrary i , JK_i is ascendant in $JK_{i-1} = L$, say. To do this, we first factor by K_i and thus reduce to the case where J is solvable. Now write $N_0 = 1$ and $N_i = H_1^L \dots H_i^L$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. We need only show that JN_{i-1} is ascendant in JN_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$). (Note that $JN_n = J^L$ is normal in L .) Again factoring, it suffices to prove that J is ascendant in JH^L , where H is some H_i . Our next reduction is to the case where H is abelian: this may be carried out by first introducing subgroups JA_j^L where A_j runs through the terms of the derived series of H and then arguing by induction. Then $N = H^L$ is locally nilpotent, and clearly we can make the further assumption that N is either torsion-free or a p -group for some prime p . In either case, let D denote the maximal normal p' -subgroup of JN . Then $[D, N] \subseteq D \cap N = 1$ and so $J \cap D \triangleleft JN$. Set $J \cap D = 1$. Then, for each i , $H_i \cap D = 1$, so H_i has no subnormal p' -subgroups and is therefore of finite rank, by the lemma. By [1], J is therefore subnormal in JN , and the proof is complete.

REFERENCES

1. M. DRUCKER, D.J.S. ROBINSON and I.N. STEWART, *The subnormal coalescence of some classes of groups of finite rank*, J. Austral. Math. Soc., vol. 16, (1973), pp. 324–327.
2. D.J.S. ROBINSON, *Finiteness conditions and generalised soluble groups*, vols. 1, 2, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.
3. J.E. ROSEBLADE, *The derived series of a join of subnormal subgroups*, Math. Z., 117 (1970), pp. 57–69.
4. H. SMITH, *Groups with the subnormal join property*, Canadian J. Math., vol. 37 (1985), pp. 1–16.

BUCKNELL UNIVERSITY
LEWISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA